Supplementary Table 1. Risk Of Bias In Non-randomized Studies of Interventions (ROBINS-I) tool results for nonrandomized studies

Study	Confounding	Selection	Classification of interventions	Deviations from intended interventions	Missing data	Measurement of outcomes	Reported result	Overall
Cacho et al. (2022) [16]	Serious	Serious	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
Colaneri et al. (2022) [23]	Serious	Serious	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
Elec et al. (2022) [24]	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
Fesu et al. (2022) [17]	Serious	Serious	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
Razia et al. (2023) [25]	Serious	Serious	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
Solera et al. (2023) [18]	Low	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
Villamarín et al. (2022) [19]	Serious	Serious	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate

Moderate: the study is sound for a nonrandomized study with regard to this domain but cannot be considered comparable to a well-performed randomized trial. Low: the study is comparable to a well-performed randomized trial with regard to this domain. Serious risk of bias: the study has some important problems.

Supplementary Table 2. Assessment of certainty of evidence using the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluations (GRADE) approach

Certainty assessment								
No. of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other	Odds ratio (95% CI)	Certainty
5	RS	Very serious	Very serious	Not serious	Serious	None	1.19 (0.59-2.39)	Low
5	RS	Very serious	Very serious	Not serious	Serious	None	0.69 (0.10-4.79)	Low
4	RS	Very serious	Very serious	Not serious	Serious	None	2.39 (1.24-4.57)	Low
3	RS	Very serious	Very serious	Not serious	Serious	None	0.98 (0.44-2.18)	Low
4	RS	Very serious	Very serious	Not serious	Serious	None	3.73 (0.75-18.34)	Low
	5 5 4 3	No. of Study studies design 5 RS 5 RS 4 RS 3 RS	No. of Study studies design 5 RS Very serious 5 RS Very serious 4 RS Very serious 3 RS Very serious	No. of Study studies design 5 RS Very serious Very serious 5 RS Very serious Very serious 4 RS Very serious Very serious 7 Very serious Very serious 8 Very serious Very serious 9 Very serious Very serious	No. of Study studies design Studies design Risk of bias Inconsistency Indirectness Very serious Very serious Not serious Very serious Very serious Not serious RS Very serious Very serious Not serious RS Very serious Very serious Not serious Very serious Not serious	No. of Study studies design Studies design Risk of bias Inconsistency Indirectness Imprecision Not serious Serious Residual Serious Very serious Not serious Serious Residual Residual Very serious Not serious Serious Residual Residual Very serious Not serious Serious Residual Residual Residual Very serious Not serious Serious Residual Residual Residual Very serious Not serious Serious Residual Res	No. of Study studies design Studies design Risk of bias Inconsistency Indirectness Imprecision Other Studies design Risk of bias Inconsistency Indirectness Imprecision Other Studies design Not serious Serious None Risk of bias Inconsistency Indirectness Imprecision Other Studies Serious None Not serious Serious None Risk of bias Inconsistency Indirectness Imprecision Other Serious None Not serious Serious None Risk of bias Inconsistency Indirectness Imprecision Other Serious None Not serious Serious None Risk of bias Inconsistency Indirectness Imprecision Other Serious None	No. of Study studies design Risk of bias Inconsistency Indirectness Imprecision Other Odds ratio (95% CI) RS Very serious Very serious Not serious Serious None 1.19 (0.59–2.39) RS Very serious Very serious Not serious Serious None 0.69 (0.10–4.79) RS Very serious Very serious Not serious Serious None 2.39 (1.24–4.57) RS Very serious Very serious Not serious Serious None 0.98 (0.44–2.18)

Low: our confidence in the effect estimate is limited; the true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect. Moderate: we are moderately confident in the effect estimate; the true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different.

 CI , confidence interval; RS, retrospective study; ICU, intensive care unit.

Supplementary Table 3. Subgroup analyses for effectiveness outcomes

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
	N. C. P.	D : 1 1 (050; OI)			Heterogeneity	erogeneity		
Subgroup analysis	No. of studies	Point estimate (95% CI)	P-value	Q-value	P-value	I ² (%)		
Mortality rate by control group								
SOC	4	0.80 (0.37-1.72)	0.57	0.00	1.00	0		
MOL	1	0.97 (0.72-1.31)	0.01	1.07	0.05	0		
Hospitalization rate by control group								
SOC	3	0.31 (0.09-1.00)	0.52	5.75	0.05	65		
MOL	2	4.35 (1.35-13.99)	0.01	2.33	0.12	57		
ICU admission by control group								
SOC	3	1.93 (0.95-3.92)	0.06	3.16	0.20	36		
MOL	1	7.59 (1.45-39.63)	0.01	0.00	1.00	0		
Mortality rate by SARS-CoV-2 variant								
Omicron	2	0.94 (0.20-4.28)	0.93	1.01	0.31	1		
Pre-Omicron	2	0.76 (1.45-39.63)	0.54	0.00	0.98	0		
Alpha, Delta, and Omicron	1	7.59 (0.31-1.84)	0.01	0.00	1.00	0		
Hospitalization rate by SARS-CoV-2 variant								
Omicron	3	0.41 (0.12-1.35)	0.14	4.36	0.11	54		
Pre-Omicron	1	0.06 (0.00-1.20)	0.00	0.00	1.00	0		
Alpha, Delta, and Omicron	1	6.10 (1.75-21.23)	0.06	0.00	1.00	0		

CI, confidence interval; SOC, standard of care; MOL, molnupiravir; ICU, intensive care unit; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2.

Study name	9	Statistics for	each study		Odds ratio a	and 95% (<u>CI</u>
	Odds ratio	Lower limit	Upper limit	P-value			
Cacho 2022	0.679	0.118	3.899	0.665			
Colaneri 2022	1.364	0.050	37.529	0.855	 	-	-
Elec 2022	1.310	0.523	3.285	0.564		-	
Razia 2023	0.132	0.025	0.687	0.016	 ■		
Solera 2022	4.139	0.196	87.365	0.361		-	—
	0.838	0.418	1.676	0.617	•		
					0.01 0.1 1	10	100
					Control	Remdesi	vir

Supplementary Fig. 1. Forest plot of mortality rates comparing the control group to remdesivir. CI, confidence interval.

Study name	<u> </u>	Statistics for each study				dds rati	o and	1 95% (<u> </u>
	Odds ratio	Lower limit	Upper limit	P-value					
Cacho 2022	0.331	0.036	3.079	0.331			\vdash		
Colaneri 2022	16.765	0.828	339.622	0.066			+		→
Razia 2023	0.164	0.048	0.569	0.004			-		
Villamarín 2022	2.647	0.093	75.285	0.569		-		-	
Solera 2022	5.871	1.287	26.780	0.022					
	1.431	0.208	9.817	0.716					
					0.01	0.1	1	10	100
						Control	R	emdesi	vir

Supplementary Fig. 2. Forest plot of hospitalization rates comparing the control group to remdesivir. Cl, confidence interval.

Study name	9	Statistics for each study				ds ratio	and 95%	% CI
	Odds ratio	Lower limit	Upper limit	P-value				
Colaneri 2022	1.364	0.050	37.529	0.855	-		-	$-\top$
Razia 2023	0.132	0.025	0.687	0.016	-	-		
Elec 2022	0.426	0.200	0.892	0.024		-		
Solera 2022	5.850	0.298	114.823	0.245		1 =	-	─
	0.418	0.218	0.802	0.009				
					0.01	0.1	1 10	100
					Co	ontrol	Remde	esivir

Supplementary Fig. 3. Forest plot of intensive care unit admission comparing the control group to remdesivir. CI, confidence interval.

Study name	9	Statistics for each study					io and	1 95% (<u> </u>
	Odds ratio	Lower limit	Upper limit	P-value					
Elec 2022	1.160	0.481	2.799	0.742			-11-		
Razia 2023	0.188	0.020	1.803	0.147	-	-	+		
Solera 2022	4.139	0.196	87.365	0.361		-		-	
	1.011	0.457	2.233	0.979					
					0.01	0.1	1	10	100
						Control	Re	emdesi	vir

Supplementary Fig. 4. Forest plot of need for mechanical ventilation comparing the control group to remdesivir. CI, confidence interval.

Study name	9	Statistics for each study				Odds rat	io and	95% (<u> </u>
	Odds ratio	Lower limit	Upper limit	P-value					
Cacho 2022	0.520	0.208	1.303	0.163					
Razia 2023	3.333	0.366	30.390	0.286		-	\dashv		
Elec 2022	0.148	0.058	0.378	0.000		-			
Fesu 2022	0.005	0.000	0.138	0.002	-				
	0.268	0.054	1.317	0.105					
					0.01	0.1	1	10	100
						Control	R	emdesi	vir

Supplementary Fig. 5. Forest plot of need for oxygen therapy comparing the control group to remdesivir. CI, confidence interval.

Study name	9	Statistics for each study				dds rat	io ar	nd 95% (<u> </u>
	Odds ratio	Lower limit	Upper limit	P-value					
Cacho 2022	1.922	0.767	4.813	0.163			+	-	
Solera 2022	0.300	0.033	2.735	0.286			\vdash	_	
Elec 2022	6.762	2.642	17.305	0.000					
Fesu 2022	196.333	7.235	5,328.028	0.002				. +	
	3.733	0.759	18.349	0.105					
					0.01	0.1	1	10	100
					Re	Remdesivir			I

Supplementary Fig. 6. Forest plot of need for oxygen therapy comparing remdesivir to the control group in coronavirus disease 2019 patients. The forest plot indicates no significant difference in need for oxygen therapy between remdesivir and the control group. CI, confidence interval.

Study name	9	Statistics with study removed						95% CI)	
	Point	Lower limit	Upper limit	P-value	,	with st	udy r	emoved	l
Cacho 2022	1.148	0.539	2.455	0.720				-	
Colaneri 2022	1.221	0.600	2.482	0.582			-	-	
Razia 2023	0.803	0.374	1.726	0.575					
Solera 2022	1.303	0.639	2.657	0.467			-	-	
Elec 2022	2.162	0.750	6.232	0.153			+		
	1.194	0.596	2.390	0.617					
					0.01	0.1	1	10	100
					Re	Remdesivir		Contro	I

Supplementary Fig. 7. One-leave-out sensitivity analysis for mortality rate. CI, confidence interval.

Study name	<u>s</u>	Statistics with	study remo	Odds ratio (95% CI)	
	Point	Lower limit	Upper limit	P-value	with study removed
Cacho 2022	0.464	0.042	5.117	0.531	
Colaneri 2022	1.143	0.151	8.651	0.897	
Razia 2023	0.347	0.066	1.815	0.210	<u>+-■-</u> -
Villamarín 2022	0.766	0.083	7.068	0.814	
Solera 2022	1.144	0.152	8.588	0.896	
	0.699	0.102	4.796	0.716	
					0.01 0.1 1 10 100
					Remdesivir Control

Supplementary Fig. 8. One-leave-out sensitivity analysis for hospitalization rate. CI, confidence interval.

Study name	Statistics with study removed							95% CI)	
	Point	Lower limit	Upper limit	P-value	V	with study removed			
Colaneri 2022	2.506	1.291	4.863	0.007			-	-	
Razia 2023	1.934	0.953	3.922	0.068			+	-	
Elec 2022	2.468	0.656	9.279	0.181			$+\mathbf{I}$		
Solera 2022	2.728	1.401	5.311	0.003			- 1-1	-	
	2.390	1.247	4.579	0.009					
					0.01	0.1	1	10	100
					Rei	Remdesivir			

Supplementary Fig. 9. One-leave-out sensitivity analysis for intensive care unit admission. CI, confidence interval.

Study name	Statistics with study removed					Odds ratio (95% CI)				
	Point	Lower limit	Upper limit	P-value	V	with study removed				
Elec 2022	1.777	0.289	10.941	0.535		-				
Razia 2023	0.782	0.335	1.822	0.569				_		
Solera 2022	1.096	0.482	2.490	0.829				-		
	0.989	0.448	2.186	0.979				.		
					0.01	0.1	1	10	100	
					Re	mdesi	/ir	Control		

Supplementary Fig. 10. One-leave-out sensitivity analysis for mechanical ventilation. CI, confidence interval.

Study name	Statistics with study removed			Odds ratio (95% CI)					
	Point	Lower limit	Upper limit	P-value	wi	ith stu	ıdy r	emoved	
Cacho 2022	5.863	0.353	97.475	0.217		Τ-	-		一
Solera 2022	6.857	1.355	34.701	0.020			-	\blacksquare	
Elec 2022	3.440	0.239	49.420	0.363		-	+		-
Fesu 2022	2.099	0.519	8.486	0.298			+		
	3.733	0.759	18.349	0.105			1		
					0.01	0.1	1	10	100
					Ren	ndesiv	ir	Control	

Supplementary Fig. 11. One-leave-out sensitivity analysis for oxygen therapy. CI, confidence interval.

Supplementary Material 1. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) checklist

Section and topic	Item #	Item # Checklist item	
TITLE Title	1	Remdesivir in solid organ transplant recipients with COVID-19: a systematic review and meta- analysis	1
ABSTRACT			
Abstract	2	See the PRISMA 2020 for Abstracts checklist.	1
NTRODUCTION Rationale	2	Describe the retionals for the region in the content of evicting lengthless	2
Objectives	3 4	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of existing knowledge. Provide an explicit statement of the objective(s) or question(s) the review addresses.	3 4
METHODS	4	riovide all explicit statement of the objective(s) of question(s) the review addresses.	4
Eligibility criteria	5	Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review and how studies were grouped for the syntheses.	5
Information sources	6	Specify all databases, registers, websites, organizations, reference lists and other sources searched or consulted to identify studies. Specify the date when each source was last searched or consulted.	5
Search strategy	7	Present the full search strategies for all databases, registers and websites, including any filters and limits used.	5
Selection process	8	Specify the methods used to decide whether a study met the inclusion criteria of the review, including how many reviewers screened each record and each report retrieved, whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.	5
Data collection process	9	Specify the methods used to collect data from reports, including how many reviewers collected data from each report, whether they worked independently, any processes for obtaining or confirming data from study investigators, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.	5
Data items	10a	List and define all outcomes for which data were sought. Specify whether all results that were compatible with each outcome domain in each study were sought (e.g. for all measures, time points, analyses), and if not, the methods used to decide which results to collect.	5
	10b	List and define all other variables for which data were sought (e.g. participant and intervention characteristics, funding sources). Describe any assumptions made about any missing or unclear information.	5
Study risk of bias assessment	11	Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in the included studies, including details of the tool(s) used, how many reviewers assessed each study and whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.	6
Effect measures	12	Specify for each outcome the effect measure(s) (e.g. risk ratio, mean difference) used in the synthesis or presentation of results.	6
Synthesis methods	13a	Describe the processes used to decide which studies were eligible for each synthesis (e.g. tabulating the study intervention characteristics and comparing against the planned groups for each synthesis (item #5)).	6
	13b	Describe any methods required to prepare the data for presentation or synthesis, such as handling of missing summary statistics, or data conversions.	6
	13c	Describe any methods used to tabulate or visually display results of individual studies and syntheses.	6
	13d	Describe any methods used to synthesize results and provide a rationale for the choice(s). If meta-analysis was performed, describe the model(s), method(s) to identify the presence and extent of statistical heterogeneity, and software package(s) used.	6
	13e	Describe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity among study results (e.g. subgroup analysis, meta-regression).	6
	13f	Describe any sensitivity analyses conducted to assess robustness of the synthesized results.	6
Reporting bias assessment	14	Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases).	6
Certainty assessment	15	Describe any methods used to assess certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for an outcome.	6

Supplementary Material 1. Continued

Section and topic	Item #	Checklist item	Location wher item is reporte
RESULTS			
Study selection	16a	Describe the results of the search and selection process, from the number of records identified in the search to the number of studies included in the review, ideally using a flow diagram.	7
	16b	Cite studies that might appear to meet the inclusion criteria, but which were excluded, and explain why they were excluded.	7
Study characteristics	17	Cite each included study and present its characteristics.	7
Risk of bias in studies	18	Present assessments of risk of bias for each included study.	7
Results of individual studies	19	For all outcomes, present, for each study: (a) summary statistics for each group (where appropriate) and (b) an effect estimate and its precision (e.g. confidence/credible interval), ideally using structured tables or plots.	7-8
Results of syntheses	20a	For each synthesis, briefly summarize the characteristics and risk of bias among contributing studies.	7-8
	20b	Present results of all statistical syntheses conducted. If meta-analysis was done, present for each the summary estimate and its precision (e.g. confidence/credible interval) and measures of statistical heterogeneity. If comparing groups, describe the direction of the effect.	7-8
	20c	Present results of all investigations of possible causes of heterogeneity among study results.	7-8
	20d	Present results of all sensitivity analyses conducted to assess the robustness of the synthesized results.	7-8
Reporting biases	21	Present assessments of risk of bias due to missing results (arising from reporting biases) for each synthesis assessed.	7-8
Certainty of evidence	22	Present assessments of certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for each outcome assessed.	7-8
DISCUSSION			
Discussion	23a	Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence.	9-12
	23b	Discuss any limitations of the evidence included in the review.	12
	23c	Discuss any limitations of the review processes used.	12
	23d	Discuss implications of the results for practice, policy, and future research.	12
OTHER INFORMATION			
Registration and protocol	24a	Provide registration information for the review, including register name and registration number, or state that the review was not registered.	5
	24b	Indicate where the review protocol can be accessed, or state that a protocol was not prepared.	5
	24c	Describe and explain any amendments to information provided at registration or in the protocol.	N/A
Support	25	Describe sources of financial or non-financial support for the review, and the role of the funders or sponsors in the review.	12
Competing interests	26	Declare any competing interests of review authors.	12
Availability of data, code and other materials	27	Report which of the following are publicly available and where they can be found: template data collection forms; data extracted from included studies; data used for all analyses; analytic code; any other materials used in the review.	12

Cochrane Library

MeSH descriptor: [Coronavirus] explode all trees OR MeSH descriptor: [SARS-CoV-2] explode all trees OR ("coronavirus"):ti,ab,kw OR (COVID-19):ti,ab,kw OR ("coronavirus infection"):ti,ab,kw OR (2019 nCoV):ti,ab,kw OR (2019nCoV):ti,ab,kw OR (nCov 2019):ti,ab,kw OR (SARS CoV 2):ti,ab,kw OR (SARS CoV 2):ti,ab,kw OR (SARSCoV 2):ti,ab,kw OR MeSH descriptor: [Organ Transplantation] explode all trees OR (Heart Transplantation): ti,ab,kw OR MeSH descriptor: [Kidney Transplantation] explode all trees OR (Kidney Transplantation): ti,ab,kw OR MeSH descriptor: [Liver Transplantation] explode all trees OR (Liver Transplantation): ti,ab,kw OR MeSH descriptor: [Pancreas Transplantation] explode all trees OR (Pancreas Transplantation): ti,ab,kw AND remdesivir* OR GS5734 OR "GS 5734"

PubMed

#1 (COVID-19[MeSH Terms]) OR (Coronavirus[MeSH Terms])) OR (SARS CoV 2[MeSH Terms])) OR (coronavirus[Title/Abstract])) OR (COVID-19[Title/Abstract])) OR (coronavirus infection[Title/Abstract])) OR (2019 nCoV[Title/Abstract])) OR (2019nCoV[Title/Abstract])) OR (nCov 2019[Title/Abstract])) OR (SARS CoV2[Title/Abstract])) OR (SARS CoV2[Title/Abstract])) OR (SARSCoV2[Title/Abstract])) OR (severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2[Title/Abstract])) OR (novel corona virus disease 2019[Title/Abstract])) OR (coronavirus disease 2019[Title/Abstract])) OR (novel coronavirus pneumonia[Title/Abstract])) OR (novel corona virus pneumonia[Title/Abstract]))

#3 ((remdesivir*[Title/Abstract]) OR (GS5734[Title/Abstract])) OR (GS 5734[Title/Abstract])

#4 #1 AND #2 AND #3

Web of Science

- 1. TI= (Coronavirus OR "COVID-19" OR "COVID OR COVID19" OR "SARS-CoV2" OR "SARS-CoV-2" OR SARSCoV2 OR "SARSCoV-2" OR "SARS coronavirus 2" OR "2019 nCoV" OR "2019nCoV" OR "2019-novel CoV" OR "nCov 2019" OR "nCov 19" OR "coronavirus infection" OR "severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2" OR "novel coronavirus disease" OR "novel corona virus disease 2019" OR "coronavirus disease 2019" OR "novel coronavirus pneumonia" OR "novel corona virus pneumonia")
- 2. TI= (organ transplantation OR " heart transplantation " OR " kidney transplantation " OR " liver transplantation " OR " lung transplantation " OR " pancreas transplantation ")
- 3. TI= (remdesivir* OR GS5734 OR GS 5734)
- 4. #1 AND #2 AND #3