## dmj

					Events,	Events,	%
Authors	Year			OR (95% CI)	Treatment	Control	Weight
First-classification							
Capula, et al.	2013	1	•	6.04 (0.33, 109.50)	5/674	0/367	1.67
Kgosidialwa, et al.	2015	+	•	10.21 (4.42, 23.60)	18/567	8/2499	8.04
Sacks, et al.	2015	-+		3.00 (1.86, 4.84)	29/1892	41/7943	10.50
Soliman, et al.	2018		<b>-</b>	5.48 (3.87, 7.77)	90/3027	50/8995	11.29
Waters, et al.	2016		_	2.17 (1.36, 3.45)	25/878	67/5020	10.59
Subtotal (I-squared =	75.2%, p = 0.003)		>	4.16 (2.42, 7.17)	167/7038	166/24824	42.09
Second-classification							
Shand, et al.	2008		•	15.05 (14.36, 15.78)	3190/16727	5393/349933	12.31
Subtotal (I-squared =	.%, p = .)		<b>♦</b>	15.05 (14.36, 15.78)	3190/16727	5393/349933	12.31
			·				
Fifth-classification							
Boghossian, et al.	2014	- :		0.84 (0.54, 1.31)	20/1279	1086/58224	10.71
Koivunen, et al.	2017		•	10.30 (9.52, 11.14)	1478/6679	1407/52386	12.27
Sugaya, et al.	2000 -	•		2.90 (0.84, 10.03)	4/51	8/281	5.69
Waters, et al.	2016			2.34 (1.11, 4.92)	8/261	67/5020	8.68
Subtotal (I-squared =	97.9%, p = 0.000)		>	2.78 (0.57, 13.50)	1510/8270	2568/115911	37.35
Sixth-classification							
Jacobson, et al.	1989			17.84 (7.96, 39.99)	11/97	15/2107	8.24
Subtotal (I-squared =	.%, p = .)		$\overline{\bigcirc}$	17.84 (7.96, 39.99)	11/97	15/2107	8.24
			-				
Overall (I-squared = 9	7.4%, p = 0.000)		>	4.85 (3.24, 7.25)	4878/32132	8142/492775	100.00
NOTE: Weights are fr	om random effects analysis						
	.00913	1		110			

**Supplementary Fig. 5.** Forest plot of neonatal hypoglycemia obtained from Mantel–Haenszel method. Effect size (odds ratio [OR]) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for; pooled estimates of effect size are indicated by vertical points of diamonds and 95% CI are represented by horizontal points.