

Supplementary Table 3. Results of quality assessment of the articles

Study*	Description of diagnostic test	Study subject	Readers	Reading process	Blinding review	Statistical analysis	Actual number of subjects and observations
Jiang et al. ²⁰ (2019)	High	High	High	High	High	High	High
Kim et al. ⁵ (2019)	High	High	High	High	High	High	High
Ludwig et al. ⁶ (2019)	High	High	High	High	High	High	High
Kim et al. ⁷ (2020)	High	Low	High	High	High	High	High
Kim et al. ⁸ (2020)	High	Low	High	High	High	High	High
Lim et al. ⁹ (2020)	High	High	High	High	High	High	High
Cannella et al. ²² (2021)	High	High	High	High	High	High	High
Min et al. ²¹ (2021)	High	High	High	Unclear	High	High	High
Moon et al. ²³ (2021)	High	High	High	High	High	High	High
Shin et al. ²⁴ (2021)	High	High	High	High	High	High	High
Yoon et al. ²⁵ (2021)	High	High	Unclear	High	High	High	High
Chen et al. ²⁶ (2022)	High	High	High	High	High	High	High
Jang et al. ¹⁰ (2022)	High	Low	High	High	High	High	High
Liang et al. ²⁷ (2022)	High	Low	High	High	High	High	High
Min et al. ¹¹ (2022)	High	High	High	High	High	High	High
Yang et al. ²⁸ (2022)	High	Low	High	High	High	High	High
Yang et al. ²⁹ (2022)	High	High	High	High	High	High	High
Zheng et al. ¹² (2022)	High	High	High	Unclear	High	High	High
Hwang et al. ³⁰ (2023)	High	High	High	High	High	High	High
Park et al. ³³ (2023)	High	High	Low	High	High	High	High
Wu et al. ³⁴ (2023)	High	High	High	High	High	High	High
Yang et al. ¹³ (2023)	High	High	High	High	High	High	High
Min et al. ³¹ (2024)	High	High	High	High	High	High	High
Oh et al. ³² (2024)	High	High	High	High	High	High	High

*Studies are listed in the main body.