

Supplementary Table 2. Tabular presentation for QUADAS-2 results

| Study | Risk of bias | | | | Applicability concerns | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------------------|------------|--------------------|
| | Patient selection | Index test | Reference standard | Flow and timing | Patient selection | Index test | Reference standard |
| Cai et al. ³¹ (2019) | ☹ | ☺ | ☺ | ? | ☺ | ☺ | ☺ |
| Qu et al. ¹⁹ (2019) | ☹ | ☺ | ☺ | ☹ | ☺ | ☺ | ☺ |
| Dong et al. ³² (2017) | ☺ | ☺ | ☺ | ☺ | ☺ | ☺ | ☺ |
| Hu et al. ³³ (2017) | ? | ☹ | ☺ | ☺ | ☺ | ☺ | ☺ |
| Dong et al. ³⁴ (2015) | ? | ☺ | ☺ | ☺ | ☺ | ☺ | ☺ |
| Li et al. ³⁵ (2014) | ☺ | ? | ☺ | ☺ | ☺ | ☺ | ☺ |
| Han et al. ³⁶ (2014) | ☹ | ☺ | ☺ | ? | ☺ | ☺ | ☺ |
| Yang et al. ³⁷ (2014) | ? | ☺ | ☺ | ? | ☺ | ☺ | ☺ |
| Zhang et al. ³⁸ (2013) | ☹ | ☺ | ☺ | ? | ☺ | ☺ | ☺ |

QUADAS-2, Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies-2.

☹ Low risk; ☺ High risk; ? Unclear risk.