

Erratum: Correction of missing Table.

Success and failure of endodontic microsurgery

Minju Song, Euseong Kim

J Korean Acad Cons Dent 2011;36:465-476. Doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5395/JKACD.2011.36.6.465>

Table 1 was omitted from the published article. The following table should be added in page 469.

Table 1. Studies on the success rate of endodontic microsurgery

Study	Sample size	Follow up period	Recall rate	Magnification	Root-end preparation	Root-end filling material	Root-end filling material	Methodology
Rubinstein & Kim(1999) ³²	128	14 mon	94/128	Microscope	Ultrasonic	Super EBA	96.8	Prospective
Rubinstein & Kim(2002) ⁴¹	91	5 - 7 yr	59/91	Microscope	Ultrasonic	Super EBA	91.5	Prospective
Chong <i>et al.</i> (2003) ¹⁶	122	1 - 2 yr	108/122	Microscope	Ultrasonic	MTA IRM	92 87	Prospective
Taschieri <i>et al.</i> (2006) ¹⁸	80	1 yr	71/80	Endoscope Loupe	Ultrasonic	EBA	94.9 90.6	RCT
Tsesis <i>et al.</i> (2006) ²⁰	110	> 6 mon	88/110	Microscope No aid	Ultrasonic Bur	IRM	91.1 44.2	Retrospective
Taschieri <i>et al.</i> (2007) ³³	30	1 yr	28/30	Endoscope	Ultrasonic	Super EBA	93	Prospective
Von Arx <i>et al.</i> (2007) ³⁴	194	1 yr	191/194	Endoscope	Sonic	MTA, Retroplast, Super EBA	83.8	Prospective
Von Arx <i>et al.</i> (2007) ³⁰	183	1 yr	177/183	Endoscope	Sonic	MTA, Super EBA	83	Prospective
Taschieri <i>et al.</i> (2008) ³⁵	113	> 2 yr	100/113	Microscope Endoscope	Ultrasonic	Super EBA	92 90	RCT
Saunders <i>et al.</i> (2008) ¹⁴	321	4 - 72 mon	276/321	Microscope	Ultrasonic	MTA	88.8	Prospective
Kim <i>et al.</i> (2008) ⁸	263	1 - 5 yr	192/263	Microscope	Ultrasonic	MTA, Super EBA	91.5	Prospective
Christiansen <i>et al.</i> (2009) ¹³	52	1 yr	46/52	Microscope	Ultrasonic	MTA GP Smoothing	96 52	RCT
Von Arx <i>et al.</i> (2010) ³¹	353	1 yr	339/353	Microscope	Sonic	MTA Retroplast	91.3 79.5	Prospective
Song <i>et al.</i> (2011) ¹⁰	54	1 - 7 yr	42/54	Microscope	Ultrasonic	MTA, Super EBA	92.9	Prospective

MTA, mineral trioxide aggregate; GP, gutta-percha; RCT, randomized controlled trial study.