

Editorial



Adapting the HEART Pathway for Korean Patients: The Potential Impact on Chest Pain Management at Emergency Department

Hack-Lyung Kim , MD, PhD

Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Boramae Medical Center, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

OPEN ACCESS

Received: Jun 14, 2023

Accepted: Jun 25, 2023

Published online: Jul 21, 2023

Correspondence to

Hack-Lyung Kim, MD, PhD

Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Boramae Medical Center, Seoul National University Hospital, 5, Boramae-ro, Dongjak-gu, Seoul 07061, Korea.
Email: khl2876@gmail.com

Copyright © 2023. The Korean Society of Cardiology

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0>) which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ORCID iDs

Hack-Lyung Kim
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6703-1472>

Funding

The author received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of Interest

The author has no financial conflicts of interest.

► See the article “Modification of HEART Pathway for Patients With Chest Pain: A Korean Perspective” in volume 53 on page 635.

Every year, a substantial number of individuals arrive at emergency department (ED) with complaints of chest pain. Chest pain is among the predominant reasons for ED visits, underscoring the severity of this issue.¹⁾ It is critically important that chest pain should never be overlooked in emergency settings. This is because it could potentially be a signal of serious, life-threatening conditions such as acute coronary syndrome (ACS).²⁾ These medical emergencies, if left unattended or misdiagnosed, could possibly result in fatal outcomes. Therefore, the prompt identification and management of these patients are paramount to improving their survival chances.³⁾ On the other hand, it's equally important to remember that not all presentations of chest pain are indicative of a catastrophic illness. In many instances, patients present with what medical professionals classify as atypical chest pain, a non-life-threatening situation that often has a good prognosis.⁴⁾ Nonetheless, it requires proper medical attention to confirm the nature of the pain and determine an effective treatment plan. Hence, the goal is not only to speedily identify high-risk patients to provide them with the necessary treatment but also to find low-risk individuals and reduce unnecessary medical expenses. Careful patient triage and suitable resource distribution are vital for ensuring improved patient outcomes, economic efficiency, and the sustainability of our healthcare systems. The complexity and variety of chest pain complaints consequently require a comprehensive and systematic approach in emergency medical care. Given these considerations, it is highly important to implement an appropriate risk stratification tool for patients presenting with chest pain in the ED.

When attempting to diagnose ACS or identify high-risk patients without the aid of coronary angiography or stress testing, a variety of clinical factors, such as the patient's age, the typicality of chest pain, risk factors, electrocardiogram alterations, and troponin elevations, play a vital role. These various clinical indicators were combined into History, Electrocardiography, Age, Risk factors, and Troponin (HEART) score. The HEART scoring is a system used by medical professionals in the ED for risk stratification in patients with chest pain. This scoring system allows doctors to quickly assess chest pain in the ED and make decisions about hospital admission, treatment, and the need for further diagnostic tests. This system proves particularly beneficial for the identification of low-risk patients, who may not

Data Sharing Statement

The data generated in this study is available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

The contents of the report are the author's own views and do not necessarily reflect the views of the *Korean Circulation Journal*.

require stress testing, invasive coronary angiography, or even hospitalization. Its use offers the potential for significant benefits to both patients and healthcare systems, as it effectively reduces unnecessary tests or hospitalization rates, thereby increasing the early discharge rate.^{5,6)} Furthermore, it has been observed that the incidence of major adverse cardiac events (MACE) within 30 days is significantly lower when the HEART score is low.⁷⁾ Hence, implementing the HEART pathway in EDs for patients presenting with chest pain can result in improved patient outcomes, increased efficiency, and substantial cost savings in healthcare.

The HEART pathway includes several risk factors, one of which is obesity, defined by a body mass index (BMI) of ≥ 30 kg/m². This standard for obesity is globally recognized, particularly in Western countries, as recommended by the World Health Organization. However, Asians generally have smaller body sized compared to people in Western countries, leading to a lower obesity BMI standard. Specifically, for Koreans, the Korean Society for the Study of Obesity recommends a BMI of ≥ 25 kg/m² as the threshold for obesity.⁸⁾ Therefore, to effectively apply the HEART pathway in a Korean context, obesity criteria suitable for Koreans should be used. While the utility of the HEART pathway has been mainly confirmed in studies involving Western populations, there is a paucity of data on its application specifically in Asian or Korean populations.⁹⁾

Chae et al.¹⁰⁾ conducted a prospective study involving 1,304 patients who visited the ED due to chest pain. In this study, the authors adapted the obesity diagnostic criteria in the HEART pathway to ≥ 25 kg/m², instead of ≥ 30 kg/m², to better suit Korean standards (referred to as the "modified HEART pathway"). The study found that when the modified HEART pathway was applied, it more accurately identified low-risk patients who could be immediately discharged, compared to the standard HEART pathway. The incidence of MACE at 30 days was 0.8% in the low-risk group identified by the modified HEART pathway, compared to 1.6% when using the standard HEART pathway. The modified HEART pathway was also more accurate in identifying patients who could be discharged early (sensitivity: 98.8% vs. 97.5%; negative predictive value: 99.2% vs. 98.4%).

This study represents the application of the HEART scoring system in Korean patients presenting with chest pain at ED. Furthermore, the use of BMI standards appropriate for Koreans adds significant value to the research. In emergency settings, where rapid judgment is crucial, the modified HEART scoring system will greatly assist Korean healthcare providers in promptly identifying low-risk patients and facilitating early discharges for patients with chest pain complaints.

REFERENCES

1. Møller TP, Ersbøll AK, Tolstrup JS, et al. Why and when citizens call for emergency help: an observational study of 211,193 medical emergency calls. *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med* 2015;23:88.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
2. Chang K, Ahn Y, Lim S, et al. 2021 Korean Society of Myocardial Infarction expert consensus document on revascularization for acute myocardial infarction. *Korean Circ J* 2021;51:289-307.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
3. Lee TH, Goldman L. Evaluation of the patient with acute chest pain. *N Engl J Med* 2000;342:1187-95.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
4. Miller CD, Lindsell CJ, Khandelwal S, et al. Is the initial diagnostic impression of "noncardiac chest pain" adequate to exclude cardiac disease? *Ann Emerg Med* 2004;44:565-74.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)

5. Laureano-Phillips J, Robinson RD, Aryal S, et al. HEART score risk stratification of low-risk chest pain patients in the emergency department: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Emerg Med* 2019;74:187-203.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
6. Backus BE, Six AJ, Kelder JC, et al. Chest pain in the emergency room: a multicenter validation of the HEART Score. *Crit Pathw Cardiol* 2010;9:164-9.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
7. Sakamoto JT, Liu N, Koh ZX, et al. Comparing HEART, TIMI, and GRACE scores for prediction of 30-day major adverse cardiac events in high acuity chest pain patients in the emergency department. *Int J Cardiol* 2016;221:759-64.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
8. Seo MH, Lee WY, Kim SS, et al. 2018 Korean Society for the Study of Obesity guideline for the management of obesity in Korea. *J Obes Metab Syndr* 2019;28:40-5.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
9. Kim MJ, Ha SO, Park YS, Yi JH, Yang WS, Kim JH. Validation and modification of HEART score components for patients with chest pain in the emergency department. *Clin Exp Emerg Med* 2021;8:279-88.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
10. Chae B, Ahn S, Kim YJ, et al. Modification of HEART pathway for patients with chest pain: a Korean perspective. *Korean Circ J* 2023;53:635-44.
[CROSSREF](#)