

： ， ，

■

： 1)

* . ** . ** . *** . *** . ***

(Park & Suh, 1996; Lee, S. Y. et al., 2001).

1.

가 가

가

(Baldree, Murphy & Power, 1982; Lee et al., 2001), 가

- -

(Mitzel- Wilkinson, 2000;

Snyder & Lindquist, 1998).

(AHNA) 1994

(psychoneu- roimmunology)

(Caudell, 1996), Eisenberg(1998)

가 가

(Lim et al, 2000) ,

(Lim et al.,

2000).

97

가 54.1 ,

(Chia, 1996; Mitzel-Wilkinson, 2000).

가 53.1

77

Snyder Lindquist(1998)

20-30%

가

가 (Baik, 1999; Kim, 2000)

1) 2001

*

**

2002 3 27

2002 6 20

2002 8 12

[illegible]

(Park & Suh, 1995).

(Carter et al., 2000).

가
(Shike, 1996).

DNA , (Chia, 1996).

CD4, T

가

(Applebaum, 1992).

(Byers, 1984).

가

93.6%

가 , 45.7% 가
(Yang, Kwon & Kim, 2001).

6 - 9 5- 7
(caterpillar technique)
1- 2

Tonini (1998)

가 10 9
-2 -a

가

가

가

(Carter et al, 2000).

가

가 T

(Kiecolt et al., 1985; O'Leary, 1990).

가 , 1.

3.

<Figure. 1>.

(reflexology)

10 가 가

가

	before	intervention	after
Experimental G	Ye 1	X	Ye 2
Control G	Yc 1	-----	Yc 2

Y : Data collection

X : Experimental intervention

<Figure 1> Research design

calcium acid가

가 (Carter et al, 2000).

Head' zone massage

Fitzgerald(1913)가 (hand

zone therapy)

2.

Ingham(1957)

foot reflexology

3

(Byers, 1984

).

, 가, , 가

32 , 30 1 XE2100 .
 7 31 ,
 23 54 가 . 2) : Lee(1991) Oh(2001)가
 0-10
 3. 가 가
 .
 30gm (6) Lee(1991)
 2.7Kg 7 14 가 Cronbach's alpha .94
 (Carter et al., 2000), 가 .70 , (8) Oh(2001)
 10 1- 2.2Kg Cronbach's
 () - alpha .95 .93 .
 , 3) : T , B , NK ,
 , 가 T , T , h/s ratio
 CD4, CD8, CD16, CD19 MCD(CD31,
 CD32, CD33, CD34) .
 (IGI) .
 3 ,
 (Snyder et al., 1998; Lee, 2000), Becton Dickinson ()
 mitogen 가 3 T3, T4, T8, T16, T19, T59
 (Kwon et al., 1998). Park (1996) Flow cytometry .
 Oh(2001) 5 5 ,
 3 5 . 5.
 Oh(2001)가
 가 SPSS/PC 10.0
 10 4 . 0.05 ,
 2001 7 10 10 20 x²-test, t- test
 9 ,
 가 11 -12 . ,
 , .
 ,
 5 1.
 2 가
 29 (53.7%) 가 25 (46.3%) ,
 가 28 (51.9%), 가 26 (48.1%) .
 49 (90.8%) , 35
 (64.8%) . 43
 4. (77.8%) 가 ,
 1) : , 20 72
 , Braun () Thermoscan IRT 1020 53.3 , 50.4 51.9±13.3
 , Omron F2() . 2 22 4.5
 , 3.8 4.2±5.7 ,

<Table 1> Homogeneity test(χ^2 -test) for characteristics between experimental and control group (N = 54)

Subject		N (%)	Experi. G.	Control G.	χ^2	P
Patient	CRF	29(53.7)	16	13	.128	.79
	Ca	25(46.3)	15	10		
Gender	male	28(51.9)	17	1	.260	.78
	female	26(48.1)	14	12		
Age	under 50	21(38.9)	11	10	.355	.58
	above 51	33(61.1)	20	13		
Religion	protestant	17(31.5)	8	9		
	catholic	5(9.3)	3	2		
	buddhism	21(38.9)	14	7	.034	.57
	none	10(18.5)	6	4		
	others	1(1.8)	0	1		
Marital status	unmarried	5(9.3)	1	4		
	married	42(77.8)	26	16	3.209	.20
	others	7(13.0)	4	3		
Address	city	32(59.3)	16	16		
	country	22(40.7)	15	7	1.763	.15
Care giver	spouse	35(64.8)	21	14		
	family	13(15.1)	6	7	.980	.61
	others	6(11.1)	4	2		
Job	none	32(59.3)	17	15		
	yes	22(40.7)	14	8	.589	.31
Economic status	high	4(7.4)	4	0		
	middle	46(85.2)	23	23	6.183	.74
	lower	4(7.4)	4	0		
Education	none	5(9.3)	3	2		
	elemental	7(13.0)	6	1		
	middle-high	22(40.7)	9	13	5.266	.15
	over college	20(37.0)	13	7		
Total		54(100.0)	31(100.0)	23(100.0)		

1 9 1.7 \pm 2.4 , (p = .000), -19.0 \pm 17.37mmHg
 1 5 1.1 \pm 1.32 (p = .000).
 . x -12.0 \pm 13.34 mmHg
 χ^2 -test 가 .
 <Table 1>. 5 .
 -0.20 \pm 0.37°C
 2. 가 0.09 \pm 0.33°C
 (p = .005), ,
 1) 가 1 : “ <Table 2>.
 ” 가 가 2 : “
 가 1 2 . 가 ” 가 <Table 3>
 가 1 : “ .28 \pm .64mg/ dl ,
 가 ” 가 ,
 - .30 \pm .78mg/ dl
 1 . (p = .004). 가 1 .
 -0.14 \pm 0.27°C 0.04 \pm 0.29°C
 (p = .03). 2) 가 2 : “ 가
 -5.2 \pm 4.87 / ” 가 <Table 3> .

<Table 2> Change of vital signs after 1st and 5th intervention

		Difference		t	p	Difference		t	p
		after # 1st Int.	Mean (SD)			after # 5th Int.	Mean (SD)		
BT	exp (n = 31)	-.14 ± .27				-.20 ± .37			
(°C)	con (n = 23)	.04 ± .29		-2.32	.03	.09 ± .33		-2.90	.005
PR	Exp	-5.19 ± 4.87				-6.90 ± 8.34			
(n/min)	Control	-1.61 ± 5.13		-4.96	.000	-2.74 ± 14.43		-1.34	.19
Sys. P	Exp	-19.0 ± 17.37				-6.42 ± 15.06			
(mmHg)	Control	.87 ± 21.03		-3.80	.000	1.91 ± 20.85		-1.71	.09
Dia. P	Exp	-12.0 ± 13.34				-2.90 ± 11.31			
(mmHg)	Control	-4.57 ± 18.4		-1.72	.09	2.65 ± 35.42		-1.08	.09

<Table 3> Comparison of Hb and emotional responses before and after interventions

		before	after	t	p	Difference	t	p
		Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)			(aft-before)		
Hb	exp (n = 31)	10.10 ± 1.62	10.39 ± 1.78	2.48	.02	.28 ± .64		
(mg/dl)	con (n = 23)	10.29 ± 2.04	9.98 ± 1.87	-1.87	.07	-.30 ± .78	3.05	.004
vigor	Exp	31.5 ± 15.3	37.0 ± 13.5	2.39	.02	5.53 ± 12.48		
(score)	Control	32.5 ± 10.9	31.0 ± 10.9	-.98	.34	-1.52 ± 7.51	2.39	.02
mood	Exp	40.5 ± 14.8	54.0 ± 17.0	6.79	.000	13.52 ± 11.08		
(score)	Control	45.9 ± 15.9	41.4 ± 14.8	-1.95	.06	-4.48 ± 10.99	5.92	.000

t = paired t-test, t = independent t-test

5.53 ± 12.48 (.000)

(p = .02), 13.52 ± 11.08 (p = .000) 가 2가 .

<Table 4> Analysis of the immune cells before and after intervention

		before	after	t	p	difference	t	p
		Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)			(aft-before)		
Lymp	exp (n = 31)	22.58 ± 10.89	17.21 ± 9.20	-2.71	.01	-5.37 ± 11.02		
(%)	con (n = 23)	24.80 ± 15.46	18.92 ± 12.27	-2.96	.007	-5.88 ± 9.53	-.18	.86
T cell	Exp	68.32 ± 11.23	65.79 ± 14.23	-1.31	.20	-2.53 ± 10.77		
(%)	Control	73.19 ± 12.51	73.14 ± 11.50	-.03	.98	-.04 ± 6.87	-1.03	.31
B cell	Exp	11.50 ± 7.18	16.19 ± 11.52	2.94	.006	4.69 ± 8.89		
(%)	Control	7.35 ± 5.44	7.07 ± 3.41	-.48	.64	-.28 ± 2.83	2.92	.006
NK cell	Exp	20.17 ± 7.99	17.71 ± 9.26	-1.62	.12	-2.47 ± 8.50		
(%)	Control	19.48 ± 10.60	19.80 ± 9.69	.23	.81	.33 ± 6.74	-1.30	.20
h/s ratio	Exp	1.45 ± .71	1.53 ± .72	.76	.46	.07 ± .55		
	Control	1.20 ± .62	1.23 ± .61	.33	.75	.02 ± .32	.44	.66
T cell	Exp	894.8 ± 575.3	751.7 ± 432.7	-1.67	.11	-143.1 ± 476.7		
(n/mm ³)	Control	906.7 ± 413.9	856.1 ± 486.7	-.66	.51	-50.6 ± 366.2	-.78	.44
Th	Exp	504.5 ± 322.2	448.7 ± 285.2	-.97	.34	-55.8 ± 321.9		
(n/mm ³)	Control	483.2 ± 269.9	451.6 ± 295.9	-.75	.46	-31.6 ± 201.2	-.32	.75
Ts	Exp	397.7 ± 309.0	310.9 ± 191.4	-2.23	.03	-86.74 ± 216.9		
(n/mm ³)	Control	442.7 ± 213.0	426.9 ± 262.5	-.38	.70	-15.70 ± 197.5	-1.24	.22
B cell	Exp	139.8 ± 100.2	186.0 ± 156.8	2.11	.04	46.23 ± 122.3		
(n/mm ³)	Control	84.5 ± 68.15	74.9 ± 70.0	-1.55	.14	-9.6 ± 293.75	2.45	.02
NK cell	Exp	252.4 ± 141.2	188.4 ± 142.5	-2.40	.02	-64.03 ± 148.7		
(n/mm ³)	Control	238.8 ± 157.3	214.3 ± 125.9	-.92	.37	-24.57 ± 127.8	-1.02	.31

t = paired t-test, t = independent t-test, Th; helper T cell, Ts; suppressor T cell

3) 가 3. : “ 가 <Table 4> <Table Lee(2000) 3 5> 가 가 T , 가 NK B (4.69±8.89%) (46.23±122.3/mm³) 가 (Oh, 2001, Snyder et al., 1998). (p = .006, p = .02). (CD) (1998) (2000)가 CD19 (4.94±8.91/ $\mu\ell$)가 (p = .008), CD8 CD16 (Oh, 2001), Oh(2000) <Table 5>. 가 3 4 , Park (1995) 5 가 1. 가 (Park & Suh, 10 1995), Oh(2000) 5 가 5 (Oh, 2001). (Birk et al., 2000; Lee, 2000). Susan(1998) 10 1 가 (Choe, Oh D. & Oh S., 2000). (Baik, 1999 (TT) 가), Baik(1999) (Randolph, 1984), Choe (2000) ,

<Table 5> Analysis of CD before and after intervention

n/ $\mu\ell$	exp (n = 31)	before		after	t	p	difference (aft - before)		t	p
	con (n = 23)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)			Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)		
CD4	Exp	37.3 ± 9.0	37.6 ± 11.9	37.6 ± 11.9	.14	.89	.32 ± 12.69		.27	.79
	Control	36.4 ± 11.5	36.0 ± 12.6	36.0 ± 12.6	-.29	.77	-.39 ± 6.42			
CD8	Exp	29.1 ± 10.2	28.1 ± 9.0	28.1 ± 9.0	-1.13	.27	-1.03 ± 5.09		-.72	.48
	Control	34.3 ± 10.5	34.2 ± 11.1	34.2 ± 11.1	-.10	.92	-.09 ± 4.31			
CD16	Exp	19.2 ± 7.3	17.5 ± 9.2	17.5 ± 9.2	-1.12	.27	-1.68 ± 8.35		-1.19	.24
	Control	18.8 ± 10.8	19.6 ± 10.3	19.6 ± 10.3	.61	.55	.83 ± 6.54			
CD19	Exp	11.7 ± 7.0	16.0 ± 11.4	16.0 ± 11.4	3.09	.004	4.94 ± 8.91		2.79	.008
	Control	7.1 ± 5.5	7.2 ± 5.8	7.2 ± 5.8	.13	.90	.09 ± 3.23			
MCD	Exp	65.69±12.14	64.54±14.62	64.54±14.62	-.56	.58	-1.15 ± 11.47		-.15	.88
	Control	69.04±11.23	68.27±10.75	68.27±10.75	-.57	.58	-.77 ± 6.55			

t = paired t-test, t = independent t-test, MCD= Mean of CD31, CD32, CD33, CD34

가 , CD33
 myeloid , CD34
 (Kim, 2000) (Kwon et al, 1998),
 TT Hb
 (Randolph, 1884)
 Hb
 (Shike, 1996; Yang, 2001)
 (Lee et al., 2001)
 가
 2.
 가 (Byers, 1984; Carter
 et al., 2000). 2001 7 10
 3
 54
 가 (Caudell,
 1996; Snyder et al., 1998). (31 , 23)
 Chi-massage Carter reflexology Chia
 NK 10 3
 (Kiecolt et al., 1985; O'Leary,
 1990). 5
 NK
 Yang(2000) 40
 가 CD4 CD8 NK
 가 , CD8 NK
 가 가 CD4/CD8
 (Yang, 2000). NK
 CD8 가 T B
 (Daichu et al.,
 1999), CD8 NK
 가 , B h/s 가 가
 B
 CD19 . Brewitt
 (1997) 가 가
 (Wardell, 2001),
 Reiki touch 30 Ig A가 (p = .004).
 (Wardell, 2001)
 CD31,
 CD32, CD33 CD34 (MCD)가
 SPSS/PC(10.0)
 Cronbach's alpha
 χ^2 -test t-test
 independent t-test paired t-test
 1. 1 5
 (p = .03, p = .005),
 1
 (p = .000) 5
 2.
 (p = .004).
 3. (p = .02)
 (p = .000) 가
 4. B

가 (p = .02, p = .006), CD19
(p = .008).

T NK 가

가

가

References

- Applebaum, J. W. (1992) The role of the immune system in the pathogenesis of cancer. *Oncol Nurs*, 8(1) ; 51-62.
- Baik, H. G. (1999). *The effectiveness of foot-reflexo-massage to premenstrual syndrome and dysmenorrhea*. Unpublished master's theses, Dep. of Nursing of Seoul National Uni. Korea
- Baldree, K. S., Murphy, S. P., & Powers, M. J. (1982). Stress identification and coping pattern in patients on hemodialysis. *Nurs Res*, 31(2), 107-112.
- Birk, T. J., Mcgrady, A., Macarthur, R. D., & Khuder, S. (2000). The effects of massage therapy alone and in immune system measures and quality of life in human immunodeficiency virus, *J Alt Compl Med*, 6(5), 405-414.
- Byers Dwight, C. (1984). *Better Health with Foot Reflexology*. Ingham pub. co.
- Carter, M., Weber, T. (2000). *Hand Reflexology*. Prentice Hall.
- Caudell, K. A. (1996). Psychoneuroimmunology and care. Innovative behavioral interventions in patients with leukemia. *Oncol Nurs Forum*, Apr. 23(3), 493-502.
- Choe, C. J., Oh, D. J., Oh, S. Y. (2000). The effects of guided imagery on orienting reflex. *Seoul Women's College of Nursing*, Vol. 13, 78-88
- Cordova, H. R., Benabe, J. E., & Martinez-Maldonado, M. (1991). Clinical manifestation and complications of the uremic state. In H.R. Jacobson, G.E. Striker & SK Lahr, *The Principle and Practice of Nephrology*. Philadelphia : W>B. Saunders Company.
- Daichou, Y., Kurashige, S., Hashimoto, S., Suzuki, S. (1999). Characteristic cytokine products of Th1 cells in hemodialysis patients. *Nephron*, 83(3), 237-245.
- Kiecolt, G. R., Glaser, R. K., Glaser, J. K., Stout, J. C., Jarr, K. L., Peicher, C. E., Holliday, J. E. (1985). Stress related Impairments in Cellular Immunity. *Psychiatry Press*, 16, 233-239.
- Kim, K. O. (2000). *The effects of foot reflex to the comfort of long time standing female workers*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Dept. of Nursing of Pusan National Uni. Korea.
- Kwon, M. S., Kwon, H. H., Kim, I. T., Park, K. H., & Lee, Y. J. (1998). *Clinical Immunology*. Doseochulpun Korea-Medicin pub.
- Lee, L. A., Hicks, G., Nino-Murcia, G. (1991). Validity and Reliability of a scale to Assess Fatigue. *Psychiatr Res*, 36, 291-298.
- Lee, S. H. (2000). Effects of postpartum massage program on stress response in the Cesarean section mothers. *J Korean Acad Nurs*, 30(2), 488-497.
- Lee, S. Y., Lee, H. J., Hur, W. S., Kim, D. J., Kim, Y. G., Oh, H. Y., Jo, S. K., Cho, W. Y., Kim, H. K. (2001). Cognitive function and quality of life in patients with chronic renal failure on hemodialysis. *Korean J. Neph*, Vol. 20(3), 427-437.
- Lim, N. Y., Song, K. Y., Hong, Y. H., Kim, J.

- I., Kim, K. H., Cho, N. O., Han, K. S. (2000). *Complemental · Alternated Nursing*. Soo-moon-sa pub.
- Mitzel-Wilkinson, A. (2000). Massage therapy as a nursing practice. *Holistic Nurs Pract*, 14(2), 48-56.
- O'Leary, A. (1990). Stress, emotion and human immune function. *Psychol Bull*, 108, 363-392.
- Oh, J. J. (2000). The effect of hand-massage on the relaxation of dementia patients. *J Korean Acad Nurs*, 30(4), 825-835.
- Oh, S. Y. (2001). *The effects of hand reflexology on Saeng-chi and immunity in ESRD patients*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Dept. of Nursing of Hanyang Uni. Korea.
- Park, M. S., Suh, M. J. (1995). The effect of the hand massage on anxiety of the cancer patients receiving radiation treatment. *J Korean Acad Nurs*, 25(2), 316-329.
- Randolph, G. L. (1984). Therapeutic and physical touch: Physiological response to stressful stimuli. *Nurs Res*, 33(1), 33-36.
- Raskova, J., Ghebrial, I., Shea, S., Elsingher, R. P., Raska, K. (1984). Suppressor cells in End-Stage renal disease, functional assays and monoclonal antibody analysis. *Am J Med*, 76, 847-853.
- Shike, M. (1996). Nutrition therapy for the cancer patient. *Hematol Oncol Clin North Am*, 10(1), 221-234.
- Snyder, M., & Lindquist, R. (1998). *Complementary Alternative. Therapies in Nursing*. 3rd Ed. springer Pub. 63-74.
- Tonini, G., Nunziata, C., Prete, S. P., Peponi, R., Turriziani, M., Masci, G., Graziata, G., Bonmassar, E., Vecchis, L. (1998). Ajuvant treatment of breast cancer : a pilot immunochemotherapy srudy with CMF, interleukin-2 and interferon-α. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*, 47(3), 157-166.
- Wardell, D. W. (2001). Biological correlates of Reiki touch healing. *J Adv Nurs*, 33(4), 439-445.
- Yang, C. H. (2000). *The effects of mental stress levels and recreational exercise on immune function and antioxidant enzyme activities*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Korean National Uni. of Physical Education.
- Yang, Y. H., Kwon, S. J., Kim, C. I. (2001). The nutritional status of the patients with cancer during the chemotherapies. *J Korean Acad Nurs*, 31(6), 978-987.
- Abstract -
- Effects of Hand Reflexology on Physiological · Emotional Responses and Immunity in the Patients with Chronic illness ; Chronic renal failure patients and Cancer patients**
- Lee, Chung-Hee *, Oh, Sei-Young **
 Park, Ok-Soon **, Kwon, In-Gak ***
 Jeong, Mi-A ***, Lee, Eun-A ***
- Purpose:** The purpose of this study was to explore the effects of hand reflexology on the physiological · emotional responses and immunity of the patients with chronic illness. This study looked specifically at patients with chronic renal failure(CRF) and cancer patients.
- Method:** This study was designed as a quasi-experimental nonequivalent control group pre and post test. Subjects were 54 patients who received dialysis and chemotherapy in one hospital. Thirty-one patients were assigned to the experimental group and 23 to the control group. The hand reflexology was applied to both hands of the experiment group for ten
-
- * Sungkyunkwan University
 ** Seoul Women's College of Nursing
 *** Samsung Medical Center

minutes each time, 5 times during three days. For data collection, physiological lab levels, immune cells of blood and questionnaires for emotional responses were measured before and after the program.

Result: BT of the experiment group was decreased significantly on both of the 1st and the 5th application. PR & BP were decreased significantly on the 1st times, but not 5th times. Hb levels of the experimental group were significantly increased. And emotional responses, vigor and mood scores of the experiment group were significantly increased. B cell & CD19 were increased significantly on

the experiment group. Suppressor T cell and NK cell showed significant decrease after the program, but no significant differences between the groups.

Conclusion: We have found that the hand reflexology helps the chronic patients to improve physiological · emotional responses and the immune reaction. Through this result, the hand reflexology is effective as a intervention of psychoneuroimmunologic function.

Key words : Hand reflexology, Physiological · emotional responses, Immunity