

Association between adherence to the Korean Food Guidance System and the risk of metabolic abnormalities in Koreans

Hyun Ju Jung¹, Sung Nim Han¹, SuJin Song¹, Hee Young Paik², Hyun Wook Baik^{3§} and Hyojee Joung^{4§}

¹Department of Food and Nutrition, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Korea

²Research Institute of Human Ecology, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Korea

³Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology & Clinical Nutrition, DMC Bundang Jesaeng Hospital, 255-2 Seohyundong, Bundang-gu, Sungnam, Gyeonggi 463-774, Korea

⁴Graduate School of Public Health, Seoul National University, 1 Gwanak-ro, Gwanak-gu, Seoul 151-742, Korea

Abstract

Consumption of a diet consistent with dietary guidelines is believed to have a beneficial effect on the prevention of chronic diseases and the promotion of general health. This study was conducted to explore the relationship between adherence to the Korean Food Guidance System (KFGS), which was based on the 2010 revised KDRIs, and the risk of metabolic abnormalities. Five hundred and ninety-six Korean adults between 30 and 59 years of age were recruited by advertisement to the Bundang Jesaeng General Hospital (BJGH), and those not taking regular medications and without diagnoses of fulminant disease were included. Data were collected on anthropometric measurements, diagnostic parameters for metabolic syndrome (MetS), and 3-day dietary intakes from individuals in the study. The number of servings consumed from each food group was compared to the KFGS recommended servings for each of the 6 food groups. Poor adherence to the recommendations for servings of milk and dairy products (OR: 2.038, 1.128-3.682) was associated with a higher risk of MetS, and poor adherence to the guidelines for fruit consumption (OR: 1.849, 1.027-3.329) was associated with a higher risk for the existence an elevated waist circumference. Conversely, the consumption of meat, fish, eggs, and beans above the recommended number of servings was associated with a lower risk of having an elevated waist circumference (OR: 0.523, 0.288-0.950), and the consumption of vegetables above the recommended number of servings was associated with a reduced risk of having elevated fasting glucose (OR: 0.533, 0.298-0.954). These results suggest that adherence to the KFGS guidelines helps to prevent the development of MetS, but this association needs to be confirmed by prospective studies.

Key Words: Metabolic syndrome, food group consumption, Korean food guidance system

Introduction

Metabolic Syndrome (MetS) is defined as the coexistence of several metabolic risk factors, and it is a risk factor for type 2 diabetes and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) [1,2]. MetS is common among Koreans, as its prevalence in the population is approximately 30% [3], and this statistic has attracted attention in Korea because cardiovascular disease and diabetes have been ranked the 3rd and 5th leading causes of mortality, respectively [4].

The etiology of MetS is not clear, although lifestyle components, including diet, are considered metabolic risk factors [2]. Recently, comprehensive approaches, such as the analyses of dietary patterns or diet quality indices and scores, focusing on more than a single nutrient have been used to investigate the association between diet and disease. These studies have

demonstrated that the “meat and alcohol” diet pattern was associated with a higher risk for developing metabolic abnormalities, while the “Korean healthy” diet pattern was related to a reduced risk for the development of these abnormalities among Korean individuals [5].

Dietary quality indices or scores that are based on recommended diets or dietary guidelines have been used to obtain a comprehensive idea of food intake. From a long-term follow-up study of 300 healthy women, the composite nutritional risk, which is a validated 19-nutrient index used to assess diet quality, was found to predict the development of abdominal obesity and MetS [6]. From a cross-sectional study, Fogli-Cawley *et al.* reported that adherence to the 2005 Dietary Guidelines for Americans Adherence Index (DGAI) was associated with a lower prevalence of MetS [7]. However, dietary indices do not consider the correlation between the structure of the food and the nutrient

This work was supported by the grant from the Cooperative Research Program for Agriculture Science & Technology Development (no. PJ007211), Rural Development Administration, Republic of Korea.

§ **Corresponding Author:** Hyun Wook Baik, Tel. 82-31-779-0210, Fax. 82-31-779-5079, Email. hbaik@dmc.or.kr

Hyojee Joung, Tel. 82-2-880-2716, Fax. 82-2-762-9105, Email. hjjoung@snu.ac.kr

Received: August 2, 2011, Revised: December 12, 2011, Accepted: December 12, 2011

©2011 The Korean Nutrition Society and the Korean Society of Community Nutrition

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

intake, and these represent only the formal sums of non-adjusted single effects [8]. Recently, a hybrid method was developed and tested; the weighted DGAI (wDGAI) method weighs dietary components based on their adherence to dietary recommendations and uses a data-driven dietary pattern approach as well as a criteria-based dietary score approach [9]. Higher wDGAI scores were found to be significantly associated with slower progression of atherosclerosis, although these associations were not found in a longitudinal study [9].

Consumption of a diet consistent with dietary guidelines has beneficial effects on the prevention of chronic diseases and promotes the health of the general population [10]. Recently, food-based dietary guidelines, such as the Korean Food Guidance System (KFGS), have been developed in many countries as practical tools for evaluating and planning healthy diets. The KFGS was developed using the Dietary Reference Intakes for Koreans (KDRIs) and the Dietary Guidelines for Koreans. However, as far as we know, there have not been studies done to assess the association between the adherence to the Dietary Guidelines and the disease risk among Koreans using the latest KFGS. Therefore, in this study, we examined the association between the adherence to the KFGS, as established using the revised 2010 KDRIs, and presence of metabolic abnormalities in Korean individuals.

Subjects and Methods

Subjects

Six hundred and sixty-three adults 30-59 years of age were recruited between June and September 2009 and between May and October 2010 at the Bundang Jesaeng General Hospital (BJGH) in Sungnam, Korea. Participants from the 2009 recruitment were residents of the South Gyeonggi Province and nearby Seoul; they were recruited by advertisement. Participants recruited in 2010 had received a medical checkup at BJGH between October 2009 and October 2010. The subjects fit the inclusion criteria if they were not taking any regular medications and had not been diagnosed with any fulminant disease. Five hundred and ninety-six adults who had completed the dietary assessment and who expressed biomarkers for a MetS diagnosis were included in the analysis. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the BJGH, and written, informed consent was received from each participant.

Assessment of anthropometric measures, biomarkers, and blood pressure

The body weights and heights were measured using an automatic height and weight scale (GL-150; G-Tech International, Korea). The waist circumference was measured over light clothing with a tape measure at the narrowest part of the waist.

Blood pressure was measured using sphygmomanometer (EW 3152; Panasonic, Japan). Venous blood was collected after at least 8 hours of fasting. The measurements for the serum levels of TG, HDL cholesterol, and glucose were performed using an enzymatic colorimetric test (GPO-PAP), an enzymatic method, and HK-G6PD (UV), respectively, at the Department of Laboratory Medicine of BJGH.

Dietary assessment

All subjects were interviewed once for a 24-hr recall at the hospital and submitted a 2-day dietary record within 2 weeks from the day of the interview. The interviews were conducted by trained dietitians. Using a 2-dimensional model to represent the amount of food intake, the subjects were instructed how to complete the dietary record. Three days (2 weekdays and 1 weekend day) of dietary data were collected in total. The dietary data were analyzed using the Diet Evaluation System program (DES), which is a web-based computer program for dietary assessment developed by the Human Nutrition Lab at Seoul National University.

Diagnostic criteria for Mets

The existence of MetS was defined according to the modified National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP ATP III) criteria. For the relationship between waist circumference and MetS, a population-specified cut-off for circumference from the Korean Society for the Study of Obesity was used. Those individuals who tested positive for 3 out of 5 components (waist circumference ≥ 90 cm for men and ≥ 85 cm for women; blood TG ≥ 150 mg/dL; HDL cholesterol < 40 mg/dL for men and < 50 mg/dL for women; systolic blood pressure ≥ 130 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure ≥ 85 mmHg; or fasting blood glucose ≥ 100 mg/dL) were classified as having MetS, and the others were classified as the control group.

Adherence to the Korean Food Guidance System

The Korean Food Guidance System (KFGS) consists of six major food groups, their target patterns and the representative food items in each food group. The six major food groups consist of "Grains", "Meat, Fish, Eggs, and Beans", "Vegetables", "Fruits", "Milk and Dairy products", and "Oils, Fats, and Sugars". Furthermore, this system consists of the following two types of target patterns: the A-type pattern suggests two servings of milk and dairy products, and the B-type pattern suggests one serving of milk and dairy products. These different types exist because the calcium requirements of children and adults differ. Each type of target pattern has a recommended serving suggestion based on the level of energy intake. In this study, B-type target patterns were adopted for the recommended servings for each food group, and these patterns had 4 levels

of energy (1,600 kcal, 1,900 kcal, 2,000 kcal, and 2,400 kcal). For example, the 1,600 kcal energy level recommended 3 servings of grains; 2.5 servings of meat, fish, eggs, and beans; 5 servings of vegetables; 1 serving of fruits; 1 serving of milk and dairy products; and 3 servings of oils, fats, and sugars.

Prior to the calculation of the daily servings from each food group of each subject, a food group composition table was established for each food based on the KFGS. The foods that were not listed as representative food items in the KFGS were classified by the authors based on their energy and nutrient composition, and mixed foods were classified by recipe. The daily servings of each food group that were consumed by each subject were calculated using the food group composition table.

After the estimated energy requirement (EER) for each subject was calculated, this value was used to identify the target pattern energy level for each subject. As the precise physical activity level of each subject was not determined, the subjects' physical activity levels were considered sedentary because the majority of Koreans have been reported to have sedentary life styles [11]. The subject's adherence score to the recommended dietary servings was defined as the percentage of consumed daily servings from each food group out of the recommended servings based on each subject's target pattern. The target pattern of 2,400 kcal was used for the recommended food group consumption for subjects whose EER exceeded 2,400 kcal.

Statistical analysis

The differences in the means of continuous variables were tested by an analysis of covariance (PROC GLM in SAS). The associations between the nutrient intakes, the consumed servings from each food group and the existence of MetS or its components were analyzed by multiple logistic regression analyses with adjustments for age, sex, mean daily energy intake, and BMI (except for MetS and elevated waist circumference). In the logistic regression model, the servings from 6 food groups were included together, and these could be adjusted according to each other. The adherence to the recommended servings was divided into quartiles, and odds ratios were calculated by setting the nearest quartile to 100% as a reference. All of the statistical analyses were performed using SAS software (Statistic Analysis System; version 9.2, SAS Institute, Cary, NC.).

Results

Basic characteristics and food group consumption of subjects

There were 256 men and 340 women participants aged 30-59 years who were included in the analysis. The prevalence of MetS was found to be 23.8% (37.1% in men and 13.8% in women) (Table 1). Elevated TG (64.5%) and elevated blood pressure

Table 1. Basic characteristics of study participants

	Men		Women	
	Control (n = 161)	MetS (n = 95)	Control (n = 293)	MetS (n = 47)
Age (yrs) ¹⁾	42.7 ± 8.2	44.7 ± 7.7	43.5 ± 8.0	49.1 ± 6.8**
Waist circumference (cm) ¹⁾	83.6 ± 6.3	89.9 ± 6.2**	75.6 ± 6.8	87.1 ± 8.3**
BMI (kg/m ²) ¹⁾	24.6 ± 2.4	26.8 ± 2.9**	22.5 ± 2.7	26.7 ± 3.1**
Systolic Blood Pressure (mmHg) ¹⁾	122 ± 12	130 ± 13**	114 ± 14	126 ± 11**
Diastolic Blood Pressure (mmHg) ¹⁾	79 ± 9	84 ± 9**	74 ± 9	79 ± 8*
Fasting Blood Glucose (mg/dL) ¹⁾	94.6 ± 18.8	106.0 ± 27.7**	85.4 ± 15.5	100.4 ± 26.5**
HDL cholesterol (mg/dL) ¹⁾	51.2 ± 12.4	43.5 ± 9.4**	60.1 ± 13.0	48.1 ± 14.0**
LDL cholesterol (mg/dL) ¹⁾	135.5 ± 77.8	121.4 ± 49.6	114.1 ± 29.6	127.4 ± 38.7
Triglyceride (mg/dL) ¹⁾	161.5 ± 99.9	258.2 ± 143.7**	100.2 ± 53.3	195.2 ± 126.4**
Percentage of people who have metabolic abnormalities				
Metabolic Syndrome ²⁾³⁾		37.1		13.8
Elevated Waist Circumference ²⁾⁴⁾⁵⁾		33.2		17.4
Elevated Triglyceride ²⁾⁶⁾		64.5		22.9
Reduced HDL cholesterol ²⁾⁷⁾		26.6		30.3
Elevated Blood Pressure ²⁾⁸⁾		50.4		26.8
Elevated Fasting Glucose ²⁾⁹⁾		37.5		15.3

¹⁾ Mean ± SD

²⁾ prevalence (%) of each one for each sex

³⁾ Metabolic syndrome (MetS) by the modified NCEP ATP III criteria (any 3 of 5 constitutes, for waist circumferences ≥90 cm in men, ≥85 cm in women (criteria by Korean Society for the Study of Obesity), for triglyceride ≥150 mg/dL, for HDL cholesterol <40 mg/dL in men, <50 mg/dL in women, for blood pressure ≥130 mmHg systolic blood pressure or ≥85 mmHg diastolic blood pressure, for fasting glucose ≥100 mg/dL).

⁴⁾ Suggested by the Korean Society for the Study of Obesity

⁵⁾ Men: ≥90 cm, Women: ≥85 cm

⁶⁾ ≥150 mg/dL

⁷⁾ Men: <40 mg/dL, Women: <50 mg/dL

⁸⁾ ≥130 mmHg systolic blood pressure or ≥85 mmHg diastolic blood pressure

⁹⁾ ≥100 mg/dL

*, ** Means are significantly different from those of Control in each sex by analysis of covariance using SAS Proc GLM (adjusted for age except for age).

* P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01

Table 2. Mean servings consumed and the adherence score¹⁾ for each food group by sex and MetS²⁾

Food Group	Total		Men		Women	
	Control (n = 454)	MetS (n = 142)	Control (n = 161)	MetS (n = 95)	Control (n = 293)	MetS (n = 47)
Servings consumed						
Grains	2.7 ± 0.8 ³⁾	2.8 ± 0.7	2.9 ± 0.7	2.9 ± 0.7	2.6 ± 0.8	2.8 ± 0.8*
Meat, fish, eggs, and beans	4.1 ± 1.7	4.6 ± 2.2	4.6 ± 1.8	5.1 ± 2.2	3.8 ± 1.6	3.6 ± 1.8
Meat	1.5 ± 1.0	1.6 ± 1.1	1.7 ± 1.2	1.8 ± 1.2	1.4 ± 0.8	1.2 ± 0.9
Fish	1.4 ± 1.1	1.5 ± 1.4	1.5 ± 1.3	1.7 ± 1.5	1.3 ± 1.0	1.2 ± 1.0
Eggs	0.4 ± 0.4	0.5 ± 0.4	0.5 ± 0.4	0.5 ± 0.4	0.4 ± 0.3	0.4 ± 0.3
Beans	0.7 ± 0.7	1.0 ± 1.2	0.8 ± 0.8	1.1 ± 1.3	0.7 ± 0.6	0.8 ± 0.9
Vegetables	6.5 ± 2.6	7.0 ± 3.1	7.0 ± 2.7	7.4 ± 3.3	6.2 ± 2.5	6.2 ± 2.5
Salted vegetables	1.9 ± 1.2	2.2 ± 1.3	2.2 ± 1.3	2.3 ± 1.4	1.6 ± 1.0	2.1 ± 1.2*
Unsalted vegetables	4.6 ± 2.2	4.8 ± 2.4	4.7 ± 2.1	5.2 ± 2.6	4.5 ± 2.2	4.1 ± 1.8
Fruits	1.5 ± 1.4	1.3 ± 1.6	1.5 ± 1.4	1.2 ± 1.8	1.6 ± 1.4	1.6 ± 1.2
Milk and dairy products	0.7 ± 0.7	0.5 ± 0.6*	0.6 ± 0.8	0.4 ± 0.5*	0.7 ± 0.7	0.6 ± 0.7
Oils, fats, and sugars	6.6 ± 3.1	6.7 ± 3.0	7.3 ± 3.3	7.2 ± 3.2	6.2 ± 2.9	5.5 ± 2.3
Adherence score (%)						
Grains	79.7 ± 22.8	78.0 ± 22.5	74.4 ± 18.9	72.9 ± 18.3	82.6 ± 24.3	88.3 ± 26.5
Meat, fish, eggs, and beans	109.7 ± 52.2	103.3 ± 48.1	96.3 ± 36.5	104.8 ± 44.2	117.1 ± 57.8	100.3 ± 55.6**
Vegetables	101.5 ± 42.8	102.6 ± 44.3	99.5 ± 38.4	106.1 ± 47.2	102.6 ± 45.1	95.5 ± 37.4*
Fruits	109.0 ± 117.2	81.3 ± 127.5	71.4 ± 82.2	61.3 ± 128.8	129.7 ± 128.1	121.8 ± 115.9
Milk and dairy products	69.5 ± 71.6	49.0 ± 57.2*	63.8 ± 78.1	44.2 ± 51.2*	72.7 ± 67.6	58.6 ± 67.3
Oils, fats, and sugars	164.8 ± 75.2	148.3 ± 63.6	152.0 ± 69.8	149.1 ± 64.6	171.8 ± 77.3	146.7 ± 62.3

¹⁾ Adherence score refers to percentage of servings consumed compared to recommended servings of each food group.

²⁾ Metabolic syndrome (MetS) by the modified NCEP ATP III criteria (any 3 of 5 constituents, for waist circumferences ≥90 cm in men, ≥85 cm in women (criteria by Korean Society for the Study of Obesity), for triglyceride ≥150 mg/dL, for HDL cholesterol <40 mg/dL in men, <50 mg/dL in women, for blood pressure ≥130 mmHg systolic blood pressure or ≥85 mmHg diastolic blood pressure, for fasting glucose ≥100 mg/dL).

³⁾ Values are Mean ± SD (all such values).

*, ** Means are significantly different from those of Control in each sex (or total participants) by analysis of covariance adjusted for age, (sex), mean daily energy intake, * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$

(50.4%) were the most prevalent components of MetS in men, while reduced HDL cholesterol (30.3%) and elevated blood pressure (26.8%) were the most prevalent components of the syndrome in women.

Men and women with MetS had greater waist circumferences and increased BMI, blood pressure, fasting glucose, and serum TG levels compared to the control group ($P < 0.01$). Women with MetS were older than those in the control group ($P < 0.01$). Both men and women with MetS had significantly lower levels of HDL cholesterol compared to control group ($P < 0.01$).

After the subjects were adjusted for age, sex, and mean daily energy intake, those with MetS had a significantly reduced intake of milk and dairy products than controls ($P < 0.05$) (Table 2). Although the mean number of servings of total vegetables was not different between the MetS and the control groups for both men and women, women with MetS consumed more servings of salted vegetables compared to women in the control group ($P < 0.05$). Subjects in the control group were found to have greater adherence scores for milk and dairy products ($P < 0.05$) (Table 2). For men, adherence scores for milk and dairy products were greater in the control group than in the MetS group ($P < 0.05$). Adherence scores for the meat, fish, eggs, and beans group ($P < 0.01$) as well as that for the vegetable group ($P < 0.05$) were significantly lower among women with MetS

compared to the controls. The adherence score for vegetables tended to be greater in men with MetS than those in the control group.

The association between food group consumption and metabolic abnormalities

After adjustments were made for age, sex, and mean daily energy intake, subjects who consumed more servings of milk and dairy products had a lower probability of having MetS (OR: 0.651, 95% CI: 0.463-0.913) (Table 3). Subjects who consumed more servings of oils, fats, and sugars were more likely to have an elevated waist circumference (OR: 1.094, 95% CI: 1.018-1.175) but were less likely to have an elevated blood pressure (OR: 0.931, 95% CI: 0.871-0.996). Those who consumed more meat, fish, eggs, and beans were more likely to have elevated fasting glucose levels (OR: 1.178, 95% CI: 1.038-1.337). However, subjects who consumed more servings of vegetables were less likely to have elevated fasting glucose levels (OR: 0.911, 95% CI: 0.839-0.989). Elevated TG or reduced HDL cholesterol levels were not associated with the consumption of any individual food group.

When men and women were examined separately, men who consumed more servings of grains were less likely to have

Table 3. Odds ratios of metabolic abnormalities by mean servings of each food group¹⁾ consumed

Food groups	MetS ²⁽³⁾	Elevated Waist Circumference ³⁾	Elevated Triglyceride ⁴⁾	Reduced HDL cholesterol ⁴⁾	Elevated Blood Pressure ⁴⁾	Elevated Fasting Glucose ⁴⁾
ALL						
Grains	1.104 (0.799-1.525) ⁵⁾	1.138 (0.832-1.556)	0.994 (0.726-1.361)	1.215 (0.897-1.646)	1.125 (0.847-1.494)	1.016 (0.737-1.400)
Meat, fish, eggs, and beans	1.032 (0.912-1.167)	0.972 (0.858-1.102)	1.024 (0.902-1.163)	0.956 (0.847-1.080)	0.941 (0.840-1.055)	1.178 (1.038-1.337)*
Vegetables	0.984 (0.907-1.066)	1.012 (0.937-1.094)	1.004 (0.928-1.086)	1.011 (0.940-1.088)	1.027 (0.957-1.101)	0.911 (0.839-0.989)*
Fruits	0.869 (0.751-1.005)	0.946 (0.827-1.083)	0.949 (0.834-1.081)	0.987 (0.868-1.122)	0.945 (0.836-1.069)	0.963 (0.841-1.103)
Milk and dairy products	0.651 (0.463-0.913)*	0.945 (0.706-1.265)	0.771 (0.576-1.031)	0.882 (0.663-1.174)	0.908 (0.697-1.183)	0.986 (0.732-1.330)
Oils, fats, and sugars	0.998 (0.925-1.077)	1.094 (1.018-1.175)*	0.965 (0.897-1.038)	1.001 (0.933-1.073)	0.931 (0.871-0.996)*	0.948 (0.879-1.023)
MEN						
Grains	0.863 (0.559-1.334)	0.833 (0.533-1.299)	0.591 (0.363-0.963)*	0.872 (0.539-1.409)	1.222 (0.806-1.853)	1.135 (0.736-1.749)
Meat, fish, eggs, and beans	1.097 (0.941-1.280)	1.039 (0.887-1.216)	1.029 (0.846-1.251)	0.936 (0.787-1.113)	0.917 (0.788-1.066)	1.287 (1.088-1.523)*
Vegetables	1.017 (0.924-1.120)	1.061 (0.963-1.170)	1.014 (0.908-1.132)	1.027 (0.925-1.140)	1.018 (0.927-1.118)	0.938 (0.849-1.036)
Fruits	0.851 (0.706-1.026)	1.009 (0.851-1.197)	0.934 (0.777-1.122)	0.908 (0.743-1.110)	0.915 (0.775-1.082)	0.960 (0.807-1.141)
Milk and dairy products	0.619 (0.398-0.961)*	1.127 (0.774-1.641)	0.542 (0.358-0.820)	0.879 (0.569-1.359)	0.736 (0.505-1.072)	1.051 (0.722-1.530)
Oils, fats, and sugars	1.006 (0.917-1.105)	1.080 (0.984-1.186)	0.991 (0.894-1.099)	1.080 (0.977-1.194)	0.925 (0.846-1.012)	0.941 (0.855-1.034)
WOMEN						
Grains	1.659 (0.923-2.982)	1.502 (0.927-2.436)	1.602 (1.046-2.454)*	1.783 (1.064-2.987)*	1.049 (0.659-1.669)	0.813 (0.479-1.380)
Meat, fish, eggs, and beans	0.912 (0.720-1.156)	0.876 (0.708-1.084)	0.994 (0.827-1.194)	1.016 (0.837-1.232)	1.000 (0.831-1.204)	1.050 (0.848-1.299)
Vegetables	0.961 (0.824-1.122)	0.963 (0.837-1.107)	0.997 (0.887-1.122)	1.004 (0.903-1.117)	1.045 (0.940-1.162)	0.896 (0.765-1.049)
Fruits	0.943 (0.744-1.196)	0.877 (0.699-1.100)	1.017 (0.840-1.230)	1.084 (0.904-1.301)	0.987 (0.817-1.191)	0.956 (0.764-1.195)
Milk and dairy products	0.741 (0.430-1.279)	0.696 (0.425-1.140)	1.138 (0.762-1.700)	0.962 (0.650-1.423)	1.174 (0.799-1.725)	0.902 (0.533-1.528)
Oils, fats, and sugars	1.023 (0.888-1.179)	1.150 (1.022-1.294)*	0.927 (0.828-1.037)	0.952 (0.854-1.061)	0.941 (0.845-1.048)	0.984 (0.863-1.121)

¹⁾ The model includes the mean servings of each food groups (as continuous variables) all together as independent variables.

²⁾ Metabolic syndrome (MetS) by the modified NCEP ATP III criteria (any 3 of 5 constitutes, for waist circumferences ≥ 90 cm in men, ≥ 85 cm in women (criteria by Korean Society for the Study of Obesity), for triglyceride ≥ 150 mg/dL, for HDL cholesterol < 40 mg/dL in men, < 50 mg/dL in women, for blood pressure ≥ 130 mmHg systolic blood pressure or ≥ 85 mmHg diastolic blood pressure, for fasting glucose ≥ 100 mg/dL).

³⁾ Adjusted for age, sex, and energy intake.

⁴⁾ Adjusted for age, sex, energy intake, and BMI.

⁵⁾ OR (95% CI) (all such values)

* Values are statistically significant ($P < 0.05$).

elevated TG levels (OR: 0.591, 95% CI: 0.363-0.963), while women who consumed more servings of grains were more likely to have elevated TG levels (OR: 1.602, 95% CI: 1.046-2.454) and reduced HDL cholesterol levels (OR: 1.783, 95% CI: 1.064-2.987).

The associations between adherence to the recommended servings of each food group and metabolic abnormalities

Adherence to the recommended servings of fruit, milk and dairy, and meat, fish, eggs, and beans products was associated with the presence of MetS in subjects (Table 4). Those subjects in the quartile with the poorest adherence to the recommendations for milk and dairy product consumption were 2 times more likely to have MetS. However, there were no statistically significant differences in the likelihood of the presence of MetS, in the trends or in the ORs according to the adherence to the meat, fish, eggs, and beans or fruits guidelines. Those subjects in quartile with the greatest adherence score for meat, fish, eggs, and bean consumption were less likely to have elevated waist circumferences (OR: 0.523, 95% CI: 0.288-0.950). However, those who consumed meat, fish, eggs, and beans slightly below the recommended servings were less likely to have elevated fasting

glucose levels (OR: 0.513, 95% CI: 0.277-0.948). Subjects with very poor adherence to the recommended servings for fruit consumption were more likely to have elevated waist circumferences (OR: 1.849, 95% CI: 1.027-3.329). Those subjects in the second quartile (4.7-44.7% of the recommendation) based on adherence to milk and dairy products were more likely to have reduced HDL cholesterol levels (OR: 1.832, 95% CI: 1.074-3.127). In addition, there was a trend toward a decreased risk of elevated blood pressure associated with the adherence to the recommended servings of fruits. Vegetable consumption that was beyond the recommended number of servings was associated with fewer subjects showing elevated fasting glucose levels (OR: 0.533, 95% CI: 0.277-0.948). Adherence to the recommended number of servings for grains as well as for oils, fats, and sugars was not associated with any metabolic abnormalities, and elevated TG levels were not associated with adherence to the recommended servings for any specific food group.

Discussion

This study was conducted to evaluate whether the consumption of a diet with good adherence to the KFGS was associated with

Table 4. Odds ratios for metabolic abnormalities according to quartile categories of adherence scores for each food group^{1,2)}

Food group	MetS ^{2,3)}	Elevated Waist Circumference ³⁾	Elevated Triglyceride ⁴⁾	Reduced HD cholesterol ⁴⁾	Elevated Blood Pressure ⁴⁾	Elevated Fasting Glucose ⁴⁾
Grains						
Q1 ≤ 63.0% ⁵⁾	0.944 (0.477-1.866) ⁶⁾	1.427 (0.736-2.767)	1.189 (0.629-2.250)	0.831 (0.449-1.537)	0.658 (0.363-1.192)	0.982 (0.502-1.921)
Q2 63.0-77.8%	1.245 (0.661-2.346)	1.598 (0.858-2.976)	0.989 (0.538-1.817)	0.903 (0.508-1.605)	0.612 (0.349-1.074)	1.074 (0.567-2.034)
Q3 77.8-92.5%	0.834 (0.450-1.548)	1.222 (0.673-2.220)	0.618 (0.349-1.094)	0.812 (0.470-1.402)	0.894 (0.532-1.504)	0.831 (0.450-1.535)
Q4 > 92.5%	1	1	1	1	1	1
<i>P</i> for trend	0.6023	0.5131	0.1402	0.8867	0.2956	0.8582
Meat, fish, eggs, and beans						
Q1 ≤ 72.2%	1.557 (0.849-2.855)	1.168 (0.654-2.084)	1.171 (0.645-2.126)	1.575 (0.895-2.775)	1.472 (0.853-2.540)	0.848 (0.458-1.569)
Q2 72.2-98.7%	0.605 (0.329-1.114)	0.861 (0.496-1.495)	0.584 (0.331-1.029)	1.136 (0.660-1.957)	0.756 (0.449-1.272)	0.513 (0.277-0.948)*
Q3 98.7-131.6%	1	1	1	1	1	1
Q4 > 131.6%	0.839 (0.461-1.526)	0.523 (0.288-0.950)*	1.047 (0.594-1.847)	1.008 (0.579-1.755)	1.012 (0.599-1.708)	1.715 (0.960-3.061)
<i>P</i> for trend	0.0288	0.0871	0.0774	0.3888	0.1166	0.003
Vegetables						
Q1 ≤ 71.7%	0.959 (0.506-1.817)	1.043 (0.569-1.914)	1.123 (0.614-2.051)	0.951 (0.535-1.690)	0.682 (0.387-1.203)	1.173 (0.632-2.176)
Q2 71.7-94.7%	0.894 (0.492-1.625)	0.971 (0.547-1.722)	1.021 (0.584-1.782)	0.893 (0.520-1.533)	1.395 (0.837-2.325)	0.725 (0.403-1.304)
Q3 94.7-125.9%	1	1	1	1	1	1
Q4 > 125.9%	0.831 (0.462-1.495)	0.894 (0.505-1.582)	1.169 (0.673-2.030)	1.115 (0.655-1.898)	1.037 (0.622-1.728)	0.533 (0.298-0.954)*
<i>P</i> for trend	0.9347	0.967	0.9359	0.8907	0.0753	0.0677
Fruits						
Q1 ≤ 23.8%	1.576 (0.864-2.874)	1.849 (1.027-3.329)*	0.930 (0.515-1.679)	0.635 (0.363-1.110)	1.298 (0.766-2.200)	1.133 (0.613-2.095)
Q2 23.8-66.7%	1.006 (0.550-1.841)	1.185 (0.653-2.148)	1.032 (0.582-1.829)	0.677 (0.395-1.158)	0.644 (0.379-1.094)	1.418 (0.786-2.560)
Q3 66.7-133.0%	1	1	1	1	1	1
Q4 > 133.0%	0.536 (0.279-1.030)	0.961 (0.522-1.770)	0.872 (0.496-1.533)	0.650 (0.383-1.105)	0.622 (0.367-1.054)	0.758 (0.404-1.420)
<i>P</i> for trend	0.0138	0.0988	0.9354	0.2968	0.014	0.2419
Milk and dairy products						
Q1 ≤ 4.7%	2.038 (1.128-3.682)*	1.336 (0.754-2.368)	1.239 (0.704-2.179)	0.938 (0.536-1.641)	1.041 (0.618-1.752)	1.484 (0.841-2.617)
Q2 4.7-44.7%	1.451 (0.788-2.673)	1.326 (0.753-2.334)	0.997 (0.568-1.750)	1.832 (1.074-3.127)*	0.869 (0.513-1.472)	0.751 (0.411-1.370)
Q3 44.7-95.3%	1.070 (0.567-2.021)	0.974 (0.538-1.765)	0.803 (0.452-1.425)	1.042 (0.604-1.798)	0.988 (0.586-1.666)	0.629 (0.339-1.165)
Q4 > 95.3%	1	1	1	1	1	1
<i>P</i> for trend	0.0679	0.5618	0.5178	0.044	0.9151	0.0222
Oils, fats, and sugars						
Q1 ≤ 105.7%	1	1	1	1	1	1
Q2 105.7-152.1%	1.052 (0.598-1.851)	0.911 (0.522-1.591)	0.944 (0.546-1.630)	1.418 (0.844-2.382)	1.003 (0.608-1.656)	0.843 (0.479-1.482)
Q3 152.1-207.2%	0.710 (0.386-1.308)	0.858 (0.479-1.536)	1.108 (0.627-1.958)	0.881 (0.506-1.533)	0.739 (0.435-1.254)	0.796 (0.440-1.439)
Q4 > 207.2%	0.836 (0.434-1.611)	1.167 (0.632-2.156)	0.708 (0.379-1.322)	0.751 (0.414-1.360)	0.663 (0.373-1.179)	0.734 (0.384-1.404)
<i>P</i> for trend	0.5938	0.7554	0.4954	0.1438	0.372	0.8021

¹⁾ The model includes the quartiles of adherence scores for each food groups all together as independent variables. The reference quartile in each food group was that includes 100% of recommended servings.

²⁾ Metabolic syndrome (MetS) by the modified NCEP ATP III criteria (any 3 of 5 constitutes, for waist circumferences ≥90 cm in men, ≥85 cm in women (criteria by Korean Society for the Study of Obesity), for triglyceride ≥150 mg/dL, for HDL cholesterol <40 mg/dL in men, <50 mg/dL in women, for blood pressure ≥130 mmHg systolic blood pressure or ≥85 mmHg diastolic blood pressure, for fasting glucose ≥100 mg/dL).

³⁾ Adjusted for age, sex, and energy intake.

⁴⁾ Adjusted for age, sex, energy intake, and BMI.

⁵⁾ The range of adherence scores for each food group for each quartile (all such values)

⁶⁾ Odds Ratio (95% CI) (all such values)

* Values are statistically significant ($P < 0.05$).

the absence of metabolic abnormalities in Korean adults 30 to 59 years of age. The results from this study indeed showed that adherence to the recommended servings of milk and dairy products, vegetables, and fruit was associated with the reduced incidence of metabolic abnormalities. However, the relationships between the consumption of or adherence to the recommended

servings of meat, fish, eggs, and beans as well as oils, fats, and sugars and presence of metabolic abnormalities differed based on the metabolic parameter analyzed.

Cross-sectional studies [12-15] have documented the association between dairy consumption and MetS. Based on the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES

III), Bradlee *et al.* [12] reported that the intake of dairy, grains and total fruit and vegetables was inversely associated with central obesity among adolescents. Furthermore, a study from the Third Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES III) found that Korean overweight adults who drank milk more frequently (once a day or more) had a lower likelihood of having MetS [13]. This association between dairy consumption and MetS has also been reported by certain prospective studies. From the French Epidemiological Study on the Insulin Resistance Syndrome (DESIR) patient cohort, a greater consumption of dairy products was associated with a lower incidence of MetS as well as impaired glucose tolerance and diabetes [14]. The protective effect of dairy consumption has also been reported from the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) patient cohort [15].

The results from our study are consistent with findings that have reported that fruit, as part of a healthy diet such as the Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) eating plan [16] or a vegetarian diet [17], was associated with reduced metabolic risk.

The relationship between the adherence to the guidelines for the consumption of meat, fish, eggs, and beans and the components of MetS was somewhat contradictory. Those subjects in the highest quartile for meat, fish, eggs, and beans consumption were less likely to have larger waist circumferences. Those who consumed meat, fish, eggs, and beans slightly below the recommended number of servings were less likely to have elevated fasting glucose levels. As this food group contains various protein-containing foods, such as red meat, for which a greater intake is related to MetS and central obesity [18], and beans, which have a low in glycemic index, this association should be interpreted carefully. These inconsistencies could be partially explained by the fact that subjects in the highest quartile (who consumed beyond the recommended servings) showed significantly reduced energy intake from carbohydrates ($57.8 \pm 7.8\%$) and higher energy intake from protein ($18.3 \pm 3.1\%$) compared to those in the reference quartile ($60.2 \pm 7.2\%$ for carbohydrate energy and $16.9 \pm 2.4\%$ for protein energy), while the energy intake from fat was not different between these two groups (23.0 ± 6.2 and 23.8 ± 6.3 for the highest and reference quartiles, respectively). Subjects in the highest quartile consumed more fish (2.4 ± 1.7 servings) than those in the reference quartile (1.5 ± 0.9 servings), whereas the extent of the difference in the consumption of meat, eggs, and beans approximately 0.1 servings. A reduced energy intake from carbohydrate sources and a greater energy intake from protein could be related to obesity prevalence [19], and a high intake of fish could be beneficial for the prevention of MetS and abdominal obesity [20].

The consumption of more servings of oils, fats, and sugars was associated with a lower risk of having elevated blood pressure. In addition, subjects who consumed more servings of oils, fats, and sugars tended to consume more energy and nutrients. However, there is no clear explanation for the observed

association between the increased intake of oils, fats, and sugars and the lower risk of elevated blood pressure, although the reduced consumption of salted vegetables by subjects who consumed more servings of oils, fats, and sugars may suggest a possible explanation. Furthermore, the blood pressure levels of the subjects in this study were not extraordinarily high, as the mean systolic and diastolic blood pressures for MetS subjects were at the lower end of the elevated blood pressure range (130/85 mmHg).

In this study, the distribution patterns of the adherence to the recommended servings of each food groups were quite different. The interquartile range for the adherence scores for grains were the smallest at 29.1% and was followed by that for vegetables (54.6%) and for meat, fish, eggs, and beans (59.8%). As typical Korean meals consist of cooked rice, savory soup, seasoned side dishes made with raw, pickled, and steamed vegetables, and protein-rich foods, such as tofu, fish, and meat, these three food groups are usually consumed as meals rather than desserts or snacks among Koreans [21]. In contrast, the consumption of fruits and milk and dairy products had wider distribution ranges. The interquartile ranges of the adherence scores for these food groups were greater than 90%. For milk and dairy products, at least 25% of subjects consumed less than 5% of the recommended servings, and less than 25% of subjects consumed the recommended numbers of servings. On the other hand, the adherence scores for oils, fats, and sugars had a distribution near 100% for most subjects. Representative food items in this food group include butter, mayonnaise, coffee creamer, vegetable oils, coffee mix (instant coffee with sugar and powdered creamer), honey, sugar, syrup, and candy. Besides those used for cooking, these food items should not be consumed beyond the recommended number of servings because they provide empty calories [22]. However, the majority of people were found to consume these food items in excess of the recommended servings. Independent of energy intake, the consumption of additional servings of oils, fats, and sugars was associated with the risk of having an elevated waist circumference. This difference between the recommended and the actual intake levels suggests the need for a public health policy or a nutritional education program that emphasizes the benefits of a diet low in oils, fats, and sugars. In young Finnish men, a distinction was made between core foods (healthy foods) and extra foods (unhealthy foods that provide lots of oils, fats, and sugars); nutritional education was associated with core foods but not with extra foods [23]. Therefore, a food policy or program should involve the food choices related to oils, fats, and sugars.

The risk for elevated TG levels in men was decreased by the addition of a single serving of grains, while this association was reversed for women. The distribution of the range of grains intake was broader for women (0.9-6.9 servings per day) than men (1.3-5.0 servings per day), and the majority of men consumed grains below the recommended servings. Thus, it should not be interpreted that an increased consumption of grains would decrease the existence of elevated TG levels in men. Although

only a 3-day alcohol intake was recorded, this intake was greater in men who consumed grains below the recommended levels (2.15 ± 2.93 drinks per day) compared to those who consumed above the recommended levels (1.33 ± 2.35 drinks per day). Also, there was a weak but significant negative correlation between the servings of grains and the number of drinks in men (correlation coefficient = -0.1790 , $P < 0.01$), but this correlation was not observed in women.

This was the first study to evaluate the adherence of individuals to the revised Korean Food Guidance System and explore the association between adherence and the existence of metabolic abnormalities. However, there were several limitations of this study. First, information on the subject's lifestyle, such as smoking, alcohol intake, and physical activity, was incomplete, and therefore, these variables could not be included as covariates in the statistical analyses. Second, this was a cross-sectional study conducted at a hospital, and it did not use a nationally representative sample. Therefore, the association between diet and disease should be interpreted with limitations.

In summary, adherence to the KFGS was associated with beneficial MetS parameters, although this needs to be confirmed by prospective studies to demonstrate causal association. These results can be used for the development of a guideline adherence index to investigate the relationship between the adherence to healthy dietary practice and disease.

References

1. National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III) final report. *Circulation* 2002;106:3143-421.
2. Grundy SM, Cleeman JI, Daniels SR, Donato KA, Eckel RH, Franklin BA, Gordon DJ, Krauss RM, Savage PJ, Smith SC Jr, Spertus JA, Costa F; American Heart Association; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Diagnosis and management of the metabolic syndrome: an American Heart Association/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Scientific Statement. *Circulation* 2005;112:2735-52.
3. Korea Centers for Disease Control & Prevention. In-depth Analysis Report on the Examination Part of the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Cheongwon: Korea Centers for Disease Control & Prevention; 2007.
4. Statistics Korea. Causes of Death Statistics in 2009, Korea. Daejeon: Statistics Korea; 2010.
5. Song Y, Joung H. A traditional Korean dietary pattern and metabolic syndrome abnormalities. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 2011 Jan 5. [Epub ahead of print]
6. Millen BE, Pencina MJ, Kimokoti RW, Zhu L, Meigs JB, Ordovas JM, D'Agostino RB. Nutritional risk and the metabolic syndrome in women: opportunities for preventive intervention from the Framingham Nutrition Study. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2006;84:434-41.
7. Fogli-Cawley JJ, Dwyer JT, Saltzman E, McCullough ML, Troy LM, Meigs JB, Jacques PF. The 2005 Dietary Guidelines for Americans and risk of the metabolic syndrome. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2007;86:1193-201.
8. Hoffmann K, Schulze MB, Schienkiewitz A, Nöthlings U, Boeing H. Application of a new statistical method to derive dietary patterns in nutritional epidemiology. *Am J Epidemiol* 2004;159:935-44.
9. Imamura F, Jacques PF, Herrington DM, Dallal GE, Lichtenstein AH. Adherence to 2005 Dietary Guidelines for Americans is associated with a reduced progression of coronary artery atherosclerosis in women with established coronary artery disease. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2009;90:193-201.
10. Fogli-Cawley JJ, Dwyer JT, Saltzman E, McCullough ML, Troy LM, Jacques PF. The 2005 Dietary Guidelines for Americans Adherence Index: development and application. *J Nutr* 2006;136:2908-15.
11. The Korean Nutrition Society. Dietary Reference Intakes for Koreans. 1st revision. Seoul: The Korean Nutrition Society; 2010.
12. Bradlee ML, Singer MR, Qureshi MM, Moore LL. Food group intake and central obesity among children and adolescents in the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III). *Public Health Nutr* 2010;13:797-805.
13. Kwon HT, Lee CM, Park JH, Ko JA, Seong EJ, Park MS, Cho B. Milk intake and its association with metabolic syndrome in Korean: analysis of the third Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES III). *J Korean Med Sci* 2010; 25:1473-9.
14. Fumeron F, Lamri A, Abi Khalil C, Jaziri R, Porchay-Baldérelli I, Lantieri O, Vol S, Balkau B, Marre M; Data from the Epidemiological Study on the Insulin Resistance Syndrome (DESIR) Study Group. Dairy consumption and the incidence of hyperglycemia and the metabolic syndrome: results from a French prospective study, Data from the Epidemiological Study on the Insulin Resistance Syndrome (DESIR). *Diabetes Care* 2011;34:813-7.
15. Lutsey PL, Steffen LM, Stevens J. Dietary intake and the development of the metabolic syndrome: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study. *Circulation* 2008;117:754-61.
16. Azadbakht L, Mirmiran P, Esmailzadeh A, Azizi F. Beneficial effects of a Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension eating plan on features of the metabolic syndrome. *Diabetes Care* 2005;28:2823-31.
17. Rizzo NS, Sabaté J, Jaceldo-Siegl K, Fraser GE. Vegetarian dietary patterns are associated with a lower risk of metabolic syndrome: the adventist health study 2. *Diabetes Care* 2011;34:1225-7.
18. Babio N, Sorlí M, Bulló M, Basora J, Ibarrola-Jurado N, Fernández-Ballart J, Martínez-González MA, Serra-Majem L, González-Pérez R, Salas-Salvadó J; on behalf of the Nureta-PREDIMED investigators. Association between red meat consumption and metabolic syndrome in a Mediterranean population at high cardiovascular risk: Cross-sectional and 1-year follow-up assessment. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 2010 Sep 26. [Epub ahead of print]
19. Austin GL, Ogdan LG, Hill JO. Trends in carbohydrate, fat, and protein intakes and association with energy intake in normal-weight, overweight, and obese individuals: 1971-2006. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2011;93:836-43.

20. Noel SE, Newby PK, Ordovas JM, Tucker KL. Adherence to an (n-3) fatty acid/fish intake pattern is inversely associated with metabolic syndrome among Puerto Rican adults in the Greater Boston area. *J Nutr* 2010;140:1846-54.
21. Park MH, Kim DN, Park MS, Kim MY, Paik HY, Kim OS, Oh SY, Lee SS, Ok SW. *Cultural Life in Korea: Scenes from Everyday Life*. Seoul: Kyomunsa; 2003. p.84-9.
22. The Center for Nutrition Policy and Promotion [Internet]. Washington DC: United States Department of Agriculture. ChooseMy-Plate.gov [cited 2011 Jun 10]. Available from: <http://www.choosemyplate.gov>.
23. Bingham CM, Jallinoja P, Lahti-Koski M, Absetz P, Paturi M, Pihlajamäki H, Sahi T, Uutela A. Quality of diet and food choices of Finnish young men: a sociodemographic and health behaviour approach. *Public Health Nutr* 2010;13:980-6.