

## Effect of Target Angle and Thickness on the Heel Effect and X-ray Intensity Characteristics for 70 kV X-ray Tube Target

Gyehong Kim\*, Rena Lee<sup>†</sup>

\*Medical Research Institute, Ewha Womans University, <sup>†</sup>Department of Biomedical Engineering, School of Medicine, Ewha Womans University, Seoul, Korea

To investigate the optimum x-ray tube design for the dental radiology, factors affecting x-ray beam characteristics such as tungsten target thickness and anode angle were evaluated. Another goal of the study was to address the anode heel effect and off-axis spectra for different target angles. MCNPX has been utilized to simulate the diagnostic x-ray tube with the aim of predicting optimum target angle and angular distribution of x-ray intensity around the x-ray target. For simulation of x-ray spectra, MCNPX was run in photon and electron using default values for PHYS:P and PHYS:E cards to enable full electron and photon transport. The x-ray tube consists of an evacuated 1 mm alumina envelope containing a tungsten anode embedded in a copper part. The envelope is encased in lead shield with an opening window. MCNPX simulations were run for x-ray tube potentials of 70 kV. A monoenergetic electron source at the distance of 2 cm from the anode surface was considered. The electron beam diameter was 0.3 mm striking on the focal spot. In this work, the optimum thickness of tungsten target was 3  $\mu$ m for the 70 kV electron potential. To determine the angle with the highest photon intensity per initial electron striking on the target, the x-ray intensity per initial electron was calculated for different tungsten target angles. The optimum anode angle based only on x-ray beam flatness was 35 degree. It should be mentioned that there is a considerable trade-off between anode angle which determines the focal spot size and geometric penumbra. The optimized thickness of a target material was calculated to maximize the x-ray intensity produced from a tungsten target materials for a 70 keV electron energy. Our results also showed that the anode angle has an influencing effect on heel effect and beam intensity across the beam.

**Key Words:** Anode angle, Target thickness, MCNPX

### Introduction

X-ray tubes are used in a wide of applications including the medical imaging, radiotherapy, and industrial inspection. A conventional x-ray tube is based on the thermionic emission which emits electrons from a filament in a vacuum tube. The electrons are accelerated toward a positively charged target (anode). Because of its properties such as high atomic number

and high melting point, tungsten is used as the target material in x-ray tubes.<sup>1)</sup> In x-ray tubes, a heated filament is used to emit electrons and posteriorly produce x-rays. As always, the x-rays are produced by a mechanism called the “bremsstrahlung phenomenon” and using characteristic x-rays (i.e., x-rays with specific energies).<sup>2)</sup>

The use of the Monte Carlo method to simulate radiation transport has become the most accurate means of predicting the x-ray spectra even in complex geometries.<sup>3)</sup> Using the Monte Carlo method, it is possible to transport electrons and photons inside the target and filter to obtain detailed information about the factors contributing to the production of the x-ray spectrum.<sup>4)</sup> MCNPX is a general-purpose Monte Carlo radiation transport code for modeling the interaction of neutrons, protons, gamma rays and other particles with matter at nearly all energies.<sup>5)</sup>

This work was supported by the Radiation Safety Research Programs (1305033) through the Nuclear Safety and Security Commission. Received 10 November 2016, Revised 24 December 2016, Accepted 24 December 2016

**Correspondence:** Rena Lee (renalee@ewha.ac.kr)

Tel: 82-2-2650-5337, Fax: 82-2-2654-0363


© This is an Open-Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Here, we investigate the effect of a target thickness and anode angle of the x-ray target on the x-ray intensity and uniformity. This paper also addresses the anode heel effect and off-axis spectra for different target angles.

## Materials and Methods

In this study, the x-ray tube consists of an evacuated 1 mm alumina envelope, which provides vacuum, support and electrical insulation, containing a filament cathode and a tungsten anode. The alumina envelopes are particularly strong mechanically. The envelope is encased in lead shield with an opening window. MCNPX simulations were run for x-ray tube potentials of 70 kV. In this work, we used MCNPX to simulate the diagnostic x-ray tube with the aim of predicting the x-ray intensity with different target thicknesses and different anode angles (between  $12^\circ$  and  $35^\circ$ ).

The procedure of x-ray production consists of tracking a large number of electrons incident on the target until they are absorbed or emerge from it, and calculating the number of bremsstrahlung and characteristic photons produced by them during their travel within the target.<sup>6)</sup> For simulation of x-ray spectra, MCNPX was run in photon and electron mode (mode: P, E) using default values for PHYS:P and PHYS:E cards to enable full electron and photon transport. The simplified geometry configuration of the x-ray target is shown in Fig. 1 which



**Fig. 1.** Geometry for Monte Carlo simulation of x-ray intensity and assessment of anode heel effect. The position of detectors for calculation of x-ray beam intensity is also shown.

has been used as input for the MCNP code.

Spatial and energy distributions of the bremsstrahlung and characteristic photons exiting the x-ray target and alumina envelope were determined using a point detector (F5) tally of the MCNPX code. In this method, the transport of particles towards the detector is replaced by a deterministic estimate of potential contribution to the detector.<sup>7)</sup> The point detector tally measures photon flux at a point (unit is photons  $\text{cm}^{-2}$ ), which is normalized to be per starting particle. The point detectors were arranged in the calculation points in Fig. 1 to calculate the x-ray intensity around the target. According to the MCNP user manual,<sup>7)</sup> an uncertainty of less than 5% is required for point detector tally to produce a generally reliable confidence interval. The maximum uncertainty regarding the number of produced photons within each energy bin of widths 1 keV is less than 0.2%.

When the electrons strike the target, the code transports the electrons inside the target material until they are stopped after losing its kinetic energy. During the electron transport, all bremsstrahlung and characteristic x-ray production is considered. The calculated spectrum is then normalized to the total number of photons in the spectrum.

A mono-energy electron beam incident to the target with different target angles and thickness. In a target, the amount of x-rays produced will increase with increasing thickness of the targets until electrons are stopped after losing their kinetic energy. It is obvious that, by increasing the thickness, the amount of self-absorption will also increase. Therefore, there is an optimum thickness that can produce the maximum amount of a usable x-ray. The optimum thickness of a tungsten target is obtained in this work.

## Results and Discussion

Fig. 2 shows the x-ray intensity as a function of tungsten target thickness at the calculation angle of 0 degree. Using a greater thickness for tungsten target will not change the x-ray amount produced. Therefore, for a specific electron energy, one cannot increase the x-ray intensity by increasing the thickness of the tungsten target. In this work, the optimum thickness of W target was  $3 \mu\text{m}$  for the 70 kV electron potential. At less than optimum thickness of tungsten target, the x-ray




Fig. 2. X-ray intensity for different thicknesses of tungsten target material.




Fig. 3. The x-ray spectrum without Alumina envelope for target angle 12 degree at 10 cm distance from the target position.

production was significantly reduced. As the thickness of tungsten is increased beyond the optimum, there was no intensity difference.

The x-ray spectrum simulated by MCNPX without the alumina envelope for 12 degree target angle at calculation angle 0 degree was shown in Fig. 3. In the energy spectrum characteristic x-ray can be seen with energies around 9.5 keV. The low energy x-ray should normally be avoided since they cannot penetrate thick objects. Therefore, the low energy x-ray does not contribute to the image quality but only to the energy deposition in the patient.

The angular distributions of x-ray intensity were obtained for different target angles from 12 to 35 degrees as shown in




Fig. 4. The angular distribution of the x-ray intensity emitted by the tungsten target for 3  $\mu$ m target thickness.




Fig. 5. The x-ray intensity variation with anode angle for 3  $\mu$ m target thickness.

Fig. 4. The length of the path taken to emerge from the target depends on the angle of emission. As seen in Fig. 4, path 2 is longer than path 1 in the tungsten. With increasing the anode angle, more photons can reach the useful x-ray because the self-absorption of the anode decreases with the anode angle being increased. However, it should be mentioned that there is a considerable trade-off between anode angle which determines the focal spot size and the geometric penumbra.


In Fig. 5, the x-ray intensity per initial electron was calculated for different tungsten target angles at calculation point 0 degree. The objective was to determine the angle with the highest photon intensity per initial electron striking on the target. It can be seen that photon intensity is raised with anode

angle from 12 to 35 degrees. In this study, the optimum anode angle based only on x-ray intensity information was 35 degree. However, other considerations, including heel effect and penumbra, should be taken into account for a better anode angle choice.


Fig. 6 shows the anode heel effect for different target angles and thicknesses. Beyond the optimum target thickness, which is 3  $\mu\text{m}$ , target thicknesses cannot affect x-ray beam angular distributions. More photons can reach the useful x-ray for target angle 35 degree than 12 degree because the self-absorption

of the anode decreases with the anode angle being increased. In the useful x-ray beam (10 degree anode side until  $-10$  degree cathode side), the x-ray intensity for the target angle 35 degree is 61% higher than 12 degree.


One of the most important parameters influencing the quality of the x-ray spectrum is filtration. The produced x-ray beam after attenuation in the target passes through the tube's alumina ceramic envelope for the attenuation of soft x-rays. Fig. 7 shows simulated x-ray spectra for the target angle 12 and 35 degrees, respectively. In the energy spectrum characteristic x-ray cannot be seen with energies around 9.5 keV.



**Fig. 6.** Illustration of anode heel effect for different anode angles and target thicknesses.



**Fig. 7.** The x-ray spectra with 1 mm alumina envelope for the target angle 12 and 35 degree at 10 cm distance from the target position.



**Fig. 8.** Off-Axis x-ray intensity for target angle 12 (a) and 35 degree (b).

The effect of alumina envelope in attenuation of low-energy x-ray is also obvious by comparing Fig. 3 with Fig. 7.

Another problem in x-ray imaging is nonuniformity of x-ray intensity in the direction perpendicular to the anode-cathode axis. Fig. 8 shows the variation of x-ray intensity on this axis for target angle 12 and 35 degree as a function of window diameter. The off-axis x-ray intensity is identical on both sides of the central axis for target angle 35 degree and the absorption at small target angles, 12 degree, is higher than that at large target angles on anode side of central axis.

### Conclusion

To design a x-ray tube, it is necessary to determine the thickness of the target material. This work studied tungsten as target material. The simulation results reveal that the thickness of a tungsten target is parameter that affects the intensity of generated x-rays. The optimized thickness of a target material was calculated to maximize the x-ray intensity produced from a tungsten target materials for a 70 keV electron energy. Our results also showed that the anode angle has an influencing effect on heel effect and beam intensity across the beam. This

information is useful for x-ray tube design to improve image quality in diagnostic radiology. Additionally, the calculated MC model can be used either for further studies on its dosimetry or for the application of animal x-ray imaging.

### References

1. **Cheng Y, Zhang J, Lee YZ, Gao B, Dike S, Lin W et al:** Dynamic radiography using a carbon-nanotube-based field-emission x-ray source. *Review of scientific instruments* 75(10): 3264-3267 (2004)
2. **Knoll GF:** Radiation detection and measurement. John Wiley & Sons (2010)
3. **Ay MR, Shahriari M, Sarkar S, Adib M, Zaidi H:** Monte Carlo simulation of x-ray spectra in diagnostic radiology and mammography using MCNP4C. *Physics in medicine and biology*, 49(21):4897-4917 (2004)
4. **Acosta E, Llovet X, Coleoni E, Riveros J, Salvat F:** Monte Carlo simulation of x-ray emission by kilovolt electron bombardment. *Journal of applied physics* 83(11):6038-6049 (1998)
5. **Pelowitz DB:** MCNPX user's manual version 2.5. 0. Los Alamos National Laboratory (2005)
6. **Kulkarni R:** Supe S. Monte Carlo calculations of mammographic x-ray spectra. *Physics in medicine and biology* 29(2):185 (1984)
7. **Briesmeister J:** A General Monte Carlo N-Particle Transport Code, MCNP. Los Alamos National Laboratory, ORNL-13221, Oak Ridge, TN, USA (2000)