

Intraoperative Straight Leg Raising Test During Arthroscopic Microdiscectomy

Jae-Sung Ahn, M.D., June-Kyu Lee, M.D., Youk-Sang Kwon, M.D., Ui-Pyo Hong, M.D.

Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Chungnam National University, Daejeon, Korea

– Abstract –

Study Design: A prospective study.

Purpose: To assess the effectiveness of the straight leg raising test during an arthroscopic microdiscectomy.

Materials and Methods: 52 patients, 38 men and 14 women, took part in this experiment. The mean followed up and age were 21, ranging from 13 to 41 months, and 26.4, ranging from 13 to 42 years old. There were 19, 28 and 9 cases between the 3rd-4th lumbar vertebrae, between the 4-5th lumbar vertebrae between the 5th lumbar vertebra and the 1st sacral vertebra, respectively. 41 patients were able to perform the SLRT (straight leg raising test) procedure, and were called group I, and 11 patients could not perform the test, and were classed as group II. In order to perform the intraoperative SLRT, a lateral decubitus position was adopted. After the disc removal, the SLRT was carried out. When the test result gave an angle of 70 degrees or greater, the surgery was carried out on a pertinent domain. The success of the surgery was graded by the JOA score.

Results: In group I, after removal of the disc, the first 31 patients were checked over a 4 week period to assess their recoveries. A year after the surgery, their follow up results were better than Good. In 9 patients, there were little improvements from the first SLRT, so they were re-tested after a 2nd discectomy, which resulted in improvements, with better than good results. 1 patient, whose test result was fair after four weeks and one year, was diagnosed with spinal stenosis, so underwent an operation. In group II, the SLRT during surgery was untestable, due to overweight and uncooperative patients. The results in 3 patients were fair, and in another 8 they better than good. Overall, 97.6% of the patients in group I showed a rapid recovery, but in the group II, only 72.2% showed a rapid recovery.

Conclusion: From the short term follow up, the use of a SLRT during surgery is very effective. Further research is required to give more precise results.

Key Words: Lumbar spine, Herniated nucleus pulposus, Arthroscopic microdiscectomy, Straight leg raising test

Address reprint requests to

Jae-Sung Ahn, M.D.

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Chungnam National University

#640 Daesa-dong, Jung-gu, Daejeon 301-721, Korea

Tel: 82-42-220-7353, Fax: 82-42-253-7098, E-mail: jsahn@cnuh.co.kr

* 2001 1

* 2002

가

13 ~ 42), 21 (13
 ~ 41) . 52 3-4 19 ,
 4-5 28 , 5 - 1 9 .
 2

가

30 Midazolam 2 mg
 , Lidocaine
 2 ml
 , 1 (41)
 , 2 (11)
 ,
 52 (Table 1), 1 Good
 6 . 10
 가
 가
 ,
 38 , 14 , 26.4 (

Table 1. Judgement of effect of surgery (score rating system of the Japanese Orthopaedic Association)

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
Radicular pain	-	intermittent +	intermittent +	+
Tension sign	-	-	intermittent +	+
Expressed Satisfaction	Yes	Yes	Not bad	No
Returned to work	Yes	Yes (intermittent limited)	No	No

Table 2. Follow up results of Straight leg raising test (Group I).

Case No.	SLRT	POD 4 weeks	POD 1 year
31 cases	intraop. 1st SLRT : Sx. was relieved	Excellent : 25	Excellent : 20 Good : 5
		Good : 6	Excellent : 1 Good : 5
9 cases	intraop. 1st SLRT : Sx. was not relieved intraop. 2nd SLRT : Sx. was relieved	Excellent : 4	Excellent : 3 Good : 1
		Good : 5	Good : 5
1 case	intraop. 2nd SLRT : Sx. relief was not significant	Fair	Complicated : spinal stenosis & reop. was done

SLRT: Straight leg raising test

1 31

. Smith

14) 10

Good

9

1

Good

1

. Jonsson 3)

97.6%)(Table 2).

2 9

2

1 8 Good

(72.2%)(Table 3).

10

가

5

10

가

가 가

가

Table 3. Follow up results of straight leg raising test (Group II).

Case No.	SLRT	POD 4 weeks	POD 1 year
11 cases	Intraop. SLRT cannot be performed : due to obesity (9 cases), incoorporation (2 cases)	Excellent : 2 Excellent : 7 Fair : 2	Good : 3
		Good : 4 Fair : 1	Good : 3

SLRT



Fig. 1. Positioning of patient during arthroscopic lumbar discectomy.



Fig. 2. This picture shows the technique of intraoperative straight leg raising test.

REFERENCES

- 1) **Casey KF, Chang MK, O'Brein ED, Yuan HA, McCullen GM, Schaffer J and Kambin P:** *Arthroscopic microdiscectomy : comparison of preoperative and post-operative imaging studies. Arthroscopy 13: 438-445, 1997.*
- 2) **Hermantin FU, Peters T, Quartararo L and Kambin P:** *A prospective, randomized study comparing the results of open discectomy with those of video-assisted arthroscopic microdiscectomy. J Bone joint surg 82-A: 958-65, 1999.*
- 3) **Jonsson B and Stromqvist B:** *Significance of a persistent positive straight leg raising test after lumbar disc surgery. J Neurosurg 91:50-53, 1999.*
- 4) **Kambin P:** *Arthroscopic microdiscectomy. Arthroscopy 8: 287-295, 1995.*
- 5) **Kambin P:** *The role of minimal invasive surgery in spinal disorder. In Stauffer RN(ed). Advances in operative orthopaedics 3: 147-171, 1996.*
- 6) **Kambin P:** *Posterolateral percutaneous lumbar interbody fusion. Arthroscopic microdiscectomy. Minimal intervention in spinal surgery: 117-121, 1991.*
- 7) **Kambin P:** *Arthroscopic lumbar interbody fusion. Spine care: 1056-1066, 1995.*
- 8) **Kambin P, Casey K, O'Brien E and Zhou L:** *Transforaminal arthroscopic decompression of lateral recess stenosis. J Neurosurg 84: 462-467, 1996.*
- 9) **Kambin P, Schreiber A, Shepperd J, Leu H and Schaffer J:** *Minimal intervention surgical techniques. Orthop Trans 17: 1132, 1993.*
- 10) **Kambin P and Zhou L:** *Arthroscopic discectomy of the lumbar spine. Clin orthop 337: 49-57, 1997.*
- 11) **Kim EH, Seon CW and Cho DY:** *A clinical outcome of automated percutaneous lumbar discectomy(more than 4 years follow up). J Kor orthop assoc, 33(3): 819-825, 1998.*
- 12) **Chung JY:** *Arthroscopic lumbar discectomy. J Kor spine surg, 7(2):303-306, 2000.*
- 13) **Leu HJ and Schreiber A:** *Percutaneous fusion of the lumbar spine: A promising technique. Spine 6: 593-604, 1992.*
- 14) **Smith SA, Massie JB, Chesnut R and Garfin SR:** *Straight leg raising. Anatomical effects on the spinal nerve root without and with fusion. Spine, 18(8): 992-999, 1993.*



:
:

,

: 52

, 38 , 14 , 21 (13 ~41

) 26.4 (13~42) , 3-4 19 , 4-5 28 ,

5 - 1 9 . 41 1 , 11

2 . 30 Midazolam 2 mg, lidocaine ,

. 2 ml ,

70 가

(JOA score)

: 1 31 4 , 1

Good , ,

9 good ,

1 4 fair , 1 . 2

, 3 fair , 8 good

. 1 97.6% , 2 72.2%

: ,

가 .

: , , ,

:

640

Tel: 82-42-220-7353, Fax: 82-42-253-7098, E-mail: jsahn@cnuh.co.kr