Clinical Characteristics of Contralateral Recurrent Lumbar Disc Herniation at the Same Level

- A Retrospective Controlled Study -

Dae Moo Shim, M.D., Tae Kyun Kim, M.D., Ha Heon Song, M.D., Young Jin Kim, M.D., Sang Soo Kim, M.D., Soo Uk Chae, M.D., An Soo So, M.D., Sae Ron Shin, M.D.*

Department of Orthopaedics Surgery, School of Medicine, Wonkwang University Hospital, Iksan, Korea Department of Preventive Medicine, College of Medicine, Chonbuk National University*, Chonju, Korea

Abstract –

Study Design: A retrospective study of patients with contralateral recurrent lumbar disc herniation at the same level. Objectives: To analyze the risk factors of recurrence, clinical result and reoperative efficiency of contralateral recurrent lumbar disc herniation at the same level after primary discectomy compared with those after discectomy in primary lumbar disc herniation. **Summary of Literature Review**: There have been many studies on recurrent disc herniation, but little investigation of risk factors and clinical result of contralateral recurrent lumbar disc herniation at the same level.

Materials and Methods: Ten cases who can investigate for 2 years among the patients who underwent reoperation for contralateral recurrent lumbar disc herniation at the same level after primary discectomy were selected as study group (group) and thirty cases who underwent discectomy during the same study period were selected as control group (group). Age, gender, etiology and symptom of disc herniation, clinical improvement rate and amount of remove disc were recorded. Overall patient satisfaction, pain severity, functional outcome and work status were evaluated. Risk factors of recurrence were analyzed.

Results: Etiology was no different between both groups but showed the abrupt onset symptom in study group. Recurrence was more common in the case herniated posterolaterally and had severe degeneration change in lumbar disc before primary discectomy. The amount of bulging disc removed were average 1.5 cc in study group and 2.5 cc in control group. Recurrence was more in the cases removed smaller amount of bulging disc and remained the symptom of pain after primary discectomy. Clinical result show the same between both group after 2 years (p>0.05).

Conclusions: Contralateral recurrent disc herniation at the same level mainly has abrupt symptom and more in the cases degenerated discs. Recurrence was more common in the cases removed smaller amount of bulging disc and remained the symptom after primary discectomy.

Key Words: Recurrent lumbar disc herniation, Discectomy, Risk factor of recurrrence

Address reprint requests to

Dae-Moo Shim, M.D.

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Wonkwang University Hospital #344-2 Sinyoung-dong, Iksan-city, Chollabuk-do, 570-711, Korea.

Tel: 82-63-850-1255, Fax: 82-63-852-9329, E-mail: osshim@wonkwang.ac.kr

2002

(Fig. 1). 1

가 T2 (T2 weighted sequence) , 가 Horton Daftari¹⁵⁾ 0 가 (standard interlamina approach) 가 2,4,12,14,17) 5-11% (syringe) 10 가 Gadolinium 3,5,8,18) 1 2 1995 1999 가 Gadolinium (가 (, group). 가 30 (group 8 Fig. 1. T2-weight axial MR image shows left-side extrusion of 19 11 31 (17-62)

the L4-5 disc and the bottom MR image shows rightside extrusion of the recurrent disc at the same level.

. 1

2

Table 1. Symptom & Sign

Group Sx	Group (1st OP)	Group (2nd OP)	Group
Back pain	10(100%)	8(80%)	29(96.7%)
Radiating pain	9(90%)	10(100%)	25(83.3%)
SLRT	7(70%)	5(50%)	16(53.3%)
Claudication	3(30%)	2(20%)	13(43.3%)
Motor weakness	-	1(10%)	4(13.3%)

```
6.8
                                                                                           4-5
                                                                  3-4
                                                                                         5
                   , 1
                                 2
                                                                                                5
                                                                   가 1
                                                                가
                                                                                                           23
                                                               2 ,
                                                                                                           T2
              2
                                                 6, 12,
                                                                           5
                                                                                      . 1
24
                                                                                                         3
                                             가
                                                            2,3
                                                                            8
                                                                                                     3
                                                                                                                 12
                                                                                               가
                                   0-10 scale
                                                              , 3
                                                                           18
       (0-no pain, 10-unbearable pain),
                                                 가
                                                                                     가
                                                                                               (p<0.05).
 (Kim's criteriae)
                                        가
       Roland Morris disability index<sup>21)</sup>
                                                            2.
                 X2
                                                    P
```

student's t-test 0.05 1

1.5 cc(1.0-1.9 cc)2.5 cc(1.8-3.2 cc) (p<0.05).

1.

3. 1 10 , 1 4.9 3 , 2 3.1 5.2 10 2 8, 5 4.4 , 3.1 29 , 6

25 , 16, 2 2 (p<0.05). 8.9 13 (Table 1). 2.2 , 2 1 6,2 6 (p<0.001). 2 1 5.4

(1-9 3.4 6 3.1 , 2.8 (2-25 가 7.3) (p<0.05). 6 (p<0.05). 1 2 4.5 (1-7)(p<0.001)(Table 2).)

Table 2. Pain severity

Group	Group (2nd OP)				Group		
Sx	Pre OP	6mo F/U	2yr F/U	Pre OP	6mo F/U	2yr F/U	
Back pain	5.2	4.4	3.1	5.4	3.1	2.8	
Radicular pain	8.9	2.2	1	7.5	2.4	1.2	

Table 3. Functional state

Group OP date	Group (1st OP)	Group (2nd OP)	Group
Pre OP	17.2	16.7	17.3
6mo F/U	4.1	5.7	4.2
2yrs F/U	3.8	3.0	

가 가 2 6 8 6 23 7,9,10,19) 2 25 O 'sullivan 19) 가 76 11 (21%) 가 disability questionnaire score 1 44% 17.2 , 6 4.1 6,2 34% disability score 16.8 , 5.7 , 3.8 18%~45% 17.3 , 4.2 7,9,10) 5 3.0 (p<0.01)(Table 3). 23% 7.1 가 5.9 , 4.

2.5 , Cinotti ⁶⁾ 1

, 1 2

•

.

 $Williams^{23)} \hspace{1.5cm} , Spengler^{22)}$

, , , ,

, Cinotti ⁶⁾

7.9.13). Brinckmann Grootenboer⁴⁾

가 , 1 가 가 1 g 0.8 mm 1.5 cc 가 0.2 mm 3 g 40%가 1.5 cc 2.5 cc 1.5 cc 2 가 1) 1 가 2.5 cc 2) 가 3) 1 1.5 cc Ahlgren 4) 2 (box incision) (slit incision)

. Ethier 11)

Pappas 20) 6 **Epstein** Cinotti 가 가 2 O 'sullivan 52 23 11 18 가 Cinotti 1

가

REFERENCES

- 1) Ahlgren BD, Vasavada A, Brower RS, Lydon C, Herkowitz HN and Panjabi MM: Anular incision technique on the strength and multidirectional flexibility of the healing in tervertebral disc. Spine 1994;19:948-54.
- 2) **Bernard TN**: Repeat lumbar spine surgery. Factors influencing outcome. Spine 1993;18:2196-200.
- 3) **Boden SD, Davis DO, Dina TS, et al**: Contrast enhanced MR imaging performed after successful lumbar disk surgery: Prospective study. Radiology 1992;182:59-64.
- 4) Brinckmann P and Grootenboer H: Change of disc height, radial disc bulge, and intradiscal pressure from discectomy. An in vitro investigation on human lumbar discs. Spine 1991;16:641-6.
- 5) Cervellini P, Curr D, Bernardi L, Volpin L and Benedetti A: Computed tomography after lumbar disc surgery: A comparison between symptomatic and asymptomatic patients. Acta Neurochir 1988;43:44-7.
- 6) Cinotti G, Roysam GS, Eisenstein SM and Postacchini F: Ipsilateral recurrent lumbar disc herniation. A prospective controlled study. J Bone Joint Surg[Br] 1998;80:
- 7) Connolly ES: Surgery for recurrent lumbar disc hernia -

- tion. Clin Neurosurg 1992;39:211-6.
- 8) Deutsch AL, Howard M, Dawson EG, Goldstein TB, Mink JH, Zeegen EH and Delamarter RB: Lumbar spine following successful surgical discectomy: Magnetic resonance imaging features and implications. Spine 1993;18:1054-60.
- 9) Ebeling U, Kalbarcyk H and Reulen HJ: Microsurgical reoperation following lumbar disc surgery. Timing, surgical findings, and outcome in 92 patients. J Neurosurg 1989;70:397-404.
- 10) Epstein JA, Lavine LS and Epstein BS: Recurrent her niation of the lumbar intervertebral disk. Clin orthop 1967;52:169-78.
- 11) Ethier DB, Cain JC, Yaszemski MJ, Glover JM, Kluznik RP, Pyka RE and Lauerman WC: The influence of anulotomy selection on disc competence. A radiographic, biomechanical, and histologic analysis. Spine 1994;19:2071-6.
- 12) Fandino J, Botana C, Viladrich A and Gomez-Bueno J : Reoperation after lumbar disc surgery: Results in 130 cases. Acta Neurochir 1993;122:102-4.
- 13) Goel VK, Nishiyama K, Weinstein JN and Liu YK: Mechanical properties of lumbar spinal motion segments as affected by partial disc removal. Spine 1986;11:1008-12.
- 14) Greenwood J, McGuire TH and Kimbell F: A study of the causes of failure in the herniated intervertebral disc operation: An analysis of sixty-seven reoperated cases. J neurosurg 1952;9:15-20.
- 15) Horton WC and Daftari: Which discs as visualised by

- magnetic resonance imaging is actually a source of pain? A correlation between magnetic resonance imaging and discography, spine 1992;17(6 Suppl):164-71.
- 16) **Jackson RK**: The long-term effects of wide laminectomy for lumbar disc excision: a review of 130 patients. J Bone Joint Surg(Br) 1971;53-B:609-16.
- 17) **Kim SS and Michelsen CB**: Revision surgery for failed back surgery syndrome. Spine 1992;17:957-60.
- 18) Montaldi S, Fankhauser H, Schnyder P and de Tribolet N: Computed tomography of the postoperative intervertebral disc and lumbar spinal canal: Investigation of twenty-five patients after successful operation for lumbar disc herniation. Neurosurgery 1988;22:1014-22.
- 19) O 'Sullivan MG, Connolly AE and Buckley TF: Recurrent lumbar disc protrusion. Br J Neurosurg 1990;4: 319-25.
- 20) Pappas CT, Harrington T and Sonntag VK: Outcome analysis in 654 surgically treated lumbar disc herniations. Neurosurgery 1992;30:862-866.
- 21) Roland M and Morris R: A study on the natural history of back pain: part I, Development of reliable and sensitive measure of disability in low back pain. spine 1983; 8:141-144.
- 22) Spengler DM: Lumbar discectomy. Results with limited disc excision and selective foraminotomy. Spine 1982;7: 604-607.
- 23) Williams RW: Microlumbar discectomy: A conservative surgical approach to the virgin herniated lumbar disc. Spine 1978;3:175-182.

가 10 (group) 30 (group) 가 가 가 1.5 cc, 2.5 cc 가 (p>0.05). 1 가 1 1.5 cc :

:

344-2

Tel: 82-63-850-1255, Fax: 82-63-852-9329, E-mail: osshim@wonkwang.ac.kr