. *

The Selective Spinal Nerve Root Block as Predictors of Outcome of Operative Treatment in the Lumbar Spine

Dae Moo Shim, M.D., Ha Heon Song, M.D., Tae Kyun Kim, M.D., Dae ho Ha, M.D., Soo Uk Chae, M.D., Hyun Jun Kim, M.D. and Jong Hwan Kim, Ph.D.*

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, School of Medicine, Wonkwang University, Iksan, Korea Department of Physiology. School of Medicine, Kangwon University, Chunchon, Korea*

- Abstract -

Purpose: The selective spinal nerve root block is one of the preoperative diagnostic tool to identify and confirm the lesion site of primary cause of pain. The purpose of this study was to ascertain the correlation between diagnostic selective spinal nerve root blocks and outcome following surgical treatment of selected levels of both lumbar herniated intervertebral disc (HIVD) and spinal stenosis.

Material and Method: In a total 341 selective nerve root blocks in 169 patients who were diagnosed as lumbar HIVD and spinal stenosis from Jan. 1993 to Jun. 1997 with performed in a retrospective study, two groups of patients were chosen for this study. The result of pain change of selective nerve root block were judged by Denis' pain scale, the end-result selective spinal nerve root and operative treatment used by Kim's criteria.

Result: 1. The end-results of selective spinal nerve root block, excellent and good results were 64 cases (67.3%) in lumbar HIVD group and 50 cases (67.5%) in spinal stenosis group. The end-results of selective spine surgery, at last follow up, excellent and good results were 82 cases (86.2%) in lumbar HIVD group and 56 cases (75.7%) in spinal stenosis group.

2. The predictive value of selective spinal nerve root block was 68.4% in lumbar HIVD group and 74.3% in spinal stenosis group. In addition, statistical analysis with regression analysis, to show any significant correlation between the selective spinal nerve root block results and the outcome of operative treatment, especially in spinal stenosis group.

Conclusion: The selective spinal nerve root block is one of the valuable procedure that helpful and predictors of outcome selective operative treatment of lumbar spinal stenosis.

Key Words: Selective spinal nerve root block, Diagnostic tool

Address reprint requests to

Ha Heon Song, M.D.

Department of Orthopaedics Surgery, School of Medicine, Wonkwang University #344-2 Sinyoung-dong, Iksan 570-180, Korea

Tel: 82-63-850-1255, Fax: 82-63-852-9329, E-mail: h2song@wonkwang.ac.kr

* 2001

```
(69.0%)
                                                                                          58.3 (44 ~79 )
                                                             2.
                                                             1)
                                        가
                                                                                                          , Lasegue
                                                                     (bowstring)
                5,10,13,16,19,22,25-27)
                                                                                       Kikuchi <sup>18)</sup>, Tajima <sup>24)</sup>
              2,4,6,7,14,18,21)
                                                                    23
                                                                                              4 \text{ cm}
                                                                                            30
                                                                                                     45
                  169
                                                                      10
                                                                                15
                                                                                                       1
                                                                                       1
                                                                                                       0.5 ml
                                                                         (telebrix)
                                                                   0.5 ml dexamethasone 0.5 ml lidocaine
1.
            1997 6
  1993 1
                                                                          50%
                                       832
               169
                                                             2) 가
                                                                                               2
                             가 64 (67.3%),
                                                  가 31
                              36.9 (18 ~62 )
  (32.7%)
                                                                                         Denis 8)
                                                                                                               (Table
                             가 23 (31.0%),
                                                  가 51
                                                             1)
                                                                                                              50%
```

- 528 -

1. 169 가 341 1 가 42 (44.2%), 3 (38.9%), 2(Kim's Criteriae) (Table 2). (16.9%) 5 16 (60.1%) 가 3) 107 가 13 1 (17.5%), 2(54.0%),3 (28.5%)5 96 (58.8%)가 Minitab 10 (10.5%), P27 + 53 (55.6%) , 66.1% P3가 33 (34.6%), P4 4 (4.3%) 11 (14.8%), P27 \ 41 (55.4%) , 70.2% , P3 39 (52.6%), P4 Table 2. Criteria for clinical results (Kim, 1986) (12.2%)Excellent - Complete relief of pain in back and lower limbs 2 50% - No limitation of physical activity (68.3%), 6466 - Analgesics not used at all (67.3%)55 (74.2%), - able to squat on floor 50 (72.5%) Good - Relief of most of pain in back and lower limbs - able to return to accustomed employment - Physical activities slightly limited 2. - Analgesics used only infrequently - able to squat on the floor Fair - Partial relief of pain in back and lower limbs - able to return to accustomed employment with 2 limitation or return to lighter work - Physical activities definitely limited 95 12 (12.6%), 53 (55.7%), - Mild analgesic medication used frequently (27.4%),4 (4.3%)- Mild limitation to squat on the floor 10 (10.5%),54 (56.8%),27 - Little or no relief of pain in back and lower limbs Poor (28.4%),(4.3%)- Physical activities greatly; limited 74 - unable to return to accustomed employment - Analgesic medication used regularly (13.6%),11 (14.8%), (59.4%), 10

Table 1. Pain scale (by Denis et al 1984)

- P1 No pain
- P2 Occasional minimal pain with no need for medication

- unable to squat on the floor

- P3 Moderate pain with occasional medication but no interruption of work or significant change in activities of daily living
- P4 Moderate to severe pain with frequent medication and occasional absence from work or significant change in activities of daily living

9 (12.2%)

11

P5 Constant or severe incapacitating pain, chronic medication

(14.8%),39 (52.7%), 14 (18.9%), 10 (13.6%) (Table 3). 95 18 Kelman¹⁷⁾ 9 (9.4%), 2 (2.3%) 1944 (18.9%),(69.4%), 20 (21.0%), 116 62 (65.2%), 11 (11.5%), 2 (2.3%) 81% , Macnab²⁰⁾ 74 , Tajima²⁴⁾, KiKuchi ¹⁸⁾ 12 (16.2%), 44 (59.4%), 14 (18.9%), 4 (5.5%)10 , Dooley (13.6%), 46 (62.1%), 12 (16.2%), 6 (Table 4). (8.1%)3. , Offierski Macnab²¹⁾ "hipspine syndrome" . Arnhoff P 0.104 가 P 0.002

P 0.002 , 68.4%, 74.3%

Table 3. End-result of selective nerve root block (Kim's criteria)

	HIVD*	spinal stenosis
Excellent	10 (10.5%)	11 (14.8%)
Good	54 (56.8%)	39 (52.7%)
Fair	27 (28.4%)	14 (18.9%)
Poor	4 (4.3%)	10 (13.6%)
Total	95 (100%)	74 (100%)

HIVD*: Herniated Intervertebral Disc

Table 4. End-result of operative treatment (Kim's criteria)

	HIVD*	spinal stenosis
Excellent	20 (21.0%)	10 (13.6%)
Good	62 (65.2%)	46 (62.1%)
Fair	11 (11.5%)	12 (16.2%)
Poor	2 (2.3%)	6 (8.1%)
Total	95 (100%)	74 (100%)

 $HIVD*: Herniated\ Intervertebral\ Disc$

、62% 、80% 23) ・

> 3,12,19). Haueisen ¹⁴⁾

Herron¹⁵⁾ "Gray Zone" フト

75%

. 1992 Derby 9

3-4

1988

가 1 1 가 95% 1 25% 가 (facet joint 1993 1 1997 6 block) , 1993 Esses , 1 가 가 169 White 1. 2 73% 87% 67.3%, 67.5% 가 , 2 25% 86.2%, 75.7% 2. 68.4%, 가 가 74.3% 가 가 가 가 가 **REFERENCES** , Berman 367 10 5 1) Arnhoff FN, Triplett HB and Pokorney B : Follow - up 23 1 study of patient treated with nerve blocks for low-back pain. Anesth Analg, 46:170-178, 1977. 2) Berman AT, Garbarino JL and Fisher SM: The effect of epidural injection of local anethesia and corticosteroid of patient with lumbosacral pain. Clin Orthop, 188:144-151, 가 . Howe 1984. 가 16) 3) Brena SF: Nerve block and chronic pain states-an update.

Postgrad Med, 78:62, 1985.

4) Brown FW: Management of diskogenic pain using epidur -

가

. Sanderson

Wood 22)

- al and intrathecal steriods. Clin orthop, 129:72-78, 1977.
- Cappio M: Il Tratamento Indrocortisonico per via Epidu rale Saerale Delle Lombosciatalgie. Reumatismo. 9:60, 1957.
- 6) **Crock H**: Normal and pathological anatomy of the lumbar spinal nerve root cannals. J Bone Joint Surg, 63B:487-490, 1981.
- 7) **Delanny TJ, Rowlinson JC, Carron H and Butler A**: Epidural steroid effects on nerve and meninges. Anesth Analg, 58:610-614, 1980.
- 8) Denis F, Armstrong GED, Serls K and Matta L: Acute thoracolumbar burst fractures in the absence of neurologic deficit: A comparison between operative and nonoperative treatment. Clin Orthop, 189:142-149, 1984.
- 9) **Derby R, Kine G and Saal JA**: Response to Steroid and Duration of Radicular Pain as Predictors of Surgical Out-come. Spine, 17:176-183, 1992.
- 10) **Dooley J, McBroom R and Tauguchi T**: Nerve infiltration in the diagnosis of radicular pain. Spine, 13:79-83, 1988.
- 11) Esses SL and Moro JK: The value facet joint blocks in patient selection for lumbar fusion. Spine, 18:185-190, 1993.
- 12) Goebert HW, Jallo SJ Gardner WJ, Wasmuth CE and Bitte EM: Sciatica Treatment with epidural injection procaine and hydrocortisone. Studies Cleve Clin, 27:191-197, 1960.
- 13) **Greene LN**: Dexathamethasone in the management of symptoms due to herniated lumbar disc, J Neurol Neuro surg Psychiatri, 38:1211, 1971.
- 14) Haueisen D, Smith B and Myers S: The diagnostic accuracy of spinal injection studies: Their role in the evaluation of recurrent sciatica. Clin Orthop, 198:179-182, 1985.
- 15) Herron LD: Selective Nerve Root Block in Patient Selec -

- tion for Lumbar surgery surgical result, J Spinal Disorder, 2-2:75-79, 1989.
- 16) **Howe J and Frymoyer JW**: The effect of questionnaire design on the determination of end results in lumbar spinal surgery. Spine, 10:804-805, 1985.
- 17) **Kelmanorisu H**: Epidural injection therapy of sciatic pain, Am J Surg, 64:183, 1944.
- 18) Kikuchi S, Hasue M and NIshyama K: Anatomic and clinical studies of radicular symptom. Spine, 9:23-30, 1984.
- 19) Lindahl D and Rexed B: Histologic changes in spinal nerve roots of operated cases of sciatica. Acta Orthop Scand, 20:215, 1951.
- 20) Macnab I: Negative disc exploration. J bone Joint surg, 53-A:891-902, 1971.
- 21) Offierski CM and Macnab I: Hip-spine syndrome. Spine, 8:316-321, 1983.
- 22) Sanderson PL and Wood PL: Surgery for lumbar spinal stenosis in old people. J Bone Joint Surg, 75-B:393-397, 1993.
- 23) Shim DM, Kim SS, Han HJ, Lee BC and Sin JH: Selective spinal nerve root block on lumbar disease. J Kor Spine Surg, 1:293-299, 1994.
- 24) Tajima T, Furukawa K and Kuramochi E: Selective lumbosacral radiography and block. Spine, 5:68-77, 1980.
- 25) Van AP: Pain patterns and diagnostic blocks. The Lumbar Spine. Philadelphia, WB Saunders Co:107-132, 1990.
- 26) Warfield C and Creews D: Epidural steroid injection as a predictor of surgical outcome. Surg Gynecol Obstet, 164:457-458, 1987.
- 27) White AH, Derby R and Wynne G: Epidural injection for the diagnosis and treatment of low-back pain. Spine, 5 78-86, 1980.

: : 1993 1 1997 6 169 341 Denis 가 : 1. 67.3%, 67.5% 86.2%, 75.7% 2. 68.4%, 74.3% 가

344-2

 $\label{eq:conditional} \mbox{Tel} \ : \ 82-63-850-1255, \quad \mbox{Fax} \ : \ 82-63-852-9329, \quad \mbox{E-mail} \ : \ \mbox{h} 2\mbox{song} @\mbox{wonkwang.ac.kr}$