



가  
103  
가  
6 mm  
: 103 48  
14 48 34 , 가 2 가  
25 가 55 30  
94%, 81%, 76% 84%, 71%,  
96%  
가  
가  
가 1998 1 2002 12 5  
가 103  
가  
가 1  
가 6 19  
(1), 38 10 1 , 20 76 , 30 26  
(1-5) 20 가 가 , (13  
) 35 , (14-27 ) 47 , (28 )  
90% 21 가 . 103 77 (75%)  
(6, 7) 26 (25%)  
가 Model 128XP/10(Acuson, Mountain  
View, Calif, U.S.A.) HDI 5000(ATL, Bothell, Wash,  
U.S.A.) , 2-5 MHz  
가  
, 5-12 MHz



(10 - 12)

1

, 2

가

. Baer (20)

가

5

(iliac crest)

가 (10 - 13)

가

(14),

2 - 3

가

(12, 14).

. Lim (6)

1

2

24

, Baldisserotto (21)

가

300 cc

가

가

(6, 15)

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

(16,

가

17).

가

1

가

가

가

가

(7, 18, 19)

가

90%

가

가

(1 - 5)

(6, 7)

4

1

(6)가

가

20

가

가

76%

25

94%

81%

(6, 7)

가

9

가

가

(epidermoid cyst)

1

( $p>0.05$ ).

가

가

(10 - 12)

2

가

30

1. Pylaert JB. Acute appendicitis: US evaluation using graded compression. *Radiology* 1986;158:355-360

2. Jeffrey RB, Laing FC, Lewis FR. Acute appendicitis: high-resolu-

가

- tion realtime US findings. *Radiology* 1987;163:11-14
3. Abu-Yousef MM, Bleichen JJ, Maher JW, Urdaneta LF, Franken EA, Metcalf AM. High-resolution sonography of acute appendicitis. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1987;149:53-58
  4. Worrell JA, Drolshagen LF, Kelly TC, Hunton DW, Durmon GR, Fleischer AC. Graded compression ultrasound in the diagnosis of appendicitis. A comparison of diagnostic criteria. *J Ultrasound Med* 1990;9:145-150
  5. , , , , , . 1993;29:249-254
  6. Lim HK, Bae SH, Seo GS. Diagnosis of acute appendicitis in pregnant women: value of sonography. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1992;159:539-542
  7. Barloon TJ, Brown BP, Abu-Yousef MM, Warnock N, Berbaum KS. Sonography of acute appendicitis in pregnancy. *Abdom Imaging* 1995;20:149-151
  8. Rettenbacher T, Hollerweger A, Macheiner P, et al. Outer diameter of the vermiform appendix as a sign of acute appendicitis: evaluation at US. *Radiology* 2001;218:757-762
  9. Sivit JS, Applegate KE, Stallion A, et al. Imaging evaluation of suspected appendicitis in a pediatric population: effectiveness of sonography versus CT. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2000;175: 977-980
  10. Horowitz MD, Gomez GA, Santiesteban R, Burkett G. Acute appendicitis during pregnancy. Diagnosis and management. *Arch Surg* 1985;120:1362-1367
  11. Tamir IL, Bongard FS, Klein SR. Acute appendicitis in the pregnant patient. *Am J Surg* 1990;160:571-575
  12. Al-Mulhim AA. Acute appendicitis in pregnancy. A review of 52 cases. *Int Surg* 1996;81:295-297
  13. Mourad J, Elliott JP, Erickson L, Lisboa L. Appendicitis in pregnancy: new information that contradicts long-held clinical beliefs. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2000;182:1027-1029
  14. Tracey M, Fletcher HS. Appendicitis in pregnancy. *Am Surg* 2000;66(6):555-559
  15. Borushok KF, Jeffery RB Jr, Laing FC, Townsend PR. Sonographic diagnosis of perforation in patient with acute appendicitis. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1990;154:275-278
  16. Jeffrey RB, Jain KA, Nghiem HV. Sonographic diagnosis of acute appendicitis: interpretive pitfalls. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1994;162:55-59
  17. , , . 2000;19:257-263
  18. Nghiem HV, Jeffrey RB Jr. Acute appendicitis confined to the appendiceal tip: evaluation with graded compression sonography. *J Ultrasound Med* 1992;11:205-207
  19. Lim HK, Lee WJ, Lee SJ, Namgung S, Lim JH. Focal appendicitis confined to the tip: diagnosis at US. *Radiology* 1996;200:799-801
  20. Baer JL, Reis RA, Arens RA. Appendicitis in pregnancy with changes in position and axis of normal appendix in pregnancy. *JAMA* 1932;98:1359-1364
  21. Baldisserotto M, Marchiori E. Accuracy of noncompressive sonography of children with appendicitis according to the potential positions of the appendix. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2000;175:1387-1392

## Sonography of Acute Appendicitis in Pregnant Women: Diagnostic Accuracy by the Stage of Gestation<sup>1</sup>

Sam Soo Kim, M.D., Sang Wook Lee, M.D., Myung Ho Rho, M.D.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Radiology, Masan Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine

**Purpose:** To evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of a diagnosis of acute appendicitis in pregnant women according to the trimester.

**Materials and Methods:** A retrospective review was performed on 103 pregnant women who underwent sonography with clinically suspected acute appendicitis. The sonographic technique used involved either the graded compression or a non-compression method. All the sonograms were obtained after changing the patient's position and identifying the diseased appendix. The criterion for a sonographic diagnosis of acute appendicitis was the visualization of a non-compressible appendix with a maximal diameter  $\geq 6$  mm. The sonographic findings were correlated with the surgical findings and clinical follow-up.

**Results:** Acute appendicitis was confirmed by both the surgical and pathological findings in 48 out of 103 pregnant women. Ultrasound established the diagnosis in 34 of the 48 patients with proven appendicitis. There were false-positives in 2 patients and false-negatives in 14 patients. Among the 55 patients who had a normal appendix, 30 patients improved at the clinical follow-up and 25 patients had other intra-abdominal disorders. The diagnostic accuracy of the ultrasound was 94% in the first trimester, 81% in the second trimester, and 76% in the third trimester. The overall accuracy was found to be 84%, with a 71% sensitivity and a 96% specificity.

**Conclusion:** No significant difference was found in the diagnostic accuracy of the ultrasound according to the trimester in which the acute appendicitis occurred. Therefore, regardless of the stage of gestation, sonography is a valuable procedure for diagnosing acute appendicitis.

**Index words :** Appendix, US  
Pregnancy, US

Address reprint requests to : Sang Wook Lee, M.D., Department of Radiology, Masan Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, 50, Hapsung 2-Dong, Masan, Gyeongsangnam-Do 630-522, South Korea.  
Tel. 82-55-290-6092 Fax. 82-55-290-6087 E-mail: lm1981@naver.com