



:
, ,
:
46
14 (mixed group,) ,
32 (positive group,) ,
23 (negative group,) .
Kaye Simplified Scoring Method
(1), (2),
(3: 1, 2) (4: 3, 4, 5)
) 4 가 , (5)
(6) 가 6
6
, , C-
.
46
(78) 가 0
가 6 가
(p value, 0.022).
C- (p value, 0.02),
1, 4, 5, 6 1, 2, 3, 5, 6
78 가 0 9
11.5%
:
, , C- .

Short (1957) (rheumatoid ,
arthritis, RA) 19 - 96% .
(1). RA ,
가 ,
(2).
RA

Wilcoxon signed ranks test (p value, 0.133).

, P	N	Mann -	P	1 - 3	(1.48
Whitney test,		Chi -),	0.5 - 2.3 cm	(1.13 cm),
Square test,		Mann - Whitney test	2.3 cm	(1.06 cm)	.
.	P		1.78),	0.5 - 2.0 cm	(
	Spearman's correlation		0.5 - 2.0 cm	(1.20 cm)	.
	SPSS 7.5		M			
						6
						(p value,
			0.022),	5		(marginal
M, P, N			significance)		(Table 2).	



Fig. 2. Unilateral epitrochlear lymphadenopathy in mixed group.

A. Radiograph of hand and wrist shows bony erosion and joint space narrowing at bilateral wrists, especially radiocarpal and inferior radioulnar compartment.

B. Elbow AP view of the same patient as in A, shows two ovoid densities in epitrochlear area only in the right side (arrowheads). Also note mild narrowing of joint space and bony erosion in the right elbow (arrow).

C. The epitrochlear soft tissue densities on radiograph correlated with two superficial lymph nodes with fatty hilum on ultrasonography.

D. T2-weighted coronal MR image shows the lymph node with fatty hilum which is isointense to subcutaneous fat (arrow).

P N
 3
 1, 4, 5, 6
 P
 4
 N
 P
 (Table 3).
 , RF, ESR, CRP
 P
 , CRP
 (Table 4).
 , ESR CRP
 (p value, 0.210,
 0.098), RF N 23 11 (48%), P 32 24
 (76%)
 (p value, 0.056).
 P
 , 3
 , ESR

Table 2. Joint Scores in Mixed Group

Joints	Lymphadenopathy		p value*
	Positive (n = 14)	Negative (n = 14)	
Elbow	1.43 ± 1.60 [†]	1.00 ± 1.47	0.288
Wrist	2.00 ± 1.52	2.14 ± 1.51	0.670
1st and 2nd MCP/PIP	3.29 ± 3.38	2.29 ± 3.12	0.205
3rd, 4th, and 5th MCP/PIP	3.07 ± 3.12	2.14 ± 2.45	0.072
Total joints	9.79 ± 7.85	7.57 ± 6.38	0.055
Sum of elbow and ulnar MCP and PIP	4.50 ± 3.90	3.14 ± 2.96	0.022

* p value by Wilcoxon Signed Ranks test

[†] mean ± standard deviation

MCP = metacarpophalangeal joint,

PIP = proximal interphalangeal joint

가 (p value, 0.013;
 가 3
 , 0.48),

P M RA 46
 78
 9 (11.5%)

RA
 가
 (4, 5).
 가
 (6), Lewin
 RA
 (7), Prior
 26
 RA
 (8).

Table 4. The Difference of Clinical Factors between Positive and Negative Group

Clinical factors	Positive group (n = 32)	Negative group (n = 23)	p value*
Duration (years)	8.36 ± 6.43 [†]	9.64 ± 10.42	0.79
Rheumatoid factor (IU/ml)	181.85 ± 159.62	167.40 ± 204.90	0.37
ESR (mm/hr)	45.59 ± 16.93	36.50 ± 17.77	0.08
CRP (ng/dl)	3.09 ± 3.21	1.82 ± 2.49	0.02

* p value by Mann-Whitney test

[†] Mean ± standard deviation

ESR = erythrocyte sedimentation rate, CRP = C-reactive protein

Table 3. The Comparison of Joint Scores between Positive and Negative Group

Joints	Left arm			Right arm		
	Positive group (n = 32)	Negative group (n = 23)	p value*	Positive group (n = 32)	Negative group (n = 23)	p value
Joint 1 (elbow)	1.77 ± 1.67 [†]	0.61 ± 1.41	0.008	1.56 ± 1.70	0.65 ± 1.34	0.036
Joint 2 (wrist)	2.81 ± 1.23	1.74 ± 1.81	0.041	2.75 ± 1.32	1.74 ± 1.81	0.055
Joint 3 (radial* MCP/PIP)	2.94 ± 4.30	1.13 ± 2.87	0.034	2.31 ± 4.11	1.95 ± 3.02	0.852
Joint 4 (Ulnar [§] MCP/PIP)	4.56 ± 7.31	1.74 ± 4.52	0.082	4.91 ± 7.38	1.52 ± 4.32	0.013
Joint 5 (total joints)	12.23 ± 13.26	5.22 ± 9.17	0.005	11.53 ± 12.94	6.05 ± 9.01	0.037
Joint 6 (EIU)	6.35 ± 8.47	2.35 ± 5.71	0.005	6.47 ± 8.46	2.17 ± 5.15	0.013

MCP = metacarpophalangeal joint, PIP = proximal interphalangeal joint,

EIU = elbow and ulnar MCP/PIP

* p value by Mann-Whitney test

[†] mean ± standard deviation

* 1st and 2nd fingers

[§] 3rd, 4th, and 5th fingers

가
가
RA
(reactive follicular hyperplasia)
(9).
가
3, 4, 5
(10),
4
5)
(6)
6
M
6
P N
6
5 P P M
P
3 4
가
5)
RA
가 Health
Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ)
(11). (functional
capacity) (disease activity),
(12),
ESR CRP (13). CRP ESR
(acute phase protein) CRP
(19)
, ESR
(,) 가
(14). CRP가 RA
RA
CRP 가
가 CRP
(stabilization)
(15).
CRP RA
CRP

가
RA
RA 가 가
가
ESR, 가
(2).
CRP
, ESR
(; 0.48),
, RA
,
(
)
가
, N P
, N
P
C
1. Short CL, Bauer W, Reynolds WE. *Rheumatoid arthritis*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1957:311
2. Rebertson MDJ, Hart FD, White WF, Nuki G, Boardman PL. Rheumatoid lymphadenopathy. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1968;27:253-260
3. Kaye JJ, Nance EP Jr, Callahan LF, et al. Observer variation in quantitative assessment of rheumatoid arthritis: part II a simplified scoring system. *Invest Radiol* 1987;22:41-46
4. Lee AJ. An association between the rheumatic diseases and the reticuloses. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1968;27:253
5. Isomaki H, Hakulinen T, Soutsenlahti U. Excess risk of lymphomas, leukemias and myeloma in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Journal of Chronic Disease* 1978;31:691-696
6. Porter D, Madhok R, Capell H. Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma in rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1991;50:275-276
7. Lewis RB, Castor CW, Knisley RE, Bole GG. Frequency of neoplasia in systemic lupus erythematosus and rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1976;19:1256-1260
8. Prior P, Symmons DPM, Scott DL, Brown R, Hawkins CS. Cause of death in rheumatoid arthritis. *Br J Rheumatol* 1984;23:92-99
9. Kojima M, Nakamura S, Shimizu K, et al. Florid reactive follicular hyperplasia in elderly patients: A clinicopathologic study of 23 cases. *Pathol Res Pract* 1998;194:391-398
10. Davies DV. *Lymphatic vessels and nodes of the upper limb*. In Carmine D. *Clemente. Gray's anatomy*. Philadelphia: Lea and Febiger, 1985: 887-888
11. Fries JF, Spitz P, Kraines RG, Holman HR. Measurement of patient

:

- outcome in arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1980;23:137-145
12. van Leeuwen MA, van der Heijde DM, van Rijswijk MH, et al. Interrelationship of outcome measures and process variables in early rheumatoid arthritis: a comparison of radiologic damage, physical disability, joint counts, and acute phase reactants. *J Rheumatol* 1994;21:425-429
13. Devlin J, Gough A, Huissoon A, et al. The acute phase and function in early rheumatoid arthritis. C-reactive protein levels correlate with functional outcome. *J Rheumatol* 1997;24:9-13
14. Vigushin DM, Pepys MB, Hawkins PN. Metabolic and scintigraphic studies of radioiodinated human C-reactive protein in health and disease. *J Clin Invest* 1993;91:1351-1357
15. van der Heijde DM, van Riel PL, van Leeuwen MA, van 't Hof MA, van Rijswijk MH, van de Putte LB. Prognostic factors for radiographic damage and physical disability in early rheumatoid arthritis. A prospective follow-up study of 147 patients. *Br J Rheumatol* 1992;31:519-525

The Clinical Significance of Epitrochlear Lymphadenopathy on Elbow Radiographs in Rheumatoid Arthritis¹

Bae Ju Kwon, M.D., Kyung Bin Joo, M.D., Hak-Soo Lee, M.D., Ho Taek Song, M.D.,
Dong Woo Park, M.D., Seung Ro Lee, M.D., Chang-Kok Hahm, M.D.

¹Department of Diagnostic Radiology, Hanyang University

Purpose: To evaluate the radiographic findings of epitrochlear lymphadenopathy with regard to the distribution and severity of the disease and clinical parameters in patients with rheumatoid arthritis.

Materials and Methods: Forty six patients with rheumatoid arthritis in whom epitrochlear oval-shaped densities were seen on radiographs were involved in this study. There were 14 cases of unilateral epitrochlear lymphadenopathy in which bilateral arthritic evidence was revealed by radiographs (mixed group), and 32 of bilateral lymphadenopathy in which there was arthritic evidence (positive group). Twenty-three patients in whom lymphadenopathy was not seen on radiographs of the elbow and who were diagnosed as suffering from rheumatoid arthritis functioned as controls (negative group). For scoring the degree of arthritis using the simplified scoring method proposed by Kaye et al., joints were divided into six groups, as follows: Joint 1, elbow; Joint 2, wrist; Joint 3, radial (1st and 2nd) PIP and MCP; Joint 4, ulnar (3rd, 4th, 5th) PIP and MCP; Joint 5, Joints 1+2+3+4; Joint 6, Joints 1+4. For each joint, scores were compared with those on the contralateral side in the mixed group. Differences in clinical parameters (disease duration, rheumatoid factor, ESR, and CRP), and scores for each joint in each arm were statistically compared between the positive and negative group. The number, mean diameter, and maximal diameter of epitrochlear lymph nodes were calculated and correlated with clinical parameters and scores for each joint. To evaluate the incidence of epitrochlear lymphadenopathy without radiographic evidence of arthritis in 46 patients (78 arms) with lymphadenopathy, the frequency of cases in which the score for the joint was zero was assessed.

Results: In the mixed group, the mean score for Joint 6 of the arm with epitrochlear lymphadenopathy was significantly higher than that for the contralateral side in the mixed group ($p = 0.022$). Only CRP was significantly higher in the positive group than in the negative ($p = 0.02$). At joints 1, 2, 3, 5 and 6 of the left arm, and at joints 1, 4, 5 and 6 of the right arm, the mean score for each joint was significantly higher in the positive group than in the negative. Among 78 arms in which radiographs revealed epitrochlear lymphadenopathy, the score was zero for all joints in nine cases (11.6%).

Conclusion: In patients with rheumatoid arthritis, evidence of epitrochlear lymphadenopathy, as seen on radiographs of the elbow, appears to be related to the distribution and severity of the disease and to CRP levels.

Index words : Arthritis, rheumatoid
Lymphatic system, diseases

Address reprint requests to : Kyung Bin Joo, M.D., Department of Diagnostic Radiology, Hanyang University Hospital
17, Haengdang Dong, Sungdong Ku, Seoul, Korea.
Tel. 82-2-2290-9182 Fax. 82-2-2293-2111 E-mail: kbj@medikorea.net

1945 10

가

가

1. :

2. : 가

1) - 100,000

2) - 50,000

3. 1)

2) (12)

3)

4)

5)

4. \vdash ,

5. : 1) (117page)

2)

6. :