

가
가

가
.
.
.
가 , 가 , 가
가 , 가
1 가
가
가
1 가

Table 1. Publication Rate of Abstracts Presented at the Scientific Meetings of the Korean Radiological Society

	Publication Rate
Presentation year	
1992	106/422(25)
1993	130/498(26)
1994	137/480(29)
1995	144/430(34)
1996	97/627(16)
Presentation Type	
Scientific Session	530/1961(27)
Scientific Exhibition	84/496(17)
Presentation Season	
Spring	161/575(28)
Autumn	453/1882(24)
Total	614/2457(25)

Data are publication articles/presentation abstracts.
Numbers in parentheses are percentages.

1992 1996 5
2457 1992 1998 6
(28-38 , 2851)
614 (25%) . 1992 25%
1995 34% 가 , 1996 16%
(Table 1).
28% 24%
(p < 0.05).
(27%) (17%)
(p < 0.05).
13.3
0-56) , 15.3
12.6
(p < 0.05). (Table 2)
8 4.8
(p < 0.05). 4.7
8 1.1 가 , 4.9 5.7
8 가 . 가
16% , 가 43%, 7%,
가 가 30% . 1
가 16% (Table 3).
, 1 가
1992 68.9%, 1993 76.9%, 1994 70.8%, 1995

Table 2. Interval from Presentation to Publication

Time(months)	
Presentation Type	
Scientific Session	13.2
Scientific Exhibition	13.7
Presentation Season	
Spring	15.3
Autumn	12.6
Average Time	13.3

Table 3. Change in Authors of Articles Compared with Abstracts

	No. of Article(%)
Unchanged	98(16)
Deletion	40(7)
Addition	265(43)
Addition & Deletion	184(30)
Others	27(4)
Total	614(100)

74.6%, 1996 78.4% 가
 , 1 가 가
 2.8 (Table 4). 1 가 452
 가 가 368 (81%) ,
 1 가 162 가 가
 105 (65%) , 가 1
 가 가 1 가
 (p < 0.05).
 , 가
 (53%)가 가 (47%) ,
 87%가 47%가
 , 48%가
 71% 가 (Table 5).
 49% 54%가
 60%가
 1945 가
 53 가
 가 , 1964 가
 1 가, 1972 2 , 1985
 6 가 1994
 1992 169 1997 693
 , 1992
 1996 5
 25%
 1996
 16%
 1998 6 , 96
 2

Table 4. Academic Position of First Author of Articles Published in the Journal of the Korean Radiological Society

Academic Position	No. of Articles(%)		
	Scientific Session	Scientific Exhibition	Total
Staff	138(22)	24(4)	162(26)
Resident	392(64)	60(10)	452(74)

Table 5. Comparison between Abstracts and Articles. (n= 614)

Contents	No. of unchanged content(%)	No. of insignificant change(%)	No. of significant change(%)	Total
Title	286(47)	242(39)	86(14)	614(100)
Purpose	532(87)	43(7)	39(6)	614(100)
Methods & materials	279(45)	289(47)	46(8)	614(100)
Results	297(48)	260(42)	57(9)	614(100)
Conclusion	436(71)	127(21)	51(8)	614(100)

가 1 가 1 가 1

가 가 가

가 가

가 가 2000

가 가 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 1

가 가

가 1960 2

가 468 1984 16 2861 가

가 가 0.92 0.83

가 (7). 가 가 4.36 (1985) 가 (10-11). Slone (12)

가 1986 5 AJR 가

가 64% 15

가 82% 70%가 AJR

가 (8).

가 가 5

가 17% 가 3 9%

가 6 30% 가 2/3가

(undeserved authorship) (29%) 1 (40%) , 12%

(12). 가 (incentives)

가 가 가 1

(abuses of authorships) (13-14).

가 (12). (gift authorship)

가

Weintraub 1987

27%가 , 30% (9).

가 가 16% , 1

가 16%

가 42%

가 (9). 74%

가 가

가 가 (ghost author) , (review article)

가 (research article)

(18).

가

가

가

1. Corry AM. A survey of the publication history of randomly selected IADR/AADR abstracts presented in 1983 and 1984. *J Dent Res* 1990;69:1453-1455
2. 1994;30:969-974
3. Goldman L, Loscalzo A. Fate of cardiology research originally published in abstract form. *N Eng J Med* 1980;303:255-259
4. Yentis SM, Campbell FA, Lerman J. Publication of abstracts presented at anaesthesia meetings. *Can J Anaesth* 1993;40:632-634
5. McCormick MC, Holmes JH. Publication of research presented at the pediatric meetings. *AJDC* 1985;139:122-126
6. Juzych MS, Shin DH, Coffey JB, Parrow KA, Tsai CS, Briggs KS. Pattern of publication of ophthalmic abstracts in peer-reviewed journals. *Ophthalmology* 1991;98:553-556
7. Weber EJ, Callaham ML, Wears RL, Barton C, Young G. Unpublished research from a medical specialty meeting: why investigators fail to publish. *JAMA* 1998;280:257-259
8. Chew FS. Fate of manuscripts rejected for publication in the AJR. *AJR* 1991 ;156:627-632
9. Weintraub WH. Are published manuscripts representative of the surgical meeting abstracts? An objective appraisal. *J Pediatr Surg* 1987;22:11-13
10. Chew FS. The scientific literature in diagnostic radiology for american readers: a survey and analysis of journals, papers, and authors. *AJR* 1986;147:1055-1061
11. Janower ML, Sunshine JH. Too many radiologists? Update. *Radiology* 1996;200:545-549
12. Slone R. Coauthors Contributions to major papers published in the AJR: frequency of undeserved coauthorship. *AJR* 1996;167:571-579
13. Woolf PK. Pressure to publish and fraud in science. *Ann Intern Med* 1986 ;104:254-256
14. Erlin JA, Siminoff LA, Sereika SM, Sutton LB. Multiple authorship: issues and recommendations. *J Prof Nurs* 1997;13:262-270
15. Bhopal R, Rankin J, McColl E, et al. The vexed question of authorship: views of researchers in a British medical faculty. *BMJ* 1997; 314:1009-1012
16. Berk RN. Irresponsible coauthorship. *AJR* 1989;152:719-720
17. Hamblet JL. Ethical issues in publication. *Semin Perioper Nurs* 1996;5:102-107
18. Flanagan A, Carey LA, Fontanarosa PB, et al. Prevalence of articles with honorary authors and ghost authors in peer-reviewed medical journals. *JAMA* 1998;280:222-224

Fate of Abstracts Presented at the Scientific Meetings of the Korean Radiological Society¹

Eun Hee Yun, M.D., Kyung Ah Chun, M.D.,
Young Joo Kim, M.D., Seog Hee Park, M.D.

¹Department of Radiology, Uijongbu St. Mary's Hospital, The Catholic University of Korea.

Purpose: To determine the publication rate of abstracts presented at the scientific meetings of the Korean Radiological Society(KRS) and to compare the contents of publication articles and presentation abstracts.

Materials and Methods : Between 1992 and 1996 it was determined whether or not 2457 abstracts presented at meetings of the KRS were published in the Journal of the Society. Publications that matched presentations were identified, and the proportion of abstracts published was calculated. Differences in publication rates between spring and autumn meetings, and between scientific sessions(SS) and scientific exhibitions(SE) were examined. The elapsed time between presentation and publication was recorded. The contents (authors, title, purpose, materials and methods, results, and conclusion) of abstracts presented at scientific meetings of the KRS were compared with those of articles published in the Journal of the KRS.

Results : We found that the mean proportion of abstracts published as articles was 25 %. There was a significantly different publication rate between spring and autumn meetings, and between SS and SE. The mean time lapse between presentation and publication was 13.3 months. The mean number of authors was 4.8 in the case of abstracts and 5.8 in the case of articles. Of the 614 articles, 98 (16 %) showed no evidence of a change of first author. Articles were more likely to mention an additional co-author(81 % versus 66 % , $p < 0.05$) when the first author was a resident than when he or she was a staff member. The purpose and conclusion of the studies remained the same in a high percentage of cases (87 % for purpose and 71 % for conclusion).

Conclusion : The fact that only 25 % of the abstracts presented at scientific meetings of the KRS have been published is one that should be of concern to the investigators involved. It is important that studies undertaken should proceed to the publication stage. though it is recognized that the discrepancy in this respect is probably due to factors which are not academic.

Index words : Presentations, Korean Radiological Society
Publications, J Korean Radiol Soc

Address reprint requests to : Kyung Ah Chun, M.D., Department of Radiology, The Catholic University of Korea.
Uijongbu St. Mary 's Hospital, Kumoh-Dong 65-1, Uijongbu City, Kyunggi-Do 480-130, Korea.
Tel. 82-0351-820-3138 Fax. 82-0351-846-3080 Email. ka1000@cmc.cuk.ac.kr