

# Early Decompression in Acute Spinal Cord Injury : Review and Update

Byung-Jou Lee,<sup>1</sup> Je Hoon Jeong<sup>2</sup>

*Department of Neurosurgery and Neuroscience & Radiosurgery Hybrid Research Center,<sup>1</sup> Inje University Ilsan Paik Hospital, College of Medicine, Inje University, Goyang, Korea*

*Department of Neurosurgery,<sup>2</sup> Soonchunhyang University Bucheon Hospital, Bucheon, Korea*

Spinal cord injury (SCI) has a significant negative effect on the quality of life due to permanent neurologic damage and economic burden by continuous treatment and rehabilitation. However, determining the correct approach to ensure optimal clinical outcomes can be challenging and remains highly controversial. In particular, with the introduction of the concept of early decompression in brain pathology, the discussion of the timing of decompression in SCI has emerged. In addition to that, the concept of “time is spine” has been added recently, and the mortality and complications caused by SCI have been reduced by providing timely and professional treatment to patients. However, there are many difficulties in establishing international clinical guidelines for the timing of early decompression in SCI because policies for each country and medical institution differ according to the circumstances of medical infrastructure and economic conditions in the surgical treatment of SCI. Therefore, we aim to provide a current review of timing of early decompression in patient with SCI.

**Key Words :** Spinal cord injuries · Surgical decompression, early · Timing.

## INTRODUCTION

Spinal cord injury (SCI) is a serious clinical problem that compromises the quality of life for affected individuals<sup>10</sup>. Individuals paralysis and symptoms cause by SCI are known as one of the condition that causes devastating physical and mental disability. From a social and financial point of view, the lifetime cost of care per person with disability from SCI range from 1.1 to 4.7 million USD in North America alone<sup>50</sup>. Similarly in South Korea, the high cost and long-term hospitalization for treatment imposes a great economic burden on

individuals and their families, which in turn places a significant financial burden on the national health care system<sup>25</sup>. Therefore, it is necessary to explore effective therapeutic strategies to prevent secondary damage as much as possible and to improve long-term functional outcome in patients with SCI. The treatment of patients with SCI has made tremendous progress over the past few decades based on knowledge of the injury mechanism, pathophysiology of SCI, spinal cord regeneration, and the role of surgery<sup>7,9,30,49</sup>. After the concept of early decompression was established in traumatic brain injury<sup>33,34</sup>, early decompression has been discussed and applied in

• Received : May 11, 2022 • Revised : June 25, 2022 • Accepted : July 1, 2022

• Address for correspondence : **Je Hoon Jeong**

Department of Neurosurgery, Soonchunhyang University Bucheon Hospital, 170 Jomaru-ro, Bucheon 14584, Korea

Tel : +82-32-621-6709, Fax : +82-32-621-5016, E-mail : [neuri71@gmail.com](mailto:neuri71@gmail.com), ORCID : <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4656-0113>

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

SCI. However, optimal timing of surgical decompression is still controversial in determining the clear therapeutic effect for SCI. In this article, we provide an updated review of acute SCI with a focus on optimal timing for surgical decompression.

## EPIDEMIOLOGY OF SCI

The natural history of SCI recovery is pessimistic, but the degree of neurological recovery peaks at 3–6 months<sup>1,3</sup>. Incomplete quadriplegia (45%) is most frequent degree of injury by SCI, followed by incomplete paraplegia (22%), complete paraplegia (20%), and complete quadriplegia (13%)<sup>15,50</sup>. After treatment, about 10% of patients with complete SCI regain sensory without motor recovery, and only 10% regain sensory with some degree of motor recovery<sup>38</sup>. More than half of SCI is caused by trauma. Motor vehicle accidents are the most common cause of traumatic SCI (40–50%), followed by falls (20%), violence (14%), and sports or other recreational activities (9%)<sup>3,26,50</sup>. Traumatic SCI occurs mainly in males about 80%, and 2/3 occurs in people younger than 30 years of age. However, the incidence of fall-related SCI increases with age<sup>15,43</sup>. The most frequent injury site for SCI is the cervical spine, among which high cervical spines above C5 level are relatively more common<sup>50</sup>. According to a recent report, in the United States, approximately 54 cases of traumatic SCI per 1 million in United States occur annually, resulting in an incidence of 12000 cases per year<sup>3,50</sup>. Therefore, there are about 250000 patients living with SCI in the United States<sup>50</sup>. Nationally, New Zealand has the highest incidence of 85 cases per 1 million people, and the country with the lowest incidence was Spain with eight cases per 1 million people<sup>37</sup>. According to a recent report using the database of the Health Insurance Review and Assessment Service of South Korea, the age-adjusted average incidence of SCI was 26.4 persons per million people, and the mean age was 59.5 years for men and 54.9 years for women. The highest incidence in men was 50s and in women was 70s. Overall, the highest incidence occurred in the 50s<sup>14</sup>. In South Korea, the reason why SCI is common in people in 50s is related to the following facts. It is a fact that the risk of traumatic SCI is rapidly increasing due to the high frequency of OPLL in the elderly group and the rise of degenerative spinal disease due to the rapid increase in the

elderly population. The annual incidence of ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament (OPLL) in South Korea is 199–371 per million people, peaking at the age of 60–75<sup>32</sup>. The incidence of SCI is also the most common in the elderly group in Japan, and the reason is the same as in South Korea<sup>31,36</sup>. Therefore, the aging of South Korean society can lead to a rapid increase in SCI in the elderly population, and this must be reflected in the method to prevent SCI and the national medical finance.

## PATHOPHYSIOLOGY OF SCI

SCI can be divided into primary and secondary injuries<sup>2</sup>. As we know, the primary injury is an initial mechanical injury which is the direct result of mechanical forces at the time of injury, and a secondary injury is resulting from the primary injury and exacerbating the neurologic deficit. The acute phase of secondary injury occurring within 48 hours is a cytopathological change involving edema, hemorrhage, ischemia, inflammation, lipid peroxidation, glutamatergic excitotoxicity, and formation of free radicals. Thereafter, ischemia due to vascular compromise further progresses to the injury site, leading to necrosis of apoptotic neurons and the formation of cystic microcavities<sup>2,4,48</sup>. Therefore, direct mechanical compression from primary injury and progressive edema and hemorrhage at the injury site induce mechanical pressure on nerve cells and microvascular circulation, extending nerve injury site and exacerbating the neurologic deficit. So, the therapeutic issue of SCI is to prevent progression of the secondary injuries and improve the neurological status.

## UPDATE OF EARLY SURGICAL DECOMPRESSION FOR ACUTE SCI

Surgical decompression for acute SCI is aimed at reducing secondary hypoxia and ischemia by relieving mechanical pressure on primary and secondary injuries. A meta-analysis of 21 preclinical studies reported that surgical decompression improved the neurobehavioral outcome by 35.1% overall, and that compressive pressure and duration were very important as factors influencing the outcome<sup>6</sup>.

## Update on the usefulness of early decompression in subpopulations

There is not yet a high-evidence study comparing the efficacy and safety of early and late decompression in a subpopulation with different patient characteristics. However, in some studies, this was analyzed and reported at the level of suggestion<sup>45)</sup>. In the subpopulation according to age, there is no study comparing the effectiveness and safety of early decompression and late decompression between younger and older people. However, there is a recent study comparing the clinical outcome of surgical management between younger and older patients with acute cord injury. According to Lau et al.<sup>28)</sup>, the complication rate and mortality rate of older patients ( $\geq 70$  years) were 1.7 times and 10.8 times higher than those of younger patients ( $< 70$  years), respectively. However, there was no difference in discharge American Spinal Injury Association impairment scale (AIS) grade and AIS grade change between the two groups. In the subpopulation according to the degree of cord injury, patients with AIS B, C, and D injury at C2-L2 level before surgery had an additional 6.3 motor point motor recovery improvement with early ( $\leq 24$  hours) decompression than with late ( $> 24$  hours) decompression. In patients with AIS A injury, there was no beneficial effect of early decompression on motor recovery. However, in patients with AIS A or B injury, early decompression resulted in a shorter hospital stay<sup>17)</sup>. Also, as the AIS went from A to D at admission, when decompression was performed within 12 hours, the conversion rate from AIS grade at admission to better AIS grade at discharge was higher<sup>39)</sup>. Moreover, ultra-early ( $\leq 12$  hours) decompression showed more improvement in AIS than decompression after 12 hours, regardless of the degree of preoperative cord injury. In particular, it has been reported that even in patients with AIS A injury, if ultra-early decompression was performed, the AIS grade improved up to stage 1 or 2 after surgery<sup>12)</sup>. However, although only for cervical cord injury, other studies have reported that timing of decompression (ultra-early,  $< 12$  hours; early, 12–24 hours; and late,  $> 24$  to 138.5 hours) does not affect AIS grade conversion, and intramedullary lesion length on preoperative magnetic resonance imaging is a major predictor of postoperative neurologic outcome<sup>11)</sup>. In a subgroup analysis according to the use of preoperative steroids, early ( $\leq 24$  hours) decompression in patients receiving preoperative steroid showed more improvement in pin prick score, light touch score, and total motor score than

late ( $> 24$  hours) decompression. There was no significant difference in total motor score improvement between early and late decompression in patients who did not receive steroids before surgery<sup>35)</sup>.

## Update on timing for early decompression

As mentioned earlier, there have been many clinical studies on the effect of early decompression in SCI. However, it was difficult to standardize the time due to heterogeneity in the definition of “early” for each study, and there was a limit in achieving strong recommendation. Most of the studies that see the time threshold of the definition of “early” as 24 hours, this time window is most appropriate to define “early”<sup>21)</sup>. Furthermore, A prospective, multicenter study, the Surgical Timing in Acute Spinal Cord Injury Study (STASCIS) in 2012, defined “early” as first 24 hours, and reported that decompression within 24 hours after SCI was associated with improved neurologic outcomes showing at least grade 2 AIS improvement at 6 months follow up<sup>19,20)</sup>. Later, many studies reported the efficacy of early decompression (within 24 hours) in patients with SCI<sup>21,42,44)</sup>. However, the timing of decompression for acute SCI remained controversial. In particular, in patients with central cord syndrome without instability, since spontaneous improvement may occur, decompression of the sensitive and fragile spinal cord due to injury may result in neurologic worsening. In 2017, AOSpine (Arbeitsgemeinschaft für Osteosynthesefragen spine) reviewed the efficacy, and safety of early ( $\leq 24$  hours) versus late ( $> 24$  hours) decompression in patients with SCI<sup>45)</sup>. The effects of early decompression on neurologic improvement in SCI patients varied according to the study and injury level. There was no difference at other levels, but at the cervical cord level, early decompression showed statistically and clinically significant improvement 6 months after decompression. In addition, there was an effect of 6-point improvement on the AIS in patients with AIS B, C, and D, but not in AIS A. In patients with acute central cord syndrome without instability, the total motor score improved at 6 and 12 months in early decompression, but there was no difference in AIS improvement between early and late decompression group. The rates of complications also did not differ between the two decompression groups<sup>45)</sup>. Based on these contents, in the same year, AOSpine presented a clinical practice guideline for the timing of surgical decompression in patients with acute SCI<sup>18)</sup>. They suggested that early decompression should be considered as a treatment option in

adult patients with traumatic central cord syndrome and that early decompression should be offered at any level in adult patients with acute SCI. Previous animal study has reported that spinal cord ischemia caused by swelling and hemorrhage reaches peaks at 8 hours after injury<sup>16)</sup>. Grossman et al.<sup>23)</sup> suggested that if SCI patients visit the emergency room immediately after injury, most arrive within 4 hours, and that 8 hours to surgical decompression is a realistic goal. Based on these contents, an 8-hour time window was established. In previous randomized controlled trials<sup>13)</sup>, decompression within 8 hours in SCI patients showed better neurological results than more than 8 hours. In a study comparing the decompression within 8 hours versus 8–24 hours, neurologic outcome is better in decompression within 8 hours than 8–24 hours after injury<sup>27)</sup>. More recently, many papers have reported the positive impact of decompression within 8 hours after injury<sup>29,46,47)</sup>. However, in some studies, the definition of ‘within 8 hours after injury’ was measured as the time taken after arriving at the emergency room. Therefore, it is difficult to directly compare the results of remaining studies. Also, in the narrow time window of 8 hours, consumption of 1 or 2 hours is very important. In actual clinical practice, surgical intervention within 8 hours after injury is practically difficult due to availability of operating room, transport time to hospital, and lack of availability of medical team. There is also a study that reported negative results for early decompression. Tanaka et al.<sup>40)</sup> analyzed the patients by dividing them into an early group (within 24 hours) and a late group (from 25 hours to 7 days). The length of intensive care unit stay, in-hospital mortality, and survival rate were not different between the two groups<sup>40)</sup>. A meta-analysis study from pooling of individual patient data from four high-quality, prospective, multicenter acute SCI datasets, including data from December 1991 to March 2017 also concluded that there is a 36-hour time window for neurologic benefit and suggested a 36-hour time window for high probability of neurologic improvement. Subject of the exact timing of surgery for decompression after SCI is still very controversial<sup>5,8,19,22,24)</sup>. In that sense, the Prospective, Observational European Multicenter (SCI-POEM) study, which compares the neurological outcomes of decompression within 12 hours and after 12 hours after injury, has recently completed enrollment of 300 people and will publish the results soon<sup>41)</sup>. The results will be very helpful in finding the best cut-off point of timing of decompression after SCI.

## CONCLUSION

According to the most recent clinical practice guidelines, most studies define early decompression as decompression within 24 hours, and early decompression was associated with superior sensorimotor recovery in patients with acute cord injury. In addition, early decompression showed good clinical results in subpopulation analysis according to the degree of cord injury and whether or not steroids were used before surgery. Recently, a good effect on decompression within 8 hours has been reported, but many studies are still needed.

## AUTHORS' DECLARATION

### Conflicts of interest

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

### Informed consent

This type of study does not require informed consent.

### Author contributions

Conceptualization: BJL, JHJ; Data curation : BJL, JHJ; Formal analysis : BJL, JHJ; Methodology : BJL, JHJ; Project administration : BJL, JHJ; Visualization : BJL; Writing - original draft : BJL; Writing - review & editing : JHJ

### Data sharing

None

### Preprint

None

## ORCID

Byung-Jou Lee <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4030-3618>

Je Hoon Jeong <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4656-0113>

### • Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Soonchunhyang University

Research Fund.

## References

- Ahmad FU, Wang MY, Levi AD : Hypothermia for acute spinal cord injury--a review. **World Neurosurg** 82 : 207-214, 2014
- Ahuja CS, Nori S, Tetreault L, Wilson J, Kwon B, Harrop J, et al. : Traumatic spinal cord injury-repair and regeneration. **Neurosurgery** 80(3S) : S9-S22, 2017
- Alkabie S, Boileau AJ : The role of therapeutic hypothermia after traumatic spinal cord injury--a systematic review. **World Neurosurg** 86 : 432-449, 2016
- Badhiwala JH, Ahuja CS, Fehlings MG : Time is spine: a review of translational advances in spinal cord injury. **J Neurosurg Spine** 30 : 1-18, 2018
- Badhiwala JH, Wilson JR, Witiw CD, Harrop JS, Vaccaro AR, Aarabi B, et al. : The influence of timing of surgical decompression for acute spinal cord injury: a pooled analysis of individual patient data. **Lancet Neurol** 20 : 117-126, 2021
- Batchelor PE, Wills TE, Skeers P, Battistuzzo CR, Macleod MR, Howells DW, et al. : Meta-analysis of pre-clinical studies of early decompression in acute spinal cord injury: a battle of time and pressure. **PLoS One** 8 : e72659, 2013
- Börcek AO, Çivi S, Öcal Ö, Gülbahar Ö : Effects of tumor necrosis factor alpha blocker adalimumab in experimental spinal cord injury. **J Korean Neurosurg Soc** 57 : 73-76, 2015
- Bracken MB, Shepard MJ, Holford TR, Leo-Summers L, Aldrich EF, Fazl M, et al. : Administration of methylprednisolone for 24 or 48 hours or tirilazad mesylate for 48 hours in the treatment of acute spinal cord injury. Results of the third national acute spinal cord injury randomized controlled trial. National acute spinal cord injury study. **JAMA** 277 : 1597-1604, 1997
- Brown N : INSPIRE neuro-spinal Scaffold™ : an implantable alternative to stem-cell therapy for endogenous repair in spinal cord injury. **J Korean Neurosurg Soc** 63 : 671-672, 2020
- Budh CN, Osteråker AL : Life satisfaction in individuals with a spinal cord injury and pain. **Clin Rehabil** 21 : 89-96, 2007
- Burke JF, Fehlings MG, Dhall SS : Efficacy of ultra-early (< 12 h), early (12-24 h), and late (>24-138.5 h) surgery with magnetic resonance imaging-confirmed decompression in American Spinal Injury Association impairment scale grades A, B, and C cervical spinal cord injury. **J Neurotrauma** 37 : 1759-1760, 2020
- Burke JF, Yue JK, Ngwenya LB, Winkler EA, Talbott JF, Pan JZ, et al. : Ultra-early (<12 hours) surgery correlates with higher rate of American Spinal Injury Association impairment scale conversion after cervical spinal cord injury. **Neurosurgery** 85 : 199-203, 2019
- Cengiz SL, Kalkan E, Bayir A, Ilik K, Basefer A : Timing of thoracolumbar spine stabilization in trauma patients; impact on neurological outcome and clinical course. A real prospective (rct) randomized controlled study. **Arch Orthop Trauma Surg** 128 : 959-966, 2008
- Choi SH, Sung CH, Heo DR, Jeong SY, Kang CN : Incidence of acute spinal cord injury and associated complications of methylprednisolone therapy: a national population-based study in South Korea. **Spinal Cord** 58 : 232-237, 2020
- Devivo MJ : Epidemiology of traumatic spinal cord injury: trends and future implications. **Spinal Cord** 50 : 365-372, 2012
- Donnelly DJ, Popovich PG : Inflammation and its role in neuroprotection, axonal regeneration and functional recovery after spinal cord injury. **Exp Neurol** 209 : 378-388, 2008
- Dvorak MF, Noonan VK, Fallah N, Fisher CG, Finkelstein J, Kwon BK, et al. : The influence of time from injury to surgery on motor recovery and length of hospital stay in acute traumatic spinal cord injury: an observational Canadian cohort study. **J Neurotrauma** 32 : 645-654, 2015
- Fehlings MG, Tetreault LA, Wilson JR, Kwon BK, Burns AS, Martin AR, et al. : A clinical practice guideline for the management of acute spinal cord injury: introduction, rationale, and scope. **Global Spine J** 7(3 Suppl) : 84S-94S, 2017
- Fehlings MG, Vaccaro A, Wilson JR, Singh A, Cadotte DW, Harrop JS, et al. : Early versus delayed decompression for traumatic cervical spinal cord injury: results of the surgical timing in acute spinal cord injury study (STASCIS). **PLoS One** 7 : e32037, 2012
- Fehlings MG, Wilson JR : Timing of surgical intervention in spinal trauma: what does the evidence indicate? **Spine (Phila Pa 1976)** 35(21 Suppl) : S159-S160, 2010
- Furlan JC, Noonan V, Cadotte DW, Fehlings MG : Timing of decompressive surgery of spinal cord after traumatic spinal cord injury: an evidence-based examination of pre-clinical and clinical studies. **J Neurotrauma** 28 : 1371-1399, 2011
- Geisler FH, Coleman WP, Grieco G, Poonian D; Sygen Study Group : The Sygen multicenter acute spinal cord injury study. **Spine (Phila Pa 1976)** 26(24 Suppl) : S87-S98, 2001
- Grossman RG, Frankowski RF, Burau KD, Toups EG, Crommett JW, Johnson MM, et al. : Incidence and severity of acute complications after spinal cord injury. **J Neurosurg Spine** 17(1 Suppl) : 119-128, 2012
- Grossman RG, Toups EG, Frankowski RF, Burau KD, Howley S : North American clinical trials network for the treatment of spinal cord injury: goals and progress. **J Neurosurg Spine** 17(1 Suppl) : 6-10, 2012
- Han ZA, Lee BS, Kim W, Lee SJ, Im HJ, Kim C, et al. : People with spinal cord injury in Korea. **Am J Phys Med Rehabil** 96(2 Suppl 1) : S83-S85, 2017
- Jackson AB, Dijkers M, Devivo MJ, Pocztatek RB : A demographic profile of new traumatic spinal cord injuries: change and stability over 30 years. **Arch Phys Med Rehabil** 85 : 1740-1748, 2004
- Jug M, Kejžar N, Vesel M, Al Mawed S, Dobravec M, Herman S, et al. : Neurological recovery after traumatic cervical spinal cord injury is superior if surgical decompression and instrumented fusion are performed within 8 hours versus 8 to 24 hours after injury: a single center experience. **J Neurotrauma** 32 : 1385-1392, 2015
- Lau D, Dalle Ore CL, Tarapore PE, Huang M, Manley G, Singh V, et al. : Value of aggressive surgical and intensive care unit in elderly patients



- with traumatic spinal cord injury. **Neurosurg Focus** 46 : E3, 2019
29. Lee DY, Park YJ, Song SY, Hwang SC, Kim KT, Kim DH : The importance of early surgical decompression for acute traumatic spinal cord injury. **Clin Orthop Surg** 10 : 448-454, 2018
  30. Mbori NJ, Chuan XY, Feng QX, Alizada M, Zhan J : Evaluation of the combination of methylprednisolone and tranilast after spinal cord injury in rat models. **J Korean Neurosurg Soc** 59 : 334-340, 2016
  31. Miyakoshi N, Suda K, Kudo D, Sakai H, Nakagawa Y, Mikami Y, et al. : A nationwide survey on the incidence and characteristics of traumatic spinal cord injury in Japan in 2018. **Spinal Cord** 59 : 626-634, 2021
  32. Moon BJ, Choi SK, Shin DA, Yi S, Kim KN, Yoon DH, et al. : Prevalence, incidence, comorbidity, and mortality rates of ossification of posterior longitudinal ligament in the cervical spine: a nested case-control cohort study. **World Neurosurg** 117 : e323-e328, 2018
  33. Moon JW, Hyun DK : Decompressive craniectomy in traumatic brain injury: a review article. **Korean J Neurotrauma** 13 : 1-8, 2017
  34. Oh CH, Shim YS, Yoon SH, Hyun D, Park H, Kim E : Early decompression of acute subdural hematoma for postoperative neurological improvement: a single center retrospective review of 10 years. **Korean J Neurotrauma** 12 : 11-17, 2016
  35. Qiu Y, Chen Y, Xie Y, Xie H, Dong J : Comparative analysis of the efficacy of early and late surgical intervention for acute spinal cord injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis based on 16 studies. **Int J Surg** 94 : 106098, 2021
  36. Sasaki E, Ono A, Yokoyama T, Wada K, Tanaka T, Kumagai G, et al. : Prevalence and symptom of ossification of posterior longitudinal ligaments in the Japanese general population. **J Orthop Sci** 19 : 405-411, 2014
  37. Singh A, Tetreault L, Kalsi-Ryan S, Nouri A, Fehlings MG : Global prevalence and incidence of traumatic spinal cord injury. **Clin Epidemiol** 6 : 309-331, 2014
  38. Steeves JD, Kramer JK, Fawcett JW, Cragg J, Lammertse DP, Blight AR, et al. : Extent of spontaneous motor recovery after traumatic cervical sensorimotor complete spinal cord injury. **Spinal Cord** 49 : 257-265, 2011
  39. Sterner RC, Brooks NP : Early decompression and short transport time after traumatic spinal cord injury are associated with higher american spinal injury association impairment scale conversion. **Spine (Phila Pa 1976)** 47 : 59-66, 2022
  40. Tanaka C, Tagami T, Kaneko J, Fukuda R, Nakayama F, Sato S, et al. : Early versus late surgery after cervical spinal cord injury: a Japanese nationwide trauma database study. **J Orthop Surg Res** 14 : 302, 2019
  41. van Middendorp JJ, Barbagallo G, Schuetz M, Hosman AJ : Design and rationale of a prospective, observational European multicenter study on the efficacy of acute surgical decompression after traumatic spinal cord injury: the SCI-POEM study. **Spinal Cord** 50 : 686-694, 2012
  42. van Middendorp JJ, Hosman AJ, Doi SA : The effects of the timing of spinal surgery after traumatic spinal cord injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis. **J Neurotrauma** 30 : 1781-1794, 2013
  43. Wilson JR, Forgione N, Fehlings MG : Emerging therapies for acute traumatic spinal cord injury. **CMAJ** 185 : 485-492, 2013
  44. Wilson JR, Singh A, Craven C, Verrier MC, Drew B, Ahn H, et al. : Early versus late surgery for traumatic spinal cord injury: the results of a prospective Canadian cohort study. **Spinal Cord** 50 : 840-843, 2012
  45. Wilson JR, Tetreault LA, Kwon BK, Arnold PM, Mroz TE, Shaffrey C, et al. : Timing of decompression in patients with acute spinal cord injury: a systematic review. **Global Spine J** 7(3 Suppl) : 95S-115S, 2017
  46. Wutte C, Becker J, Klein B, Mach O, Panzer S, Stuby FM, et al. : Early decompression (<8 hours) improves functional bladder outcome and mobility after traumatic thoracic spinal cord injury. **World Neurosurg** 134 : e847-e854, 2020
  47. Wutte C, Klein B, Becker J, Mach O, Panzer S, Strowitzki M, et al. : Earlier decompression (< 8 hours) results in better neurological and functional outcome after traumatic thoracolumbar spinal cord injury. **J Neurotrauma** 36 : 2020-2027, 2019
  48. Yip PK, Malaspina A : Spinal cord trauma and the molecular point of no return. **Mol Neurodegener** 7 : 6, 2012
  49. Yu SH, Cho DC, Kim KT, Nam KH, Cho HJ, Sung JK : The neuroprotective effect of treatment of valproic acid in acute spinal cord injury. **J Korean Neurosurg Soc** 51 : 191-198, 2012
  50. Spinal cord injury (SCI) facts and figures at a glance. **J Spinal Cord Med** 39 : 243-244, 2016