

Original Article

Preventive Medicine,  
Occupation &  
Environmental Medicine



# Environmental Tobacco Smoke Exposure at Home and Attributable Problem Behaviors in Korean Children and Adolescents for 2012–2014 in a Nationally Representative Survey

Hee sun Yang ,<sup>1</sup> Hyungryul Lim ,<sup>2</sup> Jonghyuk Choi ,<sup>2</sup> Sanghyuk Bae ,<sup>2</sup> Yeni Kim ,<sup>3</sup> Ho-Jang Kwon ,<sup>2</sup> and Mina Ha <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Public Health, Graduate School of Dankook University, Cheonan, Korea

<sup>2</sup>Department of Preventive Medicine, Dankook University College of Medicine, Cheonan, Korea

<sup>3</sup>Department of Pediatric Psychiatry, National Center for Mental Health, Seoul, Korea

OPEN ACCESS

**Received:** Dec 1, 2017

**Accepted:** May 29, 2018

**Address for Correspondence:**

**Mina Ha, MD, MPH, PhD**

Department of Preventive Medicine, Dankook University College of Medicine, 119 Dandae-ro, Dongnam-gu, Cheonan 31116, Republic of Korea.  
E-mail: minaha@dku.edu

© 2018 The Korean Academy of Medical Sciences.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**ORCID iDs**

Hee sun Yang

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0759-7551>

Hyungryul Lim

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3371-0557>

Jonghyuk Choi

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8661-493X>

Sanghyuk Bae

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4995-6543>

Yeni Kim

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2624-8026>

Ho-Jang Kwon

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3029-5674>

Mina Ha

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1011-9446>

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** This study aimed to examine the environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) exposure at home and associated problem behaviors in Korean children and adolescents.

**Methods:** Approximately 2,167 children aged 3–18 years were included in the study after excluding 163 active smokers from the Korean Environmental Health Survey in Children and Adolescents (2012–2014). ETS data were obtained using a questionnaire; problem behaviors were measured using the Child Behavior Checklist administered to parents. The relationship between ETS exposure and problem behavior was evaluated using a survey regression model adjusted for region, age, sex, income, and father's education. The population-attributable fraction (PAF%) was calculated based on problem behaviors related to ETS exposure at home.

**Results:** The rates of ETS exposure at home were 20%, 28%, and 39% in children aged 3–5, 6–11, and 12–18 years, respectively; ETS exposure at home was associated with behavioral problems: the exposed group having higher total behavioral problem score (95% confidence interval [CI]) than the non-exposed by 2.46 (0.60–4.32) and 2.74 (0.74–4.74) in children aged 6–11 and 12–18 years, respectively, with no significant association in those aged 3–5 years. The PAF% (95% CI) of total problem behaviors for ETS exposure at home were 2.68 (–10.11–17.78), 10.66 (3.25–17.55), and 11.62 (3.03–18.96) in children aged 3–5, 6–11, and 12–18 years, respectively. Children with externalizing problems had higher PAF% than those with internalizing problems.

**Conclusion:** In Korea, ETS exposure at home is associated with problem behaviors in children and adolescents with about more than 10% population attributable fraction.

**Keywords:** Environmental Tobacco Smoke Exposure; Home; Problem Behavior; Population-attributable Fraction

## INTRODUCTION

Environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) is defined as tobacco smoke produced by smokers and inhaled by non-smokers, irrespective of their own will.<sup>1</sup> ETS is composed of mainstream smoke exhaled by a smoker and sidestream smoke emitted from the lighted end of a

**Funding**

This study was financially supported by the National Institute of Environmental Research and Ministry of Environment, Republic of Korea, as a project of Korean Environmental Health Survey on Children and Adolescent (KorEHS-C), 2011–2014.

**Disclosure**

The authors have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

**Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: Yang HS, Ha M. Data curation: Kim Y, Kwon H, Ha M. Formal analysis: Yang HS, Lim H, Bae S. Investigation: Kim Y, Kwon H, Ha M. Writing - original draft: Yang HS, Ha M. Writing - review & editing: Yang HS, Ha M.

cigarette.<sup>2</sup> ETS consists of around 15% of mainstream smoke and 85% of sidestream smoke.<sup>3</sup> Studies have shown that inhaled sidestream smoke is four times more toxic per gram of particulate matter than mainstream smoke. Moreover, because sidestream smoke contains more harmful substances than mainstream smoke, damage caused by ETS occurs mostly in sidestream smoke.<sup>4</sup> Tobacco smoke is composed of more than 4,000 chemicals, of which 250 are known to be harmful and more than 50 are known to cause cancer.<sup>5</sup> In addition, third-hand smoke refers to the residual tobacco smoke pollutants that remain on surfaces and in dust after tobacco has been smoked and are re-emitted into the gas phase or react with oxidants and other compounds in the environment to yield secondary pollutants.<sup>6</sup>

Children are exposed to considerably more environmental pollutants in water, food, and air than adults.<sup>7</sup> Exposure to tobacco smoke at home during the fetal and childhood developmental periods is probably the most common and dangerous environmental factor that can affect children.<sup>8</sup> During infancy and childhood, children spend much time at home,<sup>9</sup> and the home is often the most important site for ETS exposure.<sup>10</sup> ETS exposure is a risk factor for sudden infant death syndrome and is known to cause bronchial asthma and otitis media in children.<sup>11</sup> Infants of smoking parents are more likely to be hospitalized due to bronchitis and pneumonia than infants of non-smoking parents.<sup>12</sup> In addition, exposure to ETS during pregnancy and early childhood is linked to childhood behavioral problems.<sup>13,14</sup> ETS exposure during pregnancy was associated with anxiety scores,<sup>15</sup> and children's ETS exposure was associated with increased risk for both internalizing and externalizing behavior problems.<sup>16</sup> Since the National Health Promotion Act of 1995 was enacted in Korea, public facilities have been designated as smoking cessation areas.<sup>17</sup> However, the home is still a private space that cannot be controlled by legal measures for public spaces and may be the last place reachable by the public control measures for ETS exposure.

Thus, this study aimed to examine the current status of ETS exposure at home in Korean children and adolescents and to estimate the magnitude of contribution of ETS exposure to the behavioral problems in children and adolescents.

**METHODS****Study participants**

The study subjects were participants in the Korean Environmental Health Survey in Children and Adolescents (KorEHS-C), a research project that examined the environmental exposure and health status of a representative sample of children and adolescents in Korea.<sup>18</sup> A total of 1,820 children and adolescents aged between 6 and 18 years were randomly sampled at 120 schools stratified based on the five regions nationwide, school grade (elementary, middle, and high schools), and sex from 2012 to 2013. A total of 1,602 children and adolescents aged 6–18 years were included in the study, while those aged 19 years or older ( $n = 3$ ), reported as active smokers ( $n = 163$ ) among adolescents aged 12–18 years, and who had missing ETS data or Korea-Child Behavior Checklist (K-CBCL) ( $n = 52$ ) were excluded. A total of 577 children aged 3–5 years were sampled from 62 child care centers and kindergartens stratified by five regions, age, and sex in 2014.<sup>19</sup> After excluding children with missing information in ETS exposure and K-CBCL assessments ( $n = 12$ ), 565 children were analyzed. In the analysis, the participants were divided into three age groups: 3–5, 6–11, and 12–18 years.

### ETS exposure

The following ETS exposure data were obtained from the parents' responses to the questionnaires, which were answerable by a "yes" or a "no": "Is your child being exposed to smoke that someone smoked at home?" (current exposure to ETS at home), "Were there someone who smoked at home during pregnancy?" (exposure to ETS during pregnancy), "Were there someone who smoked at home from your childbirth to first birthday?" (exposure to ETS for the first year of life), and "Were there someone who smoked at home from your child's first birthday to the present?" (exposure to ETS from the first year to the present).

### Behavior problem assessment

Behavioral problems were assessed using the Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL), originally developed by Achenbach (1991).<sup>20</sup> We used the Korean version of CBCL in assessing children aged 1.5–5 and 4–18 years old.<sup>21</sup> The CBCL is a clinical tool used in many countries to assess the social adaptation and emotional behavioral problems in children and adolescents. It consists of the social competence scale and the problem behavior scale. In this study, we used the parent report form in assessing problem behaviors. The problem behavior scale has three summary measures: internalization problem, which evaluates the internalized and overcontrolled behaviors; externalization problem, which evaluates the externalized and undercontrolled behaviors; and total problem behavior. As subscales, internalized problems include 6–9 subscales, i.e., emotional reactivity (only for 5 years old and below), anxious/depressive, somatic complaints, withdrawn/depressive, and social and thought problems (only for 6–18 years old). The externalized problems include attention, aggressive problems, and rule violations (only for 6–18 years old). Subscale each item is scored on a 3-point scale: 0 for not at all, 1 for occasionally visible or not severe, and 2 for frequent or severe. A total of 117 questions are possible from 0 to 234 points. When the internalizing, externalizing, and total problem behavior standard score was  $\geq 60$  or the subscale each had a standard score was  $\geq 65$ , the child was classified as having problem behavior.

### Population-attributable fraction

The contribution of risk factors for disease or death is quantified using the population-attributable fraction (PAF%). We calculated the PAF% of the case having problem behaviors due to ETS exposure using the following formula.<sup>22</sup> The relative risk (RR) can be obtained via the odds ratio (OR) using the formula  $RR = OR / \{1 - p + (p \times OR)\}$ , where  $p$  represents the ETS exposure rate in the control group.<sup>23</sup> The ORs were obtained from the present study, and the  $P_e$  value is the proportion of population exposed to ETS:

$$PAF\% = \{P_e \times (RR - 1) / P_e \times (RR - 1) + 1\}$$

In order to calculate the number of problem behaviors due to ETS exposure, the information on the total population of the age group was obtained from the Korean Statistical Information Service.<sup>24</sup> For the 6–18 age group, the population recorded in 2012 and 2013 was used. In the 3–5 age group, the population recorded in 2014 was used to match with the years the survey was conducted.

### Confounders and covariates

We obtained the information on the factors affecting neurobehavioral problems in children and adolescents through parent-administered questionnaires. The area was classified into Seoul metropolitan, urban, and rural. The household income for one month were categorized

as “low” for incomes: < 3 million Korea Won (KRW), “middle” for incomes:  $3 \leq$  and < 5 million KRW, and “high” for incomes  $\geq$  5 million KRW. The parental educational levels (for father and mother each) were classified as follows: < 16 years and  $\geq$  16 years. We considered area, age, sex, household income, and father's education as covariates in the multivariate analyses.

### Statistical analysis

Reflecting the sampling frame of the KorEHS-C, we considered the hierarchical, cluster, and sample weights in all data analyses. For each complex sample of children of 3–5 and 6–18 years of age, different strata, clusters, and sample weights were applied. A linear regression analysis was used to examine the association between ETS exposure at home and behavioral problems in children and adolescents. The following four ETS exposure variables, answerable by a “yes” or a “no,” were used in this model: current exposure to ETS at home, exposure to ETS during pregnancy, exposure to ETS during infancy, and exposure to ETS after infancy to date. To examine the validity of the ETS exposure information obtained from the parents' report, the data from the pilot study KorEHS-C (2011) were used to analyze the urinary cotinine concentration in accordance with the ETS exposure information reported by the parents.<sup>18</sup> The difference was evaluated using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test and presented in the supplementary materials. Data were analyzed using the SPSS (ver. 23; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) and R 3.2.4 (Comprehensive R Archive Network: <http://cran.r-project.org>).

### Ethics statement

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Dankook University Hospital (IRB No. 2012-10-003) and a written consent was obtained from the students' parents or guardians before enrollment.

## RESULTS

This study involved 565 participants aged 3–5 years old, 782 participants aged 6–11 years old, and 820 participants aged 12–18 years old. Majority of the children on all age groups are residing in the city. The percentage of participants from middle-income families were 43.5%, 44.1%, and 38.4% in the 3–5, 6–11, and 12–18 age group, respectively. The parents of the younger age group had higher educational level (**Table 1**).

The rates of ETS exposure at home were 20.4%, 28.3%, and 39.2% in the 3–5, 6–11, and 12–18 age groups, respectively, with the youngest age group having the lowest ETS exposure rate. ETS exposure during pregnancy, infancy, and after infancy to date were similar in 3–5-year, 6–11-year, and 12–18-year age group and a bit higher in the 12–18 age group with a range of 33.8%–44.3%. The mean score of problem behavior was higher in children exposed to ETS than those not (**Supplementary Table 1**). Children having problem behaviors have higher ETS exposure rates than those without problem behaviors (**Table 2**). ETS exposure during pregnancy and infancy showed the highest agreement, with the kappa values of 0.89–0.93, while ETS exposure currently at home and during pregnancy had the lowest agreement, with the kappa values of 0.29–0.32 (**Supplementary Table 2**).

In the 12–18 age group, ETS exposure was associated with increase in total, internalizing, and externalizing behavioral problem scores within the entire exposure period, with a score range of 2.04–3.49 (**Table 3**). With regard to the subscales anxious/depressed, somatic complaints, social problems, aggressive behavior, and violating rules, a significant association

**Table 1.** Characteristics of study population of KorEHS-C, 2012–2014

Characteristics	Age, yr		
	3–5	6–11	12–18
Total	565 (100)	782 (100)	820 (100)
Area			
Seoul	91 (16.8)	107 (16.1)	136 (18.1)
Urban	338 (75.4)	419 (62.0)	485 (68.2)
Rural	136 (7.8)	256 (21.9)	199 (13.7)
Sex			
Male	281 (51.6)	383 (50.6)	404 (49.3)
Female	284 (48.4)	399 (49.4)	416 (50.7)
Household income <sup>a</sup>			
Low	174 (30.0)	234 (29.8)	270 (34.1)
Middle	254 (43.5)	337 (44.1)	322 (38.4)
High	136 (26.5)	197 (26.1)	211 (27.6)
Father's education, yr			
< 16	195 (34.4)	356 (44.4)	454 (55.9)
≥ 16	367 (65.6)	416 (55.6)	350 (44.1)
Mother's education, yr			
< 16	203 (35.8)	414 (52.1)	541 (68.8)
≥ 16	360 (64.2)	354 (47.9)	257 (31.2)

Values are presented as number (%<sup>b</sup>).

KorEHS-C = Korean Environmental Health Survey in Children and Adolescents.

<sup>a</sup>Monthly household income (1,000 × KRW): low (< 3,000), middle (3,000 to < 5,000), high (≥ 5,000); <sup>b</sup>Weighted percent considered the complex sampling design.

**Table 2.** Prevalence of ETS exposure in children with and without behavioral problems, KorEHS-C, 2012–2014

ETS exposure time	Behavioral problems <sup>a</sup>	Age, yr		
		3–5 (n = 565)	6–11 (n = 782)	12–18 (n = 820)
Currently at home	All	20.4 (16.5–24.9)	28.3 (24.5–32.4)	39.2 (35.8–42.7)
	Not-have	19.5 (15.8–23.8)	26.5 (21.8–31.8)	34.3 (30.2–38.7)
	Have	25.0 (15.3–38.1)	31.7 (26.7–37.1)	48.1 (41.3–55.0)
	P value <sup>c</sup>	0.312	0.127	0.002
After infancy to date	All	41.8 (35.6–48.3)	39.4 (35.0–44.1)	43.7 (39.8–47.7)
	Not-have	38.9 (32.8–45.5)	37.1 (31.8–42.7)	37.2 (32.5–42.1)
	Have	56.1 (42.0–69.3)	44.1 (38.4–49.9)	55.6 (48.2–62.8)
	P value <sup>c</sup>	0.019	0.049	< 0.001
During infancy	All	36.6 (30.3–43.3)	36.3 (32.2–40.7)	44.3 (40.5–48.1)
	Not-have	34.3 (28.1–41.2)	34.5 (29.3–40.0)	40.3 (35.1–45.7)
	Have	48.1 (35.3–61.0)	40.0 (34.4–45.8)	51.4 (44.9–57.9)
	P value <sup>c</sup>	0.034	0.145	0.02
During pregnancy	All	37.8 (31.7–44.3)	33.8 (29.8–38.0)	42.3 (38.7–46.0)
	Not-have	34.8 (28.9–41.3)	31.0 (25.9–36.7)	38.5 (33.7–43.6)
	Have	52.6 (39.5–65.4)	39.3 (33.1–45.8)	49.1 (42.9–55.3)
	P value <sup>c</sup>	0.007	0.059	0.016

Values are presented as ETS exposure%<sup>b</sup> (95% CI).

ETS = environmental tobacco smoke, KorEHS = Korean Environmental Health Survey in Children and Adolescents.

<sup>a</sup>Problem behavior measured by the Korean version of Child Behavior Checklist, where children who showed scores ≥ 60 were defined as case having problems in total, internalizing, or externalizing behaviors; <sup>b</sup>Weighted percent considered the complex sampling design; <sup>c</sup>P value calculated using survey  $\chi^2$  test considered the complex sampling design.

between ETS exposure and increase in behavioral score was observed in the 12–18 age group (**Supplementary Table 3**). A significant association between ETS exposure and increase in total and externalizing behavioral problems but not internalizing problems was observed in the 6–11 age group (**Table 3**), while the scores in subscales of thought, attention, and aggressive problems significantly increased in relation to ETS exposure currently at home (**Supplementary Table 2**). The total and externalizing behavioral problem score in children aged 3–5 years significantly increased in relation to ETS exposure from infancy to date (**Table 3**). The subscales anxious, attention, and aggressive behavioral problems in 3–5 year-old children were associated with ETS exposure currently at home or from infancy to date (**Supplementary Table 3**).

**Table 3.** Association between ETS exposure at home and problem behavior, KorEHS-C, 2012–2014

Problem behaviors <sup>a</sup>	ETS exposure time	Age, yr		
		3–5 (n = 565)	6–11 (n = 782)	12–18 (n = 820)
Total behavioral problems	Currently at home	1.24 (–1.04–3.52)	2.46 (0.60–4.32)	2.74 (0.74–4.74)
	After infancy to date	1.98 (0.29–3.67)	1.29 (–0.30–2.89)	3.49 (1.92–5.06)
	During infancy	0.85 (–0.96–2.65)	1.32 (–0.29–2.93)	2.77 (1.10–4.44)
	During pregnancy	1.38 (–0.29–3.05)	1.99 (0.18–3.80)	2.99 (1.45–4.54)
Internalizing problems	Currently at home	0.22 (–2.12–2.56)	1.53 (–0.02–3.08)	2.64 (0.74–4.54)
	After infancy to date	1.24 (–0.32–2.81)	1.03 (–0.59–2.65)	3.20 (1.51–4.90)
	During infancy	0.53 (–1.15–2.22)	0.67 (–0.88–2.23)	2.50 (0.79–4.21)
	During pregnancy	0.77 (–0.78–2.31)	1.26 (–0.47–2.98)	2.69 (0.94–4.45)
Externalizing problems	Currently at home	2.08 (–0.11–4.28)	2.74 (0.93–4.55)	2.04 (0.40–3.67)
	After infancy to date	2.21 (0.53–3.89)	1.39 (–0.19–2.98)	3.16 (1.65–4.67)
	During infancy	0.85 (–0.98–2.68)	1.62 (0.07–3.18)	2.55 (0.80–4.31)
	During pregnancy	1.47 (–0.35–3.30)	1.93 (0.25–3.60)	2.51 (1.05–3.96)

Values are presented as  $\beta$  (95% CI)<sup>b</sup> for ETS exposure (vs. no ETS exposure).

ETS = environmental tobacco smoke, KorEHS-C = Korean Environmental Health Survey in Children and Adolescents, K-CBCL = Korean version of Child Behavior Checklist, CI = confidence interval.

<sup>a</sup>Problem behaviors measured by the K-CBCL; <sup>b</sup> $\beta$  and 95% CI calculated by using survey linear regression models adjusted by age, gender, area, household income, and father's education, considering the complex sampling design.

**Table 4.** Population attributable fraction of problems behaviors attributed to ETS exposure currently at home, KorEHS-C, 2012–2014

Problem behaviors <sup>a</sup>	Age, yr	OR (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>	RR <sup>c</sup> (95% CI)	No. population <sup>d</sup>	PAF% (95% CI) <sup>e</sup>	No. problem behavior (95% CI)
Total problems	3–5	1.18 (0.49–2.82)	1.14 (0.55–2.06)	1,394,551	2.68 (–10.11–17.78)	3,664 (–1,381,693–2,429,921)
	6–11	1.67 (1.17–2.38)	1.43 (1.12–1.76)	2,924,794	10.66 (3.25–17.55)	69,240 (2,110,238–11,395,289)
	12–18	1.65 (1.13–2.40)	1.34 (1.08–1.60)	4,662,429	11.62 (3.03–18.96)	123,522 (3,220,992–20,155,123)
Internalizing problems	3–5	1.12 (0.44–2.83)	1.09 (0.50–2.07)	1,394,551	1.83 (–11.36–17.92)	2,890 (–1,790,157–2,823,909)
	6–11	1.16 (0.82–1.63)	1.11 (0.86–1.39)	2,924,794	3.02 (–4.08–9.84)	22,183 (–2,995,223–7,223,773)
	12–18	1.67 (1.17–2.38)	1.35 (1.10–1.59)	4,662,429	11.92 (3.75–18.71)	146,692 (4,615,805–23,029,790)
Externalizing problems	3–5	1.72 (0.82–3.60)	1.51 (0.85–2.39)	1,394,551	9.36 (–3.16–22.09)	13,710 (–462,712–3,234,591)
	6–11	1.31 (0.90–1.91)	1.21 (0.92–1.54)	2,924,794	5.55 (–2.29–13.13)	34,737 (–1,433,324–8,218,144)
	12–18	2.02 (1.37–2.99)	1.48 (1.21–1.75)	4,662,429	15.85 (7.57–22.63)	161,113 (7,694,220–23,001,349)

ETS = environmental tobacco smoke, KorEHS-C = Korean Environmental Health Survey in Children and Adolescents, OR = odds ratio, CI = confidence interval, PAF% = population attributable fraction, RR = relative risk (%).

<sup>a</sup>Problem behaviors measured by the Korean version of Child Behavior Checklist (K-CBCL) and case defined by the K-CBCL scores  $\geq 60$ ; <sup>b</sup>OR and 95% CI estimated using survey logistic regression model adjusted for region, age, gender, household income, and father's education, considering the complex sampling design;

<sup>c</sup>RR calculated by  $RR = OR / \{1 - p + (p \times OR)\}$ , where p is the proportion of ETS exposed population among children without problem behavior; <sup>d</sup>Number of population was the number of residents registered in 2014 for 3–5 years and those averaged in 2012–2013 for 6–18 years; <sup>e</sup>PAF% =  $\{Pe \times (RR - 1) / Pe \times (RR - 1) + 1\} \times 100$ ; where Pe is the proportion of exposed population.

About 69,240 (6–11-year-old) and 123,522 (12–18-year-old) children and adolescents with problem behaviors (10.66%–11.62% of total problem behaviors) were attributed to ETS exposure at home. Problem behaviors attributed to ETS exposure currently at home are greater in children with externalizing problems (15.85%) than those with internalizing problems (11.92%) and showed the largest impact to the 12–18-year age group (Table 4).

## DISCUSSION

In Korea, 20.4%–39.2% of the children and adolescents were exposed to ETS at home and showed a significant association with problem behaviors. This ETS exposure currently at home accounted for 2.7%–11.6% of the total problem behaviors in children and adolescents, particularly to externalizing problems and in the 12–18-year age group.



The rate of ETS exposure in households more than once a week in the 12–18-year age group was reported to decrease by 39.6% in 2011, 30.7% in 2013, and 29.0% in 2015 in Korea.<sup>25</sup> This is in line with the ETS exposure rates in the present study, which reported that the rate of ETS exposure at home decreased as the age of the child became younger. Given that smoking at home is mostly driven by parental smoking, this suggests that more parents tended to quit smoking at home when they have younger child.

Pregnant women's smoking may increase the risk of early child behavioral problems.<sup>26,27</sup> In addition, ETS exposure during pregnancy was associated with increase in total and externalizing behavioral problem scores measured by K-CBCL in children.<sup>13,28</sup> A postnatal child's exposure to ETS was linked to the development of antisocial behaviors, immature problem behaviors,<sup>29</sup> as well as internalizing and externalizing behavioral problems.<sup>30</sup> Furthermore, a dose-response relationship between postnatal ETS exposure at home and hyperactivity/inattention and conduct problems in preschool children was found.<sup>14</sup> The present study showed that total problem behaviors in the 6–11-year age groups and the total, internalizing, and externalizing problems in the 12–18-year age groups were significantly associated with ETS exposure at home. This result is consistent with that of the previous studies showing significant effects of postnatal ETS exposure on problem behaviors in children and adolescents.

However, the present study reported that there was no significant relationship between problem behaviors in children aged 3–5 years. Given the fact that the current exposure is sufficiently correlated with the past exposure (**Supplementary Table 2**) and the risk of having behavioral problems tends to be increased as children age, behavioral problems may occur prominently in periods of pubertal development after a certain period of latency or accumulation of ETS exposure since early childhood, which should be examined in future longitudinal studies. Furthermore, considering that there were 163 (16.6%) active smokers in the original data in the 12–18-year age group, there might be an additional exposure to ETS at public space such as schools for youths. Urinary cotinine levels were higher in the 12–18-year age group than that in the 6–11-year age group (**Supplementary Table 4**), which may reflect the additional exposure to ETS.

An animal study showed that the norepinephrine levels in the brains of rat offspring exposed to nicotine during pregnancy were significantly decreased, suggesting that exposure of the fetus to nicotine may cause problems with noradrenergic responsiveness and cause behavioral and neuroendocrine abnormalities.<sup>31</sup> Nicotine, as well as other tobacco components, crosses the placenta<sup>32</sup> and acts as a neurotransmitter on nicotinic receptors.<sup>33</sup> The cholinergic nicotinic receptors are found in the fetal brain, including both serotonergic and dopaminergic neurons, and excessive stimulation of these receptors during the period of development can alter their sensitivity,<sup>34</sup> leading to impaired neural growth and circuit formation.<sup>27</sup> The deficits may be seen in the early life; however, the deficits may not fully appear until childhood or adolescence, once the brain circuits regulating behavioral control have matured.<sup>35,36</sup> Postnatal ETS exposure in rhesus monkey showed a potential damage to the neuronal projections accompanied by reactive sprouting.<sup>37</sup> Aside from the teratogenic effects of tobacco smoke on the developing brain, the hypoxic effects on the fetal-placental unit and the young child's brain via reduction of blood flow, increase in the carbon monoxide levels, and adverse consequences of low birth weight and perinatal problems caused by ETS exposure were also considered indirect effects.

The results of this study can be generalized to the Korean children and adolescents based on the representativeness of the data. To our knowledge, this is the first study to estimate the PAF% of ETS exposure on problem behaviors in Korean children and adolescents. However, the present study has some limitations. First, this is a cross-sectional study and has a limited interpretation of the causal relations between ETS exposure and behavioral problems. However, considering that the relationship between exposure to ETS and children's behavior have been reported consistently in the previous studies and there was little possibility of recall bias in the present study because of sufficient correlations between periods of ETS information and its similar pattern between children with and without problem behaviors (**Supplementary Table 2**), we can assume that the association found in the present study would be causal and the interpretation reasonable. Second, although we considered potential confounders such as region, age, sex, household income, and father's educational level, it is unclear whether parental genetic factors could affect the children and adolescents' behavior due to lack of information. In addition, residual confounding factors such as parental attitude of child raising, psychosocial factors of children and adolescents may affect the behavior, which were not considered in the present study. However, the repeated analysis after excluding those reported ever-drinking alcohol did not show a materially changed results (**Supplementary Table 5**). Third, we did not use cotinine measurement data, an objective indicator of exposure to ETS, but used the questionnaire information that parents answered. Parental responses on the child's ETS exposure may be biased, especially if the child has a health problem associated with the exposure of interest. However, the urinary cotinine levels obtained from pilot surveys on 340 children and adolescent data are actually showed that the exposed group determined by parents' responses was higher in urinary cotinine than unexposed group (**Supplementary Table 4**). Furthermore, the consistent pattern of ETS information between periods is not that different between children with and without problem behavior (**Supplementary Table 2**), the ETS information provided by parents in the present study may not be considerably biased.

In conclusion, the results suggest that exposure to ETS at home is associated with an increase in behavioral problems, with an 11% contribution to the total problem behavior in the Korean children and adolescents. Therefore, reducing the ETS exposure at home to pregnant women, infants, children, and adolescents is necessary. The victims of ETS exposure at home are mainly children. The anti-smoking law in Korea, which is mainly applied to public places, does not apply to those smoking inside the house where children stay most of the time. Thus, a policy to improve parental awareness about the importance of smoking cessation at home is necessary.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank all collaborators of the KorEHS-C project, professors Yu-Mi Kim, Kee Jae Lee, Hwan-Cheol Kim, Sinye Lim, Soo-Young Kim, Chul-Gab Lee, Su Young Kim, Hae-Kwan Cheong, Joon Sakong, Hee-Tae Kang, Mia Son, Gyung-Jae Oh, Ji-Yeon Yang, Soo-Jong Hong, Ju-Hee Seo, Jeongseon Kim, Seyoung Oh, Jeesuk Yu, Seong-Sil Chang, Youn-Hee Choi, Young Seop Hong, Young-Wook Lim, Jong-Hyeon Lee, Jong-Han Leem, and Inho Park, and Drs. Wookhee Choi, Bo-Eun Lee, Suejin Kim, and Seung Do Yu from the National Institute of Environmental Research. We are particularly thankful to Ms. Soo-Jung Kim for her enthusiastic contribution for the project management and collecting, cleaning and editing the data.



## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

### Supplementary Table 1

Descriptive statistics of problem behavior according to ETS exposure, KorEHS-C, 2012–2014

[Click here to view](#)

### Supplementary Table 2

Consistency of children's ETS exposure between periods in questionnaire information responded by parents or guardians

[Click here to view](#)

### Supplementary Table 3

The relationship between ETS exposure at home and score of problem behavior subscales by survey linear regression analysis, KorEHS-C, 2012–2014

[Click here to view](#)

### Supplementary Table 4

Urinary cotinine level according to ETS exposure, KorEHS-C, 2011

[Click here to view](#)

### Supplementary Table 5

Association between ETS exposure at home and problem behavior in non-drinking 12–18 children and adolescents, KorEHS-C, 2012–2014

[Click here to view](#)

## REFERENCES

1. Al-Delaimy WK. Hair as a biomarker for exposure to tobacco smoke. *Tob Control* 2002;11(3):176-82.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
2. Shon H, Oh A, Kim O, Lee K. Secondhand smoke exposure in commercial personal computer rooms. *J Environ Health Sci* 2010;36(4):288-93.
3. Kim EK, Choo J. Secondhand tobacco smoke exposure and associated factors among college students on campus and in the home: a preliminary study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2012;9(1):212-22.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
4. Schick S, Glantz S. Philip Morris toxicological experiments with fresh sidestream smoke: more toxic than mainstream smoke. *Tob Control* 2005;14(6):396-404.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
5. Fowles J, Dybing E. Application of toxicological risk assessment principles to the chemical constituents of cigarette smoke. *Tob Control* 2003;12(4):424-30.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
6. Sleiman M, Gundel LA, Pankow JF, Jacob P 3rd, Singer BC, Destailats H. Formation of carcinogens indoors by surface-mediated reactions of nicotine with nitrous acid, leading to potential thirdhand smoke hazards. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2010;107(15):6576-81.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)

7. Gavidia TG, Pronczuk de Garbino J, Sly PD. Children's environmental health: an under-recognised area in paediatric health care. *BMC Pediatr* 2009;9:10.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
8. DiFranza JR, Aligne CA, Weitzman M. Prenatal and postnatal environmental tobacco smoke exposure and children's health. *Pediatrics* 2004;113(4 Suppl):1007-15.  
[PUBMED](#)
9. Tyc VL, Lensing S, Vukadinovich C, Hovell MF. Smoking restrictions in the homes of children with cancer. *Am J Health Behav* 2013;37(4):440-8.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
10. Ashley MJ, Ferrence R. Reducing children's exposure to environmental tobacco smoke in homes: issues and strategies. *Tob Control* 1998;7(1):61-5.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
11. Boldo E, Medina S, Oberg M, Puklová V, Mekel O, Patja K, et al. Health impact assessment of environmental tobacco smoke in European children: sudden infant death syndrome and asthma episodes. *Public Health Rep* 2010;125(3):478-87.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
12. Kwok MK, Schooling CM, Ho LM, Leung SS, Mak KH, McGhee SM, et al. Early life second-hand smoke exposure and serious infectious morbidity during the first 8 years: evidence from Hong Kong's "Children of 1997" birth cohort. *Tob Control* 2008;17(4):263-70.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
13. Liu J, Leung PW, McCauley L, Ai Y, Pinto-Martin J. Mother's environmental tobacco smoke exposure during pregnancy and externalizing behavior problems in children. *Neurotoxicology* 2013;34:167-74.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
14. Twardella D, Bolte G, Fromme H, Wildner M, von Kries RGME Study Group. Exposure to secondhand tobacco smoke and child behaviour - results from a cross-sectional study among preschool children in Bavaria. *Acta Paediatr* 2010;99(1):106-11.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
15. Hsieh CJ, Jeng SF, Su YN, Liao HF, Hsieh WS, Wu KY, et al. CYP1A1 modifies the effect of maternal exposure to environmental tobacco smoke on child behavior. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2010;12(11):1108-17.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
16. Brook JS, Zhang C, Fagan P. Exposure to parental cigarette smoking and child problem behaviors: a longitudinal study. *J Child Fam Stud* 2008;17(3):372-84.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
17. Ministry of Health and Welfare. Law for the promotion of nation's health. <http://www.law.go.kr/lsInfoP.do?lsiSeq=188082&efYd=20170603#0000>. Accessed June 30, 2017.
18. Ha M, Kwon HJ, Leem JH, Kim HC, Lee KJ, Park I, et al. Korean Environmental Health Survey in Children and Adolescents (KorEHS-C): survey design and pilot study results on selected exposure biomarkers. *Int J Hyg Environ Health* 2014;217(2-3):260-70.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
19. Burm E, Song I, Ha M, Kim YM, Lee KJ, Kim HC, et al. Representative levels of blood lead, mercury, and urinary cadmium in youth: Korean Environmental Health Survey in Children and Adolescents (KorEHS-C), 2012–2014. *Int J Hyg Environ Health* 2016;219(4-5):412-8.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
20. Achenbach TM. *Manual for the Child Behavior Checklist/4–18 and 1991 Profile*. Burlington, VT: Department of Psychiatry, University of Vermont; 1991.
21. Kim YA, Lee J, Moon SJ, Kim YJ, Oh KJ. Standardization study for the Korean version of the child behavior checklist for ages 1.5–5. *Korean J Clin Psychol* 2009;28(1):117-36.  
[CROSSREF](#)
22. Rockhill B, Newman B, Weinberg C. Use and misuse of population attributable fractions. *Am J Public Health* 1998;88(1):15-9.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
23. Zhang J, Yu KF. What's the relative risk? A method of correcting the odds ratio in cohort studies of common outcomes. *JAMA* 1998;280(19):1690-1.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
24. Korean Statistical Information Service. Resident registration population statistics. [http://kosis.kr/statisticsList/statisticsList\\_01List.jsp?vwcd=MT\\_ZTITLE&parentId=A#SubCont](http://kosis.kr/statisticsList/statisticsList_01List.jsp?vwcd=MT_ZTITLE&parentId=A#SubCont). Accessed June 30, 2017.
25. Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The 12th (2016) adolescent health behavior online survey statistics. <https://yhs.cdc.go.kr/new/pages/pds1.asp>. Accessed June 30, 2017.

26. Wasserman GA, Liu X, Pine DS, Graziano JH. Contribution of maternal smoking during pregnancy and lead exposure to early child behavior problems. *Neurotoxicol Teratol* 2001;23(1):13-21.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
27. Ernst M, Moolchan ET, Robinson ML. Behavioral and neural consequences of prenatal exposure to nicotine. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2001;40(6):630-41.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
28. Hopson MB, Margolis A, Rauh V, Herbstman J. Impact of the home environment on the relationship between prenatal exposure to environmental tobacco smoke and child behavior. *Int J Child Health Hum Dev* 2016;9(4):453-64.  
[PUBMED](#)
29. Fagnano M, Conn KM, Halterman JS. Environmental tobacco smoke and behaviors of inner-city children with asthma. *Ambul Pediatr* 2008;8(5):288-93.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
30. Chastang J, Baiz N, Cadwallader JS, Robert S, Dywer JL, Charpin DA, et al. Postnatal environmental tobacco smoke exposure related to behavioral problems in children. *PLoS One* 2015;10(8):e0133604.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
31. Seidler FJ, Levin ED, Lappi SE, Slotkin TA. Fetal nicotine exposure ablates the ability of postnatal nicotine challenge to release norepinephrine from rat brain regions. *Brain Res Dev Brain Res* 1992;69(2):288-91.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
32. Lambers DS, Clark KE. The maternal and fetal physiologic effects of nicotine. *Semin Perinatol* 1996;20(2):115-26.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
33. Koren G. Fetal toxicology of environmental tobacco smoke. *Curr Opin Pediatr* 1995;7(2):128-31.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
34. Sharma A, Brody AL. In vivo brain imaging of human exposure to nicotine and tobacco. *Handb Exp Pharmacol* 2009;192:145-71.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
35. Baler RD, Volkow ND, Fowler JS, Benveniste H. Is fetal brain monoamine oxidase inhibition the missing link between maternal smoking and conduct disorders? *J Psychiatry Neurosci* 2008;33(3):187-95.  
[PUBMED](#)
36. Wakschlag LS, Pickett KE, Cook E Jr, Benowitz NL, Leventhal BL. Maternal smoking during pregnancy and severe antisocial behavior in offspring: a review. *Am J Public Health* 2002;92(6):966-74.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
37. Slotkin TA, Pinkerton KE, Seidler FJ. Perinatal environmental tobacco smoke exposure in rhesus monkeys: critical periods and regional selectivity for effects on brain cell development and lipid peroxidation. *Environ Health Perspect* 2006;114(1):34-9.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)