

Erratum: Correction of Table

To the Editor:

We found a mistake in our recently published article:

An YY, Kim SH, Kang BJ, Lee AW.

Treatment Response Evaluation of Breast Cancer after Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy and Usefulness of the Imaging Parameters of MRI and PET/CT

J Korean Med Sci. 2015 Jun;30(6):808-815

In the first row of Table 4, the number of pathologic responders and non-responders was reported incorrectly. We have attached a corrected version of Table 4.

Thank you.

Sincerely yours, AW Lee

Table 4. Comparison between percent changes of the parameters in DCE-MRI using CAD analysis, DWI and PET/CT and pathological response status

Parameters	Total	Pathologic non-responders (n = 17)	Pathologic responders (n = 3)	P value*
DCE-MRI				
ΔLD (%)	-61.4 (-100,38.3)	-61.1 (-92.5,38.3)	-87.7 (-100,-52.7)	0.1688
ΔTV(%)	-93 (-100.0,265)	-90.5 (-99.9,265)	-99.4 (-100,-79.4)	0.1688
ΔPE (%)	-21.8 (-100.0,169.6)	-21.7 (-74,169.6)	-57.5 (-100,-2)	0.3408
DWI				
ΔADC (%)	15.2 (-13.6,124.7)	12.9 (-13.6,124.7)	32.8 (5.8-48.3)	0.6720
PET-CT				
ΔSUV (%)	-49.05 (-100.0,6.4)	-48.1 (-38.1,6.4)	-80.6 (-100,-33.3)	0.2009

Data are presented as median (min, max). *P values of difference between non-response vs. response, by Wilcoxon Rank Sum test (two-tailed) with statistical significance set at $\alpha = 0.05$.