

말초혈관질환의 진단: 2016 American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology 및 2017 European Society of Cardiology 가이드라인을 중심으로

허규연

성균관대학교 의과대학 삼성서울병원 내분비-대사내과

Diagnosis of Peripheral Artery Disease: Focus on the 2016 American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology and 2017 European Society of Cardiology Guidelines

Kyu Yeon Hur

Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Department of Medicine, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

Abstract

Peripheral artery disease (PAD) is the most frequent cause of reduced perfusion in peripheral arteries. Patients with PAD often have manifestations of atherosclerosis of the lower limb, although both symptomatic and asymptomatic disease is common. The clinical signs of PAD can differ in diabetic and non-diabetic patients. Diabetic patients are at high risk for PAD characterized by symptoms of intermittent claudication or critical limb ischemia. However, the majority of PAD patients are clinically asymptomatic. In addition to history taking, physical examinations including inspection of the skin, palpation of leg and foot pulses, and determination of the ankle-brachial index (ABI) are considered for diagnosis of PAD. The ABI measurement is the easiest and most common investigative technique for PAD. For hemodynamic assessment, additional diagnostic modalities could be considered.

Keywords: Ankle brachial index, Diabetes mellitus, Peripheral arterial disease

Corresponding author: Kyu Yeon Hur

Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Department of Medicine, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, 81 Irwon-ro, Gangnam-gu, Seoul 06351, Korea, E-mail: ky.hur@samsung.com

Received: Feb. 7, 2019; Accepted: Feb. 8, 2019

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Copyright © 2019 Korean Diabetes Association

서론

말초혈관질환의 고위험군은 병력 청취와 증상 문진 및 진찰을 통해 말초혈관질환이 있는지 평가해야 한다.

말초혈관질환의 고위험군이란 다음의 경우를 말한다. 1) 나이 65세 이상인 경우, 2) 나이가 50~64세이면서 죽상경화증의 위험인자(예: 당뇨병, 흡연, 이상지질혈증, 고혈압)를 갖고 있거나 혹은 말초혈관질환의 가족력이 있는 경우, 3) 나이가 50세 미만이면서 당뇨병이 있고 죽상경화증의 위험인자를 한 개 이상 갖고 있는 경우, 4) 다른 혈관질환(관상동맥, 경동맥, subclavian, 신장, mesenteric 동맥 협착증 혹은 복부대동맥류)이 있음을 이미 알고 있는 경우. 임상병력 청취상 전형적인 과행(claudication), 관절과 연관 없는 움직일 때의 사지 증상(전형적인 과행 증상은 아님), 보행기능 저하, 휴식기 허혈 통증 등이 있으면 말초혈관질환을 의심해 볼 수 있다[1].

말초혈관질환의 증상과 증후는 매우 다양하다. 말초혈관질환의 전형적인 과행이나 중증의 사지허혈과 같은 증상을 나타내기도 하지만[2-7], 말초혈관질환을 진단받은 환자들의 상당수는 전형적인 과행이 없거나 사지허혈과 연관된 증상이 아닌 비전형적인 사지 증상 혹은 무증상인 경우가 많다[2,3].

말초혈관의 진찰은 맥박 촉진, 대퇴골 잡음 청진, 다리와 발을 시진하는 것으로 이루어진다. 맥박 촉진시 비정상적이거나 맥박이 잘 만져지지 않을 경우, 청진시 혈관 잡음이 들릴 경우, 시진시 사지의 상처가 잘 아물지 않은 것이 관찰되거나 하지의 괴사 등이 보이면 말초혈관질환을 의심해야 한다[6,8,9].

위와 같은 병력 청취, 증상과 증후 및 진찰을 통해 말초혈관질환이 의심된다면 이를 확진하기 위한 검사들을 시행할 필요가 있다. 본문에서 말초혈관질환 확진을 위한 검사들에 대해서 논하고자 한다. 최근 2016년 American Heart Association (AHA) and American College of Cardiology (ACC) 가이드라인[10]과 2017년 European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and European Society of Vascular

Surgery (ESVS) 가이드라인[11]을 참고로 살펴보고자 한다. 말초혈관질환에서 당뇨병 환자와 비당뇨병 환자의 차이는 있으나 초기 진단적 접근은 크게 다르지는 않아 본론에서는 일반적인 말초혈관질환의 접근법으로 언급하고자 한다.

본론

1. 말초혈관질환의 진단

병력 청취, 증상, 진찰 소견 등을 통해서 말초혈관이 의심된다면 확진을 하기 위한 검사가 필요하다.

1) 휴식기 발목-위팔지수(resting ankle-brachial index)

말초혈관질환 진단을 위해서 휴식기 발목-위팔지수(ankle-brachial index, ABI)를 가장 먼저 실시할 것을 권고한다[12-19]. 매우 간단하고 비침습적인 진단 방법이다. 누운 자세에서 도플러를 이용하여 양쪽 위팔(brachial arteries)과 양쪽 발목(dorsalis pedis와 posterior tibial arteries)에서 혈압을 측정한다. 발목 혈압은 dorsalis pedis pressure와 posterior tibial pressure 중 높은 혈압을 선택하고 양쪽 위팔 혈압 중 높은 위팔 혈압을 선택하여, 발목 혈압을 위팔 혈압으로 나눈 값으로 양쪽 각각의 발목-위팔지수로 채택하면 된다[14]. 휴식기 발목-위팔지수 결과는 비정상(≤ 0.90), 경계(0.91~0.99), 정상(1.00~1.40), 압축이 되지 않는 혈관(> 1.40)으로 보고한다[14,17-20]. 말초혈관질환의 고위험군이나 병력 청취나 진찰 과정에서 의심할 만한 정황이 없다면 발목-위팔지수를 검사해 보는 것을 권고한다[2,4,21-24]. 그러나 말초혈관질환의 고위험군이 아니고 병력 청취나 진찰 과정에서도 의심할 만한 정황도 없다면 발목-위팔지수 검사를 권고하지는 않는다[25-27].

2) Segmental lower extremity blood pressure and Doppler or plethysmographic waveforms (pulse volume recordings)

이 검사는 종종 발목-위팔지수와 함께 시행하는 경우

가 많다. 병변이 있는 부위(aortoiliac, femoropopliteal, infrapopliteal)를 찾기 위해 사용할 수 있다[28,29].

3) 생리학적 기능 평가를 위한 검사들

① 운동부하시 발목-위팔지수(exercise treadmill ankle-brachial index)

하지 중상에 영향을 줄 수 있는 기능적인 제한을 객관적으로 측정할 목적으로 시행할 수 있으며, 말초혈관질환의 증상은 있는데 휴식기 발목-위팔지수가 정상 혹은 경계의 범위에 있는 경우(> 0.90 and < 1.40)에 말초혈관질환의 진단을 확정하기 위해 시행을 권고한다. 또한 발목-위팔지수가 비정상인 경우(< 0.90)에서도 객관적으로 기능을 평가하기 위해 시행할 수 있다[29-35].

② 발가락-위팔지수(toe-brachial index)

석회화로 인해 압축이 되지 않는 혈관(non-compressible arteries, ABI > 1.40)에서 혹은 위중한 하지허혈(critical limb ischemia)이 의심되는 환자에서 관류(perfusion) 여부를 평가할 때 시행할 수 있다[35-38].

③ 경피 산소분압(transcutaneous oxygen pressure, TcPO₂)이나 피부 관류압(skin perfusion pressure)

발목-위팔지수가 정상이거나 경계에 해당하나(> 0.90 and ≤ 1.40) 낫지 않는 상처나 괴사가 있다면, 위중한 하지허혈을 진단하기 위해 파형 검사를 동반한 발가락-위팔 지수 측정이나 경피 산소분압 혹은 피부 관류압 검사를 고려할 수 있다. 또 발목-위팔지수가 비정상이면서(≤ 0.90) 낫지 않는 상처나 괴사를 동반하고 있다면 국소 관류 여부를 확인하기 위해 파형 검사를 동반한 발가락-위팔 지수 측정이나 경피 산소분압 혹은 피부 관류압 검사를 고려할 수 있다[39-43].

4) 해부학적 위치 파악을 위한 영상 검사들

Duplex ultrasound, computed tomography angiography (CTA), magnetic resonance angiography (MRA), invasive angiography 등은 증상이 있는 고위험군 환자에서 혈관개통(revascularization)을 고려할 경우에 시행한다

[44-47]. 이러한 방법들은 그 검사 방법에 따라 시술에 따른 위험도가 있을 수도 있다. 따라서 비침습적 혹은 침습적인 혈관촬영(CTA 혹은 MRA)은 무증상인 환자에서 해부학적 위치를 찾을 목적으로 시행하지 말 것을 권고한다[48-50].

결론

말초혈관질환의 진단에 관해 2016 AHA/ACC와 2017 ESC 가이드라인을 참고로 살펴보았다. 이 두 가지 가이드라인을 볼 때 염두에 둘 것이 있는데, 우선 AHA/ACC 가이드라인은 말초혈관질환을 보게 되는 모든 의사들을 대상으로 하며 하지혈관질환에 국한하여 설명하고 있는 반면에 ESC 가이드라인은 순환기 전문의들을 대상으로 하며 하지 혈관질환뿐만 아니라 다른 말초혈관질환도 포함하고 있다. 또, AHA/ACC 가이드라인은 소규모라도 잘 디자인된 비무작위 연구도 상당부분 채택하고 고려한 반면, ESC 가이드라인은 이런 연구는 증거 레벨 C로 평가하였다는 차이가 있다[51].

그러나 두 가이드라인 모두 말초혈관질환 의심 시 진단적 접근에서는 큰 차이는 없다. 첫 진단적 검사로 발목-위팔지수를 시행하도록 권고하고 있으며 처음부터 해부학적 위치를 판단하기 위한 영상검사를 하는 것은 권고하지 않는다. 다만, 발목-위팔지수 검사만으로는 놓치는 경우가 있어 이를 위한 다른 추가적인 검사들을 고려할 수 있을 것이다.

REFERENCES

- Wassel CL, Loomba R, Ix JH, Allison MA, Denenberg JO, Criqui MH. Family history of peripheral artery disease is associated with prevalence and severity of peripheral artery disease: the San Diego population study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2011;58:1386-92.
- McDermott MM, Mehta S, Greenland P. Exertional leg symptoms other than intermittent claudication are common in peripheral arterial disease. *Arch Intern Med*

- 1999;159:387-92.
3. Hirsch AT, Criqui MH, Treat-Jacobson D, Regensteiner JG, Creager MA, Olin JW, Krook SH, Hunninghake DB, Comerota AJ, Walsh ME, McDermott MM, Hiatt WR. Peripheral arterial disease detection, awareness, and treatment in primary care. *JAMA* 2001;286:1317-24.
 4. McDermott MM, Greenland P, Liu K, Guralnik JM, Criqui MH, Dolan NC, Chan C, Celic L, Pearce WH, Schneider JR, Sharma L, Clark E, Gibson D, Martin GJ. Leg symptoms in peripheral arterial disease: associated clinical characteristics and functional impairment. *JAMA* 2001;286:1599-606.
 5. Rose GA. The diagnosis of ischaemic heart pain and intermittent claudication in field surveys. *Bull World Health Organ* 1962;27:645-58.
 6. Khan NA, Rahim SA, Anand SS, Simel DL, Panju A. Does the clinical examination predict lower extremity peripheral arterial disease? *JAMA* 2006;295:536-46.
 7. Criqui MH, Denenberg JO, Bird CE, Fronek A, Klauber MR, Langer RD. The correlation between symptoms and non-invasive test results in patients referred for peripheral arterial disease testing. *Vasc Med* 1996;1:65-71.
 8. Armstrong DW, Tobin C, Matangi MF. The accuracy of the physical examination for the detection of lower extremity peripheral arterial disease. *Can J Cardiol* 2010;26:e346-50.
 9. Cournot M, Boccalon H, Cambou JP, Guilloux J, Taraszkiewicz D, Hanaire-Broutin H, Chamontin B, Galinier M, Ferrières J. Accuracy of the screening physical examination to identify subclinical atherosclerosis and peripheral arterial disease in asymptomatic subjects. *J Vasc Surg* 2007;46:1215-21.
 10. Gerhard-Herman MD, Gornik HL, Barrett C, Barshes NR, Corriere MA, Drachman DE, Fleisher LA, Fowkes FG, Hamburg NM, Kinlay S, Lookstein R, Misra S, Mureebe L, Olin JW, Patel RA, Regensteiner JG, Schanzer A, Shishehbor MH, Stewart KJ, Treat-Jacobson D, Walsh ME. 2016 AHA/ACC guideline on the management of patients with lower extremity peripheral artery disease: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association task force on clinical practice guidelines. *Circulation* 2017;135:e686-725.
 11. Aboyans V, Ricco JB, Bartelink MEL, Björck M, Brodmann M, Cohnert T, Collet JP, Czerny M, De Carlo M, Debus S, Espinola-Klein C, Kahan T, Kownator S, Mazzolai L, Naylor AR, Roffi M, Röther J, Sprynger M, Tendera M, Tepe G, Venermo M, Vlachopoulos C, Desormais I. 2017 ESC guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of peripheral arterial diseases, in collaboration with the European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS): document covering atherosclerotic disease of extracranial carotid and vertebral, mesenteric, renal, upper and lower extremity arteriesEndorsed by: the European Stroke Organization (ESO)The Task Force for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Peripheral Arterial Diseases of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and of the European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS). *Eur Heart J* 2018;39:763-816.
 12. Clark CE, Taylor RS, Shore AC, Ukomunne OC, Campbell JL. Association of a difference in systolic blood pressure between arms with vascular disease and mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2012;379:905-14.
 13. Singh S, Sethi A, Singh M, Khosla K, Grewal N, Khosla S. Simultaneously measured inter-arm and inter-leg systolic blood pressure differences and cardiovascular risk stratification: a systemic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Soc Hypertens* 2015;9:640-50.e12.
 14. Aboyans V, Criqui MH, Abraham P, Allison MA, Creager

- MA, Diehm C, Fowkes FG, Hiatt WR, Jönsson B, Lacroix P, Marin B, McDermott MM, Norgren L, Pande RL, Preux PM, Stoffers HE, Treat-Jacobson D. Measurement and interpretation of the ankle-brachial index: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2012;126:2890-909.
15. Premalatha G, Ravikumar R, Sanjay R, Deepa R, Mohan V. Comparison of colour duplex ultrasound and ankle-brachial pressure index measurements in peripheral vascular disease in type 2 diabetic patients with foot infections. *J Assoc Physicians India* 2002;50:1240-4.
16. Allen J, Oates CP, Henderson J, Jago J, Whittingham TA, Chamberlain J, Jones NA, Murray A. Comparison of lower limb arterial assessments using color-duplex ultrasound and ankle/brachial pressure index measurements. *Angiology* 1996;47:225-32.
17. Lijmer JG, Hunink MG, van den Dungen JJ, Loonstra J, Smit AJ. ROC analysis of noninvasive tests for peripheral arterial disease. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 1996;22:391-8.
18. Guo X, Li J, Pang W, Zhao M, Luo Y, Sun Y, Hu D. Sensitivity and specificity of ankle-brachial index for detecting angiographic stenosis of peripheral arteries. *Circ J* 2008;72:605-10.
19. Niazi K, Khan TH, Easley KA. Diagnostic utility of the two methods of ankle brachial index in the detection of peripheral arterial disease of lower extremities. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv* 2006;68:788-92.
20. Aboyans V, Ho E, Denenberg JO, Ho LA, Natarajan L, Criqui MH. The association between elevated ankle systolic pressures and peripheral occlusive arterial disease in diabetic and nondiabetic subjects. *J Vasc Surg* 2008;48:1197-203.
21. Lin JS, Olson CM, Johnson ES, Whitlock EP. The ankle-brachial index for peripheral artery disease screening and cardiovascular disease prediction among asymptomatic adults: a systematic evidence review for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. *Ann Intern Med* 2013;159:333-41.
22. Diehm C, Allenberg JR, Pittrow D, Mahn M, Tepohl G, Haberl RL, Darius H, Burghaus I, Trampisch HJ. Mortality and vascular morbidity in older adults with asymptomatic versus symptomatic peripheral artery disease. *Circulation* 2009;120:2053-61.
23. Ankle Brachial Index Collaboration, Fowkes FG, Murray GD, Butcher I, Heald CL, Lee RJ, Chambless LE, Folsom AR, Hirsch AT, Dramaix M, deBacker G, Wautrecht JC, Kornitzer M, Newman AB, Cushman M, Sutton-Tyrrell K, Fowkes FG, Lee AJ, Price JF, d'Agostino RB, Murabito JM, Norman PE, Jamrozik K, Curb JD, Masaki KH, Rodríguez BL, Dekker JM, Bouter LM, Heine RJ, Nijpels G, Stehouwer CD, Ferrucci L, McDermott MM, Stoffers HE, Hooi JD, Knottnerus JA, Ogren M, Hedblad B, Witteman JC, Breteler MM, Hunink MG, Hofman A, Criqui MH, Langer RD, Fronek A, Hiatt WR, Hamman R, Resnick HE, Guralnik J, McDermott MM. Ankle brachial index combined with Framingham Risk Score to predict cardiovascular events and mortality: a meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2008;300:197-208.
24. Bundó M, Muñoz L, Pérez C, Montero JJ, Montellà N, Torán P, Pera G. Asymptomatic peripheral arterial disease in type 2 diabetes patients: a 10-year follow-up study of the utility of the ankle brachial index as a prognostic marker of cardiovascular disease. *Ann Vasc Surg* 2010;24:985-93.
25. Guirguis-Blake JM, Evans CV, Redmond N, Lin JS. Screening for peripheral artery disease using the ankle-brachial index: updated evidence report and systematic review for the US preventive services task force. *JAMA* 2018;320:184-96.
26. O'Hare AM, Glidden DV, Fox CS, Hsu CY. High

- prevalence of peripheral arterial disease in persons with renal insufficiency: results from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 1999-2000. *Circulation* 2004;109:320-3.
27. Criqui MH, Vargas V, Denenberg JO, Ho E, Allison M, Langer RD, Gamst A, Bundens WP, Fronek A. Ethnicity and peripheral arterial disease: the San Diego Population Study. *Circulation* 2005;112:2703-7.
28. Eslahpazir BA, Allemand MT, Lakin RO, Carman TL, Trivonovich MR, Wong VL, Wang J, Baele HR, Kashyap VS. Pulse volume recording does not enhance segmental pressure readings for peripheral arterial disease stratification. *Ann Vasc Surg* 2014;28:18-27.
29. Raines JK, Darling RC, Buth J, Brewster DC, Austen WG. Vascular laboratory criteria for the management of peripheral vascular disease of the lower extremities. *Surgery* 1976;79:21-9.
30. Mahe G, Pollak AW, Liedl DA, Cohoon KP, Mc Carter C, Rooke TW, Wennberg PW. Discordant diagnosis of lower extremity peripheral artery disease using American Heart Association postexercise guidelines. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2015;94:e1277.
31. Nicolaï SP, Viechtbauer W, Kruidenier LM, Candel MJ, Prins MH, Teijink JA. Reliability of treadmill testing in peripheral arterial disease: a meta-regression analysis. *J Vasc Surg* 2009;50:322-9.
32. Stein R, Hriljac I, Halperin JL, Gustavson SM, Teodorescu V, Olin JW. Limitation of the resting ankle-brachial index in symptomatic patients with peripheral arterial disease. *Vasc Med* 2006;11:29-33.
33. Laing SP, Greenhalgh RM. Standard exercise test to assess peripheral arterial disease. *Br Med J* 1980;280:13-6.
34. Sumner DS, Strandness DE Jr. The relationship between calf blood flow and ankle blood pressure in patients with intermittent claudication. *Surgery* 1969;65:763-71.
35. Schröder F, Diehm N, Kareem S, Ames M, Pira A, Zwettler U, Lawall H, Diehm C. A modified calculation of ankle-brachial pressure index is far more sensitive in the detection of peripheral arterial disease. *J Vasc Surg* 2006;44:531-6.
36. Carter SA, Tate RB. Value of toe pulse waves in addition to systolic pressures in the assessment of the severity of peripheral arterial disease and critical limb ischemia. *J Vasc Surg* 1996;24:258-65.
37. Ramsey DE, Manke DA, Sumner DS. Toe blood pressure. A valuable adjunct to ankle pressure measurement for assessing peripheral arterial disease. *J Cardiovasc Surg (Torino)* 1983;24:43-8.
38. Vincent DG, Salles-Cunha SX, Bernhard VM, Towne JB. Noninvasive assessment of toe systolic pressures with special reference to diabetes mellitus. *J Cardiovasc Surg (Torino)* 1983;24:22-8.
39. Biotteau E, Mahe G, Rousseau P, Leftheriotis G, Abraham P. Transcutaneous oxygen pressure measurements in diabetic and non-diabetic patients clinically suspected of severe limb ischemia: a matched paired retrospective analysis. *Int Angiol* 2009;28:479-83.
40. Yamada T, Ohta T, Ishibashi H, Sugimoto I, Iwata H, Takahashi M, Kawanishi J. Clinical reliability and utility of skin perfusion pressure measurement in ischemic limbs--comparison with other noninvasive diagnostic methods. *J Vasc Surg* 2008;47:318-23.
41. Castronuovo JJ Jr, Adera HM, Smiell JM, Price RM. Skin perfusion pressure measurement is valuable in the diagnosis of critical limb ischemia. *J Vasc Surg* 1997;26:629-37.
42. Bunte MC, Jacob J, Nudelman B, Shishehbor MH. Validation of the relationship between ankle-brachial and toe-brachial indices and infragenicular arterial patency in critical limb ischemia. *Vasc Med* 2015;20:23-9.

43. Shishehbor MH, Hammad TA, Zeller T, Baumgartner I, Scheinert D, Rocha-Singh KJ. An analysis of IN.PACT DEEP randomized trial on the limitations of the societal guidelines-recommended hemodynamic parameters to diagnose critical limb ischemia. *J Vasc Surg* 2016;63:1311-7.
44. Burbelko M, Augsten M, Kalinowski MO, Heverhagen JT. Comparison of contrast-enhanced multi-station MR angiography and digital subtraction angiography of the lower extremity arterial disease. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2013;37:1427-35.
45. Shareghi S, Gopal A, Gul K, Matchinson JC, Wong CB, Weinberg N, Lensky M, Budoff MJ, Shavelle DM. Diagnostic accuracy of 64 multidetector computed tomographic angiography in peripheral vascular disease. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv* 2010;75:23-31.
46. Ota H, Takase K, Igarashi K, Chiba Y, Haga K, Saito H, Takahashi S. MDCT compared with digital subtraction angiography for assessment of lower extremity arterial occlusive disease: importance of reviewing cross-sectional images. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2004;182:201-9.
47. de Vries SO, Hunink MG, Polak JF. Summary receiver operating characteristic curves as a technique for meta-analysis of the diagnostic performance of duplex ultrasonography in peripheral arterial disease. *Acad Radiol* 1996;3:361-9.
48. Andreucci M, Solomon R, Tasanarong A. Side effects of radiographic contrast media: pathogenesis, risk factors, and prevention. *Biomed Res Int* 2014;2014:741018.
49. Stacul F, van der Molen AJ, Reimer P, Webb JA, Thomsen HS, Morcos SK, Almén T, Aspelin P, Bellin MF, Clement O, Heinz-Peer G. Contrast induced nephropathy: updated ESUR Contrast Media Safety Committee guidelines. *Eur Radiol* 2011;21:2527-41.
50. McCullough PA, Capasso P. Patient discomfort associated with the use of intra-arterial iodinated contrast media: a meta-analysis of comparative randomized controlled trials. *BMC Med Imaging* 2011;11:12.
51. Kithcart AP, Beckman JA. ACC/AHA versus ESC guidelines for diagnosis and management of peripheral artery disease: JACC guideline comparison. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2018;72:2789-801.