

Evaluation of the Vitek 2 AST-N055 Card for the Susceptibility Testing of *Acinetobacter baumannii* Isolates to Amikacin

Dong-Jin Park¹, Wonkeun Song¹, Taek-Kyung Kim², Jae-Seok Kim¹, Han-Sung Kim¹, Kyu Man Lee¹

Department of Laboratory Medicine, ¹Hallym University College of Medicine, ²Kangnam Sacred Heart Hospital, Seoul, Korea

We collected 76 clinical isolates of *Acinetobacter baumannii* (amikacin MIC by Vitek 2 AST-N055 card: $\leq 2 \mu\text{g/mL}$, 11 isolates; $4 \mu\text{g/mL}$, 19 isolates; $8 \mu\text{g/mL}$, 17 isolates; $16 \mu\text{g/mL}$, 27 isolates; and $\geq 64 \mu\text{g/mL}$, 2 isolates) from a university hospital and evaluated the Vitek 2 AST-N055 card vs the broth microdilution as a reference method for testing susceptibility to amikacin. Vitek 2 AST-N055 card yielded very major errors in 15 isolates (19.7%) and minor errors in 26 isolates (34.2%). Of the 15 isolates shown very major errors, 14 had Vitek 2 MICs ranging from

8 to $16 \mu\text{g/mL}$. The results of our study suggest strongly that it is unreliable to test the amikacin susceptibility by Vitek 2 AST-N055 card of *A. baumannii* with the Vitek 2 MICs ranging from 8 to $16 \mu\text{g/mL}$. In those cases, another susceptibility test, such as broth microdilution (BMD), should be performed to confirm the results. (Korean J Clin Microbiol 2009;12: 144-145)

Key Words: Amikacin, *Acinetobacter baumannii*, Vitek 2

Acinetobacter baumannii is an important pathogen that causes various hospital-acquired infections[1]. Multidrug-resistance in *A. baumannii* has been increasing rapidly worldwide[2-4].

The clinical microbiology laboratory of our hospital uses Vitek 2 system for the routine antimicrobial susceptibility testing of *A. baumannii* isolates. According to the manufacturer's guideline of Vitek 2 AST-N055 card, amikacin susceptibility to *A. baumannii* may be performed as an alternative method prior to reporting the results. Thus, we evaluated the AST-N055 card of the Vitek 2 system for amikacin susceptibility testing of *A. baumannii* isolates.

From August 2007 to December 2008, we collected 76 non-duplicate clinical isolates of *A. baumannii* (amikacin MIC by Vitek 2 AST-N055 card: $\leq 2 \mu\text{g/mL}$, 11 isolates; $4 \mu\text{g/mL}$, 19 isolates; $8 \mu\text{g/mL}$, 17 isolates; $16 \mu\text{g/mL}$, 27 isolates; and $\geq 64 \mu\text{g/mL}$, 2 isolates) from a hospital. The turbidity of bacterial suspension was adjusted to a 0.6 McFarland standard. The amikacin susceptibility testing of the 76 *A. baumannii* strains was performed with broth microdilution (BMD) method. The reference MIC was determined by the BMD with cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton broth according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines[5]. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 and *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922 were used as the quality control strains.

Using the BMD as the reference method, Vitek 2 AST-N055 cards showed very major errors in 15 isolates (19.7%) and minor errors in 26 isolates (34.2%). Of the 15 isolates shown very major errors, 14 isolates had the Vitek 2 MICs ranging from 8 to $16 \mu\text{g/mL}$. Of the 44 isolates with Vitek 2 MICs of $8 \sim 16 \mu\text{g/mL}$, 33 isolates (75%) were intermediate (19 isolates) or resistant (14 isolates) (Table 1). The two previous studies that compared between amikacin Vitek 2 system and BMD for 31 and 20 *A. baumannii*

Table 1. Comparison of broth microdilution method and Vitek 2 AST-N055 card for amikacin susceptibility testing of 76 *A. baumannii* isolates

Category (MIC, $\mu\text{g/mL}$)		No. of isolates
Broth microdilution	Vitek 2	
R (≥ 512)	S (16)	1
R (128)	R (≥ 64)	2
R (128)	S (16)	1
R (64)	S (16)	7
R (64)	S (8)	5
R (64)	S (4)	1
I (32)	S (16)	12
I (32)	S (8)	7
I (32)	S (4)	7
S (16)	S (16)	6
S (16)	S (8)	3
S (16)	S (4)	10
S (8)	S (8)	2
S (8)	S (4)	1
S (8)	S (≤ 2)	5
S (4)	S (≤ 2)	6

Received 12 May, 2009, Revised 17 August, 2009

Accepted 25 August, 2009

Correspondence: Wonkeun Song, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Hallym University College of Medicine, 948-1, Daerim 1-dong, Youngdeungpo-gu, Seoul 150-950, Korea. (Tel) 82-2-829-5259, (Fax) 82-2-847-2403, (E-mail) swonkeun@hallym.or.kr

isolates, respectively, showed a satisfactory agreement[6,7]. However, our investigation differed from those of the other researchers in that we used a well-selected collection of challenge strains with known amikacin MIC by Vitek 2 AST-N055 cards instead of using a collection of consecutive isolates from routine clinical specimens. Forty-four of the 76 *A. baumannii* isolates showed the Vitek 2 MIC ranging from 8 to 16 $\mu\text{g/mL}$. In our hospital, most of the amikacin-susceptible *A. baumannii* isolates showed MICs of 2 to 4 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, but the isolates with the MICs of 8 to 16 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ were rare (data not shown).

To evaluate inoculum size as a determinant in the difference of the MIC results obtained with the Vitek 2 system, 48 of the 76 *A. baumannii* isolates were also tested by using a 1.5 McFarland standard inoculum for Vitek 2 system. The categorical results of the amikacin susceptibility were the same as a 0.6 McFarland standard inoculum was used (data not shown).

In conclusions, the results of our study are strongly suggestive of the Vitek 2 AST-N055 card being unreliable in the amikacin susceptibility testing of *A. baumannii* with Vitek 2 MICs ranging from 8 to 16 $\mu\text{g/mL}$. So, in those cases, we recommend an additional susceptibility test such as BMD should be performed to confirm the results.

mannii infections among patients at military medical facilities treating injured U. S. service members, 2002-2004. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2004;53:1063-6.

2. Jeon BC, Jeong SH, Bae IK, Kwon SB, Lee K, Yong D, et al. Investigation of a nosocomial outbreak of imipenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* producing the OXA-23 β -lactamase in Korea. J Clin Microbiol 2005;43:2241-5.
3. Sunenshine RH, Wright MO, Maragakis LL, Harris AD, Song X, Hebden J, et al. Multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter* infection mortality rate and length of hospitalization. Emerg Infect Dis 2007;13:97-103.
4. Wareham DW, Bean DC, Khanna P, Hennessy EM, Krahe D, Ely A, et al. Bloodstream infection due to *Acinetobacter* spp: epidemiology, risk factors and impact of multi-drug resistance. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2008;27:607-12.
5. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; eighteenth informational supplement. Document M100-S18. Wayne, PA; CLSI, 2008.
6. Ling TK, Tom PC, Liu ZK, Cheng AF. Evaluation of VITEK 2 rapid identification and susceptibility testing system against gram-negative clinical isolates. J Clin Microbiol 2001;39:2964-6.
7. Hsieh WS, Sung LL, Tsai KC, Ho HT. Evaluation of the Vitek 2 cards for identification and antimicrobial susceptibility testing of non-glucose-fermenting Gram-negative bacilli. APMIS 2009;11:241-7.

REFERENCES

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Acinetobacter bau-*

=국문초록=

Vitek 2 AST-N055 카드를 이용한 *Acinetobacter baumannii*의 Amikacin에 대한 감수성시험의 평가

¹한림대학교 의과대학 진단검사의학교실, ²강남성심병원 진단검사의학과

박동진¹, 송원근¹, 김택경², 김재석¹, 김한성¹, 이규만¹

76주의 *Acinetobacter baumannii* (Vitek 2 AST-N055 카드의 amikacin MIC: $\leq 2 \mu\text{g/mL}$, 11 isolates; $4 \mu\text{g/mL}$, 19 isolates; $8 \mu\text{g/mL}$, 17 isolates; $16 \mu\text{g/mL}$, 27 isolates; $\geq 64 \mu\text{g/mL}$, 2 isolates)를 대상으로 액체배지 미량희석법을 표준방법으로 하여 Vitek 2 AST-N055 카드의 amikacin 감수성시험의 정확성을 평가하고자 하였다. Vitek 2 AST-N055 카드는 19.7% (15주)가 very major error이었고 34.2% (26주)가 minor error이었다. Very major error를 보인 15주의 *A. baumannii* 중 14주의 Vitek 2 MIC는 $8 \sim 16 \mu\text{g/mL}$ 이었다. 결과적으로 Vitek 2 AST-N055 카드의 *A. baumannii*에 대한 amikacin MIC가 $8 \sim 16 \mu\text{g/mL}$ 를 보이는 결과는 부정확하여 미량액체배지희석법과 같은 확인검사가 필요하다. [대한임상미생물학회지 2009;12:144-145]

교신저자 : 송원근, 150-950, 서울시 영등포구 대림 1동 948-1
한림대학교 의과대학 진단검사의학교실
Tel: 02-829-5259, Fax: 02-847-2403
E-mail: swonkeun@hallym.or.kr