



The changes of endotracheal tube cuff pressure by the position changes from supine to prone and the flexion and extension of head

Deokkyu Kim¹, Byeongdo Jeon¹, Ji-Seon Son¹, Jun-Rae Lee²,
Seonghoon Ko¹, and Hyungsun Lim¹

¹Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, Chonbuk National University Medical School, ²Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Chonbuk National University Dentistry School, Jeonju, Korea

Background: The proper cuff pressure is important to prevent complications related to the endotracheal tube (ETT). We evaluated the change in ETT cuff pressure by changing the position from supine to prone without head movement.

Methods: Fifty-five patients were enrolled and scheduled for lumbar spine surgery. Neutral angle, which was the angle on the mandibular angle between the neck midline and mandibular inferior border, was measured. The initial neutral pressure of the ETT cuff was measured, and the cuff pressure was subsequently adjusted to 26 cmH₂O. Flexed or extended angles and cuff pressure were measured in both supine and prone positions, when the patient's head was flexed or extended. Initial neutral pressure in prone was compared with adjusted neutral pressure (26 cmH₂O) in supine. Flexed and extended pressure were compared with adjusted neutral pressure in supine or prone, respectively.

Results: There were no differences between supine and prone position for neutral, flexed, and extended angles. The initial neutral pressure increased after changing position from supine to prone (26.0 vs. 31.5 ± 5.9 cmH₂O, P < 0.001). Flexed and extended pressure in supine were increased to 38.7 ± 6.7 (P < 0.001) and 26.7 ± 4.7 cmH₂O (not statistically significant) than the adjusted neutral pressure. Flexed and extended pressure in prone were increased to 40.5 ± 8.8 (P < 0.001) and 29.9 ± 8.7 cmH₂O (P = 0.002) than the adjusted neutral pressure.

Conclusions: The position change from supine to prone without head movement can cause a change in ETT cuff pressure. (Korean J Anesthesiol 2015; 68: 27-31)

Key Words: Cuff pressure, Endotracheal tube, Head and neck position, Prone position.

Corresponding author: Hyungsun Lim, M.D., Ph.D.
Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, Chonbuk
National University Medical School, Geonji-ro 20, Deokjin-gu, Jeonju
561-712, Korea
Tel: 82-63-250-1241, Fax: 82-63-250-1240
E-mail: hslim@jbnu.ac.kr

Received: July 7, 2014.

Revised: 1st, September 15, 2014; 2nd, September 23, 2014.

Accepted: September 25, 2014.

Korean J Anesthesiol 2015 February 68(1): 27-31
<http://dx.doi.org/10.4097/kjae.2015.68.1.27>

Introduction

The safety margin of endotracheal tube (ETT) cuff pressure is determined between over-inflation and under-inflation. Under-inflation can cause air leakage, which lessens the effect of mechanical ventilation and produces a leakage of inhalation anesthetics. ETT cuff pressure below 20 cmH₂O is a risk factor of ventilator associated pneumonia [1,2]. However, over-inflation of the ETT cuff can cause a serious injury and affect blood flow to the tracheal mucosa [3], resulting in tracheal stenosis, tra-

cheoesophageal fistula, or tracheal rupture [4].

The tracheal intubated ETT can be displaced by movement of the patient's head and neck [5]. Movement of the head and neck and the displacement of ETT can cause a change in ETT cuff pressure [6,7]. There was a report on the effect of position change from spine to prone on cuff pressure [8], but the prone position in the study was with the patient's head rotated to the right. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the ETT cuff pressure by a position change to prone without the movement of head and neck. Additionally, the change in cuff pressure on flexion and extension of the patient's head was also evaluated.

Materials and Methods

This prospective and interventional study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of our hospital. Informed consent was obtained from all patients who were enrolled in the study. Fifty-five patients, 20 years or older and American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status 1–3, were enrolled and scheduled for lumbar spine surgery under general anesthesia. Exclusion criteria included patients with neck pain, a history of neck surgery, a limitation of neck movement, or morbid obesity (body mass index > 35).

The induction of anesthesia was performed with propofol, rocuronium, remifentanil (with target controlled infusion), and sevoflurane. All patients were intubated with a reinforced ETT (Mallinckrodt™, Covidien, Tullamore, Ireland), of inner diameter 7.5 mm for male, and 7.0 mm for female, when train-of-four (TOF) count was 0. TOF count was maintained at 0 during the study. The tip of the ETT was placed at 4 cm above the carina using a fiberoptic bronchoscope (FI-7RBS, Pentax Corp, Tokyo, Japan), and the cuff was inflated by the standard technique. The ETT was taped at the right corner of the patient's mouth with oral airway for bite block. Patients were mechanically ventilated by volume-controlled ventilation with 50% of oxygen in medical air. Initial tidal volume and respiratory rate was set at 8 ml/kg and of 10 cycle/min, respectively and adjusted to maintain less than 25 cmH₂O peak airway pressure and an end-tidal carbon dioxide tension from 30 to 35 mmHg.

The patient's head and neck were postured straight and natural with a 5 cm high pillow, and this head posture was defined as a neutral posture. In neutral posture, the neutral angle, an angle on the mandibular angle between the midline of neck and the inferior border of mandible, was measured with a protractor (Fig. 1). The initial neutral pressure of the ETT cuff, inflated by the standard technique, was measured using a manometer (Mallinckrodt Medical, Hennef, Germany); subsequently, the cuff pressure was adjusted at 26 cmH₂O. An ETT cuff pressure of 20 to 30 cmH₂O was recommended to prevent the complication of under- or over-inflation, in previous studies [2,9]. The

median values adjustment from 20 to 30 cmH₂O was ideally 25 cmH₂O, however, we set the cuff pressure as 26 cmH₂O because our analog manometer was adjustable by 2 cmH₂O intervals. When the posture of the patient's head was gently flexed, the flexed pressure of the cuff and the flexed angle were measured. The head posture was then returned to the neutral posture and the cuff pressure was re-adjusted to 26 cmH₂O. The extended pressure of the ETT cuff and the extended angle were measured at the extended posture of the patient's head, and the patient's head was then returned to neutral posture. The cuff pressure was re-adjusted to 26 cmH₂O in neutral position, before changing the position to prone. The position change from supine to prone was made on a Wilson frame with a standard operation table. The increase in intrathoracic pressure by the increased abdominal pressure in prone position was prevented by attempts to ensure that the abdomen of the patient was not compressed. The initial neutral pressure of cuff and the neutral angle were recorded in prone position, while the patient was kept at neutral posture in supine as possible. The flexed and extended pressure and angle were measured and recorded. The cuff pressure was measured after a steady period of 2–3 respiration cycles, with the values was recorded at end-expiration to minimize the effect of airway pressure. During the procedure, the manometer was continuously attached to the pilot balloon of the ETT, and the pressure was controlled by the pressure relief valve and bulb (manual pump) of the manometer. The pressure was decompressed right after the measurement for the patient's safety, if the cuff pressure was increased to more than 30 cmH₂O.

The sample size was calculated based on the results of a previous study [8], and the standard deviation of cuff pressure in



Fig. 1. Neutral angle, which was the angle on the mandibular angle between the midline of neck and the inferior border of mandible, was measured using a protractor, after the patient's head and neck were postured in straight and neutral with a 5 cm high pillow.

prone position with head rotation was 7.3 cmH₂O. However, since our study aimed to evaluate the ETT cuff pressure by the position change to prone without the movement of head and neck, we presumed the standard deviation of ETT cuff pressure was 10 cmH₂O, and a change of 5 cmH₂O of cuff pressure was considered as significant. Accordingly a sample size of 44 patients was required to achieve a power of 0.9 and an α of 0.05. When the elimination rate was set at 20%, the valid sample size was calculated as 55 patients.

Data were expressed as mean \pm SD or number of patients. Neutral, flexed, and extended angle were compared between supine and prone position. Initial neutral pressure in prone was compared with the adjusted neutral pressure (26 cmH₂O) in supine. Flexed and extended pressure were compared with adjusted neutral pressure in supine or prone, respectively. The changes of angle and pressure were analyzed by paired t-test with two-tailed. The analysis was performed with Sigmaplot 12 (Systat Software Inc., San Jose, USA). Statistical significance was considered at $P < 0.05$.

Results

The age, sex, height, and weight of all patients were 58.6 \pm 13.7 yr, 32 male and 23 female, 162.8 \pm 8.9 cm, and 64.9 \pm 9.9 kg. The neutral, flexed, and extended angle on the mandibular angle between the midline of neck and the inferior border of mandible were showed in Table 1. There were no differences between su-

Table 1. Angles on the Mandibular Angle between the Midline of Neck and the Inferior Border of Mandible

| | Supine (n = 55) | Prone (n = 55) |
|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Neutral angle (°) | 69.9 \pm 5.9 | 67.7 \pm 5.4 |
| Flexed angle (°) | 58.7 \pm 7.5 | 57.7 \pm 7.2 |
| Extended angle (°) | 100.5 \pm 7.6 | 101.6 \pm 8.5 |

Data are mean \pm SD. Neutral, flexed, and extended angle are angles on the mandibular angle between the midline of neck and inferior border of mandible at the neutral, flexed, and extended posture of the patient's head, respectively. There are no differences between supine and prone position in neutral, flexed, and extended angle, respectively.

pine and prone position in neutral, flexed, and extended angle, respectively.

The initial neutral pressure was 32.4 \pm 12.9 cmH₂O at neutral posture when an ETT cuff was inflated by the standard technique in supine position. The initial neutral pressure after changing position from supine to prone was increased to 31.5 \pm 5.9 cmH₂O ($P < 0.001$) than the adjusted neutral pressure of the supine position (Table 2).

The flexed pressure in supine was significantly increased to 38.7 \pm 6.7 cmH₂O ($P < 0.001$) than the adjusted neutral pressure; and the extended pressure was not statistically changed than the adjusted neutral pressure (Table 3). After changing position from supine to prone, flexed and extended pressure were increased to 40.5 \pm 8.8 ($P < 0.001$) and 29.9 \pm 8.7 ($P = 0.002$) cmH₂O than the adjusted neutral pressure, respectively (Table 3). The number of patients, whose cuff pressure was increased, decreased, or not changed, were presented in Tables 2 and 3. The maximum flexed pressure was 64 cmH₂O in supine and 72 cmH₂O in prone position, and the maximum extended pressure was 38 and 60 cmH₂O in supine and prone, respectively.

Discussion

The results of this study demonstrated that position change from supine to prone increases the cuff pressure of ETT. Head flexion is a cause of increased cuff pressure in both supine and prone positions, but head extension increases the cuff pressure in the prone position only.

The position change to prone increased the ETT cuff pressure in our study (Table 2). We kept the posture of the head and neck unchanged in supine and prone positions, so that there was no difference between the neutral, flexed, and extended angles in supine position and those in prone position. The flexion of head and neck moves the ETT toward the carina, while extension displaces the tube cranially [5,10]. Kako et al. [6], showed that the cuff pressure was changed by head rotation, neck flexion and extension in a pediatric population, and the major cause of increased cuff pressure was movement of the ETT. Minonishi et al. [8] reported that supine-to-prone position change with head flexion and rotation resulted in decreased ETT cuff pressure and

Table 2. Changes of Endotracheal Tube Cuff Pressure at Neutral Head Posture after Changing Position from Supine to Prone

| | Supine (n = 55) | Prone (n = 55) |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|
| Cuff pressure (cmH ₂ O) | 26.0 | 31.5 \pm 5.9* |
| Patients whose cuff pressure increased from adjusted neutral pressure in supine | - | 50 |
| Patients whose cuff pressure decreased from adjusted neutral pressure in supine | - | 2 |
| Patients whose cuff pressure was unchanged from adjusted neutral pressure in supine | - | 3 |

Data are mean \pm SD or number of patients. Adjusted neutral pressure is the endotracheal tube cuff pressure adjusted to 26 cmH₂O at neutral head posture before changing position from supine to prone. * $P < 0.05$ compared with adjusted neutral pressure in supine.

Table 3. Changes of Endotracheal Tube Cuff Pressure according to Head Posture

| | Supine (n = 55) | | | Prone (n = 55) | | |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| | Neutral posture | Flexed posture | Extended posture | Neutral posture | Flexed posture | Extended posture |
| Cuff pressure (cmH ₂ O) | 26.0 | 38.7 ± 6.7* | 26.7 ± 4.7 | 26.0 | 40.5 ± 8.8* | 29.9 ± 8.7* |
| Patients whose cuff pressure increased from adjusted neutral pressure in supine or prone | - | 54 | 25 | - | 54 | 34 |
| Patients whose cuff pressure decreased from adjusted neutral pressure in supine or prone | - | 0 | 16 | - | 0 | 7 |
| Patients whose cuff pressure was unchanged from adjusted neutral pressure in supine or prone | - | 1 | 14 | - | 1 | 14 |

Data are mean ± SD or numbers of patient. Adjusted neutral pressure is the endotracheal tube cuff pressure adjusted to 26 cmH₂O at neutral head posture before changing head posture from neutral to flexed or extended posture. *P < 0.05 compared with adjusted neutral pressure in supine and prone, respectively.

tendency of ETT withdrawal. They observed a significant correlation between ETT movement and change in cuff pressure. However, the head of patient was rotated to the right in prone position, which differed from our study. The main result of our study was that the cuff pressure increased from 26 cmH₂O to 31.5 ± 5.9 cmH₂O by the position change from supine to prone without any change in head and neck posture.

The cause of cuff pressure increase cannot be explained precisely, because the factors that affect the ETT cuff pressure in prone position have not been studied up to now. However, 2 factors can be postulated for the increased ETT cuff pressure by the position change only from supine to prone. The first is an anatomic structure of the neck. The trachea is located in the anterior portion of the neck, and therefore cervical spine, muscles, and major vessels can compress the trachea by the gravity in prone position [11]. Moreover, the trachea contains numerous rings of hyaline cartilage which are C-shaped and incomplete dorsally, so the posterior aspect of the trachea can be more easily compressed. The second factor for increased ETT cuff pressure is the increased intrathoracic pressure by the prone position with Wilson frame, because the anterior wall of the chest and abdomen is compressed. The increased intrathoracic pressure leads to an increasing airway pressure, which could cause the increase of ETT cuff pressure during inspiration, in patients with mechanical ventilation. However, during expiration, if a patient did not receive a positive end-expiratory pressure, the airway pressure decreased to near zero. In this study, because the cuff pressure was measured at the end-expiratory phase to prevent the effect of increased airway pressure in prone position, the effect of airway pressure would minimally increase the cuff pressure. The factors mentioned above are hypotheses that require further studies.

The cuff pressure was changed by the movement of head in our study, similar to the results of previous studies [6,7]. Flexed pressure increased from 26 cmH₂O to 38.7 ± 6.7 cmH₂O in su-

pine and from 26 cmH₂O to 40.5 ± 8.8 cmH₂O in prone, while the flexed angle decreased about 10° than the neutral angle. When extended angle was increased about 30° than neutral angle, extended pressure was unchanged in supine but 29.9 ± 8.7 (P = 0.002) cmH₂O in prone compared with neutral pressure. Although the flexed pressure and extended pressure were not directly comparable because the cuff pressure was re-adjusted in neutral posture before the change of head posture in this study, the flexed pressure was increased in 54 of 55 patients in both supine and prone positions. On the other hand, the extended pressure was increased in 25 patients in supine and 34 patients in prone. Therefore, flexed posture should be of more concern in clinical anesthetic management. Also, in the case of the combination of prone position and head flexion, an increased cuff pressure is expected. Overpressure of the ETT cuff can cause ischemic injury to the tracheal mucosa, hence the cuff pressure should be monitored and managed properly. The maximum flexed pressure was 64 cmH₂O in supine and 72 cmH₂O in prone position in our study, and tracheal rupture is possible even at these pressures [4].

The under-inflation of the ETT cuff can lead to air leakage, which lessens the effect of mechanical ventilation and produces the leakage of inhalation anesthetics. Rello et al. [1] reported that persistent intracuff pressure below 20 cmH₂O has a high risk of ventilator associated pneumonia in intensive care patients. Extended pressure was decreased in our study than neutral pressure in 16 and 7 of 55 patients in supine and prone, respectively. Most of these patients had extended pressure above 20 cmH₂O. However, if the neutral pressure was less than 26 cmH₂O, the cuff pressure by the extension of head and neck could possibly be less than 20 cmH₂O.

This study had some limitations. Firstly, we did not evaluate the displacement of the ETT after position change by fiberoptic bronchoscopy. The tip of ETT in supine position is not assuredly the same as that in prone position. Also, it is not clear whether

the displacement of ETT occurs in flexed or extended postures. Secondly, in our study, neutral, flexed, and extended head posture in prone was not exactly the same as those in supine, which may have affected our results. However, we minimized differences of neutral, flexed, and extended head posture before and after changing the position to prone. Thirdly, because the mode of the manometer was not digital, there may have been an error in the reading of cuff pressure by the investigator. These factors

might be a possible source of bias.

In conclusion, the position change solely from supine to prone without the movement of head and neck can cause the increase of endotracheal tube cuff pressure. Also, the head flexion leads to increased cuff pressure in most patients. Therefore, the cuff pressure of the endotracheal tube should be monitored and managed properly after the position change from supine to prone.

References

1. Rello J, Soñora R, Jubert P, Artigas A, Rué M, Vallés J. Pneumonia in intubated patients: role of respiratory airway care. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1996; 154: 111-5.
2. American Thoracic Society; Infectious Diseases Society of America. Guidelines for the management of adults with hospital-acquired, ventilator-associated, and healthcare-associated pneumonia. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2005; 171: 388-416.
3. Dobrin P, Canfield T. Cuffed endotracheal tubes: mucosal pressures and tracheal wall blood flow. *Am J Surg* 1977; 133: 562-8.
4. Lim H, Kim JH, Kim D, Lee J, Son JS, Kim DC, et al. Tracheal rupture after endotracheal intubation - A report of three cases. *Korean J Anesthesiol* 2012; 62: 277-80.
5. Kim JT, Kim HJ, Ahn W, Kim HS, Bahk JH, Lee SC, et al. Head rotation, flexion, and extension alter endotracheal tube position in adults and children. *Can J Anaesth* 2009; 56: 751-6.
6. Kako H, Krishna SG, Ramesh AS, Merz MN, Elmaraghy C, Grischkan J, et al. The relationship between head and neck position and endotracheal tube intracuff pressure in the pediatric population. *Paediatr Anaesth* 2014; 24: 316-21.
7. Lizy C, Swinnen W, Labeau S, Poelaert J, Vogelaers D, Vandewoude K, et al. Cuff pressure of endotracheal tubes after changes in body position in critically ill patients treated with mechanical ventilation. *Am J Crit Care* 2014; 23: e1-8.
8. Minonishi T, Kinoshita H, Hirayama M, Kawahito S, Azma T, Hatakeyama N, et al. The supine-to-prone position change induces modification of endotracheal tube cuff pressure accompanied by tube displacement. *J Clin Anesth* 2013; 25: 28-31.
9. Lorente L, Blot S, Rello J. Evidence on measures for the prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Eur Respir J* 2007; 30: 1193-207.
10. Hartrey R, Kestin IG. Movement of oral and nasal tracheal tubes as a result of changes in head and neck position. *Anaesthesia* 1995; 50: 682-7.
11. Choi RM, Yoon JS, Noh JH, Kang KO, Ryu SW, Jun HJ, et al. Airway obstruction by extrinsic tracheal compression during spinal surgery under prone position -A case report. *Korean J Anesthesiol* 2010; 59 Suppl: S45-8.