



Letter to the Editor

Korean J Anesthesiol 2020;73(1):83-84
<https://doi.org/10.4097/kja.19408>
pISSN 2005-6419 • eISSN 2005-7563

Received: August 16, 2019
Accepted: October 21, 2019

Corresponding author:

Ji Yung Lee, M.D., PhD.
Department of Anesthesiology and Pain
Medicine, Yeouido St. Mary's Hospital,
College of Medicine, The Catholic University
of Korea, 10 63-ro, Yeongdeungpo-gu, Seoul
07345, Korea
Tel: +82-2-3779-1268
Fax: +82-2-783-0368
Email: anesthalee@naver.com
ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9123-1135>

Skin test after anaphylaxis to sugammadex

Sung Jin Hong, Ji Yung Lee

Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, Seoul, Korea

We read the case report titled 'Anaphylactic shock after sugammadex administration, induced by formation of a sugammadex-rocuronium complex by Kim et al. [1] with great interest. As a result of their effective treatment, the patient fortunately, recovered. To find the causative agent of anaphylactic shock, the authors performed skin tests four days after anaphylaxis. However, it is recommended that skin testing is conducted at least four to six weeks after the occurrence of a suspected perioperative allergic reaction [2]. This time interval allows for the resolution of clinical symptoms and clearance of the suspected drugs and anti-allergic medications [3]. Skin tests performed earlier than this can result in a negative reaction due to mediator depletion after anaphylaxis. When tests are performed earlier than four weeks, only positive skin test results are useful and a negative skin test needs to be interpreted with caution. Therefore, the authors' skin test was done too early and there is doubt about its reliability.

Generally, appropriate positive and negative controls are always necessary in skin tests for suspected hypersensitivity reactions to confirm skin reactivity [4]. Usually, histamine is used as a positive control and saline as a negative control. Unfortunately, the authors did not include a positive control in their test. If the patient had shown a negative response to histamine, the patient's negative response to sugammadex should be a false result.

Certain drugs also decrease skin test responses and must be discontinued prior to a skin test. Antihistamines and glucocorticoids fall into this category. Five days of drug-free intervals after H1-antihistamines and three days after less than 50 mg of short-term prednisolone equivalent are recommended because those drugs can decrease skin test reactivity [4]. Whether short and long-term systemic corticosteroids need to be stopped prior to testing is controversial [5]. The authors administered 60 µg/kg of dexamethasone and 50 µg/kg of chlorpheniramine after anaphylaxis. In addition to the skin test performed too early, drugs decreasing skin reactivity might have contributed to the negative response to all drugs.

If testing is performed earlier than four to six weeks, repeat testing after four to six weeks may be considered. In the case of negative skin test results, a second evaluation is advisable. The authors performed a skin test to sugammadex-rocuronium complex after a month. If the authors performed a skin test for sugammadex again with the sugammadex-rocuronium complex, the results would have been very clear. In our opinion, the interpretation of skin tests in this report was not complete because the skin tests did not meet the conditions of adequate timing after anaphylaxis and the appropriate use of positive and negative controls.

Presently, there are no established guidelines for skin testing for anaphylaxis to sugammadex. The reported allergenic epitopes were sugammadex, gamma-cyclodextrin, or sugammadex-rocuronium complex. Accurate skin tests using positive and negative controls at the right time will be helpful to identify the allergenic epitopes of sugammadex.

© The Korean Society of Anesthesiologists, 2020

© This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

Author Contributions

Sung Jin Hong (Conceptualization; Investigation; Supervision)

Ji Yung Lee (Writing – original draft, review & editing)

ORCID

Sung Jin Hong, <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9353-2644>

Ji Yung Lee, <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9123-1135>

References

1. Kim GH, Choi WS, Kim JE, Yun MJ, Koo MS, Kwon M, et al. Anaphylactic shock after sugammadex administration, induced by formation of a sugammadex-rocuronium complex -a case report. *Korean J Anesthesiol* 2019; 72: 495-9.
2. Soetens F, Rose M, Fisher M. Timing of skin testing after a suspected anaphylactic reaction during anaesthesia. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2012; 56: 1042-6.
3. Brockow K, Romano A. Skin tests in the diagnosis of drug hypersensitivity reactions. *Curr Pharm Des* 2008; 14: 2778-91.
4. Turkalj M, Erceg D, Martinuš M, Babić E, Karin M, Bevanda M. Diagnosis of perioperative anaphylaxis. *Psychiatr Danub* 2019; 31: S50-9.
5. Scolaro RJ, Crilly HM, Maycock EJ, McAleer PT, Nicholls KA, Rose MA, et al. Australian and New Zealand anaesthetic allergy group perioperative anaphylaxis investigation guidelines. *Anaesth Intensive Care* 2017; 45: 543-5.