

Original Article



# Prevalence and Risk Factors for Carbapenem-Resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* Colonization in Patients with Stroke



Yong Hyun Han, Min Joon Bae, Yang Rok Hur, Kihun Hwang

**Received:** May 11, 2019

**Revised:** Aug 12, 2019

**Accepted:** Aug 19, 2019

**Correspondence to**

**Kihun Hwang**

Department of Rehabilitation Medicine,  
Dong-Eui Medical Center, 62 Yangjeong-ro,  
Busanjin-gu, Busan 47227, Korea.  
E-mail: drsheva01@gmail.com

## HIGHLIGHTS

- The prevalence and risk factors of carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* (CRE) colonization in stroke patients within the first 6 months were studied for the first time.
- Antibiotic intake and duration and intensive care unit (ICU) admission were independent risk factors of CRE colonization in stroke patients within the first 6 months.
- This study suggests preventing post-stroke infections and, if possible, reducing ICU admissions and preventing CRE transmission in all stroke patients admitted to the ICU.

## Original Article



# Prevalence and Risk Factors for Carbapenem-Resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* Colonization in Patients with Stroke

Yong Hyun Han , Min Joon Bae , Yang Rok Hur , Kihun Hwang

Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Dong-Eui Medical Center, Busan, Korea

## OPEN ACCESS

Received: May 11, 2019

Revised: Aug 12, 2019

Accepted: Aug 19, 2019

### Correspondence to

Kihun Hwang

Department of Rehabilitation Medicine,  
Dong-Eui Medical Center, 62 Yangjeong-ro,  
Busanjin-gu, Busan 47227, Korea.  
E-mail: drsheva01@gmail.com

Copyright © 2019. Korea Society for  
Neurorehabilitation

This is an Open Access article distributed  
under the terms of the Creative Commons  
Attribution Non-Commercial License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0>)  
which permits unrestricted non-commercial  
use, distribution, and reproduction in any  
medium, provided the original work is properly  
cited.

### ORCID iDs

Yong Hyun Han   
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8960-186X>  
Min Joon Bae   
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5593-2767>  
Yang Rok Hur   
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7281-608X>  
Kihun Hwang   
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8041-4363>

### Conflict of Interest

The authors have no potential conflicts of  
interest to disclose.

## ABSTRACT

This retrospective case-control study investigated the prevalence and risk factors of carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* (CRE) colonization in stroke patients within 6 months of onset. Forty-three patients confirmed to have CRE colonization in our hospital from January 2017 to December 2018 were included in this study. The control group included 44 stroke patients who had carbapenem-susceptible *Enterobacteriaceae* colonization. The patients were age- ( $\pm 3$  years) and sex-matched. Their demographic and clinical characteristics were analyzed to identify the risk factors for CRE colonization using multivariate logistic regression analysis. During the study period, the prevalence of CRE was 2.9% (105/3,657). In the univariate analysis, factors associated with CRE colonization included the use and duration of antibiotic intake; admission to intensive care unit (ICU); and use of enteral feeding tube, urethral Foley catheter, tracheostomy, and central venous catheter. In the multivariate analysis, use and duration of antibiotic intake and admission to ICU persisted as independent factors. CRE should be considered when antibiotics are administered to a stroke patient, especially if the administration period is more than 2 weeks, and if the stroke patient has been admitted to the ICU. This study suggests preventing post-stroke infections and, if possible, reducing ICU admissions and preventing CRE transmission in all stroke patients admitted to the ICU.

**Keywords:** Carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*; Prevalence; Risk factor; Stroke

## INTRODUCTION

*Enterobacteriaceae*, which is generally transmitted from person to person via hand carriage or contaminated water, is a highly infectious agent causing pneumonia, cystitis, pyelonephritis, sepsis, peritonitis, and meningitis, and is closely associated with poor clinical outcomes [1]. Multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacterial strains are spreading worldwide, especially with the advent of extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamases-producing *Enterobacteriaceae*. Carbapenem, a broad-spectrum antibiotic, has become the preferred agent for MDR *Enterobacteriaceae* [2]. However, the risk of carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* (CRE) infection has been emphasized recently due to the increase in CRE colonization. *Enterobacteriaceae* develops resistance to different types of antibiotics, including carbapenem, thereby becoming a

challenge to treat, with a consequent increase in mortality rate. Since 2004, when the first case of carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumonia* was reported in China, the prevalence of CRE in Asia increased steadily until 2012 [1,3]. Due to the increase in the outbreak of CRE infection, predominantly at tertiary hospitals in Korea [4], CRE sentinel surveillance was commenced in 2011, to detect antibiotic resistance. From 2017, CRE became classified as a class 3 legal infectious disease, hence, requiring a mandatory surveillance.

In a previous study on the risk factors of CRE colonization, immunologically compromised state was reported as a factor of increasing risk for both CRE infection and mortality [5]. According to another study, risk factors for CRE colonization include the administration of antibiotics and the use of central line devices [6]. During the recovery phase of stroke patients, their immune system is suppressed, thereby enhancing their susceptibility to complications such as pneumonia and urinary tract infection [7]. These complications were thought to be related to CRE colonization because frequent infections secondary to compromised immune system exposes the patients to frequent use of antibiotics and indwelling devices.

It is known that the most stroke recovery occurs in the first 3 to 6 months after onset [8]; in particular, there is a 48%–91% functional recovery within the first 3 months and a continuous improvement up to 6 months except for the lower extremity [9]. Therefore, early intensive and comprehensive rehabilitation is believed to be important. However, if CRE colonization is confirmed in a stroke patient, the isolation of the patient should be performed in accordance with current infection control policy. This may limit the implementation of active rehabilitation. Till date, no study has been conducted on the risk factors and prevalence of CRE colonization in stroke patients. Thus, this study was limited to the subacute group where most of the post stroke recovery occurs and carried out specifically to examine the prevalence and the risk factors of CRE colonization in post-stroke patients who were to undergo rehabilitation in Busan, Korea.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Study design and patients

We conducted a retrospective case-control study based on the medical records of all admitted patients who presented with CRE colonization from January 2017 to December 2018 at a 482-bed, medical center in Busan, Korea. In cases in which *Enterobacteriaceae* was detected from several cultures, the first positive result detected was included. We included patients who: 1) were radiologically diagnosed with a first time ischemic or hemorrhagic stroke within 6 months, which was defined as I60, I61, I62, and I63 in the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems 10th Revision codes, 2) were diagnosed with a stroke in this hospital, 3) were isolated due to CRE colonization during the period of hospitalization, and 4) had CRE colonization occurring more than 48 hours after admission. We excluded patients who: 1) had uncertain CRE colonization period and disease duration, 2) had recurrent ischemic or hemorrhagic stroke, and 3) had simultaneous onset of ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke. The control group included stroke patients who were admitted to the same ward at the same time period and who had carbapenem-susceptible *Enterobacteriaceae* (CSE) colonization. The patients were age- ( $\pm 3$  years) and sex-matched to the patients with CRE colonization and the ratio of CRE:CSE group was 1:1.02. While we tried to match all patients with respect to sex and age, we have not been able to achieve this

completely because of the difficulty in obtaining sufficient numbers of hospitalized patients. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Dong-Eui Medical Center (IRB No. DEMC-2019-01).

### CRE definition

This study determined the antibiotic resistance based on the 'Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute's recommendation' (M100-S27) in accordance with the Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (KCDC) guidance on laboratory-based tests for legal communicable diseases [10]. CRE was indicated when a low susceptibility to carbapenem by *Enterobacteriaceae* organisms occurred. The standard used to determine CRE is the minimum inhibitory concentration of  $> 4 \mu\text{g/mL}$  for imipenem, meropenem or  $> 2 \mu\text{g/mL}$  for ertapenem. Rectal swab was performed for CRE screening and was cultured on chromogenic agar plate. When specimens showed a positive culture test, additional tests, using the disk diffusion method and MacConkey agar culture were conducted for the differentiation and confirmation of the colony. In addition, Vitek 2 automated system (bioMérieux, Marcy-l'Étoile, France) was used for the susceptibility test. If the cultured organism was determined to be CRE, respective specimens were sent to the KCDC for polymerase chain reaction test for the detection of carbapenemase-resistant genes (*K. pneumoniae* carbapenemase [KPC], imipenemase [IMP], New Delhi metallo- $\beta$ -lactamase [NDM]-1, Verona integron-encoded metallo- $\beta$ -lactamase [VIM], oxacillinase [OXA]-48, and Guiana extended spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase [GES]-5). Finally, DNA sequencing was performed to confirm the sub-type of the carbapenemase genes in all carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* (CPE) organisms.

### Clinical characteristics and demographics of CRE colonization

In order to identify the risk factors of CRE colonization, the following were compared between the CRE and CSE groups: age, sex, type of stroke, body mass index (BMI) at admission, National Institutes of Health stroke scale (NIHSS) at admission [11], Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) [12], admission to intensive care unit (ICU), use of enteral feeding tube, use of urethral Foley catheter, use of tracheostomy tube, use of central venous catheter, ambulatory ability, duration of antibiotic intake. The duration of antibiotic intake was divided into 3 groups; these were unused, used for 1 to 14 days, and used for 15 or more days. The use of enteral feeding tube, tracheostomy tube, central venous catheter, and the duration of antibiotic intake were counted from the day of admission to the day CRE colonization was first confirmed. Ambulatory ability was determined as the date when CRE colonization was confirmed. In this study, no detailed assessment of Ambulatory ability was made because a majority of patients were not able to walk.

### Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were expressed as percentages and frequencies, and numerical variables as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). To compare the clinical characteristics of patient groups,  $\chi^2$  test or Fisher's exact test was used for categorical variables while Mann-Whitney's U test was used for continuous variables. We used Shapiro-Wilk's test to see if the data distribution was normal. The effect of independent variables on CRE colonization was analyzed using the univariate and the multivariate logistic regression analysis. For multivariate logistic analysis, variables with a p value of  $< 0.05$  in univariate analysis were entered into the model selection procedure using a stepwise backward process. All statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS 24.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) and p values  $< 0.05$  was considered significant.

## RESULTS

### Prevalence of CRE colonization and microbiologic data

During the 105,327 patient-days, 105 cases of CRE were observed in 451 specimens detected in 3,657 inpatients; the prevalence of CRE was 2.9% (105/3,657). Of these, 105 patients were previously diagnosed with stroke, and only 53 of them had stroke within 6 months. In this study, however, only 43 patients met the inclusion criteria. Ten patients were excluded based on the following reasons: 8 patients, due to confirmed CRE colonization within 48 hours of admission and 2 due to simultaneous onset of ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke. The average period of CRE detection was 34.9 days from admission. CRE was cultured in stool (69.8%), urine (20.9%), sputum (9.3%), and blood (2.3%), whereas CSE was cultured in urine (77.3%), sputum (16%), and stool (2.3%). The distribution of CRE species are shown in Table 1. Most of the CRE strains detected were *K. pneumoniae* (34 specimens), *Escherichia coli* (4 specimens), and *Proteus mirabilis* (1 specimen). In the CSE group, those detected included *E. coli* (18 specimens), *K. pneumoniae* (11 specimens), *Enterococcus faecalis* (9 specimens), *Enterobacter aerogenes* (2 specimens), *P. mirabilis* (3 specimens), *Proteus penneri* (1 specimen), *Citrobacter freundii* (1 specimen), and *Serratia marcescens* (1 specimen). In total, there were 27 specimens of carbapenemase-producing CRE organisms: 24 specimens of KPC in *K. pneumoniae*, 2 specimens of KPC in *E. coli*, and 1 specimen of NDM in *E. coli*.

### Demographic data and comparison between CRE and CSE group

The study involved a total of 87 patients including 43 CRE patients and 44 CSE patients. As shown in Table 2, both groups had similar baseline characteristics including sex, age, and type of stroke. The mean CCI score in the CRE group was higher at 3.84 (SD, 1.82), but not significantly different

**Table 1.** Distribution of *Enterobacteriaceae* species isolated among CRE and CSE

Species	CRE (n = 43)	CSE (n = 44)
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	4 (9.3)	18 (41.9)
<i>Klebsiella</i>	34 (79.1)	11 (25.6)
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	1 (2.3)	3 (7.0)
<i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i>	0 (0.0)	2 (4.7)
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	0 (0.0)	9 (20.9)
<i>Proteus penneri</i>	0 (0.0)	1 (2.3)
<i>Citrobacter koseri</i>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>	4 (9.3)	0 (0.0)
<i>Citrobacter freundii</i>	0 (0.0)	1 (2.3)
<i>Serratia marcescens</i>	0 (0.0)	1 (2.3)

Values are presented as number (%).

There were concurrent isolates of *Klebsiella* and *P. mirabilis* (1 specimen), *Klebsiella* and *C. freundii* (1 specimen), and *E. faecalis* and *S. marcescens* (1 specimen) in CSE group.

CRE, carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*; CSE, carbapenem-susceptible *Enterobacteriaceae*.

**Table 2.** Patient demographic and clinical characteristics by CRE colonization status

Variables	CRE colonization			p value
	Overall (n = 87)	Yes (n = 43)	No (n = 44)	
Sex				
Male	34 (39.1)	14 (32.6)	20 (45.5)	0.218*
Female	53 (60.9)	29 (67.4)	24 (54.5)	-
Age				
Mean ± SD	71.98 ± 12.01	71.51 ± 13.75	72.43 ± 13.75	0.766*
Type of stroke				
Hemorrhage	45 (51.7)	24 (55.8)	21 (47.7)	0.450*
Infarction	42 (48.3)	19 (44.2)	23 (52.3)	-

(continued to the next page)

**Table 2.** (Continued) Patient demographic and clinical characteristics by CRE colonization status

Variables	CRE colonization			p value
	Overall (n = 87)	Yes (n = 43)	No (n = 44)	
BMI at admission				
Mean $\pm$ SD	23.28 $\pm$ 3.74	23.38 $\pm$ 4.13	23.19 $\pm$ 3.37	0.770 <sup>‡</sup>
NIHSS				
Mean $\pm$ SD	11.06 $\pm$ 6.11	12.28 $\pm$ 6.64	9.86 $\pm$ 5.36	0.064 <sup>‡</sup>
$\leq 0$	20 (23.0)	9 (20.9)	11 (25.0)	0.101 <sup>*</sup>
6–13	36 (41.4)	14 (32.6)	22 (50.0)	-
$\geq 2$	31 (35.6)	20 (46.5)	11 (25.0)	-
CCI score				
Mean $\pm$ SD	3.64 $\pm$ 1.69	3.84 $\pm$ 1.82	3.45 $\pm$ 1.55	0.324 <sup>‡</sup>
Comorbidities				
DM	21 (24.1)	12 (27.9)	9 (20.5)	0.417
Heart disease	3 (3.4)	2 (4.7)	1 (2.3)	0.616 <sup>†</sup>
Lung disease	3 (3.4)	1 (2.3)	2 (4.5)	1.000 <sup>†</sup>
Renal failure	6 (6.9)	6 (14.0)	0 (0.0)	0.012 <sup>†</sup>
Malignancy	12 (13.8)	5 (11.6)	7 (15.9)	0.563 <sup>*</sup>
Ambulatory ability				
Yes	13 (14.9)	5 (11.6)	8 (18.2)	0.391 <sup>*</sup>
No	74 (85.1)	38 (88.4)	36 (81.8)	-
Enteral feeding tube				
Yes	57 (65.5)	33 (76.7)	24 (54.5)	0.007 <sup>*</sup>
No	30 (34.5)	10 (23.3)	20 (45.5)	-
Duration of antibiotic intake				
Unused	22 (25.3)	3 (7.0)	19 (43.2)	< 0.001 <sup>*</sup>
1–14 days	29 (33.3)	15 (34.9)	14 (31.8)	-
15 or more days	36 (41.4)	25 (58.1)	11 (25.0)	-
Type of antibiotics				
Penicillin	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	-
Cephalosporin	53 (60.9)	31 (72.1)	22 (50.0)	0.035 <sup>*</sup>
Fluoroquinolone	37 (42.5)	26 (60.5)	11 (25.0)	0.001 <sup>*</sup>
Glycopeptide	8 (9.2)	8 (18.6)	0 (0.0)	0.002 <sup>†</sup>
Carbapenem	17 (19.5)	11 (25.6)	6 (13.6)	0.160 <sup>*</sup>
Piperacillin/tazobactam	36 (41.4)	25 (58.1)	11 (25.0)	0.002 <sup>*</sup>
Aminoglycoside	10 (11.5)	6 (14.0)	4 (9.1)	0.521 <sup>†</sup>
TMP-SMX	1 (1.1)	1 (2.3)	0 (0.0)	0.494 <sup>†</sup>
Metronidazole	5 (5.7)	3 (7.0)	2 (4.5)	0.676 <sup>†</sup>
Rifaximin	2 (2.3)	1 (2.3)	1 (2.3)	1.000 <sup>†</sup>
Admission to ICU				
Yes	63 (72.4)	38 (88.4)	25 (56.8)	0.001 <sup>*</sup>
No	24 (27.6)	5 (11.6)	19 (43.2)	-
Foley catheter				
Yes	76 (87.4)	41 (95.3)	35 (79.5)	0.027 <sup>*</sup>
No	11 (12.6)	2 (4.7)	9 (20.5)	-
Tracheostomy tube				
Yes	12 (13.8)	11 (25.6)	1 (2.3)	0.002 <sup>*</sup>
No	75 (86.2)	32 (74.4)	43 (97.7)	-
Central venous catheter				
Yes	38 (43.7)	26 (60.5)	12 (27.3)	0.002 <sup>*</sup>
No	60 (69.0)	29 (67.4)	31 (70.5)	-

Data are presented as number (%) or mean  $\pm$  SD. Shapiro-Wilk's test was employed for test of normality assumption. CRE, carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*; BMI, body mass index; NIHSS, national institutes of health stroke scale; CCI, Charlson Comorbidity Index; DM, diabetes mellitus; SD, standard deviation; TMP-SMX, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole; ICU, intensive care unit.

\*p values were derived from  $\chi^2$  test; †p values were derived from Fisher's exact test; ‡p values were derived from Mann-Whitney's U test.

from that of the CSE group; but the CRE group had a significantly higher incidence of renal failure ( $p = 0.012$ ). In the CRE group, the use of enteral feeding tube ( $p = 0.07$ ), urethral Foley catheter ( $p = 0.027$ ), tracheostomy tube ( $p = 0.02$ ), and central venous catheter ( $p = 0.02$ ) were more than

**Table 3.** Univariate analysis of patient clinical characteristics as potential risk factors for CRE colonization

Variables	Uni-factor logistic regression analysis	
	OR (95% CI)	p value
Use of enteral feeding tube		
Yes	2.75 (1.09–6.92)	0.032
No	Ref	-
Duration of antibiotic intake		
Unused	Ref	-
1–14 days	6.79 (1.64–28.04)	0.008
15 or more days	14.39 (3.52–58.90)	< 0.001
Type of antibiotics		
Penicillin	N/E	N/E
Cephalosporin	2.58 (1.06–6.29)	0.037
Fluoroquinolone	4.59 (1.84–11.47)	0.001
Glycopeptide	N/E	N/E
Carbapenem	2.18 (0.72–6.54)	0.166
Piperacillin/tazobactam	4.17 (1.67–10.38)	0.002
Aminoglycoside	1.62 (0.42–6.20)	0.480
TMP-SMX	N/E	N/E
Metronidazole	1.57 (0.25–9.93)	0.629
Normix	1.02 (0.06–16.91)	0.987
Rifaximin	N/E	N/E
Admission to ICU		
Yes	5.78 (1.91–17.47)	0.002
No	Ref	-
Foley catheter		
Yes	5.27 (1.07–26.04)	0.041
No	Ref	-
Tracheostomy tube		
Yes	14.78 (1.81–120.42)	0.012
No	Ref	-
Central venous catheter		
Yes	4.08 (1.65–10.05)	0.002
No	Ref	-

For multivariate analysis, logistic regression analysis was used. Variables with a p value of < 0.05, on univariate analysis, were entered into the model selection procedure using a stepwise backward process. Variables were 2-sided, and p values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. CRE, carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*; TMP-SMX, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole; ICU, intensive care unit; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; Ref, reference group; N/E, not estimable since no CRE colonization was observed in a certain subgroup due to the small sample size.

**Table 4.** Multivariate analysis of the association between patient clinical characteristics and CRE colonization

Variables	Multi-factor logistic regression analysis	
	OR (95% CI)	p value
Duration of antibiotic intake		
Unused	Ref	-
1 to 14 days	5.52 (1.28–23.75)	0.022
15 or more days	9.82 (2.29–42.17)	0.002
Admission to ICU		
Yes	3.49 (1.05–11.63)	0.042
No	Ref	-

CRE, carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; Ref, reference group; ICU, intensive care unit.

in the CSE group. Admission to ICU ( $p = 0.001$ ) and use of antibiotics were more frequent in the CRE group than in the CSE group. Risk factors of CRE colonization in the univariate analysis included the use and duration of antibiotic intake, admission to ICU, and the use of enteral feeding tube, tracheostomy, and central venous catheter (Table 3). Tracheostomy was found to have a significant effect on CRE colonization in univariate analysis (odds ratio [OR], 14.78; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.81–120.42,  $p = 0.012$ ); however, the result should be interpreted with

caution, because it only applied to one patient in the CSE group. Thus, tracheostomy was excluded from among the risk factors in the multivariate analysis due to the small sample size.

In multivariate analysis, the use and duration of antibiotic intake (OR > 2 weeks vs. none = 9.82; 95% CI, 2.29–42.17;  $p = 0.002$  and OR  $\leq 2$  weeks vs. none = 5.52; 95% CI, 1.28–23.75;  $p = 0.022$ ) and admission to ICU (OR, 3.49; 95% CI, 1.05–11.63;  $p = 0.042$ ) were the independent factors of CRE colonization (Table 4).

## DISCUSSION

The prevalence of CRE is known to be affected by geographical factors and has been reported approximately at 10% in Uganda and Mexico [13,14]; however, in Asia, the prevalence of CRE is as low as 0.6% [13]. In Korea, prevalence of CRE varies; 0.3% prevalence from rectal swab was reported among patients who were admitted to the ICU in a tertiary hospital [15]. In 2013, similar study on stool culture reported a prevalence of 7.5% [16]. Compared with the 2 former studies which involved ICU patients in tertiary hospitals only, Lee et al. reported CRE prevalence of 1.6% that included ICU and general ward patients in a mid-sized community-based hospital [4]. In this study, the prevalence of CRE in stroke patients was higher than that in all previous studies except for one. Because previous studies were for all patient groups, these results suggest that stroke may be one of the risk factors of CRE colonization; however, further research comparing CRE colonization in stroke patients and non-stroke patients is required to demonstrate the relationship between stroke and CRE colonization.

Previous studies report that the risk factors of CRE colonization include history of admission to ICU, abdominal invasive procedure, chemotherapy and radiation therapy, length of central venous catheter and biliary drainage catheter, tracheostomy, prior hospital stay, history of antibiotic intake, and old age [17–20]. Particularly, glycopeptides, cefoperazone, fluoroquinolone, and carbapenem were among the antibiotics listed as independent risk factors [19–22]. Asai et al. [23] evaluated the comorbidity and performance status (PS) based on the CCI and Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) PS, respectively. CCI score of  $\geq 3$  with PS of 2–4 (ECOG) were considered to be independent risk factors [23]. ECOG PS is a tool for quantification of activities of daily living and the degree of physical health during the clinical treatment of patients with cancer [24]. In this study, NIHSS score, CCI score, and ambulatory ability were not statistically significant; however, the use of enteral feeding tube, urethral Foley catheter, tracheostomy, and central venous catheter were statistically significant. In univariate analysis, cephalosporin, fluoroquinolone, and piperacillin/tazobactam showed significant association when the CRE group and the CSE group were compared. According to another study including ICU patients [25], the risk factors of CRE colonization included  $\geq 15$  days of cephalosporin or carbapenem intake. In this study, in multivariate analysis, statistically significant differences were found in those with antibiotics use for at least one or more days compared with the group with no antibiotic use. The OR of the groups with 1 to 14 days of antibiotic intake and those with  $\geq 15$  days of antibiotic intakes were 5.52 (CI, 1.28–23.75) and 9.82 (CI, 2.29–42.17), respectively. The results indicated that the duration of antibiotic intake was also a significant risk factor. However, due to the wide CI, there is need for caution in the interpretation. The use of antibiotic is inevitable for the treatment of infections, and stroke patients are at higher risk of infection in the first week post-stroke [26]. The most common infections found in acute stroke patients are pneumonia and urinary tract infection, and it takes  $\geq 3$  working days to identify the causative

microorganisms. The delay while awaiting the result of diagnostic tests especially for patients with severe infection should be avoided because their prognosis is determined by the quick initiation of treatment; hence, patients are usually administered with empirical treatment [27]. Once the bacterial culture has established the causative microorganism, by principle, broad spectrum antibiotics should be replaced with narrow spectrum antibiotics. In actual clinical practice, however, there are many cases where the causative bacteria cannot be identified, hence, in such patients, the use of the initial antibiotics tend to be prolonged. Thus, overuse of broad-spectrum antibiotics results, eventually leading to antibiotic resistant bacteria [28]. In post-stroke infection, it may be important to apply a de-escalation strategy, with a shift in empirical antibiotics to the narrow-spectrum antibiotics after the identification of the causative microorganism following the culture test. In addition, antimicrobial stewardship, a systematic management of antibiotics to maintain the clinical outcome while reducing antibiotic resistance should be applied. To reduce the general use of antibiotics, it is also necessary to commence prevention intervention measures such as screening test for aspiration, video fluoroscopic swallowing study for the proper treatment of dysphagia, maintenance of good oral hygiene, frequent changes of patients positions to the sitting position, and replacement of indwelling catheters with intermittent catheters as soon as possible.

In a previous study, admission to ICU was reported as a risk factor for CRE colonization [17]. Furthermore, ICU stays and poor functional status were found to be risk factors of carbapenem-resistant *K. pneumoniae* acquisition [29]. Admission to ICU was also identified as an independent risk factor of CRE colonization in this study. In general, stroke severity is known as a factor in determining ICU admission [11], and another studies reported that the NIHSS score was an independent risk factor for ICU admission after IV thrombolysis in patients with acute ischemic stroke [30]. It was thought that stroke severity would also affect CRE colonization, but in this study the average NIHSS score was slightly higher in the CRE group, but not a significant factor. These results suggest that in stroke patients, admission to the ICU rather than stroke severity is important for CRE colonization. Therefore, if possible, reducing ICU admission and preventing CRE transmission in all stroke patients admitted to the ICU thought to be important to reduce CRE colonization. According to another study, ICU admission for mild and moderate stroke patients was not significantly different in treatment outcomes [11]. Therefore, admission to ICU in patients with mild and moderate stroke should be carefully assessed for the risk-benefit between the risk of CRE colonization and the need for intensive care. One study provided evidence that KPC-producing *K. pneumoniae* was successfully controlled based on the reduced prevalence of KPC-producing isolates from 21% to 0% in the long-term acute care hospital due to the interventions applied. Such interventions included decolonization of patients' skin, improved cleaning of environmental surfaces, admission and surveillance cultures and contact precautions [31]. In our study, 30 of 43 (70%) CRE colonization were identified in the ICU. If the interventions presented in the previous study are also applied to patients admitted to ICU, it is expected to be effective in reducing CRE colonization. Also, an analysis on the effect of further infection control is necessary.

According to the KCDC (2017), among CRE strains, 65.5% was identified as CPE, of which KPC was the highest in Korea at 69.9%, followed by NDM at 26.9%, OXA at 7.6%, GES at 1.4%, VIM at 1.3%, and IMP at 0.3% [32]. In our study, carbapenemases were noted in 62.8% (27/43) of CRE colonization cases, with a CPE colonization to CRE colonization ratio similar to that reported by the KCDC. However, apart from the detection of NDM, most cases of CPE were detected as KPC in the case of stroke patients. The difference found in the distribution

of CPE colonization in this study compared with that in the KCDC report could have been influenced by the time of CRE colonization detection. Previous study detected CRE after an average of 34 days of hospital stay, which was determined to be the late-onset nosocomial complication [33]. Another study reported the average period of CRE detection to be after 25 days of hospital stay and suggested nosocomial bacterial infection because the genotyping of 8 CRE isolates were similar, although the patients were transferred from 7 different acute care hospitals [34]. In this study, the average period of CRE detection was 34.9 days, which is similar to that of previous studies, suggesting that nosocomial bacterial complication is the main cause. In the case of nosocomial outbreak of KPC in China, most of them have been caused by clonal expansion [35]. In another study, the main mechanism of CPE transmission the horizontal gene transfer based on the evidence of  $\text{bla}_{\text{KPC}}$  gene transfer between plasmids and plasma mobility between bacteria [36]. In our study, the majority of patients with CP-CRE involved  $\text{bla}_{\text{KPC-2}}$  gene in *K. pneumoniae*, and the main mechanism of transmission was suggested to be clonal expansion, while some were influenced by horizontal transfer.

The present study had several limitations. First, this research was conducted in a single hospital. Secondly, this is a retrospective analysis. Thirdly, only a small sample size was included in the case control study, hence, it is difficult to generalize that the risk factors determined in this study pertain similarly to all other patient groups. Fourth, due to the limitations of cost, CRE organism screening test were only performed in high-risk patients (transferred from tertiary hospital, or nursing hospital, or previous history of CRE colonization) and patients who were admitted to ICU. If the screening test was positive for CRE organism, the specimens were then confirmed by culture test. This indicates that those patients without the screening test may have been CRE carriers from the day of admission. However, previous studies have shown that it is quite unlikely for low-risk patients to carry CRE strains at the time of admission [37]. Therefore, the impact of this factor on research output is thought to be minimal. This study is significant as the first study demonstrating the risk factors of CRE colonization in stroke patients within the first 6 months post stroke.

## CONCLUSION

The use and duration of antibiotic intake and admission to ICU were determined as independent risk factors for CRE colonization in stroke patients within the first 6 months post-stroke. Hence, we suggest antimicrobial stewardship while avoiding unnecessary antibiotic administration, and activities to prevent post stroke infection. In addition, reducing ICU admission and preventing CRE transmission in all stroke patients admitted to the ICU thought to be important to reduce CRE colonization. Future prospective research involving a larger sample size, which strictly applies the screening test and active surveillance from the day of admission is essential for a better understanding of the risk factors.

## REFERENCES

1. Nordmann P, Naas T, Poirel L. Global spread of carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae*. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2011;17:1791-1798.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
2. Xu Y, Gu B, Huang M, Liu H, Xu T, Xia W, Wang T. Epidemiology of carbapenem resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* (CRE) during 2000–2012 in Asia. *J Thorac Dis* 2015;7:376-385.  
[PUBMED](#)

3. Wei ZQ, Du XX, Yu YS, Shen P, Chen YG, Li LJ. Plasmid-mediated KPC-2 in a *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolate from China. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2007;51:763-765.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
4. Lee HJ, Choi JK, Cho SY, Kim SH, Park SH, Choi SM, Lee DG, Choi JH, Yoo JH. Carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*: prevalence and risk factors in a single community-based hospital in Korea. *Infect Chemother* 2016;48:166-173.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
5. Bar-Yoseph H, Cohen N, Korytny A, Andrawus ER, Even Dar R, Geffen Y, Hussein K, Paul M. Risk factors for mortality among carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* carriers with focus on immunosuppression. *J Infect* 2019;78:101-105.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
6. Ling ML, Tee YM, Tan SG, Amin IM, How KB, Tan KY, Lee LC. Risk factors for acquisition of carbapenem resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* in an acute tertiary care hospital in Singapore. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control* 2015;4:26.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
7. Shi K, Wood K, Shi FD, Wang X, Liu Q. Stroke-induced immunosuppression and poststroke infection. *Stroke Vasc Neurol* 2018;3:34-41.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
8. Kang SH, Choi YJ, Lee KH, Kim YH, Chang WH, Shin MA, Kim DY, Lee J, Sohn MK, Lee SG, Kim SY, Shin YI, Lee YS, Joo MC, Oh GJ, Lee YH, Han EY, Han JH, Ahn J. The Korean stroke cohort for functioning and rehabilitation (KOSCO). *Public Health Weekly Report* 2018;11:1152-1162.
9. Lee KB, Lim SH, Kim KH, Kim KJ, Kim YR, Chang WN, Yeom JW, Kim YD, Hwang BY. Six-month functional recovery of stroke patients: a multi-time-point study. *Int J Rehabil Res* 2015;38:173-180.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
10. Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Guidelines for the diagnosis of court infectious diseases. Available at [http://www.cdc.go.kr/board.es?mid=a20507020000&bid=0019&act=view&list\\_no=144402](http://www.cdc.go.kr/board.es?mid=a20507020000&bid=0019&act=view&list_no=144402) [accessed on 12 August 2019].
11. Briggs DE, Felberg RA, Malkoff MD, Bratina P, Grotta JC. Should mild or moderate stroke patients be admitted to an intensive care unit? *Stroke* 2001;32:871-876.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
12. Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. *J Chronic Dis* 1987;40:373-383.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
13. Torres-Gonzalez P, Cervera-Hernandez ME, Niembro-Ortega MD, Leal-Vega F, Cruz-Hervert LP, García-García L, Galindo-Fraga A, Martínez-Gamboa A, Bobadilla-Del Valle M, Sifuentes-Osornio J, Ponce-de-Leon A. Factors associated to prevalence and incidence of carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* fecal carriage: a cohort study in a Mexican Tertiary Care Hospital. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0139883.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
14. Ampaire LM, Katawera V, Nyehangane D, Boum Y, Bazira J. Epidemiology of carbapenem resistance among multi-drug resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* in Uganda. *Br Microbiol Res J* 2015;8:418-423.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
15. Kim J, Lee JY, Kim SI, Song W, Kim JS, Jung S, Yu JK, Park KG, Park YJ. Rates of fecal transmission of extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase-producing and carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* among patients in intensive care units in Korea. *Ann Lab Med* 2014;34:20-25.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
16. Kim DK, Kim HS, Pinto N, Jeon J, D'Souza R, Kim MS, Choi JY, Yong D, Jeong SH, Lee K. Xpert CARBA-R assay for the detection of carbapenemase-producing organisms in intensive care unit patients of a Korean Tertiary Care Hospital. *Ann Lab Med* 2016;36:162-165.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
17. Giannella M, Trecarichi EM, De Rosa FG, Del Bono V, Bassetti M, Lewis RE, Losito AR, Corcione S, Saffioti C, Bartoletti M, Maiuro G, Cardellino CS, Tedeschi S, Cauda R, Viscoli C, Viale P, Tumbarello M. Risk factors for carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* bloodstream infection among rectal carriers: a prospective observational multicentre study. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2014;20:1357-1362.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
18. Correa L, Martino MD, Siqueira I, Pasternak J, Gales AC, Silva CV, Camargo TZ, Scherer PF, Marra AR. A hospital-based matched case-control study to identify clinical outcome and risk factors associated with carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* infection. *BMC Infect Dis* 2013;13:80.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)

19. Jeon MH, Choi SH, Kwak YG, Chung JW, Lee SO, Jeong JY, Woo JH, Kim YS. Risk factors for the acquisition of carbapenem-resistant *Escherichia coli* among hospitalized patients. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2008;62:402-406.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
20. Jiao Y, Qin Y, Liu J, Li Q, Dong Y, Shang Y, Huang Y, Liu R. Risk factors for carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* infection/colonization and predictors of mortality: a retrospective study. *Pathog Glob Health* 2015;109:68-74.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
21. Ahn JY, Song JE, Kim MH, Choi H, Kim JK, Ann HW, Kim JH, Jeon Y, Jeong SJ, Kim SB, Ku NS, Han SH, Song YG, Yong D, Lee K, Kim JM, Choi JY, et al. Risk factors for the acquisition of carbapenem-resistant *Escherichia coli* at a tertiary care center in South Korea: a matched case-control study. *Am J Infect Control* 2014;42:621-625.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
22. Teo J, Cai Y, Tang S, Lee W, Tan TY, Tan TT, Kwa AL. Risk factors, molecular epidemiology and outcomes of ertapenem-resistant, carbapenem-susceptible *Enterobacteriaceae*: a case-case-control study. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e34254.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
23. Asai N, Sakanashi D, Suematsu H, Kato H, Hagihara M, Nishiyama N, Koizumi Y, Yamagishi Y, Mikamo H. The epidemiology and risk factor of carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* colonization and infections: case control study in a single institute in Japan. *J Infect Chemother* 2018;24:505-509.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
24. Oken MM, Creech RH, Tormey DC, Horton J, Davis TE, McFadden ET, Carbone PP. Toxicity and response criteria of the Eastern cooperative oncology group. *Am J Clin Oncol* 1982;5:649-655.  
[PUBMED](#)
25. Song JY, Jeong IS. Development of a risk prediction model of carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* colonization among patients in intensive care units. *Am J Infect Control* 2018;46:1240-1244.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
26. Emsley HC, Hopkins SJ. Acute ischaemic stroke and infection: recent and emerging concepts. *Lancet Neurol* 2008;7:341-353.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
27. Choi SH. Diagnosis and treatment of nosocomial infections in neurological intensive care units. *J Neurocrit Care* 2014;7:63-70.  
[CROSSREF](#)
28. Karam G, Chastre J, Wilcox MH, Vincent JL. Antibiotic strategies in the era of multidrug resistance. *Crit Care* 2016;20:136.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
29. Schwaber MJ, Klarfeld-Lidji S, Navon-Venezia S, Schwartz D, Leavitt A, Carmeli Y. Predictors of carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* acquisition among hospitalized adults and effect of acquisition on mortality. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2008;52:1028-1033.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
30. Faigle R, Sharrief A, Marsh EB, Llinas RH, Urrutia VC. Predictors of critical care needs after IV thrombolysis for acute ischemic stroke. *PLoS One* 2014;9:e88652.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
31. Munoz-Price LS, Hayden MK, Lolans K, Won S, Calvert K, Lin M, Stemer A, Weinstein RA. Successful control of an outbreak of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase-producing *K. pneumoniae* at a long-term acute care hospital. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2010;31:341-347.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
32. Go E, Ju S, Yoo J, Jeon S. Distribution of carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* (CRE) in Korea, 2017. *Public Health Weekly Report* 2018;11:1518-1522.
33. Lavagnoli LS, Bassetti BR, Kaiser TDL, Kutz KM, Cerutti C Junior. Factors associated with acquisition of carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*. *Rev Lat Am Enfermagem* 2017;25:e2935.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
34. Chopra T, Rivard C, Awali RA, Krishna A, Bonomo RA, Perez F, Kaye KS. Epidemiology of carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* at a long-term acute care hospital. *Open Forum Infect Dis* 2018;5:ofy224.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
35. Yang J, Ye L, Guo L, Zhao Q, Chen R, Luo Y, Chen Y, Tian S, Zhao J, Shen D, Han L. A nosocomial outbreak of KPC-2-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in a Chinese hospital: dissemination of ST11 and emergence of ST37, ST392 and ST395. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2013;19:E509-E515.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)

36. Sheppard AE, Stoesser N, Wilson DJ, Sebra R, Kasarskis A, Anson LW, Giess A, Pankhurst LJ, Vaughan A, Grim CJ, Cox HL, Yeh AJ, Sifri CD, Walker AS, Peto TE, Crook DW, Mathers AJ; Modernising Medical Microbiology (MMM) Informatics Group. Nested Russian doll-like genetic mobility drives rapid dissemination of the carbapenem resistance gene blaKPC. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2016;60:3767-3778.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
37. Schwartz-Neiderman A, Braun T, Fallach N, Schwartz D, Carmeli Y, Schechner V. Risk Factors for carbapenemase-producing carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* (CP-CRE) acquisition among contacts of newly diagnosed CP-CRE patients. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2016;37:1219-1225.  
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)