

Seung-Tae Lee , M.D., Ph.D.

Department of Laboratory Medicine, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

Application of Optical Genome Mapping to the Genetic Diagnosis of Facioscapulohumeral Muscular Dystrophy 1

Structural variants (SVs) are important in constitutional disorders and cancers. Various methods can be used to detect SVs in the human genome [1]. Conventional G-banding karyotyping enables the detection of abnormalities across entire chromosomes simultaneously; however, it has the disadvantage of low resolution. Array comparative genomic hybridization or a single-nucleotide polymorphism array can be used to detect copy number variations but cannot detect balanced changes. Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization can detect structural abnormalities using specific probes but is time- and effort-intensive and cannot detect novel changes. Next-generation sequencing also detects SVs but is limited by the short read length, making accurate SV analysis challenging. Single-molecule strategies, such as long-read sequencing and optical genome mapping (OGM), can be used to overcome these limitations [2].

OGM involves the imaging of DNA molecules to construct a genome map. Ultra-high-molecular-weight DNA is fluorescently labeled, linearized in nanochannels, and scanned using a camera. A genome map is constructed based on the labeling pattern of each strand, and pattern differences detected by comparison with reference data can reveal SVs and large-scale tandem repeat arrays. Studies have applied OGM to diagnose hematologic malignancies [3-6], solid tumors [7, 8], and constitutional genetic disorders [9-12].

Facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy (FSHD), an autosomal dominant genetic disorder that mainly affects skeletal mus-

cles, is the third most common form of muscular dystrophy [13]. The clinical manifestation of FSHD is highly heterogeneous and depends on the age of onset, severity, and progression [14]. FSHD is classified into types 1 and 2 according to the genetic cause [15]. FSHD1 accounts for 95% of FSHD cases and is caused by a heterogeneous pathogenic contraction of the D4Z4 repeat. FSHD2 accounts for 5% of FSHD cases and is caused by variants in *SMCHD1* and *DNMT3B* or unknown causes of hypomethylation of the D4Z4 repeat array at chromosome 4q35. The D4Z4 repeat count in healthy individuals ranges from 8 to 100 units, whereas in patients with FSHD1, it is only 1 to 10 units. FSHD1 is conventionally diagnosed using Southern blot analysis because of the large size of the repeat array (3.3 kb). However, the implementation of Southern blotting in routine testing presents challenges owing to its time-consuming and labor-intensive nature.

In this issue of Annals of Laboratory Medicine, Shim, *et al.* [16] report the results of applying OGM for the genetic diagnosis of FSHD1. They studied 25 patients with clinically confirmed or suspected/probable FSHD and their families. In 10 patients with clinically confirmed FSHD1, repeat number and haplotype analysis results from OGM were concordant with Southern blot analysis results. Among nine patients with clinically suspected or probable FSHD, six carried pathogenic alleles as identified using OGM. According to the authors' comparison, OGM has a simpler and faster workflow than Southern blot analysis (4 days vs. 7



© Korean Society for Laboratory Medicine

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

days). They reported that OGM is a feasible tool for diagnosing FSHD1. The application of OGM is expected to aid the genetic diagnosis of FSHD1.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Lee ST wrote the manuscript and approved the final manuscript.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None declared.

REFERENCES

1. Feuk L, Carson AR, Scherer SW. Structural variation in the human genome. *Nat Rev Genet* 2006;7:85-97.
2. Goodwin S, McPherson JD, McCombie WR. Coming of age: ten years of next-generation sequencing technologies. *Nat Rev Genet* 2016;17:333-51.
3. Vieler LM, Nilius-Eliliwi V, Schroers R, Vangala DB, Nguyen HP, Gerdung WM. Optical genome mapping reveals and characterizes recurrent aberrations and new fusion genes in adult ALL. *Genes (Basel)* 2023;14:686.
4. Giguère A, Raymond-Bouchard I, Collin V, Claveau JS, Hébert J, LeBlanc R. Optical genome mapping reveals the complex genetic landscape of myeloma. *Cancers (Basel)* 2023;15:4687.
5. Neveling K, Mantere T, Vermeulen S, Oorsprong M, van Beek R, Kater-Baats E, et al. Next-generation cytogenetics: comprehensive assessment of 52 hematological malignancy genomes by optical genome mapping. *Am J Hum Genet* 2021;108:1423-35.
6. Shim Y, Koo YK, Shin S, Lee ST, Lee KA, Choi JR. Comparison of optical genome mapping with conventional diagnostic methods for structural variant detection in hematologic malignancies. *Ann Lab Med* 2024;44:324-34.
7. Shim Y, Lee J, Seo J, Park CK, Shin S, Han H, et al. Optical genome mapping identifies clinically relevant genomic rearrangements in prostate cancer biopsy sample. *Cancer Cell Int* 2022;22:306.
8. Cheng Y, Dong L, Bu D, Han L, Zhao Y, Liu J, et al. Optical genome mapping reveals the landscape of structural variations and their clinical significance in HBOC-related breast cancer. *Front Biosci (Landmark Ed)* 2024;29:2.
9. Zhang Q, Wang Y, Xu Y, Zhou R, Huang M, Qiao F, et al. Optical genome mapping for detection of chromosomal aberrations in prenatal diagnosis. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 2023;102:1053-62.
10. de Bruijn SE, Rodenburg K, Corominas J, Ben-Yosef T, Reurink J, Kremer H, et al. Optical genome mapping and revisiting short-read genome sequencing data reveal previously overlooked structural variants disrupting retinal disease-associated genes. *Genet Med* 2023;25:100345.
11. Mantere T, Neveling K, Pebrel-Richard C, Benoist M, van der Zande G, Kater-Baats E, et al. Optical genome mapping enables constitutional chromosomal aberration detection. *Am J Hum Genet* 2021;108:1409-22.
12. Facchini S, Dominik N, Manini A, Efthymiou S, Currò R, Rugginini B, et al. Optical genome mapping enables detection and accurate sizing of RFC1 repeat expansions. *Biomolecules* 2023;13:1546.
13. Flanigan KM, Coffeen CM, Sexton L, Stauffer D, Brunner S, Leppert MF. Genetic characterization of a large, historically significant Utah kindred with facioscapulohumeral dystrophy. *Neuromuscul Disord* 2001;11:525-9.
14. Tawil R, van der Maarel SM, Tapscott SJ. Facioscapulohumeral dystrophy: the path to consensus on pathophysiology. *Skelet Muscle* 2014;4:12.
15. Hamel J and Tawil R. Facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy: update on pathogenesis and future treatments. *Neurotherapeutics* 2018;15:863-71.
16. Shim Y, Seo J, Lee ST, Choi JR, Choi YC, Shin S, et al. Clinical application of optical genome mapping for molecular diagnosis of facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy. *Ann Lab Med* 2024;44:437-45.

Corresponding author: Seung-Tae Lee, M.D., Ph.D.

Department of Laboratory Medicine, Yonsei University College of Medicine, 50 Yonsei-ro, Seodaemun-gu, Seoul 03722, Korea
E-mail: LEE.ST@yuhs.ac

Key Words: Facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy, Optical genome mapping, Structural variation