



Evaluation of PCR-Reverse Blot Hybridization Assay, REBA Sepsis-ID Test, for Simultaneous Identification of Bacterial Pathogens and *mecA* and *van* Genes from Blood Culture Bottles

Soon Deok Park, Ph.D.^{1,*}, Gyusang Lee, Ph.D.^{2,*}, Hye-young Wang, M.S.³, Min Park, B.S.², Sunghyun Kim, Ph.D.², Hyunjung Kim, B.S.², Jungho Kim, B.S.², Young Keun Kim, M.D.⁴, Hyo Youl Kim, M.D.⁴, Hyeyoung Lee, Ph.D.², Young Uh, M.D.¹, and Jong Bae Kim, Ph.D.²

Departments of Laboratory Medicine¹ and Internal Medicine⁴, Yonsei University Wonju College of Medicine; Department of Biomedical Laboratory Science², College of Health Sciences, Yonsei University; M&D, Inc.³, Wonju Eco Environmental Technology Center, Wonju, Korea

Background: The aim of this study was to evaluate a newly developed PCR-based reverse blot hybridization assay (PCR-REBA), REBA Sepsis-ID (M&D, Wonju, Korea), to rapidly detect the presence of bacteremia and antimicrobial resistance gene in blood culture samples.

Methods: One thousand four hundred consecutive blood culture samples from patients with a delta neutrophil index greater than 2.7% were selected from March to July in 2013. Three hundred positive and 1,100 negative for bacterial growth in blood culture bottles samples were tested by conventional and real-time PCR-REBA, respectively.

Results: The overall agreement between the conventional identification test and the REBA Sepsis-ID test was 95.3% (286/300). Agreement for gram-positive bacteria, gram-negative bacteria, fungi, and polymicrobials was 94.5% (190/201), 97.3% (71/73), 100% (14/14), and 91.7% (11/12), respectively. The detection rate of the *mecA* gene from methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus* isolates was 97.8% (90/92). The *vanA* gene was detected in one blood culture sample from which vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* was isolated. When the cycle threshold for real-time PCR was defined as 30.0, 2.4% (26/1,100) of negative blood culture samples tested positive by real-time PCR.

Conclusions: The REBA Sepsis-ID test is capable of simultaneously and quickly detecting both causative agents and antimicrobial resistance genes, such as *mecA* and *van*, in blood culture positive samples.

Key Words: Real-time PCR, Blot, Hybridization, Bacteremia, *mecA*, Vancomycin resistance, Blood Culture

Received: March 17, 2014
Revision received: April 13, 2014
Accepted: August 27, 2014

Corresponding author: Young Uh
Department of Laboratory Medicine, Yonsei University Wonju College of Medicine, 20 Ilsan-ro, Wonju 220-701, Korea
Tel: +82-33-741-1592
Fax: +82-33-731-0506
E-mail: u931018@yonsei.ac.kr

Corresponding author: Jong Bae Kim
Department of Biomedical Laboratory Science, College of Health Sciences, Yonsei University, 1 Yonseidae-gil, Wonju 220-710, Korea
Tel: +82-33-760-2423
Fax: +82-33-760-2561
E-mail: kimjb70@yonsei.ac.kr

*Soon Deok Park and Gyusang Lee contributed equally to this article.

© The Korean Society for Laboratory Medicine
This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Blood stream infections (BSIs) are associated with high rates of morbidity and mortality ranging from 20% to 70% worldwide [1-4]. BSIs are the 10th leading cause of death in the United

States, accounting for 6% of all deaths [5]. An estimated 135,000 patients die each year of sepsis-associated complications in Europe [6]. Blood culture systems, which detect viable microorganisms in blood, are the current gold standard for BSI diagnosis. Patients with sepsis, defined as a clinical infection re-

sulting in a systemic inflammatory response, account for only about one third of the total positive cultures [7]. Although blood cultures are currently performed with continuous-monitoring blood culture systems (CMBCSs), several factors such as poor timing of collection, insufficient blood volumes, and the presence of antibiotics in the samples reduce the sensitivity of blood cultures [7, 8]. The main limitation of utilizing blood culture method is the vast amount of time required, as treating patients with empirical therapies before the blood culture analysis is complete may not serve to cure the illness. Kumar *et al.* [9] reported that the mean survival rate decreased by 7.6% every hour that effective antibiotic therapy was delayed following the onset of sepsis-related hypotension.

Recently, several PCR-based commercial assays that target a panel of clinically relevant bacterial and fungal bloodstream pathogens have been developed. Two types of commercial PCR-based assays are most common. The first type is designed for culture-positive samples. Examples of this type of assay are the peptide nucleic acid fluorescence *in situ* hybridization-based assay (AdvanDx, Woburn, MA, USA) [10], Hyplex Blood Screen (BAG, Lich, Germany) [11], and Prove-it Sepsis (Mobidiag, Helsinki, Finland) [12]. The second type is designed for direct blood samples. Examples of this type of assay are SepsitTest (Molzym, Bremen, Germany) [13] and Vyoo (SIRS-Lab, Jena, Germany) [14]. The PCR-based reverse blot hybridization assay (PCR-REBA, REBA Sepsis-ID; M&D, Wonju, Korea) was developed to rapidly detect bacterial and fungal pathogens and antimicrobial resistance genes in blood culture samples [15]. It uses pan-probes to distinguish gram-positive bacteria (GPB), gram-negative bacteria (GNB), and fungi. In addition, it uses probes for antibiotic resistance genes (i.e., the *mecA* gene of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus* spp. and the *vanA* and *vanB* genes of vancomycin-resistant enterococci).

The aim of this study was to evaluate the REBA Sepsis-ID test for rapid and accurate detection of pathogens and antimicrobial resistance genes in blood.

METHODS

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (CR312055) of Yonsei University Severance Hospital.

1. Collection of blood culture bottles

Three-hundred positive blood culture (PBC) and 1,100 negative blood culture (NBC) samples from patients with a delta neutrophil index (DNI) greater than 2.7% [16] were consecutively col-

lected at Wonju Severance Christian Hospital from March to July in 2013. To avoid the redundancy of enrolled samples, only one blood culture sample per patient was allowed. The enrolled blood culture samples were simultaneously tested with the PCR-REBA and conventional microbiological tests. The overall positive rate of blood culture in this study period was 7.46% (1,640/21,979).

The PBC samples were eligible for enrollment if they had been flagged positive by BACTEC FX (Becton Dickinson, Sparks, MD, USA) or BacT/ALERT 3D (bioMérieux, Durham, NC, USA) with a positive Gram stain. The PBC bottles were then removed from the CMBCS and a 1,000 μ L aliquot of the culture-broth mixture was aseptically collected by using a syringe and needle. The aliquot was then divided into halves. The first half (500 μ L) was used to perform a Gram stain and subcultured on sheep blood agar and MacConkey agar, which were incubated at 35°C for 24-48 hr in 5% CO₂, and the second half (500 μ L) was kept at -20°C for subsequent DNA extraction.

NBC samples were used if culture results were negative for five days of incubation in CMBCSs. After the blood culture bottles were removed from the CMBCS, 500 μ L of blood suspension was collected and kept at -20°C for subsequent DNA extraction. All the NBC bottles were incubated at 35°C until PCR results were obtained. For the NBC samples with a positive real-time PCR result, 1,000 μ L of blood suspension was used to inoculate routine subculture media (sheep blood agar, chocolate agar, and Sabouraud dextrose agar), and incubated at 35°C under 5% CO₂ for five days. For slow-growing bacteria, another 1,000 μ L of blood suspension was used to inoculate special subculture media (plating count agar media [Becton Dickinson] [17] and Reasoner's 2A agar media [Becton Dickinson] [18]), which was incubated at 20°C low temperature incubator. Additionally, the remaining blood suspension was used to inoculate Luria-Bertani [19] and brain heart infusion broths (Becton Dickinson), which were incubated at 37°C incubator. Colonies isolated from the special subculture media and NBC samples that were positive by real-time PCR were confirmed by bacterial 16S rRNA and fungal 18S to 5.8S internal transcribed sequence analysis. The amplicons were sequenced by Xenotech Company (Daejeon, Korea). The conventional identification test and antimicrobial susceptibility test were done by using the MicroScan system (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Sacramento, CA, USA).

2. DNA preparation

To prepare DNA templates from the 300 PBC and 1,100 NBC samples, DNA was extracted by using the following procedure. A

200 μ L aliquot of the blood was mixed with 1,000 μ L of erythrocyte lysis buffer (ELB) (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) at room temperature for 10 min to disrupt erythrocytes. The supernatant was then removed after centrifugation at 13,000 g for 5 min. The pellet was washed with 1,000 μ L of ELB to completely remove the erythrocytes and centrifuged under the same conditions. One hundred microliters of ELB was added to the pellet, which was then frozen and thawed twice. One hundred microliters of DNA extraction solution (M&D) was added to the mixture, and it was boiled for 15 min. After centrifugation at 13,000 g for 10 min, the supernatant was used as a DNA template for PCR.

3. PCR amplification

Conventional PCR amplification was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions to evaluate the PBC samples. TaqMan real-time PCR assays were carried out by using Real-GP (gram-positive), -GN (gram-negative), and -CAN (*Candida*) real-time PCR kits (M&D) according to the following procedure to evaluate the NBC samples. The reaction mixture contained 10 μ L of real-time PCR mixture, 5 μ L of primer and probe mixture, 0.04 μ L of 50 \times ROX reference dye, 5 μ L of sample DNA, and sterile distilled water to give a final volume of 20 μ L. The thermal cycling conditions were: 10 min at 94°C, followed by 40 cycles of 30 sec at 94°C and 30 sec at 60°C. Each TaqMan real-time PCR assay included a positive control and an internal control, which was used to control for the effect of PCR inhibitors in the reaction. The cycle threshold (C_T) values resulting from reactions in the master mix with and without specimen were compared. The bacterial load was quantified by determining the C_T , the number of PCR cycles required for the fluorescence to exceed a value significantly higher than the background fluorescence. All reactions were performed by using an ABI 7500 FAST instrument (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The C_T value was analyzed by using 7500 Software version 2.0.4 (Applied Biosystems). Fifteen PBC samples were evaluated by using real-time PCR TaqMan assay as a pilot study before evaluating the NBC samples. The C_T values resulting from this pilot study ranged from 11 to 20.94 cycles. The real-time PCR assay was defined as positive if the C_T value was below 30.0.

4. PCR-reverse blot hybridization assay

The REBA Sepsis-ID test was performed according to the standard protocol provided by the manufacturer [15]. The membrane used in the REBA Sepsis-ID test contained DNA probes for GPB, including *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Staphylococcus* spp., *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Streptococcus* spp., *Enterococcus* spp., and *Mycobacterium* spp.; DNA probes for GNB including *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Citrobacter freundii*, *Salmonella* spp., *Shigella* spp., *Haemophilus influenzae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Acinetobacter baumannii*; DNA probes for *Candida* species including *C. albicans*, *C. tropicalis*, *C. glabrata*, *C. parapsilosis*, and *C. krusei*; and DNA probes for antimicrobial resistance genes including *mecA*, *vanA*, and *vanB*. Indicator lines on the REBA strips were evaluated by using a template provided with the kit. A universal control band was used to evaluate the intensity of faint bands (only the bands with color intensity equal to or greater than that of the control band were considered positive). The results indicated by band patterns on the developed strips specific for each species and resistance gene were compared to the conventional microbiology results.

5. 16S rRNA sequence analysis

All the isolates and PCR amplicons with discrepant results between the REBA Sepsis-ID test and conventional methods were subjected to 16S rRNA sequence analysis. The REBA Sepsis-ID test, bacterial 16S rRNA, and fungal 18S to 5.8S internal transcribed sequence analysis were performed on these samples. The results were compared with those of blood cultures.

6. Statistical analysis

All PBC and NBC data (1,400 samples) were analyzed by using IBM SPSS Statistics version 20 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The degree of agreement between conventional culture method and REBA-Sepsis ID test was determined by kappa coefficient and its corresponding *P* value.

RESULTS

1. Positive blood culture samples –monomicrobial bacteremia

Two hundred eighty-eight of 300 PBC samples contained a single organism as determined by the culture method. Of the monomicrobial PBC samples, 69.8% (201/288) contained GPB including *S. aureus*, coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* (CoNS), *S. pneumoniae*, *Streptococcus* spp., *Enterococcus* spp., and anaerobic GPB. An additional 25.3% (73/288) contained GNB including *E. coli*, *K. pneumoniae*, *A. baumannii*, *P. aeruginosa*, *C. freundii*, and anaerobic GNB. A total of 4.9% (14/288) contained *Candida* spp. including *C. albicans*, *C. parapsilosis*, *C. glabrata*, and *C. tropicalis* (Table 1). Two of 27 *S. aureus* and three of 103 CoNS isolates gave discrepant results between the

Table 1. The spectrum of isolates and comparison of results between conventional culture method and REBA Sepsis ID test

| Bacterial pathogen or resistance gene by conventional methods (N) | Identification with the REBA Sepsis-ID test | |
|---|---|--|
| | Consistent results (N) | Discrepant results (N) |
| Gram positive | | |
| <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (27) | <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (25) | No result (1)*, <i>Staphylococcus</i> spp. (1)† |
| <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> (46) | <i>Staphylococcus</i> spp. (45) | Gram positive (1)† |
| <i>Staphylococcus hominis</i> (27) | <i>Staphylococcus</i> spp. (27) | |
| <i>Staphylococcus capitis</i> (21) | <i>Staphylococcus</i> spp. (21) | |
| <i>Staphylococcus haemolyticus</i> (9) | <i>Staphylococcus</i> spp. (9) | |
| <i>Staphylococcus saprophyticus</i> (2) | <i>Staphylococcus</i> spp. (1) | pan bacteria (1)† |
| <i>Staphylococcus intermedius</i> (1) | <i>Staphylococcus</i> spp. (1) | |
| <i>Staphylococcus schleiferi</i> (1) | <i>Staphylococcus</i> spp. (0) | pan bacteria (1)† |
| <i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i> (5) | <i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i> (5) | |
| <i>Streptococcus mitis</i> (6) | <i>Streptococcus</i> spp. (5) | <i>Streptococcus</i> spp. & <i>S. aureus</i> ‡ |
| <i>Streptococcus agalatae</i> (5) | <i>Streptococcus</i> spp. (5) | |
| <i>Streptococcus salivarius</i> (3) | <i>Streptococcus</i> spp. (3) | |
| <i>Streptococcus anginosus</i> (2) | <i>Streptococcus</i> spp. (2) | |
| <i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i> (2) | <i>Streptococcus</i> spp. (2) | |
| <i>Streptococcus sanguinis</i> (2) | <i>Streptococcus</i> spp. (1) | pan bacteria (1)† |
| <i>Streptococcus bovis</i> (1) | <i>Streptococcus</i> spp. (1) | |
| <i>Streptococcus dysgalactiae</i> (1) | <i>Streptococcus</i> spp. (0) | <i>Streptococcus</i> spp. & <i>S. aureus</i> ‡ |
| <i>Enterococcus faecium</i> (6) | <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. (6) | |
| <i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> (5) | <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. (5) | |
| <i>Enterococcus avium</i> (1) | <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. (0) | <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. & <i>C. freundii</i> (1)‡ |
| <i>Enterococcus gallinarum</i> (1) | <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. (1) | |
| <i>Corynebacterium</i> spp. (10) | Gram positive (10) | |
| <i>Bacillus</i> spp. (9) | Gram positive (7) | Gram positive & <i>Streptococcus</i> spp. (2) |
| <i>Micrococcus</i> spp. (8) | Gram positive (8) | |
| Anaerobe bacteria (4) | Gram positive (4) | |
| Gram negative | | |
| <i>Escherichia coli</i> (35) | <i>Escherichia coli</i> (34) | Gram negative (1)§ |
| <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> (11) | <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> (11) | |
| <i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i> (6) | <i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i> (6) | |
| <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> (5) | <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> (5) | |
| <i>Aeromonas hydrophila</i> (3) | Gram negative (3) | |
| <i>Morganella morganii</i> (2) | Gram negative (2) | |
| <i>Enterobacter cloacae</i> (2) | Gram negative (2) | |
| <i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i> (1) | Gram negative (1) | <i>E. aerogenes</i> & <i>A. baumannii</i> (1)‡ |
| <i>Citrobacter freundii</i> (1) | <i>Citrobacter freundii</i> (1) | |
| <i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i> (1) | Gram negative (1) | |
| <i>Serratia marcescens</i> (1) | Gram negative (1) | |
| <i>Chryseobacterium indologenes</i> (1) | Gram negative (1) | |
| <i>Delftia acidovorans</i> (1) | Gram negative (1) | |

(Continued to the next page)

Table 1. Continued

| Bacterial pathogen or resistance gene by conventional methods (N) | Identification with the REBA Sepsis-ID test | |
|---|---|-------------------------------|
| | Consistent results (N) | Discrepant results (N) |
| <i>Moraxella catarrhalis</i> (1) | Gram negative (1) | |
| <i>Ochrobactrum anthropi</i> (1) | Gram negative (1) | |
| Anaerobe bacteria (1) | Gram negative (1) | |
| <i>Candida</i> | | |
| <i>Candida albicans</i> (7) | <i>Candida albicans</i> (7) | |
| <i>Candida parapsilosis</i> (4) | <i>Candida parapsilosis</i> (4) | |
| <i>Candida glabrata</i> (2) | <i>Candida glabrata</i> (2) | |
| <i>Candida tropicalis</i> (1) | <i>Candida tropicalis</i> (1) | |
| Antimicrobial resistance | | |
| Methicillin resistance (92) | <i>mecA</i> (90) | No detection (2) ^h |
| Vancomycin resistance (1) | <i>vanA</i> (1) | |

*One was not amplified and gave no result by the REBA Sepsis-ID test; [†]The 16S rRNA sequence analysis reported as uncultured bacterium; [‡]REBA Sepsis-ID test results agreed with 16S rRNA sequence analysis; [§]The PCR product weakly hybridized with the *E. coli* probe; ^hThe *mecA* gene was not identified in two *S. saprophyticus* isolates by the REBA Sepsis-ID test.

Abbreviation: REBA Sepsis-ID, PCR – based reverse blot hybridization assay.

culture method and the REBA Sepsis-ID test. One *S. aureus* case was not identified by PCR amplification, and another *S. aureus* case was not identified as the species level. Three CoNS cases were identified at the pan-GP or pan-bacteria level by the REBA Sepsis-ID test. All five *S. pneumoniae* isolates were correctly identified. Three of 22 *Streptococcus* spp. showed discrepant results between culture and the REBA Sepsis-ID test. The presence of both *Streptococcus* spp. and *S. aureus* was indicated in two of these discrepant samples by the REBA Sepsis-ID test. Subsequent 16S rRNA sequence analysis confirmed the presence of two isolates in each sample. Twelve of 13 *Enterococcus* spp. were concordant. One sample resulted in two bands corresponding to *Enterococcus* spp. and *C. freundii* by the REBA Sepsis-ID test despite only *Enterococcus avium* being isolated. Subsequent 16S rRNA sequence analyses confirmed the presence of *E. avium* and *C. freundii* in the blood culture sample. The other 27 GPB including 10 *Corynebacterium* spp., 9 *Bacillus* spp., 8 *Micrococcus* spp., and 4 anaerobic GPB were not included in the REBA probes. PCR products from all but two samples hybridized with the pan-GP probes. The agreement rate between the culture method and the REBA Sepsis-ID test for GPB and GNB was 94.5% (190/201) and 97.3% (71/73), respectively. Three GPB monomicrobial samples were revealed to have more than one isolate by the REBA Sepsis-ID test. *E. coli* was isolated from one GNB monomicrobial sample, but the PCR product hybridized only with the pan-GN probe. In the only other GNB monomicrobial sample, *Enterobacter aerogenes* was isolated,

and the PCR product hybridized with both of the pan-GN and *A. baumannii* probes. This sample was confirmed to contain both of *E. aerogenes* and *A. baumannii* by 16S rRNA sequence analysis. All 14 *Candida* species were identified by the REBA Sepsis-ID test.

2. Positive blood culture samples –polymicrobial bacteremia

Of the 12 polymicrobial PBC samples, the agreement rate between the culture method and the REBA Sepsis-ID test was 91.7% (11/12). *E. coli* plus *Streptococcus anginosus* isolated by the culture method in one case was identified to be only *E. coli* by the REBA Sepsis-ID test (Table 2).

3. Negative blood culture samples

Among the NBC samples, 97.6% (1,074/1,100) had C_T values above 30.0. The remaining 2.4% (26/1,100) NBC samples had C_T values below 30.0, including four with values less than 25.0, one between 26.0 and 27.0, and 21 between 28.0 and 30.0. Of these samples, 69.2% (18/26) were Real-GN positive, 19.2% (5/26) were Real-GP positive, and 11.5% (3/26) were Real-CAN positive by TaqMan real-time PCR assay (Table 3). Most Real-GP, and Real-GN positive cases produced PCR products that weakly hybridized to the pan-bacteria probe. Isolates from two Real-CAN positive cases were identified as containing *C. tropicalis* and one was identified as containing *C. tropicalis* plus *C. parapsilosis* by the REBA Sepsis-ID test. Thirteen of 26 NBC samples with positive real-time PCR results were not amplified

Table 2. Comparison of results from conventional culture methods and the REBA Sepsis-ID test for 12 polymicrobial blood culture samples

| Bacterial pathogen by conventional methods (N) | Identification with the REBA Sepsis-ID test (N) |
|---|---|
| <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> and <i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> (2) | <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> and <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. (2) |
| <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> and <i>Staphylococcus warneri</i> (1) | <i>Staphylococcus</i> spp. (1) |
| <i>Staphylococcus haemolyticus</i> and <i>Candida utilis</i> (1) | <i>Staphylococcus</i> spp. and fungus (1) |
| <i>Enterococcus durans</i> and <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> (1) | <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. and <i>Staphylococcus</i> spp. (1) |
| <i>Enterococcus faecium</i> and <i>Candida albicans</i> (1) | <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. and <i>Candida albicans</i> (1) |
| <i>Enterococcus avium</i> and <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> (1) | <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. and <i>Staphylococcus</i> spp. (1) |
| <i>Escherichia coli</i> and <i>Enterococcus gallinarum</i> (1) | <i>Escherichia coli</i> and <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. (1) |
| <i>Escherichia coli</i> and <i>Streptococcus anginosus</i> (1) | <i>Escherichia coli</i> (1) |
| <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> and <i>Enterococcus casseliflavus</i> (1) | <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> and <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. (1) |
| <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> and <i>Enterobacter cloacae</i> (1) | <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> and Gram negative (1) |
| <i>Proteus mirabilis</i> and <i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> (1) | Gram negative and <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. (1) |

Abbreviation: REBA Sepsis-ID, PCR-based reverse blot hybridization assay.

by sequencing reactions. Eight NBC samples produced colonies that grew on subculture media (Table 3). A significant agreement was found between conventional culture method and REBA-Sepsis ID test (kappa coefficient=0.916, $P < 0.001$).

4. Identification of *mecA* and *vanA* genes

Ninety of 92 (97.8%) blood culture samples with methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus* isolates were *mecA* positive. *MecA* was not detected in the two methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus saprophyticus* isolates. No *mecA* genes were identified by PCR-REBA in the 44 methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus* spp. The *vanA* gene was detected in one blood culture sample, from which vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* was isolated (Table 1).

DISCUSSION

The clinical treatment of bacterial infections with antibiotics depends on the bacterial species, and especially differs among GPB, GNB, and fungal infections. Antibiotic therapies are usually selected empirically until antimicrobial susceptibility test results are completed. Therefore, rapidly identifying pathogens and their resistance genes is important for treating septic patients. Diagnostic methods that can reduce the time to identify a BSI pathogen, and its antimicrobial susceptibility have great potential to improve patient care. Recently, a molecular diagnostic approach was proposed to be advantageous [20, 21]. The advantage of molecular approaches is notable when the infectious agent is fastidious or fungal, when blood culture fails to identify the causative agent, or when a quick diagnosis is needed.

The agreement rates between the conventional culture method

and the REBA Sepsis-ID test in identifying GPB, GNB, fungi, and the *mecA* gene in 300 PBC samples were 94.5%, 97.3%, 100%, and 97.8%, respectively. Steindor *et al.* [22] reported correct identification of 96.1% of GPB, 89.9% of GNB, and 92.9% of *mecA* using the PCR-based DNA strip assay, GenoType BC. Results from our PBC samples demonstrated that the overall agreement rate between the culture method and the REBA Sepsis-ID test was high at 95.3%, which was similar to that indicated in other reports [23, 24].

Two monomicrobial PBC samples with discrepant results between culturing and the REBA Sepsis-ID test were proven to have additional *S. aureus* isolates. In contrast, five samples containing *Staphylococcus* spp., including *S. aureus* isolates, were not correctly identified by the REBA Sepsis-ID test. It is difficult to accurately identify more than one organism in a sample by using the culture method, as additional blind subculturing of PBC samples with positive flagging is not a common practice in clinical laboratories. PCR inhibitors have been an obstacle to obtaining accurate results. Achieving accurate PCR results from blood culture samples is difficult owing to PCR inhibitors in the blood such as sodium polyanetholsulfonate, heme, hematin, hemoglobin, lactoferrin, and IgG [25-29]. An optimal PCR sample preparation procedure should efficiently lyse resistant bacterial cell walls, including those in GPB, without being too harsh on the DNA released from the cells [30]. Differentiating *S. pneumoniae* from mitis group streptococci is difficult because of their close genetic relationship [31]. However, the REBA Sepsis-ID test discriminated between *S. pneumoniae* and other streptococci, including viridians group streptococci.

Poor accuracy in the identification of more than one organism

Table 3. Comparison of results from 16S rRNA sequence analysis, real-time PCR-REBA, and subculture

| Real-time PCR (C _T value)* | | | REBA Sepsis-ID test | 16S rRNA sequence analysis | | Clinical sign [‡] |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|----------|---------------------|---|--|----------------------------|
| Real-GN | Real-GP | Real-CAN | | Colonies from subculture [†] | Blood suspension after extended incubation | |
| 16.83 | ND [§] | ND | Pan | Uncultured <i>Sphingomonas</i> , Uncultured prokaryote | No amplification | Yes |
| 28.49 | ND | ND | Pan, GP | <i>Bacillus infantis</i> , <i>Bacillus neonatiensis</i> , Uncultured bacterium | <i>B. infantis</i> , <i>B. neonatiensis</i> , <i>Nocardia coeliaca</i> , <i>Rhodococcus</i> spp. | Yes |
| 29.03 | ND | ND | Pan (weak band) | <i>Pseudomonas putida</i> , <i>Actinobacterium</i> spp., <i>Cellulosimicrobium</i> spp., <i>Janthinobacterium</i> spp., <i>Lactobacillus</i> spp., <i>Microbacterium</i> spp., <i>Streptomyces</i> spp. | No amplification | Yes |
| 29.09 | ND | ND | Pan | <i>Agrococcus</i> spp., Uncultured bacterium | <i>Janthinobacterium</i> spp., Uncultured bacterium | No |
| 29.67 | ND | ND | Pan (weak band) | <i>Staphylococcus hominis</i> , Uncultured bacterium | <i>Rhodococcus erythropolis</i> , <i>Rhodococcus</i> spp., <i>Acrinomyces</i> <i>bacterium</i> , <i>N. coeliaca</i> | Yes |
| 29.82 | ND | ND | Pan (weak band) | <i>Bacillus aryabhatai</i> , <i>Bacillus megaterium</i> | No amplification | Yes |
| 29.85 | ND | ND | Pan (weak band) | <i>Bacillus acidicer</i> , <i>Bacillus luciferensis</i> , <i>Bacillus</i> spp. | No amplification | No |
| 29.91 | ND | ND | Pan (weak band) | No colony | <i>Janthinobacterium</i> spp., Uncultured bacterium | No |
| 26.10 | ND | ND | Pan (weak band) | No colony | No amplification | Yes |
| 28.64 | ND | ND | Pan (weak band) | No colony | No amplification | Yes |
| 28.67 | ND | ND | Pan (weak band) | No colony | <i>A. bacterium</i> , <i>R. erythropolis</i> , <i>Rhodococcus</i> spp. | Yes |
| 28.78 | ND | ND | Pan | No colony | No amplification | Yes |
| 28.90 | ND | ND | Pan | No colony | No amplification | Yes |
| 29.06 | ND | ND | Pan (weak band) | No colony | <i>Janthinobacterium</i> spp., Uncultured bacterium | Yes |
| 29.12 | ND | ND | Pan | No colony | No amplification | Yes |
| 29.40 | ND | ND | Pan (weak band) | No colony | <i>A. bacterium</i> , <i>N. coeliaca</i> , <i>R. erythropolis</i> | Yes |

(Continued to the next page)

Table 3. Continued

| Real-time PCR (C _T value)* | | | REBA Sepsis-ID test | 16S rRNA sequence analysis | | Clinical sign [‡] |
|---------------------------------------|---------|----------|---|---------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|
| Real-GN | Real-GP | Real-CAN | | Colonies from subculture [†] | Blood suspension after extended incubation | |
| 29.45 | ND | ND | Pan (weak band) | No colony | No amplification | Yes |
| 29.80 | ND | ND | Pan (weak band) | No colony | No amplification | Yes |
| ND | 17.08 | ND | <i>Staphylococcus</i> spp. | <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> | <i>S. epidermidis</i> | Yes |
| ND | 24.26 | ND | <i>Staphylococcus</i> spp. | No colony | <i>Staphylococcus warneri</i> | Yes |
| ND | 26.01 | ND | Pan (weak band) | No colony | <i>A. bacterium</i> | Yes |
| ND | 28.91 | ND | Pan (weak band) | No colony | No amplification | Yes |
| ND | 23.83 | ND | Pan | No colony | No amplification | Yes |
| ND | ND | 29.17 | <i>Candida tropicalis</i> (weak band) | No colony | <i>C. tropicalis</i> | Yes |
| ND | ND | 29.21 | <i>C. tropicalis</i> and <i>C. parapsilosis</i> (weak band) | No colony | Uncultured fungus | Yes |
| ND | ND | 29.85 | <i>C. tropicalis</i> (weak band) | No colony | <i>C. tropicalis</i> | Yes |

*The cycle threshold value for real-time PCR was 30.0 in this study; [†]Samples were subcultured on sheep blood, MacConkey, chocolate, R2A, and plating agar; [‡]Clinical signs follow the systemic inflammatory response syndrome definition; [§]Undetermined result or cycle threshold value was over 30.0 by real-time PCR.

Abbreviations: REBA Sepsis-ID, PCR-based reverse blot hybridization assay; CT, cycle threshold; Real-GN, 16S rRNA gram-negative primers; Real-GP, 16S rRNA gram-positive primers; Real-CAN, 16S rRNA gram-positive primers; Pan, broad-range bacterial 16S rRNA probe.

in a sample is a well-known drawback of PCR-based tests. Molecular tests that detect a limited number of targets may report only one species, which can be misleading. Buchan *et al.* [32] reported that the agreement rate between a reference culture and a microarray-based nucleic acid test for polymicrobial cultures was only 72%. However, the REBA assay in the present study had an agreement rate of 91.7%. REBA may not detect multiple types of bacteria in a blood culture sample owing to technical limitations, such as interference between multiple probes and undetectable concentrations of minor bacterial constituents. The five PBC samples that had a single bacterial species by blood culture contained two isolates as determined by the REBA Sepsis-ID test, which was confirmed by 16S rRNA sequence analysis. The reason that the REBA Sepsis-ID test detected more isolates than the blood culture method is not clear. However, *C. freundii* was detected by the blood culture method on the day following its detection by using the REBA Sepsis-ID test. This result indicates that the REBA Sepsis-ID test may be able to detect nonviable bacteria or those at a low concentration that is not detectable by CMBCS. Therefore, the REBA Sepsis-ID test may have practical benefits in the clinical setting, particularly for patients on empirical antibiotic treatment before culture results are obtained.

The REBA Sepsis-ID test did not identify two (2.2%) methicillin-resistant *S. saprophyticus* isolates, which were identified by the conventional method. The two *mecA*-negative *S. saprophyti-*

cus isolates were likely methicillin-susceptible organisms. The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute interpretive criteria for detecting *mecA*-mediated resistance in *S. saprophyticus* may overestimate resistance [33].

Real-time PCR was used to evaluate NBC samples because it reduces the time required to obtain results, decreases contamination during the PCR procedure, and increases PCR sensitivity. Among the 26 NBC samples with real-time PCR positive results in this study, 13 cases were considered false positive results because they were not amplified by sequencing reactions. Matsuda *et al.* [34] reported that the positivity rate of PCR-hybridization using 500 μ L of blood from NBC samples was 10.5% (11/105) and that the 11 culture negative, PCR-hybridization positive samples contained nine CoNS and two GPB species. Steindor *et al.* [22] evaluated a PCR-based DNA strip assay, GenoType BC, which detects bacteria from PBC samples and emphasized that the assay required abundant bacteria in the blood. Kocoglu *et al.* [35] reported that of 904 NBC samples, 2.6% were positive by reculture but not by PCR-based methods. They concluded that subculture was valuable in diagnosis using NBC samples, especially when only one set of blood cultures was taken. In this study, using a real-time PCR C_T of 30 resulted in a very low positivity rate in NBC samples. Colonies isolated from subculture media may also have been contaminated as the sequence analysis results were not concordant between the blood suspension and colonies. These results suggest

that it is not necessary for a clinical laboratory to perform supplemental subculture as a routine work after 5 days of incubation on CMBCS.

Although the REBA Sepsis-ID test will not absolutely replace the conventional culture method, it is likely to rapidly discriminate between PBC and NBC samples and provide clinical information relevant to patients by detecting important pathogens and antimicrobial resistance genes.

Authors' Disclosures of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by a grant from the Korea Health Technology R&D Project, Ministry of Health & Welfare, Republic of Korea (A121030, H.L.).

REFERENCES

1. Angus DC, Linde-Zwirble WT, Lidicker J, Clermont G, Carcillo J, Pinsky MR. Epidemiology of severe sepsis in the United States: analysis of incidence, outcome, and associated costs of care. *Crit Care Med* 2001; 29:1303-10.
2. Barnato AE, Alexander SL, Linde-Zwirble WT, Angus DC. Racial variation in the incidence, care, and outcomes of severe sepsis: analysis of population, patient, and hospital characteristics. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2008;177:279-84.
3. Dombroskiy VY, Martin AA, Sunderram J, Paz HL. Rapid increase in hospitalization and mortality rates for severe sepsis in the United States: a trend analysis from 1993 to 2003. *Crit Care Med* 2007;35:1244-50.
4. Esper AM, Moss M, Lewis CA, Nisbet R, Mannino DM, Martin GS. The role of infection and comorbidity: factors that influence disparities in sepsis. *Crit Care Med* 2006;34:2576-82.
5. Kung HC, Hoyert DL, Xu J, Murphy SL. Deaths: final data for 2005. *Natl Vital Stat Rep* 2008;56:1-120.
6. Lever A and Mackenzie I. Sepsis: definition, epidemiology, and diagnosis. *BMJ* 2007;335:879-83.
7. Weinstein MP, Towns ML, Quartey SM, Mirrett S, Reimer LG, Parmigiani G, et al. The clinical significance of positive blood cultures in the 1990s: a prospective comprehensive evaluation of the microbiology, epidemiology, and outcome of bacteremia and fungemia in adults. *Clin Infect Dis* 1997;24:584-602.
8. Wilson ML. Outpatient blood cultures: progress and unanswered questions. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2004;23:879-80.
9. Kumar A, Roberts D, Wood KE, Light B, Parrillo JE, Sharma S, et al. Duration of hypotension before initiation of effective antimicrobial therapy is the critical determinant of survival in human septic shock. *Crit Care Med* 2006;34:1589-96.
10. Forrest GN, Roghmann MC, Toombs LS, Johnson JK, Weekes E, Lincollis DP, et al. Peptide nucleic acid fluorescence in situ hybridization for hospital-acquired enterococcal bacteremia: delivering earlier effective antimicrobial therapy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2008;52:3558-63.
11. Wellinghausen N, Wirths B, Essig A, Wassill L. Evaluation of the Hyplex Blood Screen multiplex PCR-enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay system for direct identification of gram positive cocci and gram-negative bacilli from positive blood cultures. *J Clin Microbiol* 2004;42:3147-52.
12. Hansen WL, Beuving J, Bruggeman CA, Wolffs PF. Molecular probes for diagnosis of 260 clinically relevant bacterial infections in blood cultures. *J Clin Microbiol* 2010;48:4432-8.
13. Mühl H, KochemAJ, Disqué C, Sakka SG. Activity and DNA contamination of commercial polymerase chain reaction reagents for the universal 16S rDNA real-time polymerase chain reaction detection of bacterial pathogens in blood. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2010;66:41-9.
14. Lehmann LE, Hunfeld KP, Emrich T, Haberhausen G, Wissing H, Hoefl A, et al. A multiplex real-time PCR assay for rapid detection and differentiation of 25 bacterial and fungal pathogens from whole blood samples. *Med Microbiol Immunol* 2008;197:313-24.
15. Choi Y, Wang HY, Lee G, Park SD, Jeon BY, Uh Y, et al. PCR-reverse blot hybridization assay for the screening and identification of pathogens in sepsis. *J Clin Microbiol* 2013;51:1451-7.
16. Seok Y, Choi JR, Kim J, Kim YK, Lee J, Song J, et al. Delta neutrophil index: a promising diagnostic and prognostic marker for sepsis. *Shock* 2012;37:242-6.
17. Atlas RM. Handbook of microbiological media. 3rd ed. London: CRC Press, 2004;1390.
18. Reasoner DJ, BlannonJC, Geldreich EE. Rapid seven-hour fecal coliform test. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1979;38:229-36.
19. Bertani G. Studies on lysogenesis. I. The mode of phase liberation by lysogenic *Escherichia coli*. *J Bacteriol* 1951;62:293-300.
20. Mallon PW, Millar BC, Moore JE, Murphy PG, McClurg RB, Chew EW, et al. Molecular identification of *Acinetobacter* sp. in a patient with culture-negative endocarditis. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2000;6:277-8.
21. Millar B, Moore J, Mallon P, Xu J, Crowe M, McClurg R, et al. Molecular diagnosis of infective endocarditis—a new Duke's criterion. *Scand J Infect Dis* 2001;33:673-80.
22. Steindor M, Weizengger M, Harrison N, Hirschl AM, Schweickert B, Göbel UB, et al. Use of a commercial PCR-based line blot method for identification of bacterial pathogens and the *mecA* and *van* genes from BacT Alert blood culture bottles. *J Clin Microbiol* 2012;50:157-9.
23. Jordan JA and Durso MB. Real-time polymerase chain reaction for detecting bacterial DNA directly from blood of neonates being evaluated for sepsis. *J Mol Diagn* 2005;7:575-81.
24. Liu Y, Han JX, Huang HY, Zhu B. Development and evaluation of 16S rDNA microarray for detecting bacterial pathogens in cerebrospinal fluid. *Exp Biol Med (Maywood)* 2005;230:587-91.
25. Akane A, Matsubara K, Nakamura H, Takahashi S, Kimura K. Identification of the heme compound copurified with deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) from bloodstains, a major inhibitor of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification. *J Forensic Sci* 1994;39:362-72.
26. Kreader CA. Relief of amplification inhibition in PCR with bovine serum albumin or T4 gene 32 protein. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1996;62:1102-6.
27. Jung R, Lübcke C, Wagener C, Neumaier M. Reversal of RT-PCR inhibition observed in heparinized clinical specimens. *Biotechniques* 1997;23:24, 26, 28.
28. Al-Soud WA, Jönsson LJ, Rådström P. Identification and characterization of immunoglobulin G in blood as a major inhibitor of diagnostic PCR. *J Clin Microbiol* 2000;38:345-50.
29. Lam NY, Rainer TH, Chiu RW, Lo YM. EDTA is a better anticoagulant than heparin or citrate for delayed blood processing for plasma DNA

- analysis. Clin Chem 2004;50:256-7.
30. Rantakokko-Jalava K and Jalava J. Optimal DNA isolation method for detection of bacteria in specimens by broad-range PCR. J Clin Microbiol 2002;40:4211-7.
 31. Kawamura Y, Hou XG, Sultana F, Miura H, Ezaki T. Determination of 16S rRNA sequences of *Streptococcus mitis* and *Streptococcus gordonii* and phylogenetic relationships among members of the genus *Streptococcus*. Int J Syst Bacteriol 1995;45:406-8.
 32. Buchan BW, Ginocchio CC, Manii R, Cavagnolo R, Pancholi P, Swyers L, et al. Multiplex identification of gram-positive bacteria and resistance determinants directly from positive blood culture broths: evaluation of an automated microarray-based nucleic acid test. PLoS Med 2013; 10:e1001478.
 33. Higashide M, Kuroda M, Ohkawa S, Ohta T. Evaluation of a cefoxitin disk diffusion test for the detection of *mecA*-positive methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus saprophyticus*. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2006;27:500-4.
 34. Matsuda K, Iwaki KK, Garcia-Gomez J, Hoffman J, Inderlied CB, Mason WH, et al. Bacterial identification by 16SrRNA gene PCR-hybridization as a supplement to negative culture results. J Clin Microbiol 2011;49: 2031-4.
 35. Kocoglu ME, Bayram A, Balci I. Evaluation of negative results of BacT/Alert 3D automated blood culture system. J Microbiol 2005;43:257-9.