Biological markers around immediately placed titanium implant in the extraction socket of diabetic and insulin-treated rat maxilla
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Objectives: Dental implants installation in patients with diabetes remains controversial as altered bone healing around implants has been reported. And little is known about the biological factors involved in bone healing around implants. The present study aimed to investigate the biological markers around immediately placed implants in rats with controlled and uncontrolled diabetes.

Materials and Methods: Twenty rats (40 sites) were divided into the control, insulin-treated and diabetic groups. The rats received streptozotocin (60 mg/kg) to induce diabetes; animals in the insulin-treated group also received three units of subcutaneous slow-release insulin. Two threaded titanium alloy implant (1.2×3 mm) were placed in the extraction socket of the both maxillary first molars and allowed for healing. Bone blocks including implant were harvested at 3 days, 1, 2 and 4 weeks. The levels of bone morphogenetic protein (BMP)-4, transforming growth factor (TGF)-β1, osteocalcin (OC) and osteonectin (ON) were measured in the peri-implant osseous samples by RT-PCR.

Results: The BMP-4 level increased immediately in all groups by day 3, then decreased abruptly in the control and the insulin-treated groups. However, by week 4, all groups showed mostly the same amount of BMP-4 expression. The level of TGF-β1 also instantly increased by day 3 in the insulin-treated group. This level elevated again reaching the same values as the control group by week 4, but was not as high as the diabetic group. In addition, the expression of OC and ON in the control and insulin-treated groups was higher than that of the diabetic group at 2 weeks and 4 weeks, indicating active bone formation in these groups.

Conclusion: The immediate placement of titanium implants in the maxilla of diabetic rat led to an unwanted bone healing response. Conclusively, the results of this study suggest that immediate implant insertion in patients with poorly controlled diabetes might be contraindicated.
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I. Introduction

Traditionally, dental implant placement has been indicated for healed extraction sites. However, with the development of a better understanding of the biological principles of bone healing around dental implants, has allowed for placement in fresh extraction sockets with a high degree of success. The immediate placement of implants provides significant advantages, including fewer surgical procedures, shorter treatment time, and improved esthetics. However, clinical judgment is important for the appropriate case selection for immediate implant placement.

The clinical studies on immediate placement of dental implants, which provided much of the evidence for their success, employed strict patient selection. Patients with many systemic disorders were excluded. Such patients might not be suitable candidate even for delayed implant placement. However, in recent years, the justification for some of these assumptions has been challenged. The evidence for an increased failure rate of implant treatment in medically compromised patients has not been confirmed.

For a long time, diabetic patients have been denied implant therapy because of increased susceptibility to infection, delayed wound healing and microvascular complications. Recently, a comprehensive and critical review of dental implant placement in diabetic subjects was performed. Although it is generally accepted that patients with controlled diabetes have similar rates of success for dental implants as healthy individuals, various reports have shown contradictory results.
The majority of animal studies addressing the issue of diabetes mellitus and implants have reported that diabetic animals have lower rate of bone formation and osseointegration around titanium implants when compared to control animals\textsuperscript{13-15}. Newly formed bone volume in these animals has been shown to decrease with time and their bone marrow underwent adipocyte differentiation\textsuperscript{14}. Numerous studies have observed, on the other hand, that the injection of insulin partially improved the healing process and increased the bone-implant contact area as well as trabecular bone volume surrounding the implant. Diabetic animals treated with insulin were not statistically different from controls. It is asserted that induction of diabetes with streptozotocin has been associated with increased bone response compared to controls, yet this response was mediated by the treatment with insulin. Therefore, bone repair around endosseous implants appears to be regulated, at least in part, by insulin\textsuperscript{15-17}.

The above mentioned experimental studies demonstrated that the detrimental effects of diabetes on osseointegration can be modified using insulin. This now raise the question of clinical application of the immediate implant placements in the diabetics. Besides, to our knowledge, little is known about the tissue response to titanium implantation in the rat maxilla\textsuperscript{18} and bone formation around immediately placed implants\textsuperscript{19}. In addition, in most prior studies, the implants were placed in the long bones such as fibula and tibia of the rat\textsuperscript{13,15,17}-\textsuperscript{20}. These sites provide an accessible site for implant placement in the rat model. However, they have different anatomical and biological potentials from the ones in the jaw bone. Thus, assessment of tissue reactions should be performed while keeping in mind the nature of the recipient bone for implantation.

Furthermore, even though several biological factors involved in bone skeletal repair have been described\textsuperscript{22}, most published studies have evaluated the histological and histomorphological aspects of implants in diabetic and non-diabetic animals\textsuperscript{15,17}. And, no study has examined the biological factors involved in the bone healing and remodeling processes that take place around the implants. Our research has been focused on the basic biomolecular events around implants in the streptozocin-induced diabetic rat model.

The present study investigated bone healing around titanium implants in the maxilla after immediate implantation in the type 1 diabetes rat model. This was studied by evaluating the expression of biologic molecules associated with the bone response around the implants during osseointegration.

II. Materials and Methods

All experiments were conducted under the guidelines and protocols of the ethics committee for maintenance and experimentation on laboratory animals of the Bucheon St. Mary’s Hospital, The Catholic University of Korea (HFA 09-001).

1. Animals

Twenty male 8 weeks old Sprague-Dawley rats of with an average weight of 350 g were obtained from the animal facility. The rats were divided into three groups: control (n=4), diabetic (n=8), and insulin-treated (n=8). Three animals were placed per cage and maintained in a day/night cycle of 12 h, while their weight and blood glucose were monitored at least once a week.

2. Diabetic induction and insulin treatment

The diabetic group received a peritoneal injection of streptozotocin (STZ [60 mg/kg, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA]) diluted in 0.2 M citrate buffer. The control animals received an injection of saline only. Blood glucose was monitored from tail-nicked blood samples prior to diabetic induction, at the time of implant surgery, throughout the treatment period, and at the time of sacrifice using the glucose-oxidase method (AccuCheck Advantage; Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN, USA). Blood glucose levels greater than 300 mg/dL were considered diabetic. Based on the glucose levels, a single daily dose (2-3 IU) of insulin glargin (Lantus; Sanofi-Aventis, Frankfurt, Germany) that was administered subcutaneously to the insulin-controlled group was titrated to ensure normal regulation (300 mg/dL). The insulin treatment started on the second day after STZ injection and continued throughout the experiment.

3. Surgical implant procedure

The implant surgery was performed three days after inducing diabetes and following confirmation of diabetes condition. All surgical procedures were done under using general anesthesia with ketamine (44 mg/kg, Ketalar; Yuhan Co., Ltd., Seoul, Korea) and xylazine (5 mg/kg, Rompun; Bayer Korea, Seoul, Korea) by intraperitoneal injection.

Briefly, the upper first molars on both sides of maxilla were extracted with a forceps and bone cavities for implantation were prepared in a standardized manner with a root-end reamer (Dentatus GmbH, Ulm, Germany). The bone cavities were rinsed with sterile saline and dried with paper towels. The surgical wound was closed with a resorbable suture (Vicryl 5-0; Ethicon, Somerville, NJ, USA) after placing an implant into the bone cavity.

The present study investigated bone healing around titanium implants in the maxilla after immediate implantation in the type 1 diabetes rat model. This was studied by evaluating the expression of biologic molecules associated with the bone response around the implants during osseointegration.
were created in the interradicular septum of the extraction socket using a 1.0 mm twist drill. Two threaded titanium alloy implants (1.2×3 mm, Leibinger-Stryker, Freiburg, Germany) were inserted bilaterally into the prepared cavities by self-threading so that their tops were situated just above the alveolar crest.(Fig. 1) Profuse irrigation with sterilized physiological saline was maintained throughout this process. The implants were allowed to healing for 3 days, 1, 2, and 4 weeks and bone block including implants were harvested.

4. Biomolecular analysis

Total RNA samples were extracted to evaluate the content of bone morphogenetic protein (BMP)-4, transforming growth factor (TGF)-β, osteocalcin (OC) and osteonectin (ON) in the bone surrounding the implants during the healing and remodeling processes. All the specimens were placed in RNA solution (TRIzol; Invitrogen Life Technologies, Rockville, IN, USA) and maintained at -80°C until testing. The blocks were then ground under a liquid nitrogen stream. Bone powder (150 to 200 mg) was used to extract total RNA with an isolation kit (RNase Mini Kit; Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). Reverse transcription reaction for cDNA was performed using 1.5 μg RNA, 1×RT buffer (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), 20 μM dNTP, 0.25 μg oligo (dT) 15 primer, 5 unit AMV reverse transcriptase (Promega) and DEPC-D/W for a total volume of 20 μL with a pre-denaturation step at 42°C for 65 min and 70°C for 15 min. Then the PCR cycle using 4 μL of cDNA, 5×PCR pre-mix (Bioneer, Seoul, Korea), 10 pmol sense and antisense primer and DEPC-D/W for a total volume of 10 μL was followed by 35 amplification cycles of 30 sec, 94°C denaturation, 30 sec, 55°C annealing, and 30 sec, 72°C extension, and a final extension at 72°C for 5 min.

The following forward (fw) and reverse (rv) primers were constructed: for TGF-β1 fw 5’-CAGGTGTTGAGCCCTTTCCA-3’, rv 5’-GGCACCATCCATGACATGAA-3’, for BMP-4 fw 5’-CCGGCCCCTCCTGGTCACTTTTG-3’, rv 5’-ATCCGCACCCCTCACCACCATC-3’, for ON fw 5’-CTGCGTGTGAAGAAGATCCA-3’,rv 5’-GGTCTCAAAGAAGCGAGTGG-3’, for OC fw 5’-CAAAGCCCAGCGACTCTG-3’, rv 5’-AAACGGTGTCATGACC-3’, and for GAPDH 5’-GTGATGCTGGTGCTGAGTAGTA-3’, rv 5’-ACTGTGGTCAGTA-GCCTTC-3’.

The PCR products were resolved on 2% agarose gels that contained ethidium bromide, and the fluorescence generated by ultraviolet transillumination was acquired and analyzed with image analysis program (Quantity One software ver 4.4 BioRad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). Human GAPDH was used as a normalization control for the semi-quantitative analysis. The ratio of the fluorescence of each PCR product to that of the GAPDH at the same day of experiment was calculated.

III. Results

The blood glucose levels confirmed the onset of diabetes in the diabetic group. On the day of diabetic induction, the mean blood glucose level for all groups of animals was
121.9±14.6 mg/dL. The mean blood glucose level of the insulin controlled diabetic animals was maintained at 160.2±35.8 mg/dL at week 4. In contrast, the uncontrolled diabetic animals had a mean glucose level of 415.2±48.4 mg/dL at week 4. The onset of diabetes was further confirmed by a significant weight loss in the diabetic group compared to the control and insulin-treated groups.

1. Morphogenetic protein expression

The BMP-4 level increased immediately in the bone adjacent to the implants of all groups by day 3, then decreased abruptly in the control and the insulin-treated groups. On the contrary to our expectations, the BMP-4 level peaked in the diabetes group by week 1. However, at week 4, there was a gradual increase in the control and the insulin-treated groups, then all groups showed mostly similar amounts of BMP-4 expression.(Fig. 2, 3)

2. Cytokine expression

The level of TGF-β1 increased instantly by day 3 in the bone that surrounded the implants of the insulin-treated and diabetic groups, compared to the control group. Control group level showed gradually increasement. Diabetes (DM) and diabetes controlled (DMC) level decreased at 1 and 2

---

Fig. 2. Representative RT-PCR. RT-PCR products run on an agarose gel. The ratio of the fluorescence of each RT-PCR product to that of GAPDH was calculated. Lane 1-5: product of 3 days, lane 6-10: 1 week, lane 11-15: 2 week and lane 16-20: 4 week, respectively. (BMP: bone morphogenetic protein, TGF: transforming growth factor, GAPDH: glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase)


Fig. 3. BMP-4 expression pattern in the surrounding bone of immediately placed implant in the control, insulin-treated and diabetic rats at different healing phases by reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction analysis. (BMP: bone morphogenetic protein, DM: diabetes, DMC: diabetes controlled, C: controlled)


Fig. 4. TGF-β1 expression pattern in the surrounding bone of immediately placed implant in the control, insulin-treated and diabetic rats at different healing phases by reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction analysis. (TGF: transforming growth factor, DM: diabetes, DMC: diabetes controlled, C: controlled)

Bone formation, mineralization, and resorption over a 10-day period using double-tetracycline labeling of bone in control, untreated diabetic and insulin-treated diabetic rats. They found reduced bone formation and osteoid volume early in the course of healing in the animals with diabetes. Verhaeghe et al.24 also studied bone morphology and function in spontaneously diabetic rats after 3 to 4 weeks of diabetes. The number and function of osteoblasts were severely suppressed in the diabetic rats, resulting in decreased osteoid surfaces, mineral apposition rates, and plasma OC levels.

The osseointegration process occurs when the matrix is exposed to the extracellular fluid, causing the release of non-collagenous proteins and growth factors, which in turn activate the bone repair process. Osteoprogenitor cells of the bone marrow and the periosteum are attracted via chemotaxis to the site of the lesion. They proliferate and differentiate into osteoblasts and begin depositing bone.25

The present study was undertaken to identify the biological factors and their role in bone formation and repair during bone healing and remodeling processes around implants in diabetic compared to non-diabetic rats undergoing immediate implant surgery.

Important bone biological factors include the BMPs, which are members of the TGF-β family that play an essential role in osteogenesis. More than 15 BMP genes have been identified in vertebrates26 and they regulate osteoblast and chondrocyte differentiation during skeletal development. BMPs have also been demonstrated to induce osteoblast
differentiation in some cultured cells\textsuperscript{27,28}.

This study showed that BMPs, and in particular BMP-4, are involved in bone healing\textsuperscript{27,28}. Most studies in the literature deal with the involvement of BMP-2 during this process\textsuperscript{29}. Little attention has been given about the involvement of BMP-4 with regard to bone healing \textit{in vivo}, especially around implant surfaces such as those analyzed in this study. BMP activity is stimulated by many well-known growth factors, such as the fibroblast growth factor, TGF-\(\beta\), and platelet-derived growth factor, which can be found in bone\textsuperscript{30}.

One of the best-known growth factors in skeletal tissues is TGF-\(\beta\), the isoforms of which (TGF-\(\beta\)1, TGF-\(\beta\)2, and TGF-\(\beta\)3) exert multiple functions in bone metabolism\textsuperscript{31} including the promotion of bone formation and the healing of fracture\textsuperscript{32,33}.

The biomolecular analysis performed in this study reflected that the insulin-treated groups had relatively better results when the tests were carried out on the rat maxillary bone. Thus, the levels of BMP-4 increased earlier in the insulin-treated group than in the diabetic group. Similarly, the levels of TGF-\(\beta\)1 increased earlier in the bone around the implants in the control and insulin-treated groups.

The administration of recombinant TGF-\(\beta\)1 has been shown to stimulate bone formation \textit{in vivo}\textsuperscript{34}, this cytokine may act in the early phases of bone healing just before the BMPs. Interestingly, the results of our study showed that TGF-\(\beta\)1 was present in higher levels in bone surrounding the implants in the insulin-treated and diabetic groups than in the control bone at 3 days and 1 week post-implantation. This increase was probably owing to the presence of inflammatory cells, which may in turn be responsible for the stimulation of BMP-4 synthesis. We observed that the levels of TGF-\(\beta\)1 and BMP-4 increased only at 1 week post-implantation, at which time bone ossification was not evident around the implants. However, the TGF-\(\beta\)1 levels, which were higher in the control and insulin-treated groups compared to the diabetic group, appeared to modulate and complete the bone formation process. In addition, it showed little difference at 4 weeks between the groups in terms of the upregulation of BMP in the surrounding bone.

Because it has been believed that the gene expression of matrix protein is closely associated with bone turnover activity \textit{in vivo}\textsuperscript{35,36}, the analysis of the bone matrix protein may be a good indication of bone healing and remodeling in the present study.

OC has a high specificity for bone, and the expression of OC mRNA is detected at a later stage of osteoblast differentiation. Previous investigation of ovariectomized rats demonstrated the expression of OC mRNA was elevated when bone turnover was increased\textsuperscript{37}. Therefore, the increase in the OC gene expression may be interpreted as the result of an increased number of differentiated osteoblasts or increased activity of osteoblasts as shown in the former studies.

On the other hand, ON is a major non-collagenous protein, it functions as a cell attachment factor for osteoblasts and osteoclasts, and is involve in the cell signaling pathway of osteoclasts and the modulation of osteoclast differentiation. ON also shows a high affinity for mineral crystals and controls the shape of apatite crystals as they form and grow\textsuperscript{38}.

Our results clearly show that the levels of OC expression gradually increased during the later stage of bone healing in the insulin-treated group compared to the diabetic group. Differently, the levels of ON decreased afterward in the bone around the implants in all groups.

It is not clear whether the osteoblastic gene expression was related to the activity of osteoblasts around the immediately placed implants. However, increased OC expression induced by the insulin treatment looked like to be associated with an increase in the bone formation activity in the insulin-treated group. Sharply declined expression of ON among all experiment group will remains to be explained in future studies.

Fiorellini et al.\textsuperscript{11} compared the course of osseous healing around endosseous implants in normal non-diabetic and insulin-controlled diabetic rats. The results indicated that the insulin therapy upregulated the formation of bone around implants inserted in the streptozotocin-induced diabetic rat model. However, the histometric parameters indicate that, although the total quantity of bone formation was greater in the insulin-controlled diabetic group, there was significantly less bone-to-implant contact in the insulin-controlled diabetic group when compared to the normal non-diabetic group\textsuperscript{4,11}.

In the present study, the affects of insulin on the prevention of the detrimental effects of diabetes on osseointegration was evaluated by the expression of biologic molecules. Comparison of the expression of the biological molecules of diabetic animals to those of diabetic animals treated with insulin suggests that that insulin enhances the bone response in the diabetic animals. And we concentrated on the effect of a hyperglycemic state that might show the inhibition of osteoblastic cell proliferation and collagen production during the early stages of callus development, resulting in reduced bone formation. In the future, it will be need to prove the diminished mechanical properties of the newly formed bone.
as well as difference between the integrated implant and the disintegrated implant.

Regarding clinical application of these data, STZ-induced diabetes in a small animal model may or may not have a direct correlation with the clinical course of patients with diabetes. Still, the findings of this study will hopefully add to our knowledge regarding host, implant, and diabetic interactions, especially in the situation of immediate implant installation.

V. Conclusion

In conclusion, despite the low number of samples used in the present study, we can affirm that insulin stimulate bone formation earlier in the DM model and to a greater degree. Further studies are needed to consider these findings to the application to patients in the clinical setting.
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