Galectin-3 Reflects the Echocardiographic Grades of Left Ventricular Diastolic Dysfunction
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Background: The level of Galectin-3 (Gal-3) protein purportedly reflects an ongoing cardiac fibrotic process and has been associated with ventricular remodeling, which is instrumental in the development of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) syndrome. The aim of this study was to investigate the potential use of Gal-3 in improved characterization of the grades of diastolic dysfunction as defined by echocardiography.

Methods: Seventy HFpEF patients undergoing routine echocardiography were prospectively enrolled in the present monocentric study. Blood samples for measurements of Gal-3 and amino-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) were collected within 24 hours pre- or post-echocardiographic examination. The classification of patients into subgroups based on diastolic dysfunction grade permitted detailed statistical analyses of the derived data.

Results: The Gal-3 serum levels of all patients corresponded to echocardiographic indices, suggesting HFpEF (E/A, P = 0.03 and E/E', P = 0.02). Gal-3 was also associated with progressive diastolic dysfunction, and increased levels corresponded to the course of disease (P = 0.012). Detailed analyses of ROC curves suggested that Gal-3 levels could discriminate patients with grade III diastolic dysfunction (area under the curve [AUC] = 0.770, P = 0.005).

Conclusions: Gal-3 demonstrates remarkable effectiveness in the diagnosis of patients suffering from severe grade diastolic dysfunction. Increasing levels of Gal-3 possibly reflect the progressive course of HFpEF, as classified by the echocardiographic grades of diastolic dysfunction.
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INTRODUCTION

The prevalence of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) has increased significantly over the past decade [1, 2]. Hypothesis suggesting that HFpEF is an independent syndrome typically characterized by the presence of diastolic dysfunction has not ameliorated the ominous prognosis of this presentation. The reported mortality rates are as high as ~65% at five years post initial hospitalization [3]. It is estimated that >50% of all patients presenting with signs and symptoms of heart failure could have a preserved ejection fraction (EF) [4]. Myocardial stiffening from hypertrophy and fibrosis are central to the traditional paradigm of HFpEF; however, the roles of abnormal calcium handling and venous turgor have also been exposed in re-
The pathophysiological factors implicated in the development of this syndrome include impaired relaxation (attributed to low-grade inflammation, extracellular matrix accumulation, and fibrosis), increased left ventricular stiffness and reduced compliance, atrial dysfunction, chronotropic incompetence, pre- and post-capillary pulmonary hypertension, and vascular stiffening [4, 8].

The use of serum biomarkers has helped provide vital information regarding the pathogenesis of HFpEF and is a proven clinical tool for the identification of at-risk patients, syndrome diagnosis, risk stratification, as well as therapy monitoring [3]. Galectin-3 (Gal-3), a similar serum biomarker, is a soluble β-galactoside-binding protein secreted by activated macrophages and is a key component in chronic inflammation facilitating fibrogenesis and organ scarring [9]. The hypothesis that Gal-3 influences the onset of heart failure has been corroborated by infusing Gal-3 into the pericardial sac of wild-type rats, which triggered extensive myocardial fibrosis. It has also been suggested that Gal-3 induces cardiac fibrosis via activation of cyclin D1, thus enabling a macrophage derived mediator to affect the myocardium [10]. Additionally, Gal-3 complements other similar heart failure biomarkers, such as aminoterminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) and troponin T and troponin I (TnT/TnI), by providing an upstream signal of the myocardial fibrotic state, ventricular adverse remodeling, and cardiomyopathy progression [11].

The predominant use of echocardiography to assess impaired myocardial diastolic function is naturally influenced by patient compliance, adiposity, and pulmonary diseases such as emphysema. The use of biomarkers to determine grades of diastolic dysfunction is not subject to these limitations. Recent studies have attempted to delineate the potential relationship between Gal-3 levels and transthoracic echocardiographic indices such as left ventricular EF (LVEF) and right ventricular (RV) systolic pressure [12]. Expanding on this idea, our study attempted to explore the hitherto poorly investigated hypothesis that quantitative levels of Gal-3 could also reflect other echocardiographic indices defined in the evolving stages of HFpEF.

**METHODS**

1. **Study design and population characteristics**
   The Cardiovascular Imaging and Biomarker Analyses (CIBER) study (clinicaltrials.gov identifier: NCT 03074253) is a clinically prospective, controlled, and mono-centric study conducted at the University Medical Center Mannheim, Germany. The research adhered to the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by a regional ethics committee (the medical ethics commission II of the Faculty of Medicine in Mannheim, University of Heidelberg, Germany). Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

   The present study incorporated a population subset derived from a patient cohort who underwent routine echocardiography at the University Medical Centre Mannheim, Germany between 2014 and 2016. A total of 70 patients diagnosed with HFpEF were included consecutively in this mono-centric, prospective study with an all-comers design. As this was a non-interventional, observational study, diagnostic procedures and treatment plans were not modified.

   The relevant clinical data of each patient were ascertained and compiled in a database at index presentation, with significant aspects of their medical history, laboratory work-up, and medical/surgical therapy efficiently earmarked for further reference. Patients under the age of 18 years or those with LVEF <50%, tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE) <17 mm, and/or valvular heart disease were excluded. Blood samples, collected from all patients, were preserved and processed throughout the study. All patients were contacted at the scheduled 6- and 12-month follow-up period for standardized telephonic interviews to ascertain the incidence of re-hospitalization due to heart failure as well as all-cause and cardiovascular mortality.

2. **Echocardiography**
   A detailed transthoracic echocardiographic examination was performed for all patients included, and standard techniques were implemented to acquire every reproducible image [13, 14]. The results were analyzed and interpreted by observers with no knowledge of the patients’ clinical and biomarker data. The assessed structural indices included LVEF (using Simpsons biplane method), left ventricular (LV) end-systolic and end-diastolic volume, LV wall and septal thickness, atrial and ventricular size and volume, TAPSE, markers of early and late trans-mitral diastolic velocities (E and A), deceleration time, and early and late diastolic tissue velocities at the lateral mitral annulus (E′) [12]. Patients were classified according to the grade of diastolic dysfunction, and grading guidelines were based on the American Society of Echocardiography and European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging (ASE/EACVI) Guidelines recommended by Nagueh [13]. A flow diagram depicting our diagnostic approach for the inclusion of patients is shown in Supplemental Data Fig. S1.

   The echocardiographic inclusion criteria specified that pa-
tients have a preserved LV and right ventricular (RV) function. Consequently, patients with an LVEF <50% and TAPSE <17 mm were excluded. Additionally, patients with moderate and severe heart valve disorders, classified as either stenosis or regurgitation, were excluded.

3. Laboratory analysis

Blood samples were collected from all patients (at rest) at a single assessment time point upon study inclusion by venepuncture with serum monovettes and centrifuged at 2,500 g at 20°C for 10 minutes. The aliquoted samples were cooled down in liquid nitrogen before being stored at –80°C for further analysis. After thawing, the samples were mixed gently by inverting and centrifuged at 2,500 g for 10 minutes at 20°C.

Gal-3 levels were assessed using the Gal-3 assay on an Archi-
tect i1000 analyzer (Abbott, Wiesbaden, Germany). The limit of
blank for this assay was 0.8 ng/mL, as specified in the user in-
structions (Galectin-3, Architec System, © 2012, 2013 Abbott
Laboratories). Serum creatinine concentrations were measured
using the Creatinine Jaffe Gen.2 assay on a Cobas c 702 ana-
lyzer (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany), and the glo-
merular filtration rate (eGFR) was estimated using the Modifica-
tion of Diet in Renal disease (MDRD) formula (Instructions for
use, Cobas c 702 analyzer). The serum level of NT-proBNP, used
as a reference biomarker, was measured using a proBNP II STAT
assay on a Cobas e 602 analyzer (Roche Diagnostics). The limit
of detection (LoD) for this assay was 5 pg/mL (proBNP II STAT,
Cobas®, © 2014, Roche Diagnostics).

4. Statistical analysis

The Student t-test was applied for data with a normal distribu-
tion, and the Kruskal-Wallis method was used as a non-para-
metric test. Abnormally distributed scaled variables with signifi-
cant deviations from the Gaussian distribution were compared
using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Spearman’s rank correlation
for non-parametric data was used to test the association of Gal-3
serum levels with cardiac indices and other parameters, as de-
defined by transthoracic echocardiography. The data are presented
as the mean with a confidence interval (CI) or median with in-
terquartile ranges (IQRs; 25th to 75th percentiles), depending
on the distribution. P<0.05 was considered statistically signifi-
cant.

The effectiveness of Gal-3 in grading the various stages of
HFpEF was evaluated using the Hanley and McNeil method
[17], with the reference biomarker, NT-proBNP, plotted simulta-
neously for comparison. The data were further log-transformed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>HF-PEF (N = 70)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (range; 95% CI)</td>
<td>65 (22–97; 84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, N (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>36 (51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>34 (49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular risk factors, N (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial hypertension</td>
<td>56 (80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterinemia</td>
<td>26 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac family history</td>
<td>11 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status</td>
<td>23 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>17 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adipositas</td>
<td>16 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory parameters, median (IQR)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine (mg/dL)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.77–1.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²)</td>
<td>70.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical history, N (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic heart failure</td>
<td>50 (71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA I</td>
<td>22 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA II</td>
<td>10 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA III</td>
<td>17 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA IV</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial fibrillation</td>
<td>27 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paroxysmal</td>
<td>15 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent</td>
<td>9 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent</td>
<td>3 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery disease</td>
<td>35 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 vessel disease</td>
<td>4 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 vessel disease</td>
<td>12 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 vessel disease</td>
<td>19 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial infarction</td>
<td>5 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic kidney disease</td>
<td>12 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD</td>
<td>8 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>18 (26)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of study patients

Abbreviations: HFpEF, heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; NYHA, New York Heart Association; GFR, glomerular filtration rate.

for analysis. Potential confounding factors were defined using multivariable linear or logistic regression analyses with backward elimination, and clinical parameters or biomarkers were adjusted depending on the outcome variable (binary or numeric).

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics (IBM,
Armonk, NY, USA) and GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software,
Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). All patient data resulting from these analyses were subsequently interpreted and classified into the three sub-groups defined by HFpEF grade (I, II, III). The details of this classification and the corroborating echocardiographic measurements are provided in Tables 1 and 2.

RESULTS

1. Study population
The baseline clinical characteristics of the 70 patients are described in Table 1. A detailed analysis of the data revealed that the mean age of the patients was 65 years (range 22–97 years) with an equal gender distribution (male 51%, N=36; female 49%, N=34). Arterial hypertension was identified as a predominant risk factor in this group, with 80% (N=56) of the patients diagnosed as having this disease. Patients suffering from heart failure-related symptoms represented 71% (N=50) of the study population, and their sub-classification into New York Heart Association (NYHA) sub-groups yielded an almost even distribution across classes I, II, and III. Coronary artery disease was identified as a pre-existing condition in at least 50% (N=35) of the patients, while atrial fibrillation was documented in 38% (N=27). Patients suffering from diabetes mellitus (24%, N=17) or chronic kidney disease (17%, N=12) were also represented. The median creatinine value was estimated at 0.93 mg/dL (range 0.77–1.15 mg/dL) and the median eGFR according to MDRD formula was 70.47 mL/min/1.73 m².

2. Echocardiographic characteristics
The distribution of echocardiographic indices according to HFpEF sub-groups is provided in Table 2. The data are presented as medians with interquartile ranges (IQRs); bold type indicates statistical significance (P <0.05). Abbreviations: LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVEDD, left ventricular end diastolic diameter; LVESD, left ventricular end systolic diameter; LVEDV, LV end-diastolic volume; LVESV, LV end-systolic volume; LVPW, LV posterior wall; IVSD, interventricular septal diameter; RA, right atrium; LA, left atrium; RV, right ventricle; LV, left ventricle; TAPSE, tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion; E/A, ratio of the early (E) to late (A) ventricular filling velocities; E/E’, ratio of mitral inflow (E) velocity to tissue Doppler (E’); DT, deceleration time; IVRT, isovolumetric relaxation time.
pEF sub-group is outlined in Table 2. Patients expressed either good diastolic function (N=14) or grade I (N=15), grade II (N=30), or grade III (N=11) diastolic dysfunction. LVEF values were >50% and TAPSE was >17 mmHg. Detailed analysis of these data revealed that LVEF values ranged between 54% and 67%. Indices considered statistically significant included LV posterior wall thickness (P =0.045), left atrial dimensions (P =0.044), left atrial volume (P =0.002), E/A ratio (P =0.0001), E’ lateral (E’ lat) (P =0.009), and E/E’ ratio (P =0.0001).

3. Characteristics of Gal-3
Gal-3 mirrored progressive diastolic dysfunction and increased levels corresponded with the course of disease (P =0.012). The distribution of Gal-3 and NT-proBNP levels is graphically presented in Fig. 1.

4. Correlation of Gal-3 with baseline characteristics and echocardiographic indices
Univariate linear regression analysis demonstrating the correlation of Gal-3 with the baseline characteristics, as well as echocardiographic indices is detailed in Table 3. The Gal-3 levels of all patients corresponded with age (P =0.0001), as well as with echocardiographic indices, suggesting HFpEF (E/A, P =0.03 and E/E’, P =0.02). Additionally, there was a significant correlation between Gal-3 levels and indices measuring left atrial and LV dimensions in the case of grade III diastolic dysfunction (P values ranging from 0.006 to 0.01). However, this relationship was blurred in patients with normal or lower grades of diastolic dysfunction. Gal-3 levels also demonstrated a strong association with serum creatinine levels across all HFpEF sub-groups (P values ranging from 0.01 to 0.0001).

Interestingly, although a definitive relationship with NT-proBNP was observed in all patients (P =0.0001), this was statistically nonsignificant across the various HFpEF sub-groups defined by varying degrees of diastolic dysfunction.

5. Gal-3 level discriminates patients with Grade III diastolic dysfunction
Detailed analyses ROC curves suggested that Gal-3 level discriminates patients with grade III diastolic dysfunction (area under the curve [AUC]=0.770, P =0.005; Fig. 2). NT-proBNP also revealed such characteristics, but with a numerically greater AUC (AUC=0.798, P =0.002). This revealed no significant difference between the two AUCs. Additionally, the combination of Gal-3 and NT-proBNP exhibited a similar discrimination for this sub-group of HFpEF patients (AUC=0.798, P =0.002).

6. Multivariate logistic regression models
Data were log-transformed for this analysis. Log-transformed Gal-3 and NT-proBNP levels were initially adjusted to multivariate logistic regression models with each other and then subsequently for age, gender, and serum creatinine (Table 4). Patients with Gal-3 levels ≥17.0 ng/mL were six times more likely to suffer from grade III diastolic dysfunction (adjusted odds ratio [OR]=

Fig. 1. Box plots showing increased levels of Galectin-3 (A) and increased levels of NT-proBNP (B) in patients with different grades of diastolic dysfunction. Significantly highest levels of Galectin-3 and NT-proBNP were noted in Grade III diastolic dysfunction, indicating a progressive increase in their levels along the course of the disease.

Abbreviation: NT-proBNP, amino-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide.
DISCUSSION

This study aimed to determine the relationship between Galectin-3 levels and the echocardiographic indices corresponding to various stages of HFpEF (LVEF >50%). At the outset, Gal-3 proved effective in the diagnosis of patients suffering from a severe grade of diastolic dysfunction. The ability to diagnose patients with HFpEF exhibited some similarity with the reference biomarker, NT-proBNP. Interestingly, increasing levels of Gal-3 also possibly reflected the progressive course of HFpEF, as evident in the echocardiographic examination; indices measuring atrial and ventricular dimensions were noticeably altered from their normal
Gal-3 reflects LV diastolic dysfunction severity

Fig. 2. Receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curve revealing an effective discrimination of patients with diastolic dysfunction III. ROC = diastolic dysfunction grade 3 curve. AUC–Area under the Curve. Abbreviation: NT-proBNP, amino-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide.

range. Furthermore, the combination of Gal-3 and NT-proBNP values was equally effective in identifying patients with grade III diastolic dysfunction.

Several clinical studies have attempted to elucidate the role of Gal-3 in HFrEF patients [17]. These include the Coordinating study evaluating Outcomes of Advising and Counselling in Heart Failure (COACH) trial [34], the Controlled Rosuvastatin Multinational Trial in Heart Failure (CORONA) cohort [34], and studies by Carrasco-Sanchez et al [16], which described the strong prognostic value of Gal-3 in HFrEF patients [18]. However, the potential of Gal-3 to reflect the evolving stages of diastolic dysfunction remains poorly understood; our study has attempted to demonstrate this distinct correlation.

Gal-3 is essentially a product of active macrophages with binding sites on cardiac-resident fibroblasts, mechanistically influencing increased myocardial collagen expression, interstitial fibrosis, TGF-β activation, and subsequent LV dysfunction [19-21]. Its role in response to injury and inflammation in heart failure is further supplemented by a significant contribution to ventricular remodeling [12]. This study provides useful information corroborating the association between LV structure and function and Gal-3 level, essentially mirroring the hypothesized link between cardiac fibrosis, hypertrophy, and evolving heart failure.

Higher LV filling pressures (E/Ea ratio) and the varying degrees of diastolic dysfunction (Ea velocity, early diastolic myocardial relaxation velocity below the baseline as the annulus ascends away from the apex) are probable effects of cardiac stiffness mediated by Gal-3.

The identification of Gal-3 as a potential predictive biomarker of HFrEF was suggested by a sub-study of the Pro-BNP Investigation of Dyspnea in the Emergency Department (PRIDE) trial, in which echocardiographic indices reflecting diastolic dysfunction showed a strong correlation with measured serum levels [22]. Subsequently, Zile et al [18] demonstrated that Gal-3 levels were significantly elevated in an HFrEF patient cohort. Further studies by de Boer et al [17], involving cohorts enlisted in the COACH study, also garnered substantial interest by suggesting that patients with HFrEF had a much stronger correlation with Gal-3 than those with reduced EF. The contribution of arterial hypertension in the development of HFrEF is undisputed, and it has been suggested that this interaction could also be influenced by Gal-3. Large community-based cohorts in which Gal-3 levels were measured (Prevention of Renal and Vascular End-Stage Disease and Framingham Offspring-PREVEND) demonstrated a convincing relationship with blood pressure values, as well as long-term mortality [23]. We believe that the present study has also successfully validated this relationship.

Although tangible data from the Deventer-Alkmaar HF Project (DEAL-HF) and Aldosterone Receptor Blockade in Diastolic Heart Failure (ALDO-DHF) trials complement the view that Gal-3 level demonstrates a prognostic value regardless of heart failure severity [24, 25], early interpretation of these results has raised some criticism. For example, the association of Gal-3 level and risk of new-onset heart failure among patients included in the Framingham Heart study was statistically nonsignificant in patients suffering from chronic kidney disease [26]. Furthermore, a short summary of published trials (RELAX–Phosphodiesterase-5 Inhibition to Improve Clinical Status and Exercise Capacity in Heart Failure with Preserved Ejection Fraction Trial and ALDO-HF) by deFilippi and Christenson [26, 27], has suggested that Gal-3 levels are not associated with significant cardiac structural or functional abnormalities, as determined by cardiovascular imaging, and are principally linked to renal function. Although results from new studies (REGAL-HF) are still awaited, there exists a growing body of evidence questioning this assertion. To better understand this dilemma, a retrospective study was initiated to examine the correlation between Gal-3 level and GFR. This study revealed a continual relationship after adjustment for age, LVEF, and NT-proBNP [28] and further implied that it is renal impairment (with signs of inflammation and fibrosis) that contributes to the prognostic properties of Gal-3 in HFrEF.
plementary work by Gurel et al [29] suggested the potential use of Gal-3 in detecting HFpEF in patients undergoing hemodialysis. The present results demonstrate a significant relationship between Gal-3 levels and patient serum creatinine values across the entire HFpEF spectrum of patients. Additionally, the correlation between Gal-3 level and diastolic dysfunction has also been shown to evolve along the course of the HFpEF syndrome. It is well known that renal dysfunction is one of the most powerful predictors of prognosis in heart failure, suggesting the possible existence of an overlap between these syndromes, mediated at a pathophysiological level by Gal-3, which could explain this contradictory evidence [30].

Another point for debate is the common use of NT-proBNP, the standard biomarker for diagnosing different forms of heart failure. Our study showed no significant advantage for Gal-3 over NT-proBNP in diagnosing HFpEF, thus raising questions regarding the need for Gal-3 as an additional biomarker. As HFpEF and the various grades of diastolic dysfunction are dynamic and diagnosed based on echocardiographic measurements, it is pertinent to accurately ascertain the level of dysfunction in the patient so as to tailor pharmacological therapy. Additionally, early diagnosis plays a key role in syndrome management. Based on our results, it could be argued that the combined use of both these biomarkers would enable more effective diagnosis and categorization of heart failure stage. Furthermore, the effectiveness of Gal-3 as a serological biomarker is cemented by the hypothesis that the ongoing cardiac fibrotic process is irreversible; Gal-3 levels remain unchanged in the event of acute cardiac decompensation and are not influenced by medical treatment, as is the case for NT-proBNP [31].

In summary, Gal-3 is a promising novel biomarker with the potential to classify patients on the cusp of HFpEF syndrome. Its preferred use with other biomarkers, such as NT-proBNP, may reveal certain HFpEF states that are not evident in routine clinical examination. This would definitely be helpful to the segment of the patient population that cannot be diagnosed because of echocardiography limits. A recent clinical guidelines update issued by the American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology (AHA/ACC) emphasized the role of Gal-3 as a predictor of mortality and hospitalization in patients with heart failure, suggesting a class IIb recommendation in this setting [32, 33]. Although initial data from the ALDO-HF trials showed no significant interaction between spironolactone and Gal-3 levels and the TOPCAT (Treatment of Preserved Cardiac Function Heart Failure with Aldosterone Antagonist) trial revealed further uncertainties in this regard, the optimization of pharmacological therapies, which could be possibly influenced by Gal-3 measurements, needs to be further evaluated. Eagerly awaited results from the REGAL-HF trial will probably define these strategies in future.

This prospective study was inherently limited by its small size and the nature of the patient cohort. For example, the representative population was sampled from those presenting for routine echocardiography at an outpatient department in various stages of heart failure, with a bias skewed towards patients displaying at least some syndrome symptoms. Furthermore, the echocardiographic evaluation, although executed according to European Society of Cardiology (ESC) standards, was carried out by at least three different examiners with varying levels of expertise, thus offering a certain level of discrepancy in its interpretation. The use of a single time point reference for sampling Gal-3 levels limited the interpretation of these results to the six- and 12-month follow-up. It is therefore debatable if the progression of diastolic dysfunction, as measured by echocardiography, will correspond to future Gal-3 levels. Additionally, the number of patients with severe diastolic dysfunction was too low to include five or more variables in the multivariate model, which could hinder meaningful multivariate analysis. However, this has been added to our study to demonstrate the statistical consistency within our cohort, which could be reevaluated in larger studies in the future.

This study demonstrates that Gal-3 is effective in diagnosing patients suffering from a severe grade of diastolic dysfunction and that the simultaneous use of NT-proBNP could reflect the increased probability of disease in doubtful scenarios. Additionally, increasing levels of serum Gal-3 could also reflect the progressive course of HFpEF, as classified by the echocardiographic grades of diastolic dysfunction. Thus, this biomarker may be helpful for patients in cases in which echocardiography interpretation is limited. As these results reflect a small patient pool, further studies examining the potential of these markers are definitely warranted.
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Supplemental Data Fig. S1. Flow diagram for the classification of diastolic dysfunction and our diagnostic approach (based on Nagueh et al. [13], ASE guidelines 2009). A flow diagram describing the recruitment of patients for this study. Abbreviations: LA, left atrium; DT, deceleration time; AR-a duration, difference between pulmonary venous atrial reversal duration and trans-mitral A-wave; E/A, markers of early and late trans-mitral diastolic velocities; (E and A), early and late diastolic tissue velocities at the lateral mitral annulus (e'); E/e', ratio of mitral inflow (E) velocity to tissue Doppler (e'); LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction.