Fabrication of a Patient-Customized Helmet with a Three-Dimensional Printer for Radiation Therapy of Scalp
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The purpose of the present study was to develop and evaluate patient-customized helmets with a three-dimensional (3D) printer for radiation therapy of malignant scalp tumors. Computed tomography was performed in a case an Alderson RANDO phantom without bolus (Non_Bolus), in a case with a dental wax bolus on the scalp (Wax_Bolus), and in a case with a patient-customized helmet fabricated using a 3D printer (3D Printing_Bolus); treatment plans for each of the 3 cases were compared. When wax bolus was used to fabricate a bolus, a drier was used to apply heat to the bolus to make the helmet. 3-matic® (Materialise) was used for modeling and polyamide 12 (PA-12) was used as a material, 3D Printing bolus was fabricated using a HP JET Fusion 3D 4200. The average Hounsfield Unit (HU) for the Wax_Bolus was $-100$, and that of the 3D Printing_Bolus was $-10$. The average radiation doses to the normal brain with the Non_Bolus, Wax_Bolus, and 3D Printing_Bolus methods were 36.3%, 40.2%, and 36.9%, and the minimum radiation dose were 0.9%, 1.6%, 1.4%, respectively. The organs at risk dose were not significantly different. However, the 95% radiation doses into the planning target volume (PTV) were 61.85%, 94.53%, and 97.82%, and the minimum doses were 0%, 77.1%, and 82.8%, respectively. The technique used to fabricate patient-customized helmets with a 3D printer for radiation therapy of malignant scalp tumors is highly useful, and is expected to accurately deliver doses by reducing the air gap between the patient and bolus.
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Introduction

The efficacy of radiation therapy for malignant scalp tumors becomes substantially lower when the therapy is applied without a bolus, due to failure to deliver the desired radiation dose, caused by build-up of high-energy photon radiation.1-5 Vyas et al.6 advised the use of a bolus for radiation therapy of skin cancer.

In order to perform radiation therapy for malignant scalp tumors, Song et al.7 conducted various comparative studies on changes in density using a tissue compensator, dosimetry with a helmet bolus, surface dosing during radiation therapy, efficiency in the fabricating process, and comparison of the total period of fabricating by using Bolx-II (Action Products, USA), paraffin wax (Densply, USA), and a solid thermoplastic (Med-Tec, USA).

However, manual fabrication of a bolus using paraffin, which is widely used in most departments of radiation therapy,
oncology, is time-consuming, and creates an air gap between the fabricated bolus and the patient. An air gap may lower the efficacy of cancer treatment by generating uncertainty in calculating radiation doses.\(^8-10\)

Many studies applying 3D printing technique for radiation therapy have been performed. For example, Yea et al.\(^{11}\) used a 3D printer to fabricate an anthropomorphic patient-specific head phantom, and reported its usefulness for confirming the radiation dose in patient-specific quality assurance required for complex therapies such as intensity modulated radiation therapy (IMRT). Park et al.\(^{12}\) fabricated a patient-customized malleable bolus using a 3D scanner and printer, and evaluated its usefulness for radiation therapy.

The purpose of the present study was to develop and evaluate a patient-customized helmet using a 3D printer, in order to resolve the problem of an air gap between the skin of the patient and the bolus.

### Materials and Methods

1. Fabricating a helmet using paraffin wax

As shown in Fig. 1a, an Alderson RANDO Phantom was...
used to evaluate the usefulness of a patient-customized helmet bolus created with a 3D printer. In order to fabricate a helmet using paraffin, dental wax (DAE-DONG INDUSTRY) currently utilized at our institution was used. To deliver sufficient radiation dose to scalp tumors, a helmet was fabricated by overlapping 5 sheets of wax with thicknesses of 1.7 mm, as shown in Fig. 1b. The time needed to fabricate the helmet was approximately 3 hours. Fig. 1c shows Rando phantom using the bolus wax.

2. Fabricating a patient-customized helmet using a 3D printer

In order to fabricate a patient-customized helmet using a 3D printer, an Alderson RANDO phantom was scanned for 1 minute using a Brilliance Big Bore CT Simulator (Philips Inc., Cleveland, OH, USA), as shown in Fig. 2a. MIMICS software (Materialise, Leuven, Belgium), which shows 3D reconstruction images in transverse, frontal, and sagittal directions was used to convert Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) data into stereolithography (STL) format. In addition, 3-matic® (Materialise), an STL-based modelling tool, was used to fabricate a patient-customized 3D-printed helmet. A 1-mm margin was intentionally designed to easily place the helmet on the RANDO phantom. Fig. 2c shows the modelling process using 3-matic. Fig. 2d shows the process of confirming the modeled patient-customized helmet with STL Viewer. And then, The STL file of the patient-customized helmet was printed in Multi Jet Fusion (MJF) mode using HP JET Fusion 3D 4200, as shown in Fig. 2e. The MJF is unique mode with HP, but still similar to the Selective Laser Sintering (SLS) mode for melting and stacking metal or polymer powder. The Polyamide-12 (PA-12) approved by FDA (Food and Drug Administration) was used as the printing material. Fig. 2f shows Rando phantom fabricated with patient-customized helmet using the 3D Printer.
3. Acquire computed tomography (CT) images

Fig. 3a shows the only RANDO phantom without use of the bolus helmet. Fig. 3b shows the image of the helmet fabricated with wax bolus placed onto the RANDO phantom, and Fig. 3c shows the image of the helmet with the patient-customized helmet printed using a 3D printer. In Fig. 3e and 3f, a DUON™ (OrfitIndustries, Wijnegem, Belgium) mask was used to fix the bolus and the head component of the RANDO phantom. Fig. 3g, 3h, and 3i are the images obtained by scanning for the Rando phantom with Non_Bolus, Wax_Bolus, and 3D Printing_Bolus, respectively.

4. Radiation treatment planning

The Eclipse Treatment Planning System 8.6 (Varian Medical Systems, Palo Alto, CA, USA) was used. A radiation oncologist contoured for the clinical target volume (CTV) with the skull and body using a Segmentation Wizard, and also set the planning target volume (PTV) by extending the CTV for 5 mm. The treatment plan was used for IMRT technique with 6 MV photons to deliver 2 Gy with 30 fractionations to the PTV. All treatment plans were set by using 9 beams at 40-degrees intervals, and an analytical anisotropic algorithm was used for calculations.

**Results**

1. Hounsfield unit (HU) evaluation of the customized bolus

The average HU value of a helmet fabricated with dental wax bolus was −100, and the average HU value of a 3D-printed patient-customized helmet was −10.

2. Evaluations with treatment planning system

Fig. 4a, 4b, and 4c show the Non_Bolus, Wax_Bolus, and 3D Printing_Bolus dose distribution. Fig. 4d, 4e, and 4f show the 95% dose distribution for each case. Since the maximum dose of the treatment plan for Non_Bolus is 107.2%, the maximum doses of the treatment plans for Wax_Bolus and 3D Printing_Bolus were set to 107.2% equally. Fig. 4d shows that 95% of the desired dose could not be delivered to the PTV region. On the other hand,
95% of the desired dose was successfully delivered to most of the PTV with use of a customized helmet fabricated by using the Wax_Bolus and 3D Printing_Bolus. Fig. 5 shows the dose volume histograms for the Non_Bolus, Wax_Bolus, and 3D Printing_Bolus. The average radiation dose entering the normal brain were 36.3%, 40.2%, and 36.9%, and the minimum doses were 0.9%, 1.6%, and 1.4%, respectively. However, the 95% radiation dose entering the PTV were 61.85%, 94.53%, and 97.82%, and the minimum doses were 0%, 77.1%, and 82.8%, respectively.

Discussion and Conclusion

In the present study, a patient-customized helmet was fabricated by using a 3D printer in order to resolve the air gap problem raised when using a manually fabricated bolus using paraffin during radiation therapy for malignant scalp tumors. For each of the cases of Non_Bolus, Wax_Bolus, and 3D Printing_Bolus, CT images were taken and treatment plans were compared. Dosimetric evaluation with the 3D-printed helmet on the RANDO phantom showed that radiation doses into the brain were similar in all 3 cases, but the doses delivered to the PTV were significantly different in each case. While a desired radiation dose was not delivered with the Non-Bolus, the 3D Printing_Bolus was confirmed to deliver a radiation dose similar to that of the Wax_Bolus, which was previously used. Therefore, a patient-customized helmet fabricated with a 3D printer was extremely useful for radiation therapy.

Fujimoto et al. fabricated a patient-specific bolus using 3D-printing technique and evaluated its clinical feasibility, since a commercial bolus does not completely match irregularities in the patient’s skin. They used 3D Slicer software (ver. 4.2.2; Brigham and Women’s Hospital, Boston, MA, USA) to convert DICOM files into STL files, and used the Laplacian smoothing filter function of MeshLab (ver. 1.3.4; Visual Computing Lab, Pisa, Italy) software to strengthen the adhesion between the patient and the bolus. They also printed the bolus in fused-deposition modeling mode with ABS plastic, which has a density of 1.04 g/cm³. According to their report, a 3D-bolus can increase reproducibility of set-up conditions in comparison to a commercial bolus. Moreover, they reported that a 3D bolus is useful for high-accuracy dose delivery through reduction of air gaps, which are unpredictable due to irregularity of the patient skin surface. Their conclusions matched those of the present study.

However, a limitation of the present study was the inability to confirm the feasibility of using the bolus in actual patients. However, patients wearing a bolus should have less discomfort when the Wax_Bolus is used, due to its malleability. With the 3D Printing_Bolus, patients may feel uncomfortable when wearing a helmet composed of polyamide 12, which is a hard material. Thus, use of Tango Plus (Stratasys Ltd.), a malleable printing material, is advised in order to lessen patient discomfort from wearing a bolus.

Three hours are required to fabricate a bolus using wax, during which a patient cannot move. A 3D printer only required 30 seconds, which is the duration needed to take a CT image. On the other hand, 19 hours were required to fabricate a patient-customized helmet. For clinical use, 1) reduction in the printing time, 2) lower-cost 3D printing materials, and 3) use of malleable materials to avoid patient discomfort are necessary. If these requirements are met, 3D printing to compensate the radiation dose delivered to the skin for malignant scalp tumors should be extremely useful.

In conclusion, a technique to fabricate patient-customized helmets with a 3D printer for radiation therapy of malignant scalp tumors was developed, and is expected to
be capable of high-accuracy dose delivery by reducing the air gap between the patient and the bolus.
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