MATERNAL OBESITY AND ASSOCIATED RISK OF ADVERSE PREGNANCY OUTCOMES IN WOMEN WITH HYPERGLYCEMIA
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Objective
We designed this study to explore the pregnancy outcomes in women with hyperglycemia according to their prepregnancy body mass index (BMI) and to identify risk factors of poor pregnancy outcomes.

Methods
A total of 1,056 pregnant women, who took a standard 100 g oral glucose tolerance test, were recruited between July 1, 2007 and December 31, 2009. The participants were stratified into 3 groups (group 1 [BMI < 18.5 kg/m²], group 2 [BMI 18.5-24.9 kg/m²], and group 3 [obese] [BMI ≥ 25 kg/m²]) based on their prepregnancy BMI following the World Health Organization Asia-Pacific guidelines.

Results
Older age and multi-parity, and family history of diabetes were significantly higher in the obese group. Development of hypertension and gestational diabetes mellitus were also significantly increased with obesity. Maternal weight gain, however, was inversely correlated pattern with prepregnancy BMI. Poor pregnancy outcomes are increased with older age, multi parity, gestational ages at delivery, increased prepregnancy BMI, maternal high glucose status and weight gain rate. Particularly, prepregnancy BMI had higher risk than maternal hyperglycemia on macrosomia (odd ratio [OR] 5.0, 95% confidence intervals [CI] 2.28-11.02 vs. OR 3.0, 95% CI 1.63-5.85), and on primary cesarean section rate (OR 2.5, 95% CI 1.46-4.46 vs. OR 1.6, 95% CI 1.14-2.43).

Conclusion
Pregnant women with obesity are more likely to have poor pregnancy outcomes than pregnant women without obesity. Therefore, with prepregnancy BMI considered, effective management during pregnancy should be designed and intervention trials are needed to identify individuals at risk before developing hyperglycemia.
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Although the gestational period is considerably short in women’s lifespan, pregnancy has substantial influences to both mother and her offspring. Studying weight gain during pregnancy has been one of the hot topics in obstetrics for the last decades [1-5]. In these days overweight or obesity in women of reproductive age is rapidly increasing worldwide and they are more likely to gain excess weight during pregnancy [1-3,6]. Overweight or obesity is considered as a common high-risk obstetric condition along with gestational diabetes, hypertensive disorder during pregnancy, op-
In 2009, the American Institute of Medicine (IOM) issued guidelines for appropriate weight gain, assigning target ranges based on maternal prepregnancy body mass index (BMI). The recommended ranges of gestational weight gain are 12.5-18 kg for underweight women (BMI < 18.5 kg/m²), 11.5-16.0 kg for normal weight women (BMI 18.5-24.9 kg/m²), 7.0-11.5 kg for overweight women (BMI 25-29.9 kg/m²), and 5.0-9.0 kg for obese women (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²) [11]. The recommended rates of weight gain during 2nd and 3rd trimester are 1 lb/week for underweight women, 1 lb/week for normal weight women, 0.6 lb/week for overweight women and 0.5 lb/week for obese women.

Normally pregnant women are in diabetic condition during pregnancy because of their babies. The elevation of maternal glycemia is a consequence to a rise in maternal insulinenia, which facilitate fetal growth [12]. Increased maternal BMI during pregnancy and glucose status contribute to offspring birth size and birth outcomes. Although a positive association between prepregnancy BMI and disturbance in glucose metabolism has been established [13-15], it is not clearly known if prepregnancy BMI can affect the pregnancy outcomes of women with at risk of gestational diabetic condition.

In this context, we designed this study to explore the pregnancy outcomes in women at risk of hyperglycemic state with respect to prepregnancy BMI and to identify the risk factors of poor pregnancy outcome.

Materials and Methods

This study used data from pregnant women whose 100 g oral glucose tolerance (OGTT) test was performed between July 1, 2007 and December 31, 2009 at CHA Gangnam Medical Center (Seoul, Korea). Pregnant women at risk of hyperglycemia were suggested by a positive result of 50 g glucose challenge test followed by a confirmatory 100 g fasting glucose 3-hour tolerance test (OGTT). This study was approved by the Institutional review board of CHA Medical Center, CHA University. Data of 1,215 pregnant women were collected, among which 159 participants with twin pregnancies, fetal anomalies, hypertensive disorders before pregnancy, pre-existing diabetes and other diseases, and missing medical records were excluded.

Prepregnancy BMI (kg/m²) were calculated using self-reported pre-pregnancy weight and height. The participants (n = 1,056) were divided into three groups: group 1 (BMI < 18.5 kg/m², n = 178); group 2 (BMI 18.5-24.9 kg/m², n = 769); and group 3 (BMI ≥ 25 kg/m², n = 109) according to the guidelines of World Health Organization (WHO) Asia-Pacific [16,17] and considering IOM [11]. In the current study, we designated the group 3 as obese group, and other two groups as non-obese group. The overweight prepregnancy BMI in the WHO Asia-Pacific guidelines corresponds to normal prepregnancy BMI in the IOM guidelines. Therefore, so we grouped participants with BMI between 18.5 kg/m² and 25 kg/m² into a single group (group 2).

At the first clinic visit before gestational 10 weeks, participants reported pre-pregnant weight, height and their medical history, which were double checked by their obstetricians. Gestational age was calculated based on her last menstrual period and adjusted by sonographic findings at the first clinic visit. Gestational weights were recorded at second trimester glycemic screening test between 24 and 28 weeks and at delivery. We examined blood pressure and urine analysis due to evaluation of pregnancy complications such as hypertension and proteinuria. Additional data were also collected on maternal age, parity, family history of diabetes or hypertension.

At 24-28 weeks, all participants who had more than 140 mg/dL of 1-hour glucose level in the venous blood sample on a routine glycemic screening as a non-fasting oral glucose challenge test, underwent additional 100 g fasting glucose 3-hour tolerance test. Normal OGTT results were based on Carpenter and Coustan criteria (<95 mg/dL) at fasting state, <180 mg/dL at 1 hour, <155 mg/dL at 2 hours, <140 mg/dL at 3 hours [18]. Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) was defined by the presence of at least two abnormal OGTT glucose values. If participating women were diagnosed with GDM, they received diet and exercise instructions, and insulin therapy, if needed.

In terms of pregnancy outcomes, preterm delivery was defined as delivery at less than 37 weeks of gestation; macrosomia was defined as birth weight of 4,000 g or greater; Large for gestational age (LGA) was defined as birth weight more than 90 percentile; small for gestational age (SGA) was defined as birth weight less than 10 percentile; the conditions of primary cesarean section included from failure to progress, fetal malpresentation, and past history of uterus operation.

Data were demonstrated using descriptive statistics as mean ± standard deviation and percentage in the order of group 1, group 2 and group 3 followed by P-values from statistical tests, unless otherwise stated. The differences of variables between and among groups were analyzed with Fisher’s exact test and one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test with Bonferroni post-Hoc test.
Odd ratio (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) was calculated by multiple logistic regression analysis. The level of statistical significance was considered a P-value less than 0.05. For the statistical analysis, SPSS ver. 17 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used.

Results

Total 1,056 pregnant women met our inclusion criteria. Their characteristics in the different prepregnancy BMI groups are listed in Table 1. Age was significantly different among the three groups (31.8 ± 3.7 [group 1], 32.9 ± 3.8 [group 2], 34.0 ± 3.7 [group 3] years, ANOVA P < 0.001); prepregnancy obese women were older than other groups with statistical significance (P < 0.001 and P = 0.02 compared to groups 1 and 2, respectively). Multiparity was also different among the groups (1.2 ± 0.5, 1.4 ± 0.6, 1.7 ± 0.9, ANOVA P < 0.001) with obese women having the higher multiparity than other groups (all post-hoc P < 0.001). Family history of diabetes was not significantly different (19%, 19%, 28%, Fisher’s test P = 0.068), but the obese group showed the highest rate. Although the three groups had mean blood pressures in normal ranges, the obese group showed significantly higher blood pressures (systolic pressure, 108.5 ± 10.9 mm Hg, 114.0 ± 11.4 mm Hg, 120.8 ± 12.7 mm Hg; ANOVA P < 0.001; diastolic pressure, 64.7 ± 7.8 mm Hg, 67.3 ± 7.8 mm Hg, 72.8 ± 9.0 mm Hg; ANOVA P < 0.001) (all post-hoc P < 0.001) and higher incidence of hypertension during pregnancy (1%, 2%, 13%; Fisher’s test P < 0.001, all pair-wise P < 0.01). Development of GDM was also significantly more frequent in obese women (15%, 24%, 43%; Fisher’s test P < 0.001 and all pair-wise P < 0.001). At the time of 100 g glucose tolerance test, hemoglobin A1c. (5.1 ± 0.4%, 5.4 ± 0.5%, 5.5 ± 0.6%; ANOVA P < 0.001 and all post-hoc P < 0.01) and fasting glucose levels (77.3 ± 6.9 mg/dL, 80.7 ± 9.6 mg/dL, 85.7 ± 12.2 mg/dL; ANOVA P < 0.001 and all post-hoc P < 0.001) were significantly increased in the obese group. Total weight gains during pregnancy was in the IOM-recommended ranges (13.50 ± 4.29 kg, 12.15 ± 4.02 kg, 8.30 ± 5.35 kg) but showed a decreasing pattern with increasing maternal obesity (Fig. 1). As illustrated in Fig. 2, the non-obese groups (group 1 and group 2) had higher weight gain rates after 50 g OGCT (group 1: from 3.2 ± 1.3 to 4.0 ± 1.9 100 g/week and group 2: from 3.1 ± 1.3 to 3.9 ± 2.0 100 g/week), while the obese group had similar weight gain rates before and after 50 g OGCT (3.0 ± 1.3 and 3.0 ± 2.5 100 g/week).

Pregnancy outcomes of these groups are presented in Table 2. Gestational ages at delivery were not different among the groups, but fetal birth weight increased significantly across the groups (3,214.2 ± 383.1 g, 3,325.7 ± 410.0 g, 3,445.6 ± 501.6 g; ANOVA P < 0.001 and all post-hoc P < 0.01). The percentages of macrosomia (1%, 5%, 14%; Fisher’s test P < 0.001, all pair-wise P < 0.001)

Table 1. Maternal characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI &lt; 18.5 kg/m²</th>
<th>BMI 18.5-24.9 kg/m²</th>
<th>BMI ≥ 25 kg/m²</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Numbers (%)</td>
<td>178 (17)</td>
<td>769 (73)</td>
<td>109 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>31.8 ± 3.7</td>
<td>32.9 ± 3.8</td>
<td>34.0 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of DM (%)</td>
<td>33 (19)</td>
<td>146 (19)</td>
<td>31 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (%)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>12 (2)</td>
<td>13 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDM (%)</td>
<td>27 (15)</td>
<td>182 (24)</td>
<td>47 (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On 50 g OGCT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>108.5 ± 10.9</td>
<td>114.0 ± 11.4</td>
<td>120.8 ± 12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>64.7 ± 7.8</td>
<td>67.3 ± 7.8</td>
<td>72.8 ± 9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose level (mg/dL)</td>
<td>157.7 ± 18.6</td>
<td>156.4 ± 18.0</td>
<td>160.3 ± 24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On 100 g OGTT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting glucose level (mg/dL)</td>
<td>77.3 ± 6.9</td>
<td>80.7 ± 9.6</td>
<td>85.7 ± 12.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as number (%) or mean ± standard deviation.

BMI, body mass index; DM, diabetes mellitus; GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; OGCT, oral glucose challenge test; BP, blood pressure; OGTT, oral glucose tolerance test; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c.
and LGA babies (5%, 9%, 25%; Fisher's test $P<0.001$, all pairwise $P<0.001$) were significantly higher in the obese group. On the other hands, the incidences of SGA babies decreased as pre-pregnancy BMI increased (17%, 9%, 7%; Fisher's test $P=0.008$), but group 3 (obese group) was significantly different only from group 1 ($P=0.009$), not group 2 ($P=0.380$). Although no case of preterm delivery was found in the obese group unlike other groups, the difference was not statistically significant ($P=0.293$). Frequency of low apgar score (< 7) was also not different among the groups ($P=0.765$). Primary cesarean section rate was higher in the obese group than the other two groups (27%, 32%, 49%; Fisher's test $P=0.002$, all pairwise $P<0.001$).

The results of risk factor analyses on the pregnancy outcomes are summarized in Table 3. The risk of macrosomia, LGA, primary cesarean section increased with older age, higher prepregnancy BMI, multiparity, higher frequency of GDM development, higher total weight gain and later gestational age at delivery. Particularly for macrosomia, prepregnancy BMI was a higher risk factor than maternal hyperglycemia (OR 5.0, 95% CI 2.28-11.02 vs. OR 3.0, 95% CI 1.63-5.85). Other factors including LBW, and low APGAR score were not affected by total weight gain and prepregnancy BMI, as diabetic risk (GDM) did not affect SGA and LBW and low apgar score. Primary cesarean section was affected by both prepregnancy BMI and maternal diabetic condition (OR 2.5, 95% CI 1.46-4.46 vs. OR 1.6, 95% CI 1.14-2.43).

**Discussion**

Over the last decades, the population of childbearing women has
Table 3. Risk factor analysis on pregnancy outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Macrosomia (n = 54)</th>
<th>LGA (n = 105)</th>
<th>Primary CS except RCS (n = 301)</th>
<th>LBW (≤ 2.5 kg) (n = 27)</th>
<th>SGA (n = 108)</th>
<th>Low apgar score (&lt; 7) (n = 27)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.1^a</td>
<td>1.0^a</td>
<td>1.2^a</td>
<td>1.1^a</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.02 – 1.22)</td>
<td>(1.00 – 1.13)</td>
<td>(1.14 – 1.25)</td>
<td>(1.04 – 1.32)</td>
<td>(0.99 – 1.12)</td>
<td>(0.89 – 1.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td>1.3 (0.69 – 2.68)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.73 – 2.00)</td>
<td>7.6^a (4.74 – 12.3)</td>
<td>2.8 (0.95 – 8.40)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA at delivery</td>
<td>2.1^a (1.57 – 2.96)</td>
<td>2.0^a (1.63 – 2.60)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.80 – 1.02)</td>
<td>0.2^a (0.19 – 0.41)</td>
<td>0.3^a</td>
<td>0.6^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepregnancy BMI</td>
<td>5.0^a (2.28 – 11.02)</td>
<td>6.0^a (3.28 – 11.01)</td>
<td>2.5^a (1.46 – 4.46)</td>
<td>2.2 (0.72 – 6.97)</td>
<td>2.6^a</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDM</td>
<td>3.0^a (1.63 – 5.85)</td>
<td>1.9^a (1.21 – 3.27)</td>
<td>1.6^a (1.14 – 2.43)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.49 – 5.24)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight gain before OGCT(100 g/week)</td>
<td>1.2^a (1.03 – 1.59)</td>
<td>1.2^a (1.10 – 1.52)</td>
<td>1.1^a (1.03 – 1.31)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.58 – 1.13)</td>
<td>0.8^a</td>
<td>0.8^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight gain from OGCT to delivery (100 g/week)</td>
<td>1.2^a (1.11 – 1.43)</td>
<td>1.2^a (1.09 – 1.33)</td>
<td>1.1^a (1.03 – 1.19)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.70 – 1.14)</td>
<td>0.8^a</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LGA, large for gestational age; CS, cesarean section; RCS, repeat cesarean section; LBW, low birth weight; SGA, small for gestational age; GA, gestational age; BMI, body mass index; GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; OGCT, oral glucose challenge test.

^a is P value < 0.05, with statistical significance; ^b indicates primi-parity, while others are multiparity.

...experienced dramatic changes such as multiple pregnancies, older age, heavier body weights. These changes have imposed higher health risks in both mothers and their offspring. Therefore, the Institute of Medicine has proposed the guidelines of pregnancy weight from the beginning of conception through the first year after delivery to ensure welfare of infant and the health of mother [11]. To address whether IOM recommendations are advisable and safe for pregnant women, many observational studies have compared the risk for pregnancy outcomes with respect to gestational weight gain with IOM criteria [19-21]. Although this study was retrospectively performed, our study confirmed that high prepregnancy BMI is highly associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes, more than the diabetic condition and any other important risk factors known to affect poor pregnancy outcomes in Korean women. Throughout gestation pregnant women physiologically go through both weight gain and diabetic condition. In multiple studies, maternal obesity has been identified as one of the most common factors for high risk obstetric conditions such as gestational diabetes, hypertensive disorder in pregnancy, fetal death, and macrosomia and cesarean delivery in various ethnic groups [8,10,22]. The Asian population, as in the current study, has different characteristics of diabetes and obesity from other ethnic groups. Asians tend to have a higher percentage of body fat than Caucasians at the same BMI and have a greater tendency to accumulate adipose tissue in visceral rather than subcutaneous compartments compare to most other ethnic groups. Asians also tend to have a lower capacity to secrete insulin and are less able to compensate for insulin resistance than other ethnic groups [23,24].

The importance of prepregnancy BMI affecting pregnancy outcomes has been widely studies, particularly with respect to the offspring adiposity, insulin resistance and maternal diabetes incidence [4,5,13,25-28]. GDM complicated 1-14% of pregnancy in United States [29] and 2-5% of all pregnant women reportedly developed GDM in Korea [30]. In the current study, Hba1c was significantly higher and fasting glucose level was also increased in the obese women. A significantly higher percentage of the obese women (approximately 43%) developed GDM in our study, while the other two groups developed GDM in 15% and 24% of women.

Glucose travels freely from mother to fetus, but maternal insulin does not. In general, increased glucose induces maternal insulin resistance and elevated maternal amino acid and fatty acids, which are the major stimulators of fetal adiposity and fetal growth [12,25,31]. In 1950s, Pedersen et al.[32] suggested that in the offspring of mothers with diabetes, excess fetal insulin production was the primary factor in promoting fetal overgrowth. In our study, maternal diabetic state had three fold risks on macrosomia. Fetal adiposity, insulin resistance and maternal diabetes incidence has been widely studies, particularly with respect to the offspring adiposity, insulin resistance and maternal diabetes incidence [4,5,13,25-28]. GDM complicated 1-14% of pregnancy in United States [29] and 2-5% of all pregnant women reportedly developed GDM in Korea [30]. In the current study, Hba1c was significantly higher and fasting glucose level was also increased in the obese women. A significantly higher percentage of the obese women (approximately 43%) developed GDM in our study, while the other two groups developed GDM in 15% and 24% of women.
increased about three fold in diabetic pregnancy [33-35]. Fetal birth weight was significantly heavier in the obese group, which has similar maternal weight gain as other groups before the 50 g OGCT test; however, the gain after the 50 g OGCT test was much smaller than the other groups. This resulted in significantly lower weight gain in the obese group, which is consistent with others’ finding that gestation weight is inversely correlated with prepregnancy BMI [36,37]. Although the maternal weight gain was within the IOM’s recommendation for each group, fetal birth weight was still highly associated with prepregnancy BMI in the present study, maternal prepregnancy BMI affected macrosomia more substantially than maternal diabetic condition, which is also consistent with other studies of obesity in pregnant women with GDM [38,39]. Although obesity is a high risk factor for maternal and neonatal morbidity and mortality, it is a preventable risk factor by proper management [7].

Taken together, our study suggests prepregnancy BMI state may affect maternal insulin resistance and maternal glycemic status, eventually have a substantial impact on pregnancy outcomes. In order to reduce poor perinatal outcomes and state effective pregnancy managing, proper weight management ensuring appropriate weight gain according to their prepregnancy BMI during pregnancy is needed. Maternal prepregnancy condition could have a substantial impact on public health, especially maternal prepregnancy BMI and maternal weight gain might be a pointer for differences in maternal metabolism that are independently associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes. Therefore, lifestyle interventions should reduce perinatal morbidity and mortality. Further studies on the maternal weight before and during pregnancy are needed to better understand this phenomenon.
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고혈당 산모에서 산모의 비만도와 불량한 임신예후와 관련된 위험 인자

1차의과학대학 강남차병원 산부인과, 2미시간의과대학 신경과, 3차의과학대학 강남차병원 내과, 4차의과학대학 분당차병원 내과
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목적
본 연구에서는 고혈당 산모들에서 임신전 체질량지수(body mass index, BMI)에 따른 임신결과와 불량한 임신예후와 관련이 있는 위험 인자를 알아보고자 한다.

연구방법
2007년 1월부터 2009년까지 100 g 당부하검사를 시행 받은 1,056명의 산모를 대상으로 임신전 BMI에 따라(세계보건기구 아시아-태평양 가이드라인 기준, 1군[BMI < 18.5 kg/m²], 2군[BMI 18.5-24.9 kg/m²], 3군[BMI ≥ 25 kg/m²]) 으로 나누어 각 군의 임신 예후를 비교하였다.

결과
고령과 다산, 당뇨의 가족력, 고혈압과 임신성 당뇨의 발병이 비만 그룹에서 의미 있게 증가하였다. 산모의 임신중 체중증가는 임신전 BMI와는 반대의 패턴을 보였다. 고령, 다산, 분만 시 재태 연령, 임신전 BMI가 높을수록, 산모의 혈당치와 임신 중 체중증가율이 높을수록 불량한 임신 예후가 증가하였다. 특히 거대아의 빈도(odd ratio [OR] 5.0, 95% confidence intervals [CI] 2.28-11.02 vs. OR 3.0, 95% CI 1.63-5.85)와 일차적 제왕절개분만(OR 2.5, 95% CI 1.46-4.46 vs. OR 1.6, 95% CI 1.14-2.43)의 빈도에 있어서 산모의 임신전 BMI는 산모의 고혈당보다 더 큰 위험인자로 작용하였다.

결론
비만한 산모에서는 불량한 임신 예후가 증가하며 임신전 BMI는 산모의 혈당 및 인슐린 저항성에 영향을 끼쳐 최종적인 임신결과에 영향을 줄 수 있다. 따라서 불량한 주산기 예후의 감소를 위해서 산모의 임신전 BMI를 고려하여 임신중 적절한 체중 증가를 관리하고 고혈당의 발병 위험이 있는 산모들을 선별하는 연구 보고가 필요할 것으로 생각된다.

중심단어: 임신전 체질량지수, 임신결과, 고혈당증