CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PELVIC FLOOR DURING PREGNANCY BY 2D AND 3D ULTRASOUND
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Objective
The aim of this study was to evaluate morphological characteristics of the pelvic floor in pregnant women using 2- and 3-dimensional (D)-transperineal ultrasound and compare our findings with findings in non-pregnant women.

Methods
This case-control study included 40 nulliparous pregnant women at term and 28 nulliparous, non-pregnant women (age-matched). The 2D- and 3D-transperineal ultrasounds were carried out in the semi-supine position, after voiding, at rest and during the Valsalva maneuver. Various biometric parameters related to characteristics of the pelvic floor were measured.

Results
Satisfactory biometric measurements were obtained in all cases. The mean thickness of the levator ani muscle was significantly greater in pregnant women than in non-pregnant women (P<0.05). The mean levator hiatus angle and transverse diameter of the levator hiatus were significantly lower in pregnant women than in non-pregnant women (P<0.05). The anteroposterior diameter of the levator hiatus was not significantly different between pregnant women and non-pregnant women.

Conclusion
Pregnant women had significantly thicker the levator ani muscles but smaller hiatal areas, as measured by the levator hiatus angle and transverse diameter, than did non-pregnant women. Pregnancy itself may cause morphological changes to the pelvic floor to support the birth canal by closing the lower end of the pelvic cavity as a diaphragm. Further studies are needed to evaluate morphologic changes of the pelvic floor following delivery as measured by 2D and 3D-transperineal ultrasound.
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Imaging of the pelvic floor muscles, to further determine their role in support of the pelvic organs, continence, and childbirth, has been a focus of research in recent years. Changes in the morphology of the pelvic floor following vaginal delivery, incontinence, and prolapse have been demonstrated using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and, more recently, three dimensional (3D) ultrasound [1,6-8]. To date, MRI has been the imaging method of choice due to its superior spatial resolution capabilities and, as shown most recently [9], its ability to identify different muscle groups of the pelvic floor. However, recent technological advances in 3D ultrasound have allowed access to the axial plane and direct imaging of the entire levator hiatus, previously the domain of MRI. Several studies have recently defined characteristics of the pelvic floor following delivery, especially, the effects of delivery mode on the pelvic floor [10,11]. However, there is still a lack of studies describing the effects of pregnancy itself on the pelvic floor.

The aim of this study was to evaluate morphological characteristic of the pelvic floor in pregnant women using 2D- and 3D-transperineal ultrasound and compare with non-pregnant women.

### Materials and Methods

This was a case-control study of pregnant and non-pregnant women visiting the Guro Hospital, Korea University Medical Center from November, 2009 to March, 2010. The study population consisted of 40 nulliparous pregnant women at term and 28 age matched nulliparous non-pregnant women.

#### 1. Study participants

The case group included pregnant women who had singleton vertex fetus. The control group included non-pregnant, age matched women who visited the hospital for routine gynecological check-ups and had no specific findings on ultrasound. Women who had a previous gynecologic surgery, especially myomectomy and adnexal surgery, such as for endometriosis and adheliolysis or history of feecal or urinary incontinence, were excluded as these conditions may influence pelvic floor function. Women who had a complicated pregnancy or had difficulties due to poor cooperation were also excluded.

All subjects provided written informed consent prior to participation in the study, which was approved by the ethical committee at our institution. The patients underwent a semi-structured interview about past history and continence status.

#### 2. Measurements on ultrasound

The images presented here were obtained by transperineal ultrasound performed with the same equipment and examiner. The evaluation was performed with low pressure, just sufficient to obtain an image with good resolution.

According to the German Association of Urogynecology, there are three methods for the measurement of bladder neck position. The first is the measurement of two distances and the second is the measurement of one distance and one angle. The third is the measurement of the height of the bladder neck with a horizontal line drawn at the lower border of the symphysis. The third method provides reliable results only if the position of the ultrasound probe is stable. The current study used the one distance and one angle method for measurement of the bladder neck position [12].

1) **2-dimensional ultrasound measurements**

Measurements of the distance between the bladder neck and the lower border of the symphysis (dBNS) and the angle between this distance line and the central line of the symphysis (retro-vesical ß-degree) were obtained by transperineal 2D ultrasound (Fig. 1) [11,12]. The length of the urethra was determined as the distance between bladder neck and horizontal line at the lower border of symphysis by transperineal 2D ultrasound [13]. Bladder volume and bladder wall thickness have minimal effects on the distance and angle measurements [14-16]. However, according to Dietz, mobility of the bladder neck is increased when the bladder is empty [16,17].

![Fig. 1. 2-D view and measurements. Two-dimensional ultrasound image (axial view) of the pelvic floor showing biometric measurements of distance between bladder neck and lower border of the symphysis (dBNS), length of urethra and retrovesical angle.](image-url)
The bladder volume and bladder wall thickness was measured as it related to detrusor overactivity and urinary incontinence [16,18]. Bladder volume was measured with three parameters, including height (H), depth (D), and width (W). These measures were obtained from perpendicular planes (sagittal and transverse) by transperineal 2D ultrasound. In sagittal scanning, height and depth correspond to the greatest superior-inferior measurement and the greatest anterior-posterior measurement, respectively. Thus, the bladder volume (mL) = H × D × W × 0.7. The value of 0.7 is a correction factor for the nonspherical shape of a full bladder. This examination was performed as the bladder neck position is influenced by bladder volume. The bladder wall thickness was measured as a hypoechoic layer sandwiched between two hyperechoic layers, the urothelium and perivesical tissue [19].

2) 3-dimensional ultrasound measurements
We checked the maximum diameter of the levator hiatus (anterior-posterior [AP] diameters and transverse diameters) in mid-sagittal imaging and measured the maximum diameters of the pubovisceral muscle thickness (left and right of the rectum) at the level of maximal muscle thickness by 3D transperineal ultrasound (Fig. 2) [10,13]. The pubovisceral angle is the line between the vertical line that transverses the urethra and rectum and the tangent line that connects the point where the vertical line crosses the inner edge of the puborectal loop with the most distant inner point of the pubovisceral muscle [20].

To obtain reliable results with this method, we carried out measurements in the semi-supine position, after voiding, while at rest and during the Valsalva maneuver. The one specialist sonographer was assessed total ultrasounds were carried out by a radiologic technician. A Medison Accuvix XQ Ultrasound system (Medison Healthcare, Seoul, Korea) with a 4-7 MHz curved array ultrasound transducer was used for all measurements.

3. Statistical analysis
Parameters were reported as mean ± standard deviation and were compared with Student’s t-test (using SPSS software, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). A value of P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Satisfactory biometric measurements were obtained for 40 nullip-
Table 1. Basic characteristics of study participants pregnant versus non-pregnant women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Non-pregnant women (n=28)</th>
<th>Pregnant women (n=40)</th>
<th>P-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>32.18 ± 6.35</td>
<td>33.83 ± 3.56</td>
<td>0.437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>52.10 ± 9.85</td>
<td>74.37 ± 7.21</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>159.44 ± 5.71</td>
<td>160.07 ± 5.01</td>
<td>0.689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>22.04 ± 3.50</td>
<td>29.10 ± 3.27</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P-value was calculated by Student’s t test and Chi-square test.

Table 2. Characteristics of the pelvic floor stratified on 2-D transperineal ultrasound

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Non-pregnant women (n=28)</th>
<th>Pregnant women (n=40)</th>
<th>P-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length of urethra (cm)</td>
<td>2.70 ± 0.73</td>
<td>3.54 ± 0.62</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dBNS (cm)</td>
<td>1.07 ± 0.30</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.24</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retro-vesical β-degree (°)</td>
<td>125.65 ± 16.79</td>
<td>132.43 ± 20.35</td>
<td>0.412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Val/Length of urethra (cm)</td>
<td>2.55 ± 0.47</td>
<td>3.32 ± 0.57</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Val/dBNS (cm)</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.38</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.11</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Val/retro-vesical β-degree (°)</td>
<td>135.57 ± 25.83</td>
<td>131.96 ± 20.84</td>
<td>0.694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bladder thickness (cm)</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.70</td>
<td>0.352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bladder volume (cm³)</td>
<td>1.05 ± 1.30</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.58</td>
<td>0.767</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

dBNS, distance between bladder neck and lower border of the symphysis.  
*P-value was calculated by Student’s t test and Chi-square test.

Table 3. Characteristics of the pelvic floor levator hiatus on 3-D transperineal ultrasound

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Non-pregnant women (n=28)</th>
<th>Pregnant women (n=40)</th>
<th>P-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H/AP diameter (cm)</td>
<td>4.81 ± 0.65</td>
<td>5.08 ± 0.83</td>
<td>0.423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H/Transverse diameter (cm)</td>
<td>3.97 ± 0.67</td>
<td>3.45 ± 0.49</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pubovisceral angle (°)</td>
<td>60.67 ± 9.68</td>
<td>51.57 ± 8.52</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of PVM (cm)</td>
<td>2.77 ± 0.16</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H/AP diameter, anteroposterior diameter of the levator hiatus in 3D plane; H/Transverse diameter, transverse diameter of the levator hiatus in 3D plane; PVM thickness, thickness of the pubovisceral muscle in 3D plane.  
*P-value was calculated by Student’s t test and Chi-square test.
The mean thickness of the pubovisceral muscle was greater in pregnant than non-pregnant women (1.03 ± 0.15 cm vs. 0.77 ± 0.16 cm; \( P=0.001 \)). Thickness of PVM, thickness of the PuboVisceral Muscle in 3D plane.

Fig. 3. Thickness of PVM by 3D ultrasound. The mean thickness of pubovisceral muscle were greater in pregnant women than non-pregnant (1.03±0.15 vs. 0.77±0.16 cm; \( P=0.001 \)). Thickness of PVM, thickness of the PuboVisceral Muscle in 3D plane.

The transverse diameter of the levator hiatus and the pubovisceral angle of non-pregnant women were significantly greater than pregnant women. (3.97 ± 0.67 cm vs. 3.45±0.49 cm; \( P=0.033 \) and 60.67° ± 9.68° vs. 51.75° ± 8.52°; \( P=0.026 \)) (Figs. 4, 5).

Fig. 4. Transverse diameter by 3D ultrasound. The transverse diameter of levator hiatus is longer non-pregnant women than pregnant women (3.97±0.67 vs. 3.45±0.49 cm; \( P=0.033 \)). H/Transverse diameter, transverse diameter of the levator hiatus in 3D plane.

Discussion

To our best knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate morphological characteristic of the pelvic floor in pregnant women using 2D- and 3D-transperienal ultrasound. Pregnant women had a significantly greater thickness of the pubovisceral muscle and decreased transverse diameter and pubovisceral angle. These changes reflect the fact that pregnant women have a smaller
Morphological changes in the levator hiatus may have clinical significance in the subsequent development of urinary incontinence and pelvic organ prolapsed [16, 21]. The levator ani muscle is thought to play a significant role in the pathogenesis of these highly prevalent conditions and it is estimated that parous women have an increased lifetime risk (by age 80) of undergoing surgical treatment for one of these conditions [22]. Pregnancy and childbirth are frequently cited as major etiological factors, and various obstetric parameters (e.g., length of second-stage labor, birth weight, and mode of delivery) have been demonstrated to be additional risk factors [23, 24]. A potential protective effect of Cesarean section could not be verified in long-term studies, suggesting that pregnancy itself (especially the first) causes pathological changes to the pelvic floor, regardless of the mode of delivery [24-26]. It has been suggested that the strain of the gravid uterus and hormonal changes during pregnancy lead to connective tissue remodeling and disruption of normal pelvic floor function; additional disruption may result during vaginal delivery from traumatic damage, primarily by vacuum or forceps extraction [27].

It is interesting to note that the hiatal area at term was decreased. Although the reason for this change is unclear, a possible explanation is that during pregnancy, the volume and weight of the uterus increase and the pressure of the uterus and fetal presentation on the pelvic floor also increases [28]. Therefore, the decreased hiatal area may reflect a compensatory mechanism counteracting these changes. Pregnancy itself may cause morphological changes of the pelvic floor to support the birth canal by closing the lower end of the pelvic cavity as diaphragm. However, these changes may have some detrimental effects in pregnancy. During vaginal delivery, muscles of the levator hiatus are required to deform and stretch markedly. Using computer modeling, based on MRI, it has been found that some parts of the pubovisceral muscle stretch to 3.3 times their resting length during crowning of the head [29]. It is unlikely that the pelvic floor presents an absolute barrier to descent and delivery of the presenting part in any but a very small number of women. However, in the context of modern obstetric practice, a less compliant pelvic floor may provoke intervention by prolonging the second stage, slowing descent of fetal presentation, and predisposing to fetal distress. Interestingly, in a recent study of pelvic floor training in pregnancy, pelvic floor exercises were found to decrease the incidence of a prolonged second stage [30]. Therefore, further studies are needed to understand how the changes to the pelvic floor during pregnancy may influence the progress of labor.

We found the distance between the bladder neck and the inferior border of the symphysis, both at rest and during the Valsalva maneuver, were significantly decreased in pregnant women compared with non-pregnant women. There was an increase in the length of the urethra, both at rest and during the Valsalva maneuver, in pregnant women. Modification of the anatomic relationship between the bladder and enlarged uterus results in shortening of the distance between the dBNS and increasing the length of the urethra.

Recent technological advances in ultrasound imaging have resulted in three-dimensional pelvic floor imaging that is able to
demonstrate the levator muscle in the axial plane in a manner comparable to MRI [31]. The facility of real-time data capture also permits examination of functional anatomy observed during the Valsalva maneuver and pelvic floor muscle contraction. These factors, as well as its relatively low cost and associated high patient compliance, mark ultrasound as an ideal method for further study of the levator in pregnancy [32].

In conclusion, pregnant women had significantly thicker levator ani muscles but smaller hiatal areas, as measured by the levator hiatus angle and transverse diameter, than did non-pregnant women. Pregnancy itself may cause morphological changes of pelvic floor to support the birth canal by closing the lower end of the pelvic cavity as a diaphragm.
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목적
이 연구의 목적은 2차원 초음파와 3차원 초음파를 사용하여 임신부와 비임신부와 비교를 통해 골반저의 형태학적 특징을 알아보고자 했다.

연구방법
본 연구는 40명의 만삭에 가까운 초산부와 28명의 임신을 경험한 적이 없는 여성을 대상으로 시행한 후향연구이다. 모든 대상자는 방광을 비운 후 즉시 반 누움 자세를 취하게 한 후 2차원 및 3차원 경회음초음파를 시행하였다. 이를 통해 골반저의 특징을 표현할 수 있는 다양한 변수가 측정되었다.

결과
본 연구에 의해 초산부에 있어 항문거근이 통계학적으로 두터워져 있음을 확인할 수 있었다(P<0.05). 골반근골절의 각도와 횡단 길이는 초산부에 있어 통계학적으로 작아지는 것을 확인할 수 있었다(P<0.05). 이에 반해 골반근골절의 전후방향 길이는 두 군 간에 별다른 차이를 확인할 수 없었다.

결론
이번 연구를 통하여 임신부에 있어 항문거근이 두터워지고 골반근골절의 각도와 횡단길이는 감소하는 것을 확인할 수 있었다. 이는 임신 자체가 신도를 증가시켜 발생한 것으로 여겨진다. 앞으로 분만 후의 골반저의 변화를 평가하기 위한 2차원 및 3차원 경회음초음파를 통한 연구가 이루어져야 할 것으로 생각된다.

중심단어: 초음파, 임신부, 골반저