INTRODUCTION

Postpartum hemorrhage (PPH) is one of the major causes of maternal mortality. Uterine artery embolization (UAE) is regarded a useful and reliable procedure to control and manage PPH. However, it has been reported that there are possible complications arising from this procedure, including disruption of the patients' normal menstrual cycles, infertility, pyometra and/or necrosis of the uterus and/or bladder.

Herein, we are reporting on a rare case of pyomyoma, initially misdiagnosed as uterine necrosis after UAE. Pyomyoma was ultimately diagnosed but not until later, after successful management by dilation and curettage (D&C), with resectoscope.
Obstetrician performed an ultrasound which showed two uterine myomas in the anterior and posterior walls of uterus. The diagnosis by obstetrician was hematometra and myomas in the postpartum uterus. However, the information regarding the presence of uterine myoma was not conveyed to the department of interventional radiology.

An emergency UAE was performed for massive PPH by a fellowship-trained interventional radiologist with eleven-months on-the-job experience. Selective angiography of both internal iliac arteries performed from the femoral approach showed that her uterine arteries were engorged, but without any sign of extravasation of blood (Fig. 1A). No definite evidence of uterine myoma was found on selective angiography of any of the uterine arteries.

A selective UAE with gelatin sponge particles (GSP) (Cali-Gel®; Alicon Pharm SCI&TEC Co., Ltd., Hangzhou, China) was performed with the catheter tip placed beyond the origin of the cervico-vaginal branch on each side, using a micro-

---

**Fig. 1.** A 34-year-old female patient with pyomyoma after UAE for postpartum hemorrhage, misdiagnosed as uterine necrosis.

A. Angiography of both internal iliac arteries and both uterine arteries was performed on each side. Both uterine arteries (arrows) are engorged, but no active bleeding is detected on angiogram. There is no definitive evidence of myoma on angiography.

B. Images of transvaginal uterine ultrasonography 4 days after UAE. Ultrasonography shows hypoechoic area (curved arrow) within uterine cavity, suggesting hematometra. There are also several heterogeneous hyperechoic myomas (arrows) in uterus endometrium and myometrium. UAE = uterine artery embolization
guidewire (Chikai V; Asahi Intecc, Hanoi, Vietnam) and a micro-catheter (Parkway; Asahi Intecc, Pathum Thani, Thailand), until complete cessation of blood flow. Complete cessation of blood flow refers to stagnation of contrast agent in the ascending branch of the uterine artery for ten cardiac beats. While three vials of GSP (560–710 micro: one vial, 710–1000 micro: one vial, 1000–1400 micro: one vial) were used for left UAE, two and a quarter vials of GSP (560–710 micro: one vial, 710–1000 micro: one vial, 1000–1400: 1/4 vial) were used for right UAE. Based on the knowledge gained from his past experience, the interventional radiologist decided to gradually increase the size of GSP while performing the UAE. In his past clinical experience, he had used relatively larger embolic materials for occlusions of uterine arteries in the early phase, which unfortunately resulted in a redo procedure and ended up requiring surgical re-intervention.

The interventional radiologist performed supra-renal aortography each before and after UAE. The supra-renal aortography performed after the embolization recognized both ovarian arteries as collateral pathways. The procedure was terminated as the arteries were not engorged to sufficient size to permit continuation of the procedure, meaning no additional embolization was necessary.

No technical failure or complications resulted from the interventional procedure, which successfully stabilized the patient. Her blood pressure was 111 mm Hg, pulse was 85 beats per minute and hemoglobin was 11.3 g/dL. The post-procedural ultrasonography performed by the attending obstetrician detected hematometra and several remaining uterine myomas (Fig. 1B). As a result, she was discharged home from hospital and said to be improved.

After a month, the patient returned to the hospital and was admitted as an in-patient through the emergency room with fever, abdominal pain and vaginal discharge with a foul odor. Abdomino-pelvic computed tomography (CT) showed fluid collection with air bubbles in the uterus (Fig. 1C). The patient was observed and her course of care was supervised in a hospital setting. She was treated with intravenous administration of antibiotics such as metronidazole (Flagyl; JW Life Science Co., Ltd., Dangjin, Korea), cefotetan (Yamatetan; Jeil Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Seoul, Korea) and tetracycline (Doxycycline; Kukje Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Seongnam, Korea). While suffering from persisting symptoms, she had blood pressure 108/52 mm Hg, pulse 113 beats per minute, temperature 38.7°C, leukocyte count 8420/μL, C-reactive protein 93.6 mg/L and an erythrocyte sedimentation rate 54 mm/hour. A culture of blood samples showed negative results. Culture of the cervix performed the day after admission showed corynebacte-
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*Fig. 1.* A 34-year-old female patient with pyomyoma after UAE for postpartum hemorrhage, misdiagnosed as uterine necrosis.

**D.** Images of hysteroscope performed by obstetrician. Myoma is observed in anterior wall of uterus and suppurative necrotic debris (arrow) was found in uterine cavity on hysteroscope.

**E.** Images of transvaginal uterine ultrasonography nine months after dilation and curettage with resectoscope. Two myomas measuring 2.0 × 1.9 cm and 1.6 × 1.2 cm are noted in myometrium of uterus (arrows), which are measured 4.8 × 2.9 cm and 3.3 × 2.8 cm respectively, on uterine ultrasonography previously performed by obstetrician before UAE. Except for that, there is no remarkable finding in uterus.

UAE = uterine artery embolization

*rium amycolatum, pseudomonas monteilii, and α-streptococcus.*

No signs or symptoms of upper respiratory infection or urinary tract infection appeared in the patient. In addition, there were no remarkable symptoms of headache or diarrhea, which might have suggested that infection occurred in, or had spread to, body parts other than the uterus.

On the third day of admission, there were no specific signs except fever, and the amount of vaginal discharge was reduced. However, despite the use of antibiotics, intermittent fever lingered. The attending obstetrician considered the CT finding as consistent with uterine abscess, and scheduled a D&C with resectoscope to be done two days later. As an alternative measure, the treating obstetrician planned to perform a hysterectomy if the D&C failed, or the patient’s condition did not improve.

On the fourth day, obstetrician consulted with the interventional radiologist on percutaneous drainage before obstetric surgery. The interventional radiologist opined that the CT findings appeared to be most consistent with uterine necrosis, which could not be drained by insertion of drainage catheters. Therefore, surgical intervention consisting of hysterectomy seemed to be the remaining viable treatment option.

On the fifth day, the genitourinary radiologist reviewed and re-interpreted the CT findings and concluded that the CT findings were likely indicative of UAE-related changes (necrotic debris) and several infarcted uterine myomas. A D&C with resectoscope was performed by obstetrician on the same day. The obstetrician identified a large amount of necrotic debris on hysteroscopy, and successfully performed the D&C with resectoscope (Fig. 1D). The necrotic debris was examined by surgical pathology, and confirmed as pyomyoma. A cervical culture per-
formed three days after the D&C showed no evidence of growth for the ensuing 72 hours.

The patient was discharged a week after the surgery as her condition was stabilized. The patient’s menstrual cycle returned to normal from the subsequent one. Follow-up ultrasonography performed nine months later confirmed myoma without any notable findings in uterus (Fig. 1E).

DISCUSSION

Although UAE for PPH is generally considered a safe and effective procedure, it may result in several significant medical complications such as uterine necrosis and pyomyoma. CT findings of uterine necrosis and pyomyoma share similar imaging manifestations with each other, but major differences between them exist as follows. Case reports that have been published to date describe that CT or magnetic resonance appearances of uterine necrosis reveal central necrotic portions and peripheral enhancement of myometrium (one case) and central necrotic portions without enhancement of myometrium (four cases) (1-3). On the contrary, CT findings arising from pyomyoma show central necrotic portions without decrease in the enhancement of myometrium (two cases) and heterogeneous, multi-lobular mass with low attenuation and the presence of gas in the mass (one case) (4-6). Most of the pyomyoma cases show persistent enhancement of myometrium, thought to represent the initial diagnostic clue for pyomyoma, consistent with our case.

A retrospective review of images reveals that the opening of the cervix was caused by space-occupying lesion of unknown cause in the uterine cavity, which proved to be the second clue for pyomyoma. Case reports in the literature describe the possibility of vaginal expulsion of fibroid after UAE (7). In addition, myoma commonly expand and enlarge during pregnancy (8). Given these two factors, the interventional radiologist should be aware of the possibility of myoma and subsequent expulsion.

In conclusion, it would seem that the aggregate of the elements discussed above point to the conclusion that the patient should have been diagnosed as afflicted with pyomyoma, not uterine necrosis.

We suggest that information regarding the presence of uterine myoma be conveyed to the interventional radiologist before UAE. In this case and as we have seen, the interventional radiologist was not advised of the presence of uterine myoma by the obstetrician. Accordingly, the physician could not anticipate the possible presence or complications arising from combined uterine myoma before UAE. We are mindful that on uterine artery angiography, myoma is usually shown as distortion and enlargement of the uterine arteries, but this was not the case here. As a result, the possibility of pyomyoma was not considered for some time, in turn postponing the delivery of appropriate and necessary physician-supervised care.

In most cases of documented uterine necrosis, hysterectomy is the treatment option of choice. No studies were conducted on uterine necrosis after UAE which was treated with intravenous antibiotics or hysteroscopic surgical drainage procedures (9). Although pyomyoma is usually treated with hysterectomy, it is not impossible to treat with hysteroscopic surgical drainage (10). For interventional radiologists, it is critical to be notified of the existence of myoma. If the interventional radiologist is uncertain about the presence of uterine myoma, he should consider performing pre-procedural ultrasonography personally. After all, communication between the obstetrician and the interventional radiologist is the key.

It is important to determine whether patient is suffering from post-embolization syndrome (PES) or pyomyoma. PES refers to syndrome consisting of fever, abdominal pain, nausea and vomiting which subsides within a few days. Exclusion of PES and presence of intra-uterine infection are supposed on the basis of one or more of the following: presence of foul-smelling vaginal discharge, clinical course of the initial symptoms, clinical improvement with therapy and presence of high-grade leukocytosis (10).

In case of patients with myoma who have symptoms of abdominal pain and sepsis after UAE, the possibility of pyomyoma should be considered so that patients can be treated in an appropriate and a timely manner. Furthermore, precise and specialized knowledge and understanding of radiographic imaging findings and clinical courses of pyomyoma and uterine necrosis are essential for early diagnosis and treatment of UAE-related complications.
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자궁동맥 색전술 후 발생한 자궁괴사로 오진된 농근종의 증례

송호석1·서정욱1·신원선2,3*

34세 여자 환자의 출산 후 대량출혈에 대해서 자궁동맥 색전술을 시행하였다. 시술자는 환자의 자궁근종 병력에 대해 전달 받지 못한 상태였다. 시술 중에 주목할만한 합병증이나 이상징후가 없었음에도 불구하고, 4주 후에 검사한 전산화단층촬영에서 기포를 동반한, 구형 모양의 다량의 액체 저류가 자궁에서 확인되었다. 영상소견과 병리소견이 자궁괴사로 생각되었기 때문에 정상적 배액술은 시행되지 않았고, 대신에 자궁경을 사용한 자궁경부개대 및 소파술이 시행되었다. 수술 및 병리진단은 "자궁강 내로 배출된 농근종"이었다. 농근종 및 자궁괴사의 영상소견에 대한 정확한 감별진단은 자궁동맥 색전술에 관계되어 발생한 합병증의 조기진단과 치료에 매우 중요하다.
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