The Usefulness of Dynamic Cine-Arthrography for Wrist Instability as Correlated with Arthroscopic Palmer Classification
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Purpose: To introduce dynamic cine-arthrography and compare it with MR arthrography in the diagnosis of intrinsic ligament and triangular fibrocartilage complex tears, based on arthroscopic findings.

Materials and Methods: A total of thirty-eight wrists of 38 patients who had undergone both dynamic cine-arthrography and MR arthrography were enrolled. Dynamic cine-arthrography was performed after puncture of the radiocarpal joint by slow injection of contrast under continuous fluoroscopic guidance during passive wrist exercise. We obtained 1.5- or 3-T MR arthrography with fat-suppressed T1-weighted coronal and axial images. We evaluated scapholunate and lunotriquetral ligaments and triangular fibrocartilage complex tears according to the Palmer classification system. Based on the arthroscopic findings, we compared the diagnostic values between the two examinations using Kappa values.

Results: The overall sensitivity and specificity of diagnosis of intrinsic ligament tears was similar between dynamic cine-arthrography and MR arthrography [scapholunate ligament: sensitivity 66.7% vs. 80%, specificity 100% vs. 95.7%, lunotriquetral ligament: sensitivity 75.0% vs. 75.0%, specificity 94.1% vs. 91.2%]. For triangular fibrocartilage complex tears, all diagnostic values were the same (sensitivity 96.4%, specificity 100%). The inter-examination agreement was substantial to perfect (kappa value 1.000).

Conclusion: Dynamic cine-arthrography is valuable in the diagnosis of intrinsic ligament and triangular fibrocartilage complex tears compared to MR arthrography.
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The intrinsic and extrinsic carpal ligaments and triangular fibrocartilage complex (TFCC) of the wrist are major structures that guide and restrain the motion of the carpal bones. Although some injuries of the carpal ligaments may have little clinical importance, many of them can induce wrist pain or instability that often needs surgical intervention (1, 2). Because the intrinsic carpal ligaments such as the scapholunate (SL) and lunatrigueiral (LT) ligaments and the TFCC play an important role in the normal function and stability of the wrist joint, the assessment of these structures is crucial (3). Moreover, treatment options depend on the extent and location of lesions; and preoperative imaging studies, including conventional wrist arthrography, conventional magnetic resonance imaging and computed tomography or magnetic resonance arthrography (MRA), are useful not only for making the diagnosis, but also for deciding on a surgical plan (3-5). MRA has been widely performed instead of conventional wrist arthrography, but it is very expensive and it requires a lot of time (6, 7).

Conventional radiocarpal arthrography was performed after radiocarpal injection under fluoroscopy guidance and several views, including wrist deviation and forearm rotation, and posteroanterior, anteroposterior, lateral and oblique views were obtained (8-10). Cine arthrography takes an image every 5 seconds during intra-articular contrast injection under fluoroscopy (11).

Dynamic cine-arthrography (DCA) was performed using two processes. First, contrast injection into the radiocarpal joint through fluoroscopy guidance was done. Second, after passive wrist movement, the wrist joint was re-evaluated under fluoroscopy. This is a new technique, one which can evaluate the wrist twice under fluoroscopy.

In this study, we aimed to introduce a new technique for wrist arthrography called DCA. We compared its results with that of MRA using 1.5-T or 3-T MRI for diagnosing SL and LT ligament tears and TFCC injuries; the final diagnoses were based on arthroscopic operations.

**Materials and Methods**

**Patient Selection**

Institutional ethics review board approval was obtained and informed consent was waived. Between January, 2002 and May, 2010, a total of one-hundred forty six wrists of 141 patients with wrist pain and who underwent arthroscopic operations were initially selected for this study. Among these 146 wrists, thirty-one cases of 31 patients without DCA and seventy-seven cases of 72 patients without MRA were excluded. Finally, we enrolled in this retrospective study thirty-eight wrists of 38 patients. These wrists had undergone both DCA and MRA. All of the 38 patients were clinically suspected of having an intrinsic carpal ligament tear or a TFCC tear. There were twenty-six males and twelve females; their mean age was 36 years (range: 16-55 years). There were twenty-seven wrists on the right side and eleven on the left. The mean interval between DCA and MRA was 6 days (range: 0-67 days).

**Dynamic Cine-Arthrography (DCA)**

After local anesthesia was induced with about 2 mL of a 1% lidocaine solution at the dorsal surface of the wrist, we punctured the skin at the radiocarpal joint between the radius and scaphoid using a 22-gauge spinal needle under fluoroscopic guidance (SIMENSE SIREGRAPH CF, SIMENSE, Erlangen, Germany). Then, about 2-3 mL of contrast (Telebrix 30 Meglumine, Guerbet Korea, Seoul, Korea) was slowly injected into the radiocarpal joint.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TFCC Tear</th>
<th>DCA</th>
<th>MRA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arthroscopy</td>
<td>Tear (-)</td>
<td>Tear (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tear (-)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tear (+)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SL Ligament Tear</th>
<th>DCA</th>
<th>MRA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arthroscopy</td>
<td>Tear (-)</td>
<td>Tear (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tear (-)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tear (+)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LT Ligament Tear</th>
<th>DCA</th>
<th>MRA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arthroscopy</td>
<td>Tear (-)</td>
<td>Tear (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tear (-)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tear (+)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
joint under continuous fluoroscopy to the maximal pressure point at which no more contrast solution could be easily injected. We tried to find contrast leakage into the mid-carpal joint or the distal radioulnar joint with the wrist in a fixed pronation position during contrast injection. After intra-articular contrast injection, we did passive exercises of the wrists to the radial, ulnar, dorsal and volar directions and we re-evaluated the presence of contrast leakage via multiple direction views under fluoroscopy, including the anterior-posterior, lateral, and both oblique views. It took about 20 minutes to finish the whole process.

**Wrist MR Arthrography (MRA)**

Wrist MR arthrography (MRA) was done as follows: after local anesthesia with about 2 mL of a 1% lidocaine solution, a fluoroscopy-guided puncture of the radio-carpal joint between the radius and scaphoid was done using a 22-gauge spinal needle. The MR contrast solution was prepared by mixing 10 mL of normal saline and 0.2 mL of MR contrast (Megaray, Dongkook pharmaceuticial, Seoul, Korea). About 1 mL of CT contrast (Telebrix 30 Meglumine, Guerbet Korea, Seoul, Korea) was injected to confirm the intra-articular puncture and then about 2-3 mL of MR contrast solution was slowly injected. MR arthrography was performed using 1.5 T or 3 T MRI. In twenty wrists, the fat-suppressed (FS) T1-weighted fast-spin echo (FSE) coronal and axial images were obtained by 1.5 T MRI (Magnetom vision plus, Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) and using a surface coil (Flex-M-coil, Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) (TR / TE, 550.0/15.0 msec for the coronal scan and 615.0/12.0 msec for the axial scan, slice thickness: 3 mm, slice gap: 0 mm, field of view: 11 cm for the coronal scan and 10 cm for the axial scan, matrix: 512 × 220, flip angle: 90°, ETL: 18 and excitations: 3). In eighteen wrists, T1-weighted spectral presaturation with inversion recovery (SPIR) coronal and axial images were taken by 3 T MRI (Achieva 3.0T X-series, Philips Healthcare, Eindhoven, The Netherlands) and using a surface coil (Sense-wrist-8, Simens, Erlangen, Germany) (TR/TE: 357.0/20.0 msec for the coronal scan and 528.0/22.0 msec for the axial scan, slice thickness: 3 mm, slice gap: 0 mm, field of view: 11 cm for the coronal scan and 10 cm for the axial scan, matrix: 316 × 313 for the coronal scan and 332 × 333 for the axial scan, flip angle: 90°, ETL: 18 and excitations: 3).

**Image Analysis**

The 38 cases were randomized and the radiologist was blinded to the patients’ age, gender and clinical history before doing retrospective image reviews. One radiologist reviewed all of the DCA and MRA images and again he was blinded to the arthroscopic results and the findings of DCA or MRA. Evaluation of the DCA images was done first and then the MRA were investigated. The time interval of the image reviews between DCA and MRA were longer than one week.

The presence of contrast leakage into the mid-carpal joint or distal radioulnar joint was evaluated on the DCA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Comparison between DCA and MRA for Making the Diagnosis of TFCC Tears, SL Ligament Tears and LT Ligament Tears</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TFCC Tear</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tear(+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kappa value = 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **SL Ligament Tear** | **MRA** | **Total** |
| | Tear(−) | Tear(+) |
| DCA | 25 | 3 | 28 |
| Tear(+) | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| Total | 25 | 13 | 38 |
| kappa value = 0.814 |

| **LT Ligament Tear** | **MRA** | **Total** |
| | Tear(−) | Tear(+) |
| DCA | 31 | 2 | 33 |
| Tear(+) | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| Total | 32 | 6 | 38 |
| kappa value = 0.682 |
exams. If contrast leakage into the mid-carpal joint was seen, then its location was recorded as between the scaphoid and lunate or between the lunate and triquetrum. We also recorded additional findings such as ulnar positive or negative variance, old fracture with a nonunion state of the ulnar-styloid process and subchondral sclerosis of the lunate or triquetrum indicative of chondromalacia on the scout film before intra-articular contrast injection.

On the MRA images, SL or LT ligament tears and TFCC tears were evaluated along with chondromalacia of the lunate or triquetrum. The TFCC tears were recorded based on the Palmer classification system [12, 13], that is, the TFCC tears were divided into class I traumatic injuries and class II degenerative lesions. The class I traumatic injuries were subdivided into four groups: class IA - perforation or traumatic tear of the TFC disc proper; class IB - ulnar avulsion of the TFCC with or without associated ulnar styloid fracture; class IC - distal avulsion of the TFCC through its lunate attachment (ulnolunate ligament) or its triquetrum attachment (ulnotriquetral ligament); class ID - radial avulsion at the level of the distal sigmoid notch with or without an associated sigmoid notch fracture. The class II degenerative lesions demonstrated the spectrum of ulnar impaction syndrome findings, and these were divided into five subgroups: class IIA - TFCC wear; class IIB - TFCC wear with associated lunate and/or ulnar chondromalacia; class IIC - TFCC perforation associated with lunate or ulnar chondromalacia; class IID - TFCC perforation, lunate or ulnar chondromalacia, and lunotriquetral ligament perforation; class IIE - class IID lesions with additional findings of ulnocarpal arthritis.

**Arthroscopic Operation**

One surgeon who was very experienced with wrist arthroscopy performed arthroscopic operations on all 38 wrists. He recorded whether there was an intrinsic ligament tear (of the SL or LT ligaments) or a TFCC tear, including chondromalacia of the lunate or triquetrum, based on the Palmer classification system. The mean interval was 57.7 days (range: 1–803 days) between DCA and the arthroscopic operation; it was 58.2 days (range: 5–803 days) between MRA and the arthroscopic operation.

**Statistical Analysis**

The diagnostic values (sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and accuracy) of the diagnostic tests were calculated. The mean interval between MRA or DCA and the arthroscopic operation was 57.7 days (range: 1–803 days) in 38 wrists.

**Fig. 1.** A 33-year-old male with chronic wrist pain had no contrast leakage into the distal radioulnar joint at the beginning of dynamic cine arthrography [A]. However, after passive wrist exercise, delayed contrast leakage was detected into the distal radioulnar joint, indicating perforation of the triangular fibrocartilage complex [B, arrow]. Coronal MR arthrography also showed a Palmer type I triangular fibrocartilage complex tear [C, arrow], which was confirmed by arthroscopic operation.
cy) for DCA and for MRA were calculated for SL liga-
ment tears, LT ligament tears and TFCC tears, based on
the arthroscopic findings. To evaluate the inter-exami-
nation agreement between DCA and MRA, kappa val-
ues for each tear were determined. A kappa value was
considered as slight (0.0–0.20), fair (0.21–0.40), moderate
(0.41–0.60), substantial (0.61–0.80) and almost perfect
(0.81–1.00). A p value of less than 0.05 was considered
to indicate a significant difference. Statistical analysis
was performed using SPSS for Windows version 17.0
(SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

On arthroscopic operation, there were 15 SL ligament
tears, 4 LT ligament tears and 28 TFCC tears among the
total 38 wrists. For SL ligament tears, the sensitivity,
specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive
value and accuracy were, respectively, 66.7% (10/15),
100% [23/23], 100% [10/10], 82.0% [23/28] and 86.8% (33/38) for DCA; for MRA they were, respectively, 80%
(12/15), 95.7% [22/23], 92.3% [12/13], 88% [22/25] and
89.5% [34/38] for MRA. For LT ligament tear, the sensi-
tivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative pre-
dictive value and accuracy were 75.0% [3/4], 94.1%
(32/34), 60.0% [3/5], 97.0% [32/33] and 92.1% [35/38] for
DCA, and they were 75.0% [3/4], 91.2% [31/34], 50.0%
(3/6), 96.9% [31/32] and 89.5% [34/38] for MRA, respec-
tively. For TFCC tears, the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and accuracy were 96.4% [27/28], 100% [10/10], 100% [27/27],
90.9% [10/11] and 97.4% [37/38] for both DCA and
MRA, respectively (Table 1). There was no significant
difference between the results for TFCC tears. (Chi-
square test, p > 0.05).

For the diagnosis of SL ligament tears, DCA and MRA
demonstrated almost perfect inter-examination agree-
ment (kappa value = 0.814). There was also perfect inter-
examination agreement between DCA and MRA for
TFCC tears (kappa value = 1.000). For LT ligament tears,
the inter-examination agreement between DCA and
MRA was substantial (kappa value = 0.682) (Table 2).

Regarding the Palmer classification, there were ten
class IA lesions, one class IB lesion and three class ID le-
sions of a total of 28 TFCC tears on arthroscopic opera-
tions. For the rest, twelve class IIC lesions (with lunate/ulnar chondromalacia) and two class IID lesions
(with lunate/ulnar chondromalaia and LT ligament tear)
were found. In addition, one class IIA lesion (TFCC
wear) and six class IIB lesions (TFCC wear with
lunate/ulnar chondromalacia) were seen on arthroscopic
operations, and were not included as TFCC tears be-
cause there was no perforation.

The Palmer classification results were equal for both
DCAs and MRAs in half of the 28 TFCC tears (14/28,
50%). All of them were confirmed on arthroscopic oper-
aton: nine class IA lesions, three class ID lesions, one
class IIB lesion and two class IIC lesions. Only one class
IA lesion was diagnosed correctly as a class IA lesion on
DCA, but it was thought to be a class IID lesion on
MRA, indicating a false-positive LT ligament tear (1/10,
10%). Nine class IIC lesions were mistaken for class IA
lesions on DCA (9/12, 75.0%), of which five were misin-
terpreted as class IA lesions on MRA (Fig. 1). One class
IIC lesion was regarded as a class IID lesion on MRA; it
showed a false-positive lunate chondromalacia. A total
of six class IIC lesions were not diagnosed correctly on
MRA (6/12, 50%). Both the diagnoses of the class IID le-
sions diagnosed on arthroscopy were considered faulty
as one was a class IA lesion on DCA (Fig. 2) and the oth-
er was a class IIC lesion with marked ulnar positive
variance on DCA (2/2, 100%); one was seen as a class
IIC lesion on MRA (1/2, 50%). As for the one class IB le-
sion and the one IIA lesion diagnosed on arthroscopy,
the one IIA lesion diagnosed on arthroscopy, neither
DCA nor MRA was accurate as neither modality
noted any abnormality around the TFCC (1/1, 100% for
class IB and IIA lesions). Meanwhile, five out of six class
IIB lesions were regarded as having no abnormality of
the TFCC on DCA (5/6, 83.3%) and two of them were
mistaken for class IIA lesions (2/6, 33.3%).

Discussion

A TFCC tear is a common cause of pain and instability
of the wrist. A TFCC tear may not be apparent on a clin-
ical examination alone, and a variety of radiographic
tools have been used to enhance the diagnostic accura-
cy. Imaging studies of the carpal ligaments have also
played an important role in the assessment of patients
complaining of wrist pain (5, 14).

Conventional direct arthrography is a well-established
diagnostic technique for the evaluation of wrist pain,
and the advanced forms of arthrography such as cine-
fluorography have allowed the techniques of diagnostic
arthroscopy to be implemented at the wrist joint (13,
15).

Regarding the Palmer classification, there were ten
class IA lesions, one class IB lesion and three class ID le-
sions of a total of 28 TFCC tears on arthroscopic opera-
tions. For the rest, twelve class IIC lesions (with lunate/ulnar chondromalacia) and two class IID lesions
(with lunate/ulnar chondromalaia and LT ligament tear)
were found. In addition, one class IIA lesion (TFCC
wear) and six class IIB lesions (TFCC wear with
lunate/ulnar chondromalacia) were seen on arthroscopic
operations, and were not included as TFCC tears be-
cause there was no perforation.

The Palmer classification results were equal for both
DCAs and MRAs in half of the 28 TFCC tears (14/28,
50%). All of them were confirmed on arthroscopic oper-
aton: nine class IA lesions, three class ID lesions, one
class IIB lesion and two class IIC lesions. Only one class
IA lesion was diagnosed correctly as a class IA lesion on
DCA, but it was thought to be a class IID lesion on
MRA, indicating a false-positive LT ligament tear (1/10,
10%). Nine class IIC lesions were mistaken for class IA
lesions on DCA (9/12, 75.0%), of which five were misin-
terpreted as class IA lesions on MRA (Fig. 1). One class
IIC lesion was regarded as a class IID lesion on MRA; it
showed a false-positive lunate chondromalacia. A total
of six class IIC lesions were not diagnosed correctly on
MRA (6/12, 50%). Both the diagnoses of the class IID le-
sions diagnosed on arthroscopy were considered faulty
as one was a class IA lesion on DCA (Fig. 2) and the oth-
er was a class IIC lesion with marked ulnar positive
variance on DCA (2/2, 100%); one was seen as a class
IIC lesion on MRA (1/2, 50%). As for the one class IB le-
sion and the one IIA lesion diagnosed on arthroscopy,
the one IIA lesion diagnosed on arthroscopy, neither
DCA nor MRA was accurate as neither modality
noted any abnormality around the TFCC (1/1, 100% for
class IB and IIA lesions). Meanwhile, five out of six class
IIB lesions were regarded as having no abnormality of
the TFCC on DCA (5/6, 83.3%) and two of them were
mistaken for class IIA lesions (2/6, 33.3%).

Discussion

A TFCC tear is a common cause of pain and instability
of the wrist. A TFCC tear may not be apparent on a clinical
examination alone, and a variety of radiographic
tools have been used to enhance the diagnostic accura-
cy. Imaging studies of the carpal ligaments have also
played an important role in the assessment of patients
complaining of wrist pain (5, 14).

Conventional direct arthrography is a well-established
diagnostic technique for the evaluation of wrist pain,
and the advanced forms of arthrography such as cine-
fluorography have allowed the techniques of diagnostic
arthroscopy to be implemented at the wrist joint (13,
15).

Diagnosing a TFCC tear by direct MRA has not been
terribly satisfactory, although the relatively high posi-
tive predictive value for MRA has been reported for the detection of TFCC tears. The negative results of MRA in patients with a clinical suspicion of an TFCC tear should be interpreted with caution [16]. On the other hand, conventional direct arthrography has been regarded as being effective for evaluating TFCC tears [17]. However, if contrast is seen at the mid-carpal joint, it is often difficult to determine which intercarpal ligament is torn: the SL or the LT ligament. Therefore, we assumed that DCA could be helpful for evaluating the intercarpal ligament or TFCC by continuous fluoroscopic evaluation, especially for the evaluation of LT and SL ligament injuries. Because contrast leakage into the mid-carpal joint may develop during or after passive wrist movement on DCA, partially-healed intercarpal ligament tears or flap tears are expected to be more detectable because they show delayed contrast leakage into the mid-carpal joint or distal radioulnar joint. DCA not only may provide better delineation of the carpal ligaments, but also, it demonstrates the presence or absence of contrast leakage through the carpal ligament tears [11].

A single-injection of the radiocarpal joint alone may be sufficient during wrist arthrography instead of the traditional triple-injection wrist arthrography [18, 19]. Single-injection wrist arthrography is superior to routine MRI for the detection of full-thickness TFCC tears [20]. In this study, we used a single-compartment injection via the radiocarpal joint for both DCA and MRA, and this demonstrated pretty good diagnostic performances, especially for TFCC tears.

In this study, the diagnostic accuracy of DCA was similar with that of MRA for SL ligament and LT ligament tears (86.8% of DCA and 89.5% of MRA for SL ligament tear; 92.1% of DCA and 89.5% of MRA for LT ligament tear). For TFCC tears, the overall accuracy of both was same (97.4% for both DCA and MRA). The inter-examination agreements between DCA and MRA had relatively high kappa values [from substantial to perfect], and this is indicative of good inter-examination agreement.

Regarding the Palmer classification, there were many mismatching cases between DCA and MRA. Nine cases of Palmer class IIC lesions (9/12, 75%) were mistaken for class IA lesions on DCA because chondromalacia of the lunate or triquetrum was difficult to find on DCA, except for the cases with severe joint space narrowing. Six Palmer class IIC lesions (6/12, 50%) could not be exactly diagnosed on MRA because of the limitation for evaluating chondromalacia or LT ligament tears. Therefore, chondromalacia of the lunate or triquetrum may be hard to determine correctly on both DCA and MRA. For the diagnosis of Palmer class IIB lesions, MRA may be superior to DCA, as the latter resulted in five false-negative cases. Because the Palmer classification result is not a main factor that influences the treatment planning, this misinterpretation of DCA may not be important in actual clinical practice.

This study has some limitations. First, only full-thickness tears of the TFCC, SL and LT ligaments on arthroscopy were considered positive lesions. Less well defined lesions [e.g., partial-thickness tears in the TFCC and intercarpal ligaments] were not included in the determination of the sensitivity, specificity or accuracy of MRA. Second, the radiation dose by continuous fluoroscopy was not measured. So, we can not recommend an optimal examination time of DCA for detecting delayed contrast leakage.

In conclusion, DCA with a single-compartment injection may be more helpful for the evaluation of wrist pain or instability instead of MRA, especially for TFCC tears.
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관절경 소견의 팔마체계와 비교한 손목관절 불안정성에 대한 동영상관절조영술의 유용성

김태연 ∙ 이근영 ∙ 김백현 ∙ 박종웅 ∙ 서보경 ∙ 차상훈

목적: 손목관절 인대 및 삼각섬유연골복합체 손상 진단에 관절경 결과를 바탕으로 동영상관절조영술을 자기공명관절조영술과 비교하였다.

대상 및 방법: 동영상관절조영술과 자기공명관절조영술 모두 시행한 총 38명의 환자가 연구에 포함되었다. 동영상관절조영술은 지속적 투시장치 하 요수근관절에 조영제 주입 후 손목관절 수동운동을 하였다. 1.5T 혹은 3T MR의 축상면 및 관상면 지방억제 T1 강조영상 자기공명관절조영술을 얻었다. 주상월상인대, 월상삼각인대, 삼각섬유연골복합체 순상을 관절경 소견의 팔마체계에 따라 분석, 관절경 결과를 바탕으로 두 검사를 비교, 카파값을 구했다.

결과: 주상월상인대 및 월상삼각인대 손상은 두 검사가 비슷한 민감도, 특이도를 보였다(주상월상인대: 동영상관절조영술, 민감도 66.7% 특이도 100%; 자기공명관절조영술, 민감도 80% 특이도 95.7%). 월상삼각인대: 동영상관절조영술, 민감도 75% 특이도 94.1%; 자기공명관절조영술, 민감도 75% 특이도 91.2%). 삼각섬유연골복합체 손상은 두 검사의 정확도가 높고(민감도 96.4%, 특이도 100%) 인치도도 높았다(카파값 1.000).

결론: 동영상관절조영술은 손목관절 인대 및 삼각섬유연골복합체 손상 진단에 유용한 검사이므로 쓸 수 있다.