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**Purpose:** To evaluate the relationship between MR/epidurographic findings and the clinical outcome after a fluoroscopy-guided transforaminal epidural steroid injection (TFESI) in patients with cervical radicular pain.

**Materials and Methods:** Forty-five patients who had taken a cervical TFESI in our department were included in this study. We retrospectively reviewed MR and epidurographic findings to see if there was a relationship between these methods and the amount of pain relief, by way of a multiple regression analysis.

**Results:** On MR imaging, there was significant relationship between the amount of pain relief and location of herniated intervertebral disc (HIVD; central: 54.4%, lateral recess: 69.4%, foraminal: 59%; \( p = 0.048 \)). There was no significant difference regarding the other MR findings. On epidurographic findings, there was significant difference in the amount of pain relief with the extent of the contrast (epidural and epineural space, 65.3%; epineural space only, 64.2%; \( p = 0.03 \)) and location of the needle tip (in the foramen, 59.4%; outside the foramen, 68.4%; \( p = 0.002 \)).

**Conclusion:** The results indicate that TFESI could be more useful in patients with cervical HIVD in lateral recess rather than another location. Contrast spread into epidural reflux appears to be a favorable injection pattern. Needle tip location is recommended outside the foramen rather than in the foramen.
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tion for the work-up of patients with cervical radicular pain (8, 9). Moreover, recent reports have attempted to evaluate whether MR imaging findings of the spine can predict the effectiveness of pain relief following epidural injections and assist in appropriate patient selection (10–12).

Fluoroscopic needle placement and epidurographs with contrast can also provide visual confirmation of accurate needle placement and the distribution and extent of drug delivery during the procedure (13–15). However, the detailed techniques are not standardized and various epidurographic findings are observed during the procedure. The purposes of the present study were to evaluate which MR findings are indicators that a patient will likely benefit from cervical TFESI, and to suggest suitable epidurographic findings and needle position while performing the procedure.

Materials and Methods

Patients

Institutional review board approval and written informed consent were obtained for this study. Between January 2004 and August 2006, 51 consecutive patients (31 males and 20 females; mean age, 38 years; age range, 33–66 years) were treated at our department by cervical transforaminal epidural steroid injection (TFESI) for unilateral cervical radicular pain. All of 51 patients underwent cervical spine MR imaging before the injection (interval range, 1 day to 2 months). The indication for TFESI was unilateral cervical radicular pain without significant motor/sensory deficit. The level of the injection site was decided by consensus between an orthopedic surgeon and a musculoskeletal radiologist after considering the patient’s history as well as the results of physical examinations, plain radiographs, MR imaging findings, and electrodiagnostic studies. In the case of bilateral cervical radicular pain, interlaminar ESIs were performed instead of TFESIs. Exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) clinically or MR documented myelopathy, 2) progressive neurological deficits, 3) recent epidural steroid injections, and 4) an allergic reaction to local anesthetics/contrast/corticosteroids or a blood coagulation disorder.

Forty-five of 51 patients (26 males and 19 females; mean age, 26 years; age range, 33–66 years) underwent a short-term follow-up within 1 month after the injection (mean interval, 18 days = 2.6 weeks; range, 7–28 days). Follow-ups for the remaining 5 of 51 patients were lost. All patients were requested to state their visual analogue scale (VAS) ranging from 1 to 10 at every visit before and after injection: the pain relief according to VAS after injection was calculated as a percentage (%) compared with that before injection.

Injection Technique

All cervical TFESIs were performed as outpatient procedures by a musculoskeletal radiologist with experience in spinal interventions since March 2000. All procedures were performed in a sterile manner and under fluoroscopic guidance. Patients were placed in the supine position on the fluoroscopy table with the head turned to the asymptomatic side. The C-arm was rotated to an ipsilateral oblique angle with respect to the suspected foramen. Lidocaine (1%) was used for cutaneous and needle tract anesthesia. A 22-gauge spinal needle was aimed at a superior articular process to avoid the vertebral artery, before being introduced along the posterior border of the neural foramen. The C-arm was rotated to the anterior-posterior (AP) angle to confirm the depth of the needle. Epidurography was obtained by injecting 1–2 mL of Omnipaque 300 contrast material (OHEXOL, 300 mg of iodine per milliliter; Amersham Health, Princeton, NJ, USA). At least two AP epidurographs were obtained. After confirming contrast filling in the periradicular space in the absence of vascular filling, 0.5 mL of triamcinolone acetonide suspension (Triamcinolone 40 mg; Dongkwang, Seoul, Korea) was injected as a long-acting steroid after slowly injecting 0.3 mL of 0.2% ropivacaine (Naropin 0.2%; AstraZeneca, Westborough, MA, USA).

Analysis of MR Images and Epidurographs

Cervical spine MR images were performed at our institution for all 45 patients using 1.0 T (Impact Expert; Siemens Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany) or 3.0 T (Intera Achieva 3T; Philips Medical Systems, Best, Netherlands) MR units. All MR images were retrospectively reviewed in consensus by two radiologists using a picture archiving and communication system (PACS) working station (Marosis M-view 4.0; Marotech, Seoul, Korea). The reviewers were informed of the patients' symptoms and the level of cervical TFESI. The MR findings were assessed at the level of the TFESI with regard to the causes, degree, and sites of nerve root compression, presence or absence of herniated intervertebral disc (HIVD), location of HIVD, and the presence or absence of foraminal/canal stenosis. The causes of nerve
root compression were classified as HIVD, foraminal stenosis, or mixed. The degree of nerve root compression was classified as 1 (abutment), 2 (displacement), or 3 (entrapment) for intraspinal canal lesions, and classified as 1 (foraminal encroachment < 1/3 foraminal diameter), 2 (1/3–1/2), or 3 (> 1/2) for a foraminal lesion. The sites of nerve root compression were classified as lateral recess or foraminal. Location of HIVD was classified as central, lateral recess, or foraminal. Foraminal stenosis was diagnosed when the findings of uncovertebral joint hypertrophy and encroachment of the neural foramen and spinal canal stenosis were diagnosed when the sagittal diameter of the cervical canal was 10 mm or less.

All epidurographic findings were retrospectively reviewed by the radiologist who had performed the TFESIs. The extent of contrast spread was classified based on the visualization of the contrast in the epidural space (both epidural and epineural spaces), or only in the epineural space (epineural space only) (Fig. 1). The location of the needle tip was evaluated, either in the foramen or outside the foramen on a frontal radiography.

**Statistical Analysis**

A multiple regression analysis with a control for age, sex, and follow-up periods was performed to determine factors of MRI or epidurographic findings contributing to significant pain relief. Data were analyzed by using the SAS system for Windows 9.12 (SAS, Cary, SC, USA). A Pearson’s correlation was used to evaluate the relationship among the variables.

**Results**

Mean pain relief after cervical TFESI was 65% on VAS
at short term follow-up (mean interval, 2.6 weeks; range, 7–28 days). Thirty-seven of 45 patients (82.2%) showed pain relief greater than 50% after cervical TFESIs at the same follow-up periods. Left-side injections were performed in 29 of 45 patients and right-side injections were performed in 16 patients. The most frequently injected level was C5-6 (23 patients): The C6-7 level was injected in 17 patients, while the C4-5 level

Fig. 2. MRI and epidurography of a 39-year-old female patient with left posterior shoulder and arm pain. A, B. T2-weighted sagittal (A) and T1-weighted axial (B) MR images show lateral recess HIVD [arrows] with grade III nerve root compression. The site of nerve root compression is at the lateral recess level. C. Epidurography shows smooth contrast spread with contrast filling only in the epineural space. The needle tip is located outside the foramen. The patient achieved about 71% pain reduction, 2 weeks after a left C6 TFESI.

Fig. 3. MRI and epidurography of a 40-year-old female patient with left radiating pain. A, B. T2-weighted sagittal (A) and gradient echo axial (B) MR images show foraminal HIVD [arrows]. The degree of nerve root compression was graded I. C. Epidurography shows smooth contrast spread with lateral epidural extension [curved arrow]. The needle tip is located outside the foramen. The patient achieved about 80% pain reduction, 2 weeks after a left C5 TFESI.
was injected in 5 patients. There were no reported side effects of neurologic deficit, vertigo, seizure like motion, dural puncture, bleeding or infection.

An MR analysis revealed a significant relationship between the degree of pain relief and the location of HIVD (central, 54.4%; lateral recess, 69.4%; foraminal, 59%; \( p = 0.048 \)) (Fig. 2). None of the other MR parameters were significantly associated with pain relief (Table 1).

An epidurography analysis revealed significant relationships between the degree of pain relief and extent of contrast (both epidural and epineural spaces, 65.3%; epineural space only, 64.2%; \( p = 0.03 \)) (Fig. 3) as well as the location of the needle tip (in the foramen, 59.4%; outside the foramen, 68.4%; \( p = 0.002 \)) (Table 2, Fig. 4). However, a Pearson’s correlation test revealed a significant relationship between the location of HIVD and the extent of contrast, but there was no correlation between the location of HIVD and the location of the needle tip (Table 3). We also evaluated the relationship between

<p>| Table 1. Association of MR findings and Pain Relief After a Cervical TFESI |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause of NR Compression</th>
<th>Degree of NR Compression</th>
<th>Site of NR Compression</th>
<th>HIVD</th>
<th>Location of HIVD</th>
<th>CST</th>
<th>FST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HIVD</td>
<td>FST</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>LR Foramen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of Pts.</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean pain relief [%]</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>66.8</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>59.9</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>69.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p ) value</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.3935</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.048*</td>
<td>0.088</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. — TFESI = transforaminal epidural steroid injection, NR = nerve root, HIVD = herniated intervertebral disc, CST = canal stenosis, FST = foraminal stenosis, LR = lateral recess, A = absence, P = presence, No. = number, Pts = patients. * Indicates a statistically significant value (\( p < 0.05 \), multiple regression analysis).

<p>| Table 2. Association of Epidurographic Findings and Pain Relief After a Cervical TFESI |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent of Contrast Spread</th>
<th>Location of Needle Tip</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Both epidural and epineural space</td>
<td>In the foramen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of Pts.</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean pain relief [%]</td>
<td>65.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p ) value</td>
<td>0.03*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. — TFESI = transforaminal epidural steroid injection, No. = number, Pts = patients. * Indicates a statistically significant value (\( p < 0.05 \), multiple regression analysis).

---

Fig. 4. MRI and epidurography of a 53-year-old male patient with radicular pain on his right side. A, B. T2-weighted sagittal (A) and gradient echo axial (B) MR images show uncovertebral joint hypertrophy with foraminal stenosis (arrows) and grade III nerve root compression in right C5-6. C. Epidurography shows contrast spread with epidural extension (arrowheads). The needle tip is located in the foramen and the patient achieved about a 40% reduction in pain, 2 weeks after a right C6 TFESI.
the location of HIVD and extent of the contrast (Table 4), which revealed the tendency of the contrast medium to be confined to the epineural space in the foraminal locating disc herniation. However, the degree of pain relief was not affected by the extent of the contrast.

### Discussion

Two routes are commonly used for cervical epidural steroid injections: interlaminar and transforaminal injections. Although appropriate indications for both techniques are not standardized, interlaminar techniques are usually preferred in patients with bilateral radicular pain, while transforaminal techniques are preferred in patients with unilateral or foraminal disease. The transforaminal technique has a theoretical advantage in that it delivers the drug directly around the nerve root. However, following recent reports of the risks of TFESI (5–7), it is becoming increasingly necessary to conduct studies to assess which patients will most benefit from TFESI, regardless of the risk.

The present study identified a significant relationship between the location of the HIVD on MR images and the degree of pain relief after a TFESI. This result suggests that a TFESI can be more useful in patients with HIVD in the lateral recess rather than other HIVD locations or stenosis patients. In addition, nerve root compromise at the lateral recess showed greater pain relief compared to the foraminal level in the present study, even though the result was not statistically significant. In a similar study, Strobel et al. had reported that patients with foraminal disc herniation and foraminal nerve root compromise showed the greatest pain amount of relief immediately after a CT-guided cervical nerve root block (10). These results are not do not agree with those of the present study. We assume that this discrepancy was caused by the time difference after the injection. Because immediate pain relief is caused in most cases by the accompanying local anesthetics, a foraminal lesion is more likely to benefit immediately after transforaminal drug delivery, compared to a lateral recess or other intraspinal lesion; however, the effect of the local anesthetics decreases over time, and that of the steroid begins to act on the lesion. In the present study, we retrospectively reviewed the clinical outcome at short-term follow-up (mean, 2.6 weeks). Although these follow-up periods also do not represent long-term results, we consider that the outcomes of this period are crucial in deciding whether conservative treatment including repeat injections, should be maintained or not (16).

We also evaluated the relationship between the epidurographic findings and clinical outcome. Although all procedures were technically successful and 37 of 45 patients (82.2%) showed a successful outcome (pain relief greater than 50%) after a cervical TFESI at their short-term follow-up periods (mean, 2.6 weeks), contrast filling around the nerve with epidural reflux showed greater pain relief than epineural contrast filling alone in the present study. However, Pearson’s correlation test revealed a significant relationship for extent of contrast, location of HIVD, and foraminal stenosis. In other words, in the cases with the foraminal stenosis or

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent of Contrast/ Location of HIVD</th>
<th>Central</th>
<th>Lateral Recess</th>
<th>Foraminal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Both epidural and epineural spaces</td>
<td>5 (54.4%)</td>
<td>17 (67.1%)</td>
<td>2 (47.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epineural space only</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (74.2%)</td>
<td>2 (70%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.— Data represent the number of patients. Figures in parenthesis refer to the mean degree of pain relief.
foraminal locating HIVD, there was a tendency for contrast filling to be limited in the epineural space only, rather than epidural reflux. Therefore, even though we could not say to what extent of contrast is the main predictive variable for the clinical outcome after cervical transforaminal ESI, but we could be sure that contrast spread in both the epidural and epineural spaces appears to be a favorable injection pattern while performing the procedure. In addition, the needle tip location outside the foramen showed greater pain relief rather than needle tip location in the foramen. This result was not significantly affected by other MR variables and could give us methodological grounds for depth of the needle location. The periradicular foraminal space is small and contains some fibrotic tissue. In particular, the superficial layer of the posterior longitudinal ligament is connected to the periradicular sheath anchoring the pedicle [17]. When the needle tip is located in the small periradicular foraminal space, the contrast could initially collect on one side of the periradicular space without spreading to the other side around the nerve root. In addition, Ma et al. reported that the rate of complications associated with deep needle position on the frontal view was slightly higher than for a superficial needle position [18]. In those circumstances, we can assert that the intentional introduction of the needle tip into the neural foramen has no clinical benefit and cannot be necessary.

The present study had several limitations. First, the follow-up periods of the patients after TFESI were not uniform and short because of the retrospective nature of this study. This is a major limitation of our study; however, the range was not wide (1–4 weeks), and most of the patients (43 of 45 patients) were followed-up within 2–3 weeks. To overcome this limitation, we treated the data statistically treated it by multiple regression analysis which can control the various follow-up periods. Second, multiple-level lesions were not considered; therefore the possibility of injection at the wrong level could not be excluded. Third, the amount of contrast material used in obtaining epidurographs was small, and lateral and oblique views were not routinely obtained; however, 2 cc of contrast or less is usually enough to confirm needle placement and drug delivery. We did not use a large amount of contrast during the procedure. Fourth, large population studies would be needed, because of small number of subjects in this study.

In conclusion, cervical TFESI can be useful in patients with cervical radicular pain. Among them, patients with MR revealed HIVD in the lateral recess gained the most significant benefit at the short-term follow-up after injection in the present study. Contrast spread in both the epidural and epineural spaces appears to be a favorable injection pattern while performing the procedure. In addition, it is recommended that the needle tip be located outside the foramen rather than in the foramen.
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목적: 경추부의 경추간공 경막외 스테로이드 주사요법을 받은 환자에서 시술 전의 자기공명영상과 경막외조영영상 소견을 시술 후 임상경과와 비교하고자 한다.

대상과 방법: 경추부 병변으로 투시장비 유도 하 경추간공 경막외 스테로이드 주사요법을 받은 45명 환자의 자기공명영상과 경막외조영영상 소견을 후향적으로 분석하여 환자의 동통 감소 정도와 비교, 통계처리하였다. 환자의 동통 감소 정도는 visual analog pain scale을 시술 전과 후 문진하여 백분율로 표시하였다.

결과: 자기공명영상 소견 중 추간판탈출증의 위치는 특히 외측함요에 위치할 때 동통감소가 많았다(central: 54.4%, lateral recess: 69.4%, foraminal: 59%; p = 0.048). 경막외조영영상 소견에서는 조영제의 확산 범위 가 신경근 주변에만 있는 경우보다 경막외 공간까지 확산된 경우 더 동통감소가 많았고(epidural and epineural space, 65.3%; epineural space only, 64.2%; p = 0.03), 바늘의 위치는 신경공안에 있을 때보다 바깥쪽에 있 을 때 더 동통감소가 많았다(in the foramen, 59.4%; outside the foramen, 68.4%; p = 0.002).

결론: 경추부의 경추간공 경막외 스테로이드 주사요법은 특히 추간판탈출증의 위치가 외측함요에 있는 경우 좋은 적응증이 될 수 있으며, 시술 시 바늘의 위치는 신경공 밖에서 조영제가 경막외 공간까지 확산되도록 하는 것이 바람직하다.