Radio-Clinico-Pathologic Factors of False Negative 18F-FDG PET/CT Results in the Diagnosis of Breast Cancer
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Purpose: To identify radio-clinico-pathologic factors that result in false negative FDG uptake on 18F-FDG-PET/CT in the diagnosis of breast cancer.

Materials and Methods: We retrospectively reviewed a total of 140 breast lesions in 140 patients (mean age: 51.3 years) who underwent PET/CT for the staging of breast cancer from May 2007 to January 2008. All patients were divided as false negative (group 1, n=20) or true positive (group 2, n=120). A retrospective analysis was performed to analyze the statistical differences in clinico-pathologic factors between groups 1 and 2 using the Mann-Whitney U test, as well as the stepwise logistic regression analysis and the Chi-squared or Fisher’s exact test.

Results: Estrogen receptor positivity, mass on mammography, carcinoma in situ, and size were significantly different between groups 1 and 2 (p < 0.05). A stepwise logistic regression analysis showed that the estrogen receptor positivity (odds ratio, 5.623; 95% confidence interval: 1.100, 28.746; p = 0.021) and carcinoma in situ (odds ratio, 6.900; 95% confidence interval: 1.151, 41.361; p = 0.026) were significant clinico-pathology variables associated with false negative PET/CT findings.

Conclusion: Estrogen receptor positivity and carcinoma in situ may be helpful in the possible explanation of false negative PET/CT results in the diagnosis of breast cancer.
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The combination of positron emission tomography (PET) and computed tomography (CT) are increasingly used for oncologic imaging. In particular, positron emission tomography with fluorine-18 fluorodeoxyglucose (18F-FDG PET/CT) is suggested as a more useful modality for accurate, non-invasive imaging in predicting the prognosis and staging of breast cancer (1-4). The combination of positron emission tomography (PET) and computed tomography (CT) provides functional metabolic information (PET) and morphologic information. 18F-FDG PET/CT has been evaluated for primary breast cancer detection and diagnosis, staging of locoregional and distant sites, and monitoring the response to therapy in previous studies (5-7). Although 18F-FDG PET/CT is widely recognized as a useful diagnostic tool, it produces false-negative results in 12% of cancer cases (8).
The aim of this study was to identify radio-clinico-pathologic factors that predict false negative FDG uptake results in breast cancer on $^{18}$F-FDG PET/CT.

**Materials and Methods**

**Patients**

We retrospectively reviewed a total of 140 breast cancers in 140 patients (mean age, 51.3 years; range, 28–86 years) from May 2007 to January 2008. All patients were histologically or cytologically confirmed as having breast cancer before undergoing $^{18}$F-FDG PET/CT. All patients were examined with $^{18}$F-FDG PET/CT for staging of breast cancer. This retrospective study was approved by the ethics review committee and informed consent was obtained from all patients. According to the results of $^{18}$F-FDG PET/CT, the patients were divided into two groups: Group 1 consisted of 20 patients who had negative results for the primary mass. Group 2 consisted of 120 patients who had positive results for the primary mass. The radio-clinico-pathologic factors including patient's age, tumor size, estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), C-erb-B2, types of pathology and mammography findings, the inclusion of the mass (pre-
sent, not present), clustered calcification (present, not present), and breast parenchyma composition (fatty breast, scattered fibroglandular tissue, heterogeneous fibroglandular tissue, dense breast) of group 1 and group 2 were retrospectively reviewed.

**Mammography**

A bilateral mammography (MAMMOMAT Novation®, Siemens Medical Solutions, Forchheim, Germany), including routine craniocaudal and mediolateral oblique views of the breasts, was performed. Findings were recorded prospectively according to BI-RADS by two radiologists who had 2 and 10 years of experience in performing mammographies. A mammography was performed at least 4 weeks before the other studies.

**PET Scanning**

$^{18}$F-FDG PET/CT was performed with a dedicated PET/CT scanner (Gemini, Philips Medical System, Milpitas, CA, USA), consisting of a germanium oxyorthosilicate full-ring PET scanner and a dual slice helical CT scanner. Standard patient preparation included at
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Fig. 3. Breast images of a 45-year-old woman with 42 mm invasive ductal carcinoma in the right breast was seen on $^{18}$F-FDG PET/CT. The estrogen receptor was found to be negative.

A. Bilateral craniocaudal (right) and mediolateral oblique (left) mammograms showed an irregular-shaped mass with a partially indistinct margin in the subareolar region of the right breast (arrow).
B. $^{18}$F-FDG PET/CT showed an abnormal increase in FDG uptake in the upper region of the right breast. The maximum standardized uptake value was 5.2.
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Fig. 4. Breast images of a 42-year-old woman with 35 mm invasive ductal carcinoma of the right breast was not seen on $^{18}$F-FDG PET/CT. The estrogen receptor was found to be positive.

A. Bilateral craniocaudal (right) and mediolateral oblique (left) mammograms showed an oval-shaped mass with a partially indistinct margin in the subareolar region of the right breast (arrow).
B. $^{18}$F-FDG PET/CT showed no abnormally elevated FDG uptake rate in both breasts.
least 8 hours of fasting to attain a serum glucose level of less than 120 mg/dL before $^{18}$F-FDG administration. PET/CT imaging was performed 60 minutes after the injection of 4.5 MBq/Kg of $^{18}$F-FDG. At 60 minutes after administering $^{18}$F-FDG, low-dose CT (30 mAs, 120kV) covering an area from the base of the skull to the proximal thighs was performed for the purpose of attenuation correction and precise anatomical localization. Therefore, an emission scan was conducted in 3-dimensional mode. The emission scan time per bed position was 3 minutes; a total of 9 bed positions were acquired. PET data were obtained using a high resolution whole body scanner with an axial field of view of 18 cm. The average total PET/CT examination time was 30 minutes. After scatter and decay correction, PET data were reconstructed iteratively with attenuation correction and reoriented in axial, sagittal, and coronal slices. The row action maximum-likelihood algorithm was used for 3-dimensional reconstruction.

For positive findings on the $^{18}$F-FDG PET/CT image, we relied on a visual focus of the PET image (a well-defined focus with uptake clearly greater than the surrounding background) and excluded the underlying morphologic CT information. A cut-off maximum standardized uptake value of 2.5 was applied to discriminate the positive and negative PET results. All $^{18}$F-FDG PET/CT images were directly reviewed on a computer workstation.

**Histopathological and Immunohistochemical Study**

Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded sections of the resected mass were stained with hematoxylin-eosin (HE) and analyzed. Immunohistochemical analyses for the estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), and c-erb-B2 (proto-oncogene) were performed using specific monoclonal antibodies.

**Statistical Analysis**

Univariate and multivariate analyses were used for comparison of the two groups. For the univariate analysis, age and size were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test; histologic results, mammography findings, ER, PR, and C-erb-B2 were compared by the Chi-squared test. P-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

For the statistical analysis, histologic results were divided into ductal carcinoma in situ and lobular carcinoma in situ versus invasive ductal carcinoma, invasive papillary carcinoma and mucinous carcinoma. Also, the breast composition among the mammography findings was divided into fatty breast and scattered fibroglandular tissue versus heterogeneous fibroglandular tissue and dense breast.

For the multivariate analysis, all factors were compared by stepwise logistic regression analysis.

**Results**

The mean size of the masses were 1.8 cm (range, 1.2-3.5 cm) in group 1 and 2.4 cm (range, 1.5-5.4 cm) in group 2. The mean maximum standardized uptake values were 0.5 (range, 0-1.7) in group 1 and 6.1 (range, 2.6-9.6) in group 2. The other results are summarized in Table 1. Among all parameters, estrogen receptor positivity ($p = 0.019$), progesterone receptor positivity ($p = 0.01$), carcinoma in situ ($p = 0.037$), and the size of the mass ($p = 0.012$) were analyzed by Mann-Whitney test or Chi-square test and found to show a statistical difference between groups 1 and 2. A stepwise logistic regression analysis showed that estrogen receptor positivity (odds ratio, 5.623; 95% confidence interval: 1.100, 28.746) was a significant risk factor for false negative results.

**Table 1. Radio-Clinico-Pathologic Comparison of Two Groups by Univariate Analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Group 1 (n=20)</th>
<th>Group 2 (n=120)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs)</td>
<td>47.55</td>
<td>51.79</td>
<td>0.169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size (cm)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histology*</td>
<td>4 / 16</td>
<td>5 / 115</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcification¹</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composition¹</td>
<td>5 / 15</td>
<td>44 / 76</td>
<td>0.339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER positivity</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR positivity</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-erb-B2 positivity</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Results were divided into ductal carcinoma in situ and lobular carcinoma in situ versus invasive ductal carcinoma, invasive papillary carcinoma and mucinous carcinoma.

¹ These findings are based on mammography.

For the statistical analysis, histologic results were divided into fatty breast and scattered fibroglandular tissue versus heterogeneous fibroglandular tissue and dense breast on mammography.

Group 1: 20 patients who showed a negative result for the primary mass. Group 2: 120 patients who showed a positive result for the primary mass.

**Table 2. Significant Radio-Clinico-Pathologic Factors with Negative $^{18}$F-FDG PET/CT Results, Assessed by Multivariate Analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Odds Ratio</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>95.0% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ER</td>
<td>5.623</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>1.100 - 28.746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIS</td>
<td>6.900</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>1.151 - 41.361</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FDG uptake, as a negative finding. However, our study focused on FDG uptake, not morphologic information.

In conclusion, carcinoma in situ and ER positivity were significantly correlated with false negative FDG uptake in breast cancer on FDG PET/CT.
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유방암환자에서 PET/CT로 위음성결과를 나타내는 영상임상병리적 요인에 대한 연구1
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목적: 유방암환자에서 PET/CT로 위음성결과를 나타내는 영상임상병리적 요인에 대해 알아보는 것을 목적으로 하였다.

대상과 방법: 2007년 5월에서 2008년 1월까지 유방암으로 진단되어 병기결정을 위해 PET/CT를 시행한 총 140명 환자(평균 연령 51.3세)의 140개의 유방병변에 대해 조사하였다. 모든 환자는 위음성군(환자군1, 20명)과 진양성군 (환자군2, 120명)으로 분류하였다. 두 환자군 비교를 위한 통계학적 분석은 만화트니 검정과 카이제곱검정 또는 피셔정확검정을 사용하였으며 의미 있는 요소 유추는 스텝와이즈 변수선택을 통한 로지스틱 회귀분석을 사용하였다.

결과: 에스트로겐 수용체 양성, 유방촬영술상 종괴, 상피내암종, 종괴의 크기가 두 군간에 통계학적으로 의미 있는 차이($p < 0.05$)를 보였다. 로지스틱 회귀분석상 PET/CT 위음성결과를 예측하는 의미 있는 요인은 에스트로겐 수용체 양성(odds ratio, 5.623; 95% confidence interval: 1.100, 28.746; $p = 0.021$)과 상피내암종(odds ratio, 6.900; 95% confidence interval: 1.151, 41.361; $p = 0.026$)이었다.

결론: 에스트로겐 수용체 양성과 상피내암종은 유방암 진단에 있어 PET/CT로 위음성 결과를 예측하는 요인이 될 수 있다.