Management of a Visible Nodule Following Poly-L-Lactic Acid Injection in the Periorbital Area
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INTRODUCTION

Poly-L-lactic acid (PLLA) is a synthetic injectable medical agent used to treat patients with loss of volume in the face, chest, and hands. It consists of a biodegradable and bioreabsorable powder, a synthetic nonpermanent polymer. PLLA was introduced in Europe in 1999 (NewFill; Ashford Aesthetics Inc., Brussels, Belgium) under the category of wrinkle filler [1]. The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved it for United States use in 2004 (Sculptra; Dermik Laboratories, Berwyn, PA, USA) for correction of facial fat loss secondary to lipoatrophy in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) positive patients and in 2009 as a cosmetic volumizer for soft tissue enhancement in the non-HIV-positive population.

PLLA is very effective at increasing tissue volume and it has been found to provide clinical benefits lasting up to 2 years [2]. Although it has been further suggested to have a low likelihood of adverse events [1], one of the most common side effects associated with PLLA is the occurrence of subcutaneous nodules [3]. Nodule formation may be cosmetically disfiguring and frequently leads to a therapeutic challenge.

CASE REPORT

A 46-year-old woman presented with an asymptomatic, visible, and palpable 5-mm nodule in the left infraorbital region (Fig. 1). Thirty-one months previously, she had undergone facial contouring with PLLA injections into the bilateral tear troughs and the cheeks for the correction of rhytides and age-associated volume loss. The patient was treated with 3 sessions of injections at 4-week intervals. Dilution of the product was conducted by adding 5 mL of bacteriostatic water and 1 mL of epinephrine-free lidocaine to each vial. It was reported that a depot injection technique at the level of the periosteum was used. The areas had been massaged deeply after injection with instructions given to the patient to repeat the massage. Three months after her last injection, visible nodule formation in the left infraorbital region was presented. Initially, she visited another physician, who began treatment with a 30-gauge needle followed by saline injection in an attempt to break up the nodule. Over the next 19 months, a series of 7 injections was performed, but it seemed to bring no improvement in the appearance of the nodule and the patient was referred to us. Ultrasound findings demonstrated a hypoechoic nodular lesion (4×8 mm) at the subcutaneous level without abnormal vascularity (Fig. 2).

Poly-L-lactic acid (PLLA) is a synthetic injectable medical agent for use as an effective soft tissue filler to treat facial fat volume loss, although complications including nodule formation do occur. We describe a case of foreign body granuloma formation in an immunocompetent patient who received PLLA in the periorbital region for soft tissue aesthetic enhancement and treated with surgical intervention by subciliary approach.
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Definite surgical excision was undertaken with a 10-mm subciliary incision to remove the nodule. This nodule was extracted using dissecting scissors and forceps. On examination, the granuloma appeared to be composed of smooth and lobulated nodules (Fig. 3). Since it had been embedded in the orbicularis oculi muscle, the extracted nodule was larger than it had appeared clinically. Histopathologic examination revealed evidence of a foreign body granulomatous inflammatory reaction pattern consisting of abundant collagen formation around what appeared to be flakes of PLLA (Fig. 4). The incision was closed with 7-0 nylon and a sterile pressure dressing with ice pack was placed at the surgical site. The patient took antibiotics for 5 days. The wound healed well without complications (Fig. 5).

**DISCUSSION**

PLLA has gained attention for use as an effective soft-tissue filler for facial contouring with its ability to increase tissue volume and...
dermal thickness, which improves the appearance of volume-loss aging through a minimally invasive approach. Following injection, the immediate effect is purely mechanical, created by the volume of Sterile Water for injection (SWFI). The SWFI is used to reconstitute PLLA; this is resorbed within 48 to 72 hours. Residual PLLA crystals are thought to elicit fibroblast production and endogenous collagen synthesis, resulting in gradual long-term improvement of aesthetic results [4].

Reviews of the data for this product have commonly concluded that it is safe and effective when injected into the skin of the face [5], while complications can include the development of subcutaneous nodules [6-8]. Although nodules are noted to typically be asymptomatic, nonvisible, and slightly palpable, they can be particularly disfiguring when these lesions occur in the relatively thin skin of the periorbital region compared with the buccal cheek area. This leads to long-term unacceptable aesthetic outcomes and therapeutic dilemmas. Therefore, use of injectable PLLA in the infraorbital area is currently not recommended [9]; however, if used in that area, it should be injected deeply under the orbicularis oculi muscle with postinjection massage. Furthermore, the smaller depot injection of PLLA and appropriate dilution of the product contribute to making this a safer approach.

The depth of injection plays a significant role in minimizing the occurrence of subcutaneous nodules. Injection should be directed into just above the level of the periosteum rather than the lower dermis [10,11]. Superficial injections increase the likelihood of a visible nodule. In addition to proper injection technique, proper dilution is critical when injecting PLLA, especially in the periorbital region. There have been reports in the literature that using dilutions of 5 to 10 mL may reduce side effects, which demonstrates an inverse relationship between volume dilution and the incidence of nodule formation [2,11]. Specifically, longer reconstitution times (24-72 hours prior to injection) and avoiding inclusion of precipitate from the wall of the PLLA vial in the syringe, may diminish the incidence of nodule formation. It is also believed that frequent massage of the treatment area after injection is helpful by more evenly dispersing PLLA particles [11,12]. Postinjection massage must be done in-office by a physician and continued by the patient.

As with any procedure, complications may occur. Multiple techniques are used to manage these nodules, including intralosional corticosteroid injections (triamcinolone acetonide, 3 mg/mL to 10 mg/mL) and breaking up the nodule with a needle after saline injection. Injection of hyaluronic acid filler is also used to make them less noticeable. However, results are variable, particularly in an area of thin skin such as the periorbital region. Recently, minimally invasive surgical slit excision for periorbital nodules has been advocated as a safe and effective method of treatment [13]. However, even proper execution including a small slit directly over the nodule as in the usual manner might leave a noticeable scar. With subciliary incision, the wound can be closed in a single layer and the scar will blend in the fine rhytides in the infraorbital region. This technique is minimally invasive and can leave minimal residual scarring.

Aesthetic management with PLLA can be complicated by disfiguring nodules, which may mask a granulomatous inflammatory reaction. Greater dilution, longer reconstitution times, lower injection dose per site, a deeper placement of the product, and frequent massage may yield decreased frequency of nodule formation. Use of this product in the periorbital region is best avoided, but if incapable, PLLA should only be injected by experienced physicians who have trained appropriately. Patients receiving PLLA injections must be informed regarding its potential side effects.

In this case, after failing nonsurgical treatment options, the patient had excellent results with surgical excision of the persistent visible nodule that arose after PLLA injection in the infraorbital area. Based on our experience, for a patient with persistent visible nodule following PLLA injection, we suggest consideration of surgical intervention with a subciliary approach, which provides de-
Complete removal of the nodule and minimal residual scarring. It is likely that clinical trials in this area will help to improve outcomes and provide more insight into treatment with this product.

PATIENT CONSENT

Patients provided written consent for the use of their images.
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