INTRODUCTION

Aging is a natural, biological process. Patients want to overcome the aesthetic problems associated with facial aging. Plastic surgeons have tried facelifts to meet patients' expectations [1,2]. With the development of society and technology, patients prefer simple, less-invasive procedures for rapid recovery. A barbed suture lift can meet the requirement for a minimally invasive facial rejuvenation procedure.

Former thread lifts have used either a floating or fixed type thread [1]. But when we consider the functional anatomy of the face in terms of facial expressions and mastication, and a natural looking appearance after surgery, a floating-type thread lift is preferred for the mobile area of the face and a fixed-type thread lift is recommended for use in relatively fixed areas. The authors call this method the combined-type thread lift. The authors have performed this type of facelift in 28 patients with satisfactory results.

METHODS

Mendelson et al. [3,4] classified the region of the face into the mobile anterior face involved in facial expressions and the fixed lateral face overlying masticatory structures (Fig. 1). The retaining ligaments are located on a vertical line from the lateral orbital rim, which divides the anterior and lateral face.

We drew a vertical line from the lateral orbital rim (shown in Fig. 2) and applied a fixed-type thread at a point lateral to the line. Hair was shaved around the slit incision sites. The rounded needle with thread entered the incision site and was anchored in the deep temporal fascia. The central portion of a 43 cm-sized, Blue Rose™ thread was anchored in the deep temporal fascia, using the same...
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method. The threads pull soft tissue toward four predetermined points (Fig. 2A and C).

Next, the floating-type threads (17 cm-sized Blue Rose thread) are inserted to lift the ptotic anterior face from the hair line (Fig. 3A and B). These threads gather the soft tissues and pull the ptotic soft tissue from the bottom. Fig. 4 shows the immediate postoperative photo before cutting the ends of the threads.

Improvements reported by the patients were investigated using the Global Aesthetic Improvement Scale (GAIS; Table 1) [5]. The preoperative and postoperative photos were assessed using GAIS by a third-party physician who was not involved in the operation.

**RESULTS**

Patient demographics are summarized in Table 2. Eighteen patients out of 28 were followed for over 3 months. Assessments were made using postoperative photos and defined by GAIS score. Five patients (28%) had a GAIS score of 2, 10 patients (55%) had a GAIS score of 3, 2 patients (11%) had a GAIS score of 4, and one patient was unsatisfied with the surgical results. This patient received an additional facelift.

No major complications were reported during the follow-up period. Some minor complications, including skin dimples by the

---

**Table 1. Global Aesthetic Improvement Scale (GAIS)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Exceptional improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Very improved patient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Improved patient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Unaltered patient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Worsened patient</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Fig. 1. A vertical line from the lateral orbital rim divides the face into the mobile anterior and fixed lateral regions.

Fig. 2. Fixed-type lift for the lateral face. (A) A red dotted line divides the face into the anterior and lateral regions. The thread was inserted (red dots) and pulled (red X) through the holes made by the needle, and both ends of the thread were fixed. Yellow arrows indicate the unidirectional, barbed sutures. (B) The ptotic soft tissues were lifted toward the deep temporal fascia by a rounded needle. (C) The vector of the force (yellow arrows) was toward the temporal area.
Fig. 3. Floating-type lift for the anterior face. (A) The bidirectional, barbed threads are shown (yellow arrows). (B) The soft tissues were gathered toward the midline. Yellow arrows show the vector of the force. The ptotic soft tissues on lower portion were lifted.

Fig. 4. Before cutting the end of the fixed-type threads, the ends exited the inferior and medial sides. Floating-type threads are shown in the entrance. The ends of the threads were pulled appropriately and cut so as not to expose the threads.

Strong pulling force during the thread cutting section, were observed. Minor complications that developed during the early postoperative period were improved by massage.

Case 1
A 26-year-old female visited our outpatient clinic complaining of soft tissue drooping after a facial contouring surgery. She received the combined facelift surgery and was satisfied with the results at the 3-month postoperative follow up (Fig. 5).

Case 2
A 56-year-old female, who showed facial soft tissue sagging, was...
Fig. 5. [A] Preoperative photograph of the 26-year-old patient who complained of soft tissue drooping. [B] Postoperative photograph at 3 months in which the degree of soft tissue drooping was much improved.

Fig. 6. [A] Preoperative photographs of the 56-year-old female. [B] On postoperative day 7, there were some lines and dimples on the face. [C] By 3 months, the patient had a natural looking appearance. Although there was an abundance of soft tissue in the lower face, she was satisfied with the final results without the need for additional procedures.

unable to get a standard facelift performed for personal reasons. She underwent a thread-based facelift instead. She was received follow-up examinations at 7 days and 3 months. At the 7-day follow up, there were some local dimples on her face due to an imbal-

tance between the pulling forces. These dimples were improved at the 3-month follow up. The cosmetic result was a natural looking face (Fig. 6).
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DICUSSION

Facelifts using barbed sutures were introduced by Sulamanidze in
the late 1990’s [1]. Both thread-based and other surgical methods
were subsequently developed. The early, multi-dented, bidirection-
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able sutures of various lengths and shapes and sizes of needles have
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