Clinical Usefulness of Procalcitonin as a Predictive Marker in Accordance with the Severity of Female Patients with Uncomplicated Acute Pyelonephritis
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Purpose: Acute pyelonephritis (APN) is accompanied by bacteremia and has a high incidence of mortality. Currently, there is a limited number of rapid diagnostic tests that can predict the severity of infection and suitable treatments for patients with APN. Herein, we determined whether serum procalcitonin (PCT) is a useful predictive and early cognitive marker according to the severity of APN.

Materials and Methods: Patients were divided into four groups according to the severity of infection: (1) No systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS), (2) SIRS, (3) severe sepsis, and (4) septic shock. We measured the inflammatory biomarkers—PCT, C-reactive protein (CRP), and erythrocyte sedimentation rate. One way ANOVA analysis was performed between the measured infection markers and the severity of infection. The p-value of less than 0.05 was considered by the post-hoc multiple comparisons.

Results: A total of 381 patients with APN were divided into four groups: (1) no SIRS (n=126, 33.1%), (2) SIRS (n=185, 48.6%), (3) severe sepsis (n=47, 12.3%), and (4) septic shock (n=23, 6.0%). PCT (p<0.001) and CRP (p=0.002) showed a significant difference among the group. Greater severity of infection grade was associated with higher PCT and CRP values. According to the multivariate analysis, there was a statistically significant difference of PCT among all grades.

Conclusions: The serum PCT was a helpful marker for predicting severity of APN. Moreover, be a useful predictor of sepsis and septic shock.
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INTRODUCTION

Acute pyelonephritis (APN) is usually accompanied by bacteremia, showing a variety of clinical courses. Bacteremia is a disseminated infection. As such, complications, including systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS), severe sepsis, and septic shock, are common, showing a poor prognosis and rapid progression. It is clinically important to diagnose bacteremia as soon as possible. Because it takes at least 24 to 48 hours—or more in some cases—to get the results of blood culture from patients showing clinical symptoms of bacteremia, early diagnosis of bacteremia is a difficult challenge.

It is difficult to find the appropriate diagnosis and treatment for early stage bacteremia, since currently, there are limited methods for detecting early stage bacteremia. There has been an effort to quickly predict early-staged bacteremia to overcome the shortcomings of blood culture test. Serum procalcitonin (PCT) increases in response to bacterial infection; hence, it is expected to be useful in
the treatment and diagnosis of infectious diseases.

We only target uncomplicated APN. Complicated APN is associated with an underlying condition, such as diabetes mellitus, renal dysfunction, urinary tract obstruction, anatomic abnormality of the urinary tract, etc., which may increase the risk of unsuccessful therapy. In the case of complicated APN, it has various biases depending on the underlying factor. Therefore, there is a possibility that the outcome of the infection process will be all one-sided.

We assessed the clinical application of PCT compared with erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) and C-reactive protein (CRP) in accordance with the severity grade of APN. This study was performed to better understand the serum PCT and to determine if it is a useful predictive and early cognitive marker accordance with the severity of APN.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Jeju National University (IRB no. JEJUNUH 2016-10-021).

SIRS is a widespread inflammatory response to a variety of severe clinical results. This syndrome is clinically recognized by the presence of two or more of the following: (1) temperature >38°C or <36°C, (2) heart rate >90 beats/min, (3) respiratory rate >20 breaths/min or PaCO2 >32 mmHg, and/or (4) white blood cell (WBC) >12,000 cells/mm³, or >10 percent immature (band) forms. Severe sepsis is associated with organ dysfunction, hypoperfusion, or hypotension. The manifestations of hypoperfusion may include—but not limited to—lactic acidosis, oliguria, or an acute alteration in mental status. Septic shock is sepsis with hypotension despite adequate fluids resuscitation. It includes perfusion abnormalities, such as lactic acidosis, oliguria, or an acute alteration in mental status. Patients receiving inotropic or vasopressor agents may not be hypotensive at the time of measuring the perfusion abnormalities. Hypotension is defined as a systolic blood pressure of <90 mmHg or a reduction of >40 mmHg from the baseline, in the absence of other causes for the failure of blood pressure [1].

The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) female patients, (2) age greater than 18 years, (3) body temperature greater than 38°C or the presence of fever and chills 24 hours, (4) acute onset with at least 1 sign and symptom of a urinary tract infection (UTI) (dysuria, urgency, frequency, suprapubic pain, flank pain, or costovertebral angle tenderness on physical examination), and (5) a subsequently confirmed positive urine culture test result.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) pregnant or breast feeding patients, (2) a history of functional or structural urinary tract abnormalities, (3) recent treatment for urolithiasis or hydronephrosis, (4) the present use of indwelling catheters or a history of nephrostomy, (5) renal dysfunction patients, such as azotemia, hemodialysis or peritoneal dialysis, (6) the presence of cancer, immuno-deficiency (diabetes mellitus, human immunodeficiency viral infection), and (7) a history of recent manual or instrumental urologic examination.

Blood culture result can be confirmed at least 24 hours from blood culture test, PCT was performed within the first 24 hours, and it confirmed the association between PCT value and the severity grade of infection. We tried to predict the early disease progression by performing PCT within the first 24 hours. We compared the PCT, CRP, and ESR concentrations in accordance with the severity grade of infection, using one way ANOVA. The post-hoc multiple comparison test (Turkey’s standardization and Kruskal-Wallis test) was used to compare the statistical significance between each state; statistical significance was set at p<0.05. R Statistics ver. R-3.2.1 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing) was used for statistical analysis.

RESULTS

A total of 381 patients were divided into four groups: 126 patients (no SIRS, 33.1%), 185 (SIRS, 48.6%), 47 (severe sepsis, 12.3%) and 23 (septic shock, 6.0%). A positive blood culture was observed in 186 (48.8%) patients. The most common organism isolated from the blood culture was Escherichia coli (73.6%); other organisms were Klebsiella species (8.1%), Proteus mirabilis (5.9%), Enterococcus species (4.8%), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (3.7%), Staphylococcus species (2.9%), Citrobacter freundii (0.5%), and Acinetobacter baumannii (0.5%). The average age was 52.49±10.61 years old. Patient parameters showed different characteristics, including age (p=0.854), temperature (p=0.605), WBC (p=0.681), platelet (PLT) (p=0.136), PCT (p<0.001), CRP (p=0.002), and ESR (p=0.816). Age, temperature, WBC, PLT, and ESR did not show a significant
Table 1. Assessment of the severity grade of sepsis by related parameters (n=381)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>No SIRS</th>
<th>SIRS</th>
<th>Severe sepsis</th>
<th>Septic shock</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td>126 (33.1)</td>
<td>185 (48.6)</td>
<td>47 (12.3)</td>
<td>23 (6.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>46.11±14.27</td>
<td>45.76±15.86</td>
<td>53.43±12.42</td>
<td>63.23±16.32</td>
<td>0.854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>38.06±1.86</td>
<td>38.08±0.85</td>
<td>38.08±0.91</td>
<td>38.13±0.83</td>
<td>0.605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC (×10³/mm³)</td>
<td>8.7±3.4</td>
<td>11.8±3.9</td>
<td>9.3±4.3</td>
<td>8.1±4.1</td>
<td>0.681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLT (×10³/mm³)</td>
<td>225.3±89.7</td>
<td>211.3±97.2</td>
<td>216.4±102.2</td>
<td>199.2±103.7</td>
<td>0.136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCT (ng/ml)</td>
<td>0.12±0.04</td>
<td>1.30±0.42</td>
<td>7.10±2.11</td>
<td>15.23±4.43</td>
<td>&lt;0.001a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP (mg/dl)</td>
<td>38.72±21.86</td>
<td>88.03±15.86</td>
<td>96.18±37.43</td>
<td>132.76±45.68</td>
<td>0.002a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR (mm/h)</td>
<td>45.76±25.11</td>
<td>54.23±15.86</td>
<td>57.45±36.18</td>
<td>58.05±20.24</td>
<td>0.816</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as number (%) or mean±standard deviation.

*p<0.001a*

**DISCUSSION**

Confirmation of bacteremia in APN is important in predicting complications, prognosis, and treatment period. Blood culture is difficult to evaluate for early-staged bacteremia due to a long and extended time to get the results from the culture, PCT is beginning to rise rapidly from 2-4 hours after the injection of endotoxin of *E. coli*. In addition, it has reached the maximum level after 6-12 hours. Conversely, CRP has started to increase from 12
hours after the endotoxin injection to 30 hours at the highest value [2]. PCT shows a value of less than 0.1 μL in a healthy person. The value is not increased in virus infection and non-infectious inflammatory response, but is increased only with a severe systemic inflammatory response such as sepsis [2,3]. The cut-off value of PCT showed various ranges (0.28-3.5 ng/ml) for prediction of sepsis [4,5].

CRP is a useful indicator of inflammatory reactions. However, it is difficult to interpret in a severe infection, because the level is increased even just a simple inflammation [6]. Also, in our study, CRP did not show a statistical significance between severe sepsis and septic shock. ESR also increases its association with inflammation; however, this is insufficient to itself for a diagnosis [7]. In our study, there was no statistical significance difference in accordance with the severity grade of infection. According to a previous report by Benador et al. [8], among the sixty patients with UTI, serum WBC, CRP, and PCT had shown a significant difference between the APN group and the lower UTI group. In our study, we only included patients with uncomplicated APN in the upper UTI. In addition, PCT showed a higher specificity than CRP for the diagnosis of APN. In 100 patients with UTI, there was a statistically significant difference with only CRP and PCT; but there was no difference with ESR and WBC [9]. Our result showed a statistically significant difference with CRP (p<0.002) and PCT (p<0.001). In SIRS, PCT allowed for an early diagnosis because PCT increased more rapidly than CRP [10]. The serum PCT value, which can reflect the severity grade of infection, can help to predict the prognosis of patients [11]. In our study, there were all significant differences between disease severity and PCT. However, there was no difference between disease severity and CRP (severe sepsis vs. septic shock, p<0.851) and ESR. PCT was associated with APN with statistical significance in accordance with the disease severity as (1) no SIRS vs. SIRS (p=0.005), (2) no SIRS vs. severe sepsis (p<0.001), (3) no SIRS vs. septic shock (p<0.001), (4) SIRS vs. severe sepsis (p=0.046), (5) SIRS vs. septic shock (p<0.001), and (6) severe sepsis vs. septic shock (p=0.036). Moreover, despite bacterial infection, the PCT level sometimes showed a normal range in the initial stage of the disease. Therefore, a follow up of PCT during the treatment period is necessary to assess disease progression. Because it does not increase via viral infection, it is a useful method for differential diagnosis, whether bacterial infections or viral infections [12]. PCT enable the reduction of unnecessary use of antibiotics, hence reducing the likelihood of antibiotic resistance and side-effects [13]. Therefore, PCT was a helpful marker in predicting disease progression of APN. Moreover, if PCT and CRP can be used harmoniously, the PCT levels could especially be a useful predictor of sepsis and septic shock. This was done only for uncomplicated APN patients. Therefore, it did not reflect the influence of biomarker caused by other complex infectious factors. In addition, we used only PCT, ESR, and CRP, which were carried out at the beginning of hospitalization. This may be a limitation of this study; it may have minimized the accuracy in reflecting the changes associated with the clinical course of the disease.

CONCLUSIONS

PCT and CRP levels may be useful in distinguishing the severity of infection related to APN. The use of PCT as a prognostic factor for APN may be more helpful, especially between severe sepsis and septic shock. This clinical analysis was performed retrospectively. Therefore, careful interpretation of the results is necessary. Further research reports are needed in the future.
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