If the surgery of cancer accompanying the removal or lymph nodes resulted in the swelling progressed in extremities, it is called obstructive secondary lymphedema. In the initial stage, bandage or drug therapy could be used to treat the lymphedema. However, after some time, patients with lymphedema feel heavier and painful while suffering from aesthetic reasons.

Treatment of the secondary lymphedema at the lower extremities is largely divided into two methods: removal of lymphatic tissue and bypass of lymphatic perfusion. We report a case of lymphaticovenous anastomosis in a patient with secondary lower extremity lymphedema. A 59-year-old woman underwent lymphaticovenous anastomosis in inguinal, knee, and ankle due to obstructive lymphedema in the left lower extremity after performed radical cuff resection, Bilateral Pelvic Lymphadenectomy, Periarterial Lymphadenectomy, and total omentectomy for ovarian cancer and metastatic carcinoma. At 1 year follow-up, there was a decrease of 32.8% in volume differential compared to the preoperative level. Understanding of the features of lymphaticovenous anastomosis, we can expect good results in secondary obstructive lymphedema patients.
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Fig. 1. (A) Photo of the 59-year-old female patient before surgery showing the symptom of secondary obstructive lymphedema at her left lower extremity. Her left leg is 50.7% larger than her right leg. (B) The lymphoscintigraphy scan showing the dermal backflow at the left lower extremity but not the inguinal lymph node activity. (C) Computed tomography scan showing the symptom of subcutaneous edema at lower left extremity and fluid collection at the deep fascia. (D) Photo during the surgery of end-to-side anastomosis between lymph vessel and superficial vein at two parts of the ankle (a), each one part of the knee joint and inguinal part (b, c). (E) Lymphaticovenous anastomosis was performed in this patient. At 6 months and 1 year, the patient’s left leg was 43.3% and 13.5% larger than her right leg, respectively (a 32.8% reduction in volume differential) and wrinkles appeared around the knee joint due to the decrease of swelling. OP: operation, POD: postoperative day.
CASE REPORT

She is a 59-year-old patient who underwent the hysterectomy to treat uterine bleeding six years ago. During the progress of healing, the pain recurred two years ago; therefore, she was diagnosed with metastatic carcinoma. In the department of Obstetrics of this hospital, she underwent the Radical cuff resection, Bilateral Pelvic Lymphadenectomy, Periarterial Lymphadenectomy and total omentectomy. After the surgeries, the swelling was progressing and got worse in last six months and the patient visited the hospital (Fig. 1A). The result of lymphoscintigraphy scan showed the dermal backflow at left lower extremity but not the left inguinal lymph node activity (Fig. 1B). The result of computed tomography scan showed the subcutaneous edema at the left extremity and fluid collection at deep fascia (Fig. 1C).

Local anesthesia was used. Firstly, two lymph vessels were end-to-side anastomosed with one superficial vein at ankle. After that, the lymph vessels at the surrounding of knee and inguinal was end-to-side anastomosed with the superficial vein (Fig. 1D). The leg circumference was measured at the following level: 20 cm above the knee joint, knee joint, and 20 cm below the knee joint.

The patient felt the decrease of leg circumference after three months of surgery. There were wrinkles observed at the ankle and knee joint. At 6 months and 1 year, the patient’s left leg was 43.3% and 13.5% larger than her right leg, respectively (a 32.8% reduction in volume differential), and the pain and heavy feeling were lessened. Also, there were wrinkles observed near the ankle and knee, therefore, the patient was very satisfied (Fig. 1E).

DISCUSSION

Patients with lymphedema are classified into primary lymphedema and secondary lymphedema. One of secondary lymphedema is the secondary obstructive lymphedema that occurs after tumor removal and lymphatic duct where cancer is spread. The treatment of secondary obstructive lymphedema is lymphaticovenous anastomosis, which has effectiveness and minimal invasiveness. For successful Lymphaticovenous Anastomosis, it is important to find the availability of functional lymphatic vessels and to connect with veins. Generally, at the initial stage of lymph edema, there is high availability of functional lymphatic vessels, which will turn into a good result. Also, the suitable vein in compatible size should be in proper location, which will reduce the backflow at the anastomosed part. Therefore, the veins less than 0.8 mm is advantageous than larger one. Lymphaticovenous Anastomosis includes end-to-end, end-to-side anastomosis and Intravascular stenting method as well.

Generally, an end-to-end anastomosis may be an equally effective method that is technically simpler to perform and arguably more hemodynamically efficient, and an end-to-side anastomosis is indicated when the distal flow of the recipient vessel must be preserved or when a significant vessel size discrepancy exists. It is reported that the size and pressure of vein are bigger in lower than upper extremities, therefore, end-to-side anastomosis at lower extremities are often performed. The end-to-side anastomosis was performed at all four parts in this case.

Comparing Lymphaticovenous anastomosis with vein anastomosis at the amputated distal fingers, it is found that they have the same issues; the size of veins to be anastomosed is small in a size of 1 mm and the vein is hard to find. Meanwhile, the lymphaticovenous anastomosis is rather tension-free than anastomosis of veins at amputated distal fingers. Also, the spasm of the vein was not an issue. The end-to-end anastomosis is usually used for the anastomosis of amputated distal finger since the size of veins is similar, while side-to-end anastomosis was used for four cases of the lymphaticovenous anastomosis since the superficial veins were bigger in size.

If the features of lymphaticovenous anastomosis are understood, we could expect a good result from patients with secondary obstructive lymphedema.
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하지 림프부종 환자에서의 림프-정맥 문합술: 증례 보고

이상현
부산대학교 의과대학 정형외과학교실

하지에 발생한 이차성 림프 부종의 치료 방법으로는 크게 림프 조직을 제거하는 방법과 정체된 림프 관류를 우회시키는 방법으로 크게 나누어진다. 저자들은 이차성 하지 림프 부종 환자에서 림프-정맥 문합술을 시행한 결과를 보고하고자 한다. 59세 여자 환자로 산부인과에서 난소암과 전이성 암종으로 radical cuff resection, bilateral pelvic lymph-adenectomy, periarterial lymphadenectomy, total omentectomy 시행 후 좌측 하지에서 폐쇄성 림프 부종이 발생하여 서혜부, 슬관절, 족관절에서 림프-정맥 문합술을 시행하였다. 술 후 1년 추시 관찰 시 술 전에 비하여 32.8%의 부종 감소 소견을 보였다. 림프-정맥 문합술의 특징을 이해하면 이차성 폐쇄성 림프 부종 환자에서 좋은 결과를 얻을 수 있을 것으로 사료된다.

 색인단어: 림프정맥관 문합술, 림프부종, 하지
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