Reproductive outcomes after laparoscopic radical trachelectomy for early-stage cervical cancer
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Objective: The objective of this study was to estimate the reproductive outcome of young women with early-stage cervical cancer who underwent fertility-sparing laparoscopic radical trachelectomy (LRT).

Methods: We performed a retrospective review of the medical records of patients with early-stage cervical cancer who underwent LRT. Clinicopathological data were obtained from patient medical records, and reproductive outcome data were obtained from patient medical records and telephone interviews.

Results: Fifty-five patients who underwent successful LRT were included in this study. The median age of patients was 32 years (range, 22 to 40 years), and the median follow-up time after LRT was 37 months (range, 3 to 105 months). Menstruation resumed in all patients after LRT, with fifty patients (90.9%) and five patients (9.1%) reporting regular and irregular menstruation, respectively. Six patients (10.9%) presented with cervical stenosis, which was manifested by regular but decreased menstrual flow and newly-developed dysmenorrhea. These patients underwent cervical cannulation and dilatation. Eighteen patients (32.7%) attempted to conceive, with six out of 18 patients receiving fertility treatments. Fourteen pregnancies (i.e., four missed abortions, six preterm births and four full-term births) occurred in 10 patients after LRT. Nine out of 10 patients gave birth to 10 healthy babies. The pregnancy rate after LRT was 55.6% (10/18). The spontaneous abortion rate and live birth rate were 28.6% (4/14) and 71.4% (10/14), respectively. The preterm birth rate was 60% (6/10).

Conclusion: Pregnancy and live birth rates after LRT were promising; however, the preterm birth rate was relatively high. Cervical stenosis also occurred in a small percentage of patients.

Keywords: Cervical cancer, Fertility, Laparoscopic radical trachelectomy, Pregnancy outcome, Reproductive outcome
a treatment of choice because it is minimally invasive [8,9]. The reproductive outcome of patients who underwent either a vaginal or abdominal radical trachelectomy is well known [5,6,10]; however, the reproductive outcome of women who underwent LRT is not yet known. The aim of this study was to determine the reproductive outcome of young women with early-stage cervical cancer who underwent LRT.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

After obtaining approval from the Institutional Review Board of Asan Medical Center (Seoul, Korea), we reviewed the medical records of patients who underwent LRT for early-stage cervical cancer between 2004 and 2012. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) the presence of histologically-proven cervical cancer; (2) a cervical cancer histology of squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma, or adenosquamous carcinoma; (3) an International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) stage of IA2 to IB1; (4) a tumor size of ≤3 cm; (5) a completed LRT; and (6) an age of ≤40 years. Patients who required an immediate conversion to laparoscopic radical hysterectomy and who received adjuvant radiation or concurrent chemoradiation therapy were excluded.

Clinicopathological data, including patient age, parity, tumor histology, FIGO stage, tumor size, the presence of lymphovascular space or parametrial invasion, the depth of the cervical stromal invasion, the presence of lymph node metastasis, follow-up time, cancer recurrence, and death, were obtained from patient medical records. Reproductive outcome data, including menstruation patterns, fertility treatment, and pregnancy attempts, successes and outcomes, were obtained from patient medical records and telephone interviews.

All patients underwent uterine artery-preserving LRT as previously described [8,9]. A cervical cerclage was performed on all patients during LRT. After surgery, patients were followed-up on every 3 months during the first two years, every 6 months during the next 3 years, and then once every year.

RESULTS

Sixty-three patients who met the inclusion criteria were identified. Seven out of 63 patients who underwent immediate conversion to laparoscopic radical hysterectomy and one patient who received adjuvant concurrent chemoradiation therapy were excluded. The remaining 55 patients were included in this study.

Table 1 lists patient characteristics. The median age of patients was 32 years (range, 22 to 40 years). Three patients (5.4%) with a high risk of recurrence received adjuvant chemotherapy consisting of six cycles of paclitaxel (175 mg/m²)/carboplatin (area under curve 5) after surgery. Menstruation resumed in all patients after LRT, with 50 patients (90.9%) and five patients (9.1%) reporting regular and irregular menstruation, respectively. Six patients (10.9%) presented with cervical stenosis, which was manifested by regular but decreased menstrual flow and newly-developed dysmenorrhea. These patients underwent transvaginal cannulation of the cervical canal under general or local anesthesia. One patient also required laparoscopic surgery to guide the transvaginal cannulation of the cervical canal. Cannulation abrogated symptoms in all patients, except in one who had a recurrence of cervical stenosis and had to undergo a second cannulation.

Table 2 lists patient pregnancy outcomes. Thirty-two patients (58.2%) were married at the time of this analysis. Eighteen patients (32.7%) attempted to conceive after LRT, and 10 patients succeeded in 14 pregnancies. Thus, the pregnancy rate after LRT was 55.6% (10/18). Six out of 18 patients received fertility treatments, resulting in five pregnancies after fertility treatments and nine natural pregnancies. The causes of infertility were ovarian factor in four patients and unknown in two patients. There were four missed abortions, six preterm...
births, and four full-term births. Nine out of 10 patients gave birth to 10 healthy babies. The spontaneous abortion rate and live birth rate among 14 pregnancies were 28.6% (4/14) and 71.4% (10/14), respectively. The preterm birth rate was 60% (6/10). All babies were delivered by cesarean section. The preterm premature rupture of membranes was complicated in four pregnancies and the preterm labor was complicated in two pregnancies. Each one patient had type A1 gestational diabetes mellitus, placenta accreta, and breech presentation, respectively. Two patients underwent cesarean hysterectomy, and one patient underwent uterine artery embolization due to obstetric bleeding after the cesarean section.

**DISCUSSION**

We report the reproductive outcome in young women with early-stage cervical cancer after fertility-sparing LRT. Menstruation resumed in all patients after surgery. Pregnancy and live birth rates were 55.6% and 71.4%, respectively, illustrating that the reproductive outcome after LRT is promising. Unlike vaginal and abdominal radical trachelectomies, the pregnancy outcome after LRT is largely unknown because the procedure is surgically challenging. Several studies report 27 pregnancies out of 110 patients who underwent LRT (Table 3) [11-19]. Our report is, to our knowledge, one of the largest studies on reproductive outcome after LRT.

The pregnancy rate of patients who attempted to conceive after vaginal or abdominal radical trachelectomy was 52.8% [20] and 59.3% [7], respectively. These results are comparable with the pregnancy rate (55.6%) reported in our study. Moreover, the live birth rate of patients who achieved a pregnancy after vaginal or abdominal radical trachelectomy was 68.9% [21] and 70.1% [7], respectively. These results are also comparable with the live birth rate reported in our study (71.4%). The pregnancy loss rate after vaginal and abdominal radical
trachelectomy was 24% [21] and 24% [7], respectively, comparable with 28.6% after LRT. However, these rates are significantly higher than that of the general population (12%) [22].

The preterm birth rate of patients who gave birth after a vaginal or abdominal radical trachelectomy was 24.7% [21] and 38.7% [7], respectively. However, the preterm birth rate after LRT was 60% in our study. However, in the present series, four of six preterm deliveries was at 36 weeks of gestational age and only two preterm deliveries were at 28 weeks of gestational age. Usually, deliveries after 32–34 weeks of gestational age are associated with excellent perinatal outcomes. Furthermore, deliveries after 36 weeks of gestational age have similar perinatal outcomes with term deliveries. Therefore, we think that only two preterm deliveries (10%, 2/10) at 28 weeks of gestational age are problematic at present series.

Regardless of the type of radical trachelectomy, the preterm birth rate was higher than that of the general population (10%) [23]. Preterm premature rupture of membranes was the most common obstetric complication encountered by these patients [7,21]. Unfortunately, the excision of cervical tissues during radical trachelectomy increases the risk of preterm premature rupture of membranes and spontaneous preterm labor because it may reduce the mechanical support of cervix, impair local immunological defense mechanisms, and alter cervicovaginal bacterial flora [24]. However, the live birth rate after radical trachelectomy is promising, despite the high frequency of preterm delivery.

Cervical stenosis is the most common postoperative complication after radical trachelectomy [7]. It occurs in 10% [6] and 9.5% [7] of patients who underwent vaginal and abdominal radical tracheectomies, respectively. In our study, cervical stenosis occurred in 10.9% of patients. While cervical stenosis can be asymptomatic, it can associate with infertility and cryptomenorrhea with pain. It usually requires cervical dilatation under general anesthesia to abrogate symptoms and to achieve a pregnancy. In some cases, cervical cannulation and dilatation requires laparoscopic or ultrasonographic guidance [25]. Recurrence can occur, requiring two or three consecutive cannulations and dilatation of the cervix. Several methods to prevent cervical stenosis are currently in practice, including insertion of an intrauterine device and cervical dilators. However, the efficacy of these methods is unclear [26].

In the present series, we excluded patients who required conversion to radical hysterectomy or adjuvant chemoradiation therapy. The exclusion of these patients may biases the results. However, if these patients are included in the analysis of fertility outcomes, the fertility outcomes may be underestimated because radical hysterectomy or adjuvant chemoradiation therapy cause permanent infertility and these patients may not attempt to conceive. Therefore, we excluded these patients in the analysis of fertility outcomes and included only patients who underwent successful LRT.

In conclusion, the reproductive outcome of patients after LRT appears to be similar with those who underwent vaginal or abdominal radical trachelectomy. Pregnancy and live birth rates were promising after LRT; however, the preterm birth rate was relatively high. Cervical stenosis also occurred in a small percentage of patients.
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### Table 3. Pregnancy outcomes after laparoscopic radical trachelectomy for early-stage cervical cancer in published studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (year)</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Pregnancy</th>
<th>Spontaneous abortion</th>
<th>Preterm birth</th>
<th>Term birth</th>
<th>Ongoing pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pomel et al. (2002)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al. (2003)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30, 34</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cibula et al. (2005)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bafghi et al. (2006)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin and Torrent (2010)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al. (2011)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong et al. (2011)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>29.5 (25–33)</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rendon et al. (2012)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu et al. (2013)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>29 (22–34)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>32 (22–40)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NR, not reported.
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