In 2009, there were several notable advances in gynecologic oncology field. Generally, the studies investigating the efficacy of target agents are increasing year by year. However, there were also remarkable advances in optimization of treatment using conventional anti-neoplastic therapies such as chemotherapy drugs or radiation.

Topics included in this review were selected by reviewing the literatures and abstracts which were published or presented in 2009. Specifically, we examined abstracts which were presented at oral or plenary sessions of the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) annual meeting, the Society of Gynecology Oncology (SGO) annual meeting, the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) biennial meeting, and the European Society of Gynecological Oncology (ESGO) biennial meeting. In addition, we searched the news at educational sites such as Medscape (www.medscape.com) or Clinical Care Options (www.clinicaloptions.com), and reviewed the titles of article which were published at major journals in 2009.

The objective of this review was to summarize the major clinical research advances in gynecologic cancer and to help reader update their knowledge.

MAJOR CLINICAL RESEARCH ADVANCES

1. Human papillomavirus testing as a screening test for cervical cancer

Cytological examination of cervical cells (Pap test and liquid-based cytology) has been the standard screening test for cervical cancer. According to several observational studies, the cytological test has reduced the incidence of cervical cancer in many countries. However, the cytological test has limitations such as low sensitivity and poor reproducibility. The major cause of cervical cancer is the infection with human papillomavirus (HPV) and the sustained infection with high-risk HPV precedes the developments of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) and cervical cancer. Therefore, the test for HPV infection could detect the women who are at risk to develop a CIN and cervical cancer.

Several randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have compared the efficacy of HPV test with that of cytological test in screening for cervical cancer. These trials demonstrated that the HPV test has higher sensitivity than the cytological test but lower specificity. The lower specificity of HPV test caused more unnecessary testings and visits than cytological test. The major cause of lower specificity of HPV test was the higher prevalence and regression rate of HPV infection in young women. In 2009, there were two notable studies advocating the value of HPV test as a primary screening test for cervical cancer. In a study, researchers hypothesized that the low specificity of HPV test could be overcome by alternative combination of HPV and cytological tests. Using the data of Swedescree trial,
the researchers examined the efficacy of 11 different strategies alternatively combining HPV and cytological tests. In result, the most effective strategy was HPV test followed by cytological triage and repeat HPV test for HPV positive women with normal cytology. Compared with cytology alone, the 'winner' strategy increased the sensitivity for CIN 3 or worse by 30%, maintained a high positive predictive value, and resulted in an only 12% increase in the number of screening tests.

In the other study, researchers examined the effect of a single round of screening by HPV test, cytological test, visual inspection of cervix with acetic acid, or standard care on the incidence of cervical cancer and the associated rates of death in a district of India. The trial included 131,746 healthy women between ages of 30 and 59 years. Compared with control (standard care), the HPV test reduced the risk of advanced cancer by 53% and the associated rates of death by 48%. However, the cytological test and visual inspection did not reduce the numbers of advanced cancer and deaths. Considering that most cervical cancers occur in low-resource area such as India, we think that the adoption of HPV test as a primary screening test for cervical cancer has the potential to reduce the global burden of cervical cancer.

While the most effective and feasible strategy for cervical cancer screening should be tested in individual age groups and countries, we believe that the shift from cytological test to HPV test would occur in near future.

2. HPV vaccine for middle-aged women

HPV vaccine is a prophylactic vaccine targeting HPV L1 virus-like particle and was shown to be highly effective in prevention of cervical, vulvar, or vaginal intraepithelial neoplasia related to HPV 6, 11, 16, 18. Because the RCTs verifying the efficacy of HPV vaccines only included women younger than 27, there were no direct evidences to support the vaccination to women older than 26. However, from our experience, most of women visiting the clinic for HPV vaccination is older than 26. Therefore, it was a dilemma whether the physician should recommend the HPV vaccination for women older than 26.

In 2009, the results of RCT demonstrating the efficacy of HPV vaccines in women aged 24-45 years were published. A total of 3,819 women with no history of genital warts or cervical disease were randomized to quadrivalent HPV vaccine or placebo. In per-protocol analysis, the quadrivalent HPV vaccine was 83.1% effective in preventing infection and disease related to HPV 6, 11, 16, 18 and was 83.1% effective in preventing infection and disease related to HPV 16, 18. However, the efficacy in intention-to-treat population was quite low due to the infection and disease being present at baseline. Specifically, the efficacy against infection and disease related to HPV 6, 11, 16, 18 was 30.9% and that against HPV 16, 18 was 22.6%. Because the mean follow-up time of this RCT is only 2.2 years, we do not know whether the HPV vaccine could provide the long-term protection for women aged 24-45. The RCT is ongoing to determine the duration of protection against cervical cancer.

The result of this RCT is the first direct evidence for efficacy of HPV vaccine in middle-aged women. While the issues such as the duration of protection and cost-effectiveness are unsolved, we believe that we should recommend HPV vaccination to women aged 24-45.

3. Concurrent chemoradiation using gemcitabine plus cisplatin for cervical cancer

The standard treatment for locally advanced cervical cancer is the concurrent chemoradiation (CRT), and several chemotherapy regimens have been evaluated to find the most effective regimen. According to Gynecologic Oncology Group (GOG) protocol 120, weekly intravenous cisplatin 40 mg/m² for 6 weeks was more convenient, equally effective and less toxic than 5-fluorouracil, cisplatin plus hydroxyurea regimen. Thereafter, the weekly cisplatin plus radiation was established as the standard for the treatment of locally advanced cervical cancer, and all subsequent GOG RCTs for locally advanced cervical cancer have used this regimen as the standard arm.

In the 2009 ASCO annual meeting, the results of RCT testing the efficacy of CRT using alternative chemotherapy regimen for locally advanced cervical cancer were presented. Chemotherapy- and radiation-naive 515 patients with bulky stage 2B-4A cervical cancer were randomized to two groups. The patients in experimental arm received six cycles of weekly cisplatin 40 mg/m² plus gemcitabine 125 mg/m² with concurrent external radiation (50.4 Gy in 28 fraction) followed by brachytherapy (30-35 Gy) and two cycles of adjuvant triweekly gemcitabine plus cisplatin (gemcitabine 1,000 mg/m² on days 1 and 8; cisplatin 50 mg/m² on day 1). The patients in control arm received six cycles of weekly cisplatin 40 mg/m² with concurrent external radiation followed by brachytherapy. The dose and schedule of radiation in control arm were same as that of experimental arm. The compliance to experimental regimen was acceptable. Specifically, over 90% of patients in experimental arm completed the external radiation plus brachytherapy. In addition, over 75% of patients in experimental arm completed two cycles of adjuvant triweekly gemcitabine and cisplatin. The progression-free survival (PFS) at three years was 74% in experimental arm and 65% in control arm (p=0.029). The overall survival (OS; p=0.022) and time to progressive disease (p<0.001) were also significantly improved in the experimental arm. However, grade 3/4 toxicities were more frequently observed in experimental arm (86.5%) than in control arm (46.3%). In addition, two patients in experimental arm died due to causes probably related to treatment.

While the new regimen reported the longer survival than the current standard regimen, it is unclear which components of new regimen contributed to survival benefit. Specifically, the survival benefit of new regimen could be the effect of weekly gemcitabine plus cisplatin or that of two cycles of adjuvant
chemotherapy. Nevertheless, based on the survival benefit and acceptable toxicities, we believe that the gemcitabine plus cisplatin regimen should be one of the standard treatment regimens for locally advanced cervical cancer.

4. Pazopanib for metastatic or recurrent cervical cancer

The current standard treatment for metastatic or recurrent cervical cancer is platinum-based chemotherapy with or without radiation. However, the prognosis of patients with metastatic or recurrent cervical cancer is known to be poor. Specifically, in the trial comparing four platinum-based doublet chemotherapies for patients with metastatic or recurrent cervical cancer, the median OS of the reference arm was only 13 months. Therefore, numerous novel agents have been tested to improve the prognosis of patients with metastatic or recurrent cervical cancer.

In the 2009 ASCO annual meeting, the results of randomized phase 2 trial evaluating the efficacy of two novel tyrosine kinase inhibitors (pazopanib and lapatinib) in metastatic or recurrent cervical cancer were presented. Pazopanib targets vascular endothelial growth factor receptor, platelet-derived growth factor receptor, and c-Kit; lapatinib targets epidermal growth factor receptor. A total of 235 patients with stage 4B, persistent or recurrent cervical cancer were randomized to pazopanib 800 mg daily, lapatinib 1,500 mg daily, or pazopanib 400 mg plus lapatinib 1000 mg daily. In result, pazopanib monotherapy improved PFS (hazard ratio [HR], 0.66; 90% confidence interval [CI], 0.48 to 0.91) and OS (HR, 0.67; 90% CI, 0.46 to 0.99) compared to lapatinib. The most common grade 3 or more adverse event was diarrhea (11% for pazopanib and 13% for lapatinib).

Because this was the phase 2 trial and did not include the standard treatment arm (chemotherapy), it is hard to know pazopanib is more effective than the current standard treatment. However, the excellent anti-neoplastic efficacy, oral administration, and low incidence of serious toxicities made pazopanib an attractive candidate for further evaluation.

5. Necessity of systematic pelvic lymphadenectomy in endometrial cancer

Whether we should perform the systematic pelvic lymphadenectomy (SPL) for patients with clinically early endometrial cancer has been a controversial issue. Until a recent date, there were no definitive results from well-designed RCTs comparing the outcome of extraligamental hysterectomy plus bilateral adnexectomy (H+BSO) with that of H+BSO+SPL. Recently, the results from two RCTs directly addressing this issue were published.

Medical Research Council A Study in the Treatment of Endometrial Cancer (MRC ASTEC) trial included 1,408 patients with histologically proven endometrial cancer which were thought preoperatively to be confined to the corpus. The enrolled patients were randomized to standard surgery (H+BSO, peritoneal washings, and palpation of para-aortic lymph nodes) or standard surgery plus SPL. Patients who had a computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan suggesting node enlargement were not excluded from the trial and nodes that were suspicious could be sampled in the standard surgery arm. The number of patients who received adjuvant radiation was similar between the two arms. The OS of standard surgery arm was similar with that of SPL arm (HR, 1.16; 95% CI, 0.87 to 1.54). Furthermore, the recurrence-free survival (RFS) of standard surgery arm was longer than that of SPL arm (HR, 1.35; 95% CI, 1.06 to 1.73). Therefore, researchers concluded that there is no evidence of benefit in terms of OS or RFS for SPL in patients with early endometrial cancer.

The other RCT regarding the role of SPL in early endometrial cancer was conducted by the Italian group. From 1996 to 2006, 514 patients with preoperative stage 1 endometrial cancer were randomly assigned to SPL or no lymphadenectomy arms. Patients with stage 1A/1B and grade 1 cancer based on intraoperative frozen section diagnosis were excluded. Bulky (>1 cm) lymph node detected by intraoperative palpation of lymph node sites were permitted to remove in no lymphadenectomy arm. The OS and disease-free survival (DFS) of SPL arm were similar with those of no lymphadenectomy arm (for OS, HR, 1.20 and 95% CI, 0.70 to 2.07; for DFS, HR, 1.10 and 95% CI, 0.70 to 1.71).

Although these two RCTs have limitations that the lymphadenectomy specified in the protocol did not include para-aortic lymph nodes, it seemed clear that the SPL had no therapeutic value in early endometrial cancer. Considering that SPL did not improve the survival but increased the morbidities related to surgery, we believe that SPL should not be recommended as routine procedure in early endometrial cancer.

6. Early versus delayed treatment of recurrent ovarian cancer

The serial measurement of serum CA 125 is a sensitive method to detect a recurrence in patients with ovarian cancer. According to a study which including 255 patients with ovarian cancer, a doubling of CA 125 levels from the upper limit of normal had a sensitivity of 86%, specificity of 91%, positive predictive value 95%, and negative predictive value 78% for progression. Based on these findings, the gynecologic cancer intergroup (GCIG) proposed that the elevated CA 125 levels should be regarded as a progression of disease.

In addition, the rising CA 125 levels are known to precede the clinical detection of recurrence with a median lead time of 3-5 months. Although there is no demonstration that an early detection of recurrence is beneficial, many clinical guidelines recommended the serial measurements of CA 125 every 2-4 months in patients with ovarian cancer. Furthermore, some physicians advocated initiating the chemotherapy for asymptomatic patients with elevated CA 125 levels.

In the 2009 ASCO annual meeting, the results of RCT testing the benefit of early treatment based on elevated CA 125 levels compared to delayed treatment after clinical or symptomatic
recurrence were presented. Patients with ovarian cancer in clinical complete remission after the first-line platinum-based chemotherapy were included in the RCT. CA 125 level was measured every three months but patients and investigators were blinded to the results. When the CA 125 levels exceeded twice the upper limit of normal, patients were randomized to either immediate treatment or to delayed treatment when clinical or symptomatic recurrence appeared. The chemotherapy for recurrence started a median of five months earlier in the immediate treatment arm. However, with a median follow-up of 49 months from randomization, the OS of immediate treatment arm was similar with that of delayed treatment arm (HR, 1.01; 95% CI, 0.82 to 1.25). Furthermore, the patients in immediate treatment arm reported worse quality of life than those in delayed treatment arm. The researchers concluded that there is no value in the routine measurement of CA 125 in the follow-up of ovarian cancer patients.

While the value of CA 125 measurement was negated by the RCT, we believe that the complete abandonment of CA 125 measurement could be a hasty conclusion because the RCT has some limitations. For example, the rates of optimal versus suboptimal secondary cytoreduction were not addressed and the patients in both arms did not receive the same treatment after recurrence. Therefore, the impact of this RCT on clinical practice and on guidelines for post-therapy surveillance remain to be determined.

7. Dose-dense chemotherapy as postoperative adjuvant treatment

The standard postoperative treatment for advanced ovarian cancer is triweekly intravenous (IV) paclitaxel plus carboplatin. Several modifications of postoperative adjuvant therapy have been attempted to improve survival but yielded unsatisfactory results. In the ASCO 2008 annual meeting, the preliminary results of RCT showing the superiority of dose dense weekly administration of paclitaxel plus carboplatin over current standard triweekly regimen was presented and we cited the results in our previous review. In 2009, the mature data of the RCT was published.

In Japan, a total of 631 patients with stage 2 to 4 epithelial ovarian cancer, fallopian tube cancer, or primary peritoneal cancer were randomly assigned to receive six cycles of either weekly paclitaxel (80 mg/m²; 1 hour IV infusion; on days 1, 8, 15 of 21-day cycle) plus triweekly carboplatin (area under curve [AUC] 6 on day 1) or triweekly paclitaxel (180 mg/m²; 3 hours infusion; on day 1) plus carboplatin (AUC 6 on day 1). In results, median PFS was longer in the weekly arm (28 months) than in the triweekly arm (17 months) and OS at three years was higher in the weekly arm (72%) than in the triweekly arm (65%; HR, 0.75; 95% CI, 0.57 to 0.98). The most common toxicity was neutropenia in both arms and the frequency of grade 3/4 anemia was higher in the weekly arm. However, the frequencies of other toxicities were similar between arms.

Three years ago, GOG has finished a RCT (GOG 170) regarding intraperitoneal (IP) chemotherapy in ovarian cancer and reported the survival advantage of IP arm. The IP regimen was paclitaxel 135 mg/m² IV over 24-h on day 1 plus cisplatin 100 mg/m² IP on day 2 followed by paclitaxel 60 mg/m² IP on day 8 of 21-day cycle. Therefore, both the IP arm of GOG 170 and weekly arm of Japanese RCT adopted weekly paclitaxel administration. Until the results of Japanese RCT were reported, we thought that the survival advantage of IP arm of GOG 170 was due to the difference in drug infusion method (IP versus IV). However, considering the remarkable survival advantage of weekly paclitaxel shown in Japanese RCT, we think that the survival advantage of IP arm in GOG 170 could be attributed to weekly administration of paclitaxel not to IP infusion of drugs.

Confirmatory trials are planned by member groups of GCIG to evaluate weekly paclitaxel plus triweekly carboplatin regimen with and without bevacizumab. The results of confirmatory trials would decide whether the weekly paclitaxel plus tri-weekly carboplatin regimen would be a new standard treatment for advanced ovarian cancer.

8. Best chemotherapy regimen for platinum-sensitive recurrent ovarian cancer

There have been four RCTs comparing the efficacy of combination chemotherapy with that of single agent chemotherapy in patients with platinum-sensitive recurrent ovarian cancer. Of four RCTs, two RCTs compared paclitaxel plus platinum with platinum alone and showed the results favoring paclitaxel plus platinum over platinum alone. Another RCT reported the survival benefit of pegylated liposomal doxorubicin plus carboplatin compared to carboplatin alone but the results were based on accrual of only 61% of a planned 900 women. The other RCT demonstrated the PFS benefit of gemcitabine plus carboplatin compared to carboplatin alone. However, there have been no RCTs comparing the combination chemotherapy regimens each other.

In the 2009 ASCO annual meeting, the preliminary results of RCT comparing pegylated liposomal doxorubicin plus carboplatin with paclitaxel plus carboplatin were presented. In the RCT, 976 patients with platinum-sensitive recurrent ovarian cancer were randomized to either pegylated liposomal doxorubicin 30 mg/m² IV plus carboplatin AUC 5 IV every four weeks or paclitaxel 175 mg/m² IV plus carboplatin AUC 5 IV every three weeks. The PFS of pegylated liposomal doxorubicin arm was longer than that of paclitaxel arm (HR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.72 to 0.94). In addition, patients in pegylated liposomal doxorubicin arm experienced less alopecia and neuropathy but more thrombocytopenia and hand-foot syndrome.

Considering the higher PFS and different toxicity profile, we believe that the pegylated liposomal doxorubicin plus carboplatin could be an alternative to paclitaxel plus carboplatin. For example, for patients who fear for alopecia or have a neuropathy, pegylated liposomal doxorubicin plus carboplatin regimen would be useful.
9. Target agents for ovarian cancer

Ovarian cancer is the most lethal gynecologic cancer. Nearly 70-80% of patients with advanced ovarian cancer eventually experienced a recurrence and most of patients with recurrent ovarian cancer died of disease. Therefore, numerous novel drugs have been tested for improving the prognosis of patients with ovarian cancer.

Olaparib is an oral poly ADP ribose polymerase (PARP) inhibitor and was reported to kill breast cancer (BRCA)-mutated cancer cells. In the 2009 ASCO annual meeting, the results of phase 2 trial evaluating the efficacy of olaparib in patients with recurrent ovarian cancer and mutated BRCA genes were presented. For 57 patients, olaparib 400 mg twice daily (N=33) or 100 mg twice daily (N=24) were given continuously. Although the trial included heavily pretreated patients, the response rate was 33% at 400 mg twice daily dose and toxicities were mild.

Aflibercept, also known as VEGF-TRAP, is a recombinant fusion protein and potent inhibitor of VEGF. The results of RCT evaluating the efficacy of Aflibercept in reducing malignant ascites caused by recurrent ovarian cancer were presented at the 2009 ASCO annual meeting. Fifty-five Patients with advanced, chemotherapy-resistant ovarian cancer suffering from symptomatic malignant ascites requiring 1-4 paracentesis per month were randomized to Aflibercept or placebo. Patients could cross-over and receive open-label Aflibercept after 60 days. In result, Aflibercept showed significant efficacy in the control of malignant ascites. Specifically, Aflibercept increased the mean time to first repeat paracentesis and reduced the number of paracentesis. However, the OS was similar between Aflibercept and placebo arms. Furthermore, three intestinal perforations were observed in Aflibercept arm.

Until now, no target agents have been accepted as a standard therapy for ovarian cancer. However, the number of trials testing the efficacy of target agents for ovarian cancer is rapidly increasing and the results of some trials are promising. We believe that, in near future, target agents will replace the conventional chemotherapy and enable the more individualized therapy.

10. Ginger for chemotherapy-related nausea

Nausea and vomiting is one of the most common toxicities from chemotherapy. Drugs such as cisplatin, carboplatin, and doxorubicin which are commonly used for gynecologic cancer patients are known to be highly emetogenic. Therefore, control of nausea and vomiting is a major issue for gynecologic oncologists.

While the 5-HT3 receptor antagonist antiemetics are widely used, the post-chemotherapy nausea and vomiting continue to be experienced by patients who are receiving highly emetogenic therapies. For example, data from University of Rochester Cancer Center Community Clinical Oncology Program Research Base study showed that 77% of patients with highly emetogenic therapies experienced delayed nausea and 20-30% of patients suffered anticipatory nausea or vomiting. Therefore, it is necessary to develop new modalities to control post-chemotherapy nausea and vomiting.

In the 2009 ASCO annual meeting, the results of RCT testing the efficacy of ginger in alleviating the post-chemotherapy nausea were presented. Cancer patients who experienced nausea following any chemotherapy and were scheduled to receive at least three additional cycles were registered. A total of 644 patients were randomized into four arms: placebo, 0.5 g ginger, 1.0 g ginger, or 1.5 g ginger. All patients received 5-HT3 receptor antagonist antiemetics on day 1 of all cycles and took ginger capsules for six days starting three days before the first day of cycles. Patients reported the severity of nausea on a 7-point semantic rating scale for days 1-4 of each cycle. Patients with several types of cancer were included in the RCT and the most common type of cancer was breast cancer. In result, all doses of ginger significantly reduced nausea and the largest reduction in nausea occurred with 0.5 g and 1.0 g of ginger. Although the pharmacology of ginger has not been studied extensively in humans and the mechanism of action by which ginger reduce nausea and vomiting is not completely known, most Koreans are familiar to ginger because ginger has been widely used as a flavoring agent in food in Korea. Considering the food style in Korea, we think that studies using ginger-containing food or tea in prevention of post-chemotherapy nausea would be interesting.

CONCLUSION

Since 2007, every year, we have reviewed advances in gynecologic cancer research and summarized topics which have had the greatest impact on patient care. In 2009, we think, the most notable change was the increase of the trials testing the efficacy of target agents. Several agents such as olaparib showed promising efficacy against gynecologic cancer. We believe that more agents will be developed and be tested in following years. Furthermore, in near future, target agents could replace the conventional chemotherapy or radiation. Eventually, target agents will enable us to perform more individualized therapies.
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