Ductal Adenoma of the Breast: A Case Report
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Ductal adenoma of the breast is an uncommon benign tumor consisting of an adenomatous nodule within the ductal lumen; on both clinical and histologic examination, it may simulate malignancy. We report a case of ductal adenoma of the breast, and the related mammographic and sonographic findings.
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Ductal adenoma of the breast is an uncommon benign lesion that on both clinical and histological examination, may simulate malignancy(1). To our knowledge, only one report of the radiological appearance of ductal adenoma of the breast has appeared in the literature(2). We report a case of ductal adenoma, together with the related mammographic and sonographic findings.

Case Report

A 69-year-old woman presented with a palpable mass in the left breast, first noted one month earlier. There was no history of breast pain or nipple discharge. Physical examination revealed a 3 x 3 cm sized firm, movable and nontender mass without nipple retraction or skin change in the subareolar area. There was no associated axillary lymphadenopathy and the right breast was normal.

A mediolateral oblique mammogram showed a 2 x 2.5 cm sized nodule with central calcification (Fig. 1A). Dense calcification in the nodule was thought to be benign, but adjacent irregular shaped microcalcification suggested malignancy. The nodule revealed a partly ill-defined margin, with some spiculation, and on spot compression view, multi-lobulation and spiculation were more distinctly demonstrated (Fig. 1B). Sonography showed a 1.8 x 1.5 cm sized, well-defined, round and hypoechoic nodule with calcification (Fig. 1C). Bilateral shadowing was observed and there was no subcutaneous fat obliteration. Sonographic findings suggested benignancy, but on the basis of mammographic findings, excisional biopsy was performed. This showed that the nodule was fairly well circumscribed and 1.8 cm in maximal diameter, about the same size as measured by sonography. Grossly, it was lobulated and focally granular, with central calcification. Microscopically, the nodule consisted of proliferative glandular epithelium enclosed in a dense fibrous ductal wall (Fig. 2A, B). Papillary structures were absent and calcification was noted within the glandular lumen as well as in the connective tissue stroma. Mitoses were absent and the rest of the breast was unremarkable. The pathologic diagnosis was ductal adenoma of the breast.

Discussion

Since ductal adenoma of the breast was first reported in 1984 by Azzopardi and Salm, it has been mentioned in a few pathologic reports(1, 3, 4). Many ductal adenomas consist of an adenomatous nodule clearly contained within the ductal lumen, but others, however, are more complex; in these, the tumor is not obviously confined to the ductal lumen, but giving the impression of having outgrown its confines(1).
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occurs in older patients and is more commonly found in medium and small, rather than major subareolar ducts. Because of its location, it presents as a palpable lump. and unlike the intraductal papilloma, is not associated with nipple discharge.

The microscopic features of typical ductal adenoma are a non-papillary mass composed of tubules lined with epithelial and myoepithelial cells, separated by a moderate amount of connective tissue with a few mitoses. The detailed microscopical features resemble those seen in ductal papilloma, the most important difference being that the lesion is solid and is totally devoid of the arborescent and fronded structure of a papilloma(1). Degenerative change (central or eccentric fibrosis with dystrophic calcification or old hemorrhage or both) further modifies the appearance of the neo-

Fig. 1. Left mediolateral oblique view (A) and left mediolateral spot compression view (B) show a 2×2.5 cm mass with some marginal spiculation. Dense calcification and microcalcifications adjacent to it are noted. Sonogram of the left breast (C) shows an 1.8×1.5 cm sized, well-defined and heterogeneous

Fig. 2. A. Photomicrograph shows a proliferated glandular epithelium surrounded by a densely fibrous ductal wall (H & E staining, ×30).

B. Photomicrograph shows a compact cellular nodule consisted of cluster glandular units with ordered association of epithelial and myoepithelial cells. Calcification (arrow) is noted within H & E staining, ×100).
plasm(3). A fibrotic reaction also occurs with apparent
invasion of surrounding tissue; on frozen section, this
has occasionally been mistaken for malignancy(1). Our
case also showed focal invasion of surrounding tissue,
a feature can be confused with malignancy, but to de-
termine whether the lesion is benign, identification of
the two cell type structures (epithelial and myoepithel-
ial) is crucial(4). The cytologic features of ductal ade-
ona have recently been reported(5, 6); smears were
highly cellular with epithelial cells in sheets, and
naked oval nuclei in the background, indicating benig-
nancy, were observed.

According to Azzopardi(1), calcification was sup-
pposedly a common feature, but its mammographic ap-
pearance was not described. Moskovic and Remachan-
dra(2) reported one case of ductal adenoma of the
breast which showed malignant looking calcification
but no associated soft tissue mass. Our case presented
as a palpable mass, seen on mammography as a discrete
mass with calcification, the dense and irregularly
shaped appearance of which suggested benignancy
and malignancy, respectively. We could find no refer-
ence to its sonographic appearance, but in this case,
a well defined mass with bilateral shadowing and pos-
terior enhancement was suggestive of sonographic fea-
ture of benign mass, though this was nonspecific. Due
to its mammographic appearance, and the fact that the
patient was 69, tissue confirmation was therefore
ecessary; radiological differential diagnosis aims to
distinguish carcinoma, especially in older patients, and
fibrocystic disease including sclerosing adenosis(2).

The need for histologic confirmation before definite
surgery has been emphasized by the report in which a
pre-planned mastectomy on the basis of clinical
findings suggesting malignancy was canceled because
excisional biopsy proved it to be benign(7). Malign-
ancy was found in two of 24 patients(1); one revealed
a focus of lobular neoplasia, the other showed a small
focus of ductal carcinoma in situ, topographically
unrelated to the ductal adenoma. There was no case of
recurrence after surgery for ductal adenoma.

 Clinically ductal adenoma is mimics carcinoma. As
the cases reviewed demonstrate, however, it is difficult
to differentiate benignancy from malignancy on the
basis of imaging findings.
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유방의 유관 선종: 1예 보고

유방의 유관 선종은 늘어난 유관내에 있는 선종성 종괴로 구성된 매우 드문 양성 종양이다. 이는 주로 나이가
많은 환자에서 생기며 임상적으로나 조직학적으로 악성으로 오인되는 경우가 있다. 저자들은 단단하게 만져지
는 유방 종괴를 주소로 내원한 69세 여자에서 생긴 유관 선종의 유방촬영술과 초음파 소견을 보고한다.
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