Is the Serum Uric Acid Level Independently Associated with Incidental Urolithiasis?
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Objective. Urolithiasis is one of the manifestations of gout and the risk is higher in gouty patients. On the other hand, an independent association between the urinary stone and serum uric acid (UA) level has not been established. This study examined whether the risk of urolithiasis increases with increasing serum UA level.

Methods. Among the people who visited a tertiary hospital from 2010 to 2013, 13,964 individuals who underwent both ultrasonography and a laboratory test were recruited in the study. The risk of urolithiasis on ultrasonography was analyzed in association with the serum UA level by multiple logistic regression analysis with an adjustment for age, sex, body mass index, estimated glomerular filtration rate, and known underlying diseases, including diabetes mellitus and hypertension.

Results. Among the 6,743 men (48.3%) and 7,221 women (51.7%), the age was 51.3±13.5 and the serum UA level was 4.5±2.1 mg/dL. Hyperuricemia (>7 mg/dL) was observed in 1,381 cases (9.9%). Urolithiasis was detected by ultrasonography in 608 cases (4.4%). The detection rates of urolithiasis in individuals with hyperuricemia and normouricemia were 5.9% and 4.1%, respectively (p=0.001). Multiple logistic regression analysis showed that individuals with hyperuricemia had a significantly higher risk of urolithiasis (adjusted odds ratio [OR]=1.54; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.20∼1.96; p=0.001). A comparison of the highest with the lowest quartile of serum UA revealed a multivariable-adjusted OR of 3.17 (95% CI, 1.98∼5.11) for men and 1.79 (1.08∼2.93) for women.

Conclusion. These results suggest that individuals with a higher serum UA level have a higher risk of subclinical and clinical urolithiasis. (J Rheum Dis 2018;25:116-121)
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INTRODUCTION

Urolithiasis is a relatively common problem observed in medical practice with a lifetime risk of approximately 8.8% to 10%, and its prevalence has been increasing in many industrialized countries [1,2]. Although calcium-containing renal stones are the most common type of renal calculi, uric acid (UA) stones are the second most frequent component of a renal stone (about 10%∼15% of all renal calculi) and can contribute to calcium-containing stone formation [3,4].

Hyperuricemia, which occurs when the concentration of urate in the serum is above the solubility limit, is the main predisposing factor for gout. Several studies have reported that the prevalence of urolithiasis in gout patients was higher than that found in the general population [5-7]. However, gout and urolithiasis shared common risk factors such as hypertension, diabetes and obesity [8,9]. Additionally, it is not yet known with certainty whether hyperuricemia itself is independently associated with the risk for incidental urolithiasis. Until now, only gout patients have been included in most studies associated with urolithiasis except for those with asymptomatic hyperuricemia [5-7].
Therefore, the primary objective of this study is to investigate the independent association between serum UA level and the prevalence of subclinical and clinical urolithiasis detected by ultrasonography.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Among people visited a tertiary hospital from January 2010 to December 2013, we selected 13,964 eligible individuals (≥20-year old) who performed both laboratory test and abdominal or kidney ultrasonography. Retrospectively, we reviewed all clinical data from the electronic medical records according to the permission of regional Institutional Review Board. Clinical information was collected in the following areas: demographic characteristics (sex, age, weight and height), underlying diseases (diabetes mellitus, hypertension and gout), laboratory data (serum UA and serum creatinine) and the presence of urolithiasis, as ascertained by ultrasonography. Comorbidities were identified using the Korean Classification of Disease, 6th edition (KCD-6), a modification of the International Classification of Disease and Related Health Problems, 10th revision (ICD-10). Mean values of laboratory data were used when serum UA or serum creatinine levels were repeatedly measured within the study period. If ultrasonography was performed more than once, a single positive result was regarded as a positive urinary stone diagnosis.

Hyperuricemia was defined as having serum UA level above 7.0 mg/dL. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by the formula of weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in meters. The estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated using the re-expressed 4-variable modification of diet in renal disease (MDRD) study equation: eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²) = 175 × standardized serum creatinine concentration (mg/dL) − 1.154 × age − 0.203 × 0.742 if patient is female.

This study was performed in accordance with the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in Brazil 2013) and received approval from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Ulsan University Hospital (IRB no. 2014-12-018).

Statistical analysis

All continuous variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation and median (interquartile range), as appropriate, and compared using Student’s t-test or Mann-Whitney U-test for parametric and non-parametric data, respectively. Categorical variables were reported as numbers (percentages) and compared by the Chi-square test. The Cochran-Armitage trend test was used to assess the trend for the proportion of urolithiasis according to the UA categories. The risk of urolithiasis was analyzed in association with serum UA level by multiple logistic regression analysis with adjustment for age, sex, BMI, GFR and known underlying diseases including diabetes mellit-
tus and hypertension.

Statistical significance was defined as \( p < 0.05 \). Data were analyzed using PASW statistical software, version 18.0 (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA) and R 3.2.4 statistical software (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). Predicted probabilities for urolithiasis according to serum UA level and other risk factors were generated based on the multiple logistic regression model by using R software and effects package [10].

**RESULTS**

Among a total of 13,964 cases (6,743 men [48.3%] and 7,221 women [51.7%]), the mean age was 51.3±13.5 (range, 20~95), the mean serum UA level was 4.5±2.1 mg/dL (range, 0.4~21.8), and the mean serum creatinine level was 2.1±2.2 mg/dL (range, 0.4~18.9). The characteristics of individuals with or without urolithiasis are shown in Tables 1 and 2. Among the total of 13,964 cases, renal stone was detected by ultrasonography 608 cases (4.4%). Age and the mean serum UA levels were found to be higher in cases with urolithiasis. Additionally, the proportion of each underlying disease (diabetes mellitus, hypertension and gout) was also higher in the urolithiasis group. There was no significant difference in the gender, BMI, and serum creatinine level between the two groups.

![Figure 1. Proportion of urolithiasis according to serum uric acid levels.](image-url)

Hyperuricemia was found in 1,381 cases (9.9%). The rates of urolithiasis in individuals with hyperuricemia and normal serum UA level were 5.9% and 4.1%, respectively (\( p=0.001 \)). Moreover, the overall detection rate of urolithiasis increased proportionally to serum UA level (\( p<0.001 \)) (Figure 1).

In multiple logistic regression analysis adjusted by age, sex, BMI, GFR, diabetes mellitus and hypertension, individuals with hyperuricemia had significantly higher

![Table 2. Clinical characteristics of the study population according to gender](image-url)

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation, median (interquartile range) or number (%). BMI: body mass index, GFR: glomerular filtration rate.
risk of urolithiasis than those without hyperuricemia (adjusted odds ratio [OR]=1.54; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.20~1.96; p=0.001) (Table 3). The OR for urolithiasis was 1.15 (95% CI, 1.09~1.20; p<0.001) whenever the serum UA level was increased by 1 mg/dL (Table 4). The odds ratios for urolithiasis also signifi-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Age-adjusted Odds ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Multivariable-adjusted* Odds ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No hyperuricemia</td>
<td>1.00 (Reference)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00 (Reference)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperuricemia (serum uric acid &gt; 7 mg/dL)</td>
<td>1.54 (1.21~1.94)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.54 (1.20~1.96)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1.50 (1.12~1.98)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>1.47 (1.09~1.94)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1.89 (1.13~2.99)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>1.89 (1.11~3.02)</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI: confidence intervals. *Adjusted by age, body mass index, glomerular filtration rate, diabetes mellitus and hypertension.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Age-adjusted Odds ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Multivariable-adjusted* Odds ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1.11 (1.07~1.15)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.15 (1.09~1.20)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1.13 (1.08~1.19)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.18 (1.11~1.24)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1.10 (1.03~1.17)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>1.11 (1.02~1.19)</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI: confidence intervals. *Adjusted by age, body mass index, glomerular filtration rate, diabetes mellitus and hypertension. † Odds ratio and 95% CI was impressed by 1 mg/dL increase of serum uric acid.

Figure 2. Plots of odds ratios (ORs) for urolithiasis according to serum uric acid level, age, glomerular filtration rate (GFR), presence of diabetes mellitus, presence of hypertension, body mass index, and serum uric acid quartile. Squares and horizontal bars represent ORs and 95% confidence intervals (CIs), respectively. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.
Effect plots showing the predicted probabilities and 95% confidence intervals (represented by shaded areas or error bars) for urolithiasis based on the multivariable logistic regression model.

Figure 3. Effect plots showing the predicted probabilities and 95% confidence intervals (represented by shaded areas or error bars) for urolithiasis based on the multivariable logistic regression model.

DISCUSSION

Whereas a relatively large number of studies showed the association between gout and urolithiasis [5-7,11], few reports have investigated the independent association between serum UA level and urolithiasis. Hyperuricemia is known to be an underlying metabolic disorder causing monosodium urate crystal formation and it is reasonable to hypothesize that the prevalence of urolithiasis is related to the serum UA levels. Our investigation of 13,964 cases revealed that the overall detection rate of urolithiasis was independently associated with the presence of urolithiasis.

Most of the daily uric acid excretion (65%~75%) occurs through kidneys. Previous study showed that hyperuricemia, in about 90% of cases, was due to an impaired renal excretion [12]. Conversely, it was known that hyperuricemia affects kidney function deterioration. There were several mechanisms by which uric acid may be causing these effects. Firstly, uric acid was associated with activation of the renin-angiotensin system, with the development of arteriolosclerosis and glomerular hypertrophy [13]. Moreover, uric acid might cause mitochondrial dysfunction by acting a pro-oxidant inside the cell to induce stimulation of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) oxidases and uric acid can also induce endothelial dysfunction via stimulating the release of alarmins from endothelial cells that activate Toll-like receptor pathways [14].

Several imaging modalities are used for investigating the prevalence of urolithiasis. Many factors have an effect on choosing the imaging tool including the clinical setting, patient’s preference, cost and tolerance of radiation. Although by no means as sensitive as computed tomography (CT), ultrasonography is a frequently used tool because of its lower expense compared to CT and lack of radiation exposure, in spite of several disadvantages such as user dependency or poor visualization of stones in the ureter. Furthermore, detection of stones by ultrasonography is relatively easy, regardless of their radiolucency [15].

However, while ultrasonography could detect the radiolucent stone, it is difficult to use it distinguish the type of urolithiasis. About 80 percent of patients with urolithiasis formed calcium containing stones, in which most stones were composed primarily of calcium oxalate, followed by calcium phosphate [16]. Pure UA stones account for about 10%~15% of urolithiasis [3]. Some patients may have more than one type of stone concurrently, for example mixed calcium oxalate and UA stones. Moreover, increased UA could well contribute to the formation of calcium oxalate stones [17]. Therefore, the higher detection rate of urolithiasis in individuals with the higher serum UA level in our study was thought to be related to the increase in mixed urolithiasis as well as pure UA stone.

Uric acid is a frequent component of urinary stones and can also contribute to calcium containing stone formation as well as pure uric acid stone. The main mechanism of uric acid stone was the supersaturation of urinary uric acid, which was more likely to occur when urinary pH was less than 5.5 and when urinary volume was decreased. The solubility of urate salts is also affected by the relative concentrations of cations in the urine. Increased urinary sodium concentrations promote formation of the monosodium urate complex [4]. In addition to uric acid stone, hyperuricemia may contribute to calcium oxalate stone formation via heterogeneous nucleation, the primary mechanism of uric acid containing calcium nephrolith-
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Our present study had some limitations. First, our analysis was a retrospective investigation from a single tertiary hospital. Therefore, even though a relatively large number of individuals participated in our study, there might be some selection bias: for instance, more individuals who have one or more co-morbidities might be included in this study than those in the general population. Thus, this study population could not fully represent a general population. Second, as with previous epidemiological studies, we could not distinguish the type of urolithiasis. So, the nephrolithiasis, which was less related with hyperuricemia (for example, magnesium ammonium phosphate stone, cystine stone and cholesterol stone), may also be included in our results. Third, we could not adjust for medication use or dietary habits which could also influence the serum UA level and stone formation: medications as such as diuretics, salicylates, warfarin and xanthine oxidase inhibitor or dietary factors such as alcohol, meat, sodium and calcium. Forth, because we only checked the presence of urolithiasis at the time of ultrasonographic examination, regardless of urolithiasis symptoms, it is possible that passed stones were not detected, resulting in an underestimation for the detection rate of urolithiasis.

However, this study was based on objective data obtained from laboratory and radiographic evaluation, not on survey data which could have recall bias. Also, this study is from a large number of individuals with asymptomatic hyperuricemia and those with subclinical urolithiasis. Therefore, in this study we demonstrated an independent relationship between serum UA level and urolithiasis, which has not yet been well established.

**CONCLUSION**

This study suggests that individuals with a higher serum UA level had a higher risk of having incidental urolithiasis proportionally. This finding may provide a basis for establishing appropriate screening and preventive strategies for hyperuricemia and its complication.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work was funded by Ulsan University Hospital, Biomedical Research Center Promotion Fund (number. 1503027).

**CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

**REFERENCES**