Evaluation of fluoride bioavailability in toothpastes
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Objectives: The aims of this study were to determine the total fluoride concentration and bioavailable fluoride concentration in different toothpastes, based on a newly suggested method by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO), and to compare the measured concentrations with the concentrations written on the packaging.

Methods: The concentrations of total fluoride (TF) and bioavailable fluoride (BF) were measured in six toothpastes. For the TF measurement, 1 g of each toothpaste was mixed with dipotassium hydrogen phosphate (K₂HPO₄) and hydrogen chloride (HCl) was placed. After 24 hours, the samples were centrifuged and total ionic strength adjustment buffer (TISAB) solution was added. For the BF measurement, the toothpaste was mixed with K₂HPO₄ for only 1 minute. The samples were centrifuged, and then HCl was placed and allowed to stand for 24 hours. The TISAB solution was added subsequently. The concentration of fluoride ions was measured using a fluoride ion-selective electrode and calculated against a standard curve.

Results: The six toothpastes were composed of different fluoride compounds and abrasives. The measured TF concentration ranged from 624.99 ppm to 1,353.00 ppm, and the similarity to the declared fluoride concentration ranged from 53.48% to 93.31%. The measured BF concentration ranged from 587.61 ppm to 1,360.05 ppm, and the similarity to the expected fluoride concentration ranged from 41.97% to 93.80%. Two samples were clearly separated when the samples were centrifuged, whereas the remaining four samples had unclear supernatants. The clearly separated toothpastes (i.e., toothpastes 5 and 6) had BF concentrations that were similar to or lower than the declared fluoride concentrations and the measured TF concentrations. However, the unclearly separated toothpastes showed inconsistent relationships between the measured TF and BF concentrations.

Conclusions: The measured TF and BF concentrations of the six toothpastes did not reach the expected fluoride concentration. This finding resulted from the different compositions and forms of the toothpastes. Therefore, the properties of toothpastes need to be considered when measuring their fluoride concentrations.
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Introduction

The large reduction in number of dental caries in the last few decades is considered to be due to the widespread use of both systemic and topical fluorides. As the beneficial effect of topical fluoride has been proven through number of studies, there was a huge improvement on the topical fluorides, with toothpaste still remaining as the most widespread and significant form of fluoride. There was a Cochrane systematic review that also emphasized the role of fluoride toothpaste in anti-caries activity, yet it stated that the concentration of fluoride in toothpastes needs to be 1,000 ppm or more in order to be effective.

On the other hand, some of early studies on the fluoride toothpastes failed to prove the efficacy of the toothpastes against caries without finding the exact mechanism. However, as more number of investigations were done on fluoride toothpastes, it was found that for the toothpastes to be effective against caries, the fluorides need to be in soluble form and that the anti-caries effect could be reduced by insoluble salts formed between the free fluoride ions and the abrasives contained in the toothpastes. Thus, it is crucial for the toothpastes to contain sufficient concentration of not only the total fluoride but also the soluble fluoride to be effective in prevention of caries.

However, the concentration of soluble fluoride can be lower than that of the total fluoride which is labeled on the packaging. In Korea, there were several attempts to measure the total fluoride and the soluble fluoride of the commercialized toothpastes in Korea, and they found that the majority of the analyzed toothpastes contained soluble fluoride close to 1,000 ppm. Considering the maximum permitted fluoride content in toothpaste used to be 1,000 ppm when these studies were performed, it can be assumed that most of the fluoride toothpastes commercialized in Korea contained soluble fluoride in close proximity of the labeled fluoride. Nevertheless, there was a previous study which determined total and free fluoride from the toothpastes purchased in low-income countries, and they reported that in 25% of the toothpastes, <55% of the declared fluoride was in free fluoride form. Therefore, in order to ensure the efficacy of fluoride toothpastes, there is a need of quality control measures that test toothpastes by measuring both total fluoride and soluble fluoride.

There are various measurement methods for fluoride in toothpastes. As one of the examples, International Organization for Standardization (ISO), who defines standards for the quality of fluoride toothpaste, describes methods for testing the total fluoride content of toothpastes in its standard, yet the guideline for soluble fluoride is still in preparation. Recently, a suggestion for measuring the soluble fluoride was made by the ISO TC 106. The suggested method measures the concentration of soluble fluoride in toothpaste slurry at one minute. As the actual tooth brushing time has been known to be approximately 1 minute, this method can be considered as the representative method to capture all the bioavailable fluoride species during the 1-minute brushing. However, the fluoride measurement method often used in Korea is the diffusion method, which measures soluble fluoride concentration without considering the actual brushing time. Therefore, there is a need to evaluate the 1-minute fluoride bioavailability also in Korea.

The aims of this study were to establish the total and 1-minute potentially available soluble fluoride concentration of toothpastes that were available in many countries using the newly suggested method and to compare the concentrations with the concentration written on the packaging.

Materials and Methods

1. Fluoride toothpaste samples

Six toothpastes of five brands commercialized in many countries were evaluated (Table 1). Along with the instruction sheet information about the toothpastes such as lot numbers, density and concentrations of fluoride compounds, and expiration dates were provided by the ISO TC 106. The information provided on packaging was also checked for descriptive names of fluoride compounds and abrasives.

2. Preparation of the samples

Two different fluoride concentrations were assessed for each toothpaste: (1) Total fluoride and (2) 1-minute bioavailable fluoride. For both assessments, approximately 1 g of the toothpaste was measured into a tared test tube, and mixed with 0.1 mol/L K_2HPO_4 (Duksan, Ansan, Korea) at a 1:3 dilution to create a slurry sample. For each toothpaste, 2 slurry samples were produced: one for determination of Total Fluoride and the other for determination of Bioavailable Fluoride. After 12 slurry samples were produced from six toothpastes, the fluoride preparation was carried on as follows.

2.1. Determination of Total Fluoride (TF)

The six slurry samples were thoroughly vortexed, and 1.0 mol/L HCl of the twice the slurry volume was added to each
test tube in order to ionize fluoride ion. Acidified slurry was maintained for 24 hours followed by the transfer of the 1 ml into a microcentrifuge tube. The sample was centrifuged (Micro 17R, Hanil Co., Inchon, Korea) at 12,000 rpm for 10 minutes. After the centrifuge, 0.5 ml of the supernatant was transferred into another microcentrifuge tube, and it was followed by addition of the same volume of TISAB solution, which was TISAB II (trans-1,2-Diaminocyclohexane-N, N', N"-tetra-acetic acid, Orion Research Inc. USA) diluted with deionized water at 1:1 ratio in order to prevent interference from other ions.

### 2.2. Determination of Bioavailable Fluoride (BF)

To obtain bioavailable fluoride, the six slurry samples were mixed by alternating between high speed vortexing and manual mixing for 1 minute only. If the toothpaste was not completely dispersed, it was discarded, and the procedure was repeated. 0.5 ml slurry was then transferred into a microcentrifuge tube and centrifuged (Micro 17R, Hanil Co., Inchon, Korea) at 12,000 rpm for 2 minutes. 0.1 ml of the supernatant after the centrifuge was mixed with 0.1 ml 2.0 mol/L HCl and digested overnight. After overnight, 0.3 ml TISAB solution was added to the digested sample and mixed thoroughly.

### 3. Fluoride analysis

To determine both TF and BF concentrations, 4,950 ml TISAB solution was added to 0.05 ml of each sample of all twelve samples. For measurements, a fluoride electrode (Orion Research Inc., ion plus fluoride 9609BN, USA) was used, which was calibrated with NaF standard solutions of $1 \times 10^{-2}$, $1 \times 10^{-3}$, $1 \times 10^{-4}$, and $1 \times 10^{-5}$ mol/L made with NaF (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, Mo., USA). The Fluoride concentrations were calculated using a calibration curve based on the standard solutions.

### Results

The results of the fluoride analysis of the six toothpastes are presented in Table 2. The evaluated toothpastes had various types of fluorides and abrasives. Among the six toothpastes evaluated, there were two toothpastes only with NaF, one only with AmF, and one with a combination of NaF and AmF. The other two toothpastes had SMFP and KF as fluoride agents respectively (Table 1). All the TF concentrations were lower than the fluoride contents declared by the manufacturer. When the TF concentrations were compared to the expected fluoride concentrations, the percentages of
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**Table 1. Information of toothpastes under analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commercial brand (Manufacturer)</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>F compound</th>
<th>Expected [F] (ppm)</th>
<th>Abraive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cavity Protection (Colgate)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>SMFP</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>Dicalcium phosphate dihydrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elmex (Gaba)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>AmF</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>Hydrated silica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elmex Erosion (Gaba)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>AmF</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>Hydrated silica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cavity Protection (Crest)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NaF</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>Hydrated silica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete Care (Arm &amp; Hammer)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NaF</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>Silica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluro Protector Gel (Ivoclarvivadent)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>KF</td>
<td>1,450</td>
<td>Calcium glycerophosphate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SMFP, sodium monofluorophosphate; AmF, amine fluoride; NaF, sodium fluoride; KF, potassium fluoride.

**Table 2. Concentrations of expected fluoride, measured total fluoride, and measured bioavailable fluoride of the toothpastes (Mean±SD)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Toothpastes</th>
<th>F compound</th>
<th>Expected [F] (ppm)</th>
<th>Measured Total [F] (ppm) (n=1)</th>
<th>Measured Bioavailable [F] (ppm) (n=1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SMFP</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>744.92±126.95</td>
<td>720.62±44.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AmF</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>748.75±107.24</td>
<td>1,100.74±62.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>AmF</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>1,048.95±77.07</td>
<td>987.61±62.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>NaF</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>624.99±23.49</td>
<td>945.22±39.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>NaF</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>1,017.29±103.76</td>
<td>835.97±61.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>KF</td>
<td>1,450</td>
<td>1,353.00±115.16</td>
<td>1,360.05±103.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SMFP, sodium monofluorophosphate; AmF, amine fluoride; NaF, sodium fluoride; KF, potassium fluoride.
similarities between them could be ranked in descending order as follows: Toothpaste 6 (93.31%), toothpaste 5 (92.48%), toothpaste 3 (74.93%), toothpaste 1 (74.49%), toothpaste 4 (56.82%), toothpaste 2 (53.48%) (Fig. 1).

Regarding the BF content, all the measured BF contents were also lower than the declared fluoride contents with the similarities also varying from 41.97% to 93.80% (Table 2 and Fig. 1). For BF concentration measurement, the toothpaste samples were centrifuged for 2 minutes, and the toothpastes could be divided into two groups depending on the status of resulting supernatant after the centrifuge. Among the six toothpastes, two toothpaste samples 5 and 6 were distinctively separated into supernatants and precipitates while the other four toothpaste slurry samples were not clearly separated, producing opaque supernatants. When the BF contents of the toothpastes 5 and 6 with clear separation were compared to the measured TF of those, the toothpaste 6 had similar fluoride contents for both measurements, whereas the BF of toothpaste 5 was 82.17% of the measured TF. The other toothpastes 1, 2, 3, and 4, which were not apparently separated after the centrifuge, were found to show inconsistent relations between the measured TF and BF. Among the four samples, the BF concentrations of the toothpaste 1 and 3 were lower than the measured TF while the other two toothpastes 2 and 4 showed an opposite trend.

**Discussion**

Fluoride toothpastes which are widely used have a crucial role in caries prevention. However, in order to interfere with the caries process, fluoride ions need to be soluble in their formula of the fluoride toothpastes so that they can be available for the de- and remineralization process. However, other components of toothpaste including abrasives can bind to fluoride ions and interfere with their activity. Therefore, for anti-caries effects of fluoride toothpastes to be valid, the formulation has to be compatible. There has been number of attempts to find the ideal formulation of toothpaste and the appropriate measurement method to assess soluble fluoride. However, even throughout the development of measurement methods, there is no standardized method to measure the soluble fluoride currently. The present study followed the ISO protocol to measure the total fluoride and another newly suggested protocol to measure the concentration of soluble fluoride in toothpaste slurry mixed at one minute, which represents the fluoride concentration potentially bioavailable to the toothpaste user during brushing.

The results of the present finding confirmed that of various factors associated with fluoride compatibility, fluoride agent and abrasive formulated in toothpaste are the ones that play a key role. Currently, most fluoride toothpastes contain either sodium fluoride (NaF) or sodium monofluorophosphate (SMFP) or a combination of both as fluoride agents. A previous study claimed the superiority of ionic compound NaF, which can be easily dissociated, compared to the covalently bonded compound SMFP, which requires enzymatic hydrolysis to release fluoride ions. In this study, the analyzed toothpastes also contained SMFP and NaF, and as well as potassium fluoride (KF) and amine fluoride (AmF). The superiority of ionic compound over covalent bond can also be supported by the finding of the present study where the toothpastes 5 and 6, which contained ionic fluoride compounds, had higher TF and BF concentrations than the toothpastes containing fluoride compounds based on covalent bonding. However, this finding could also be attributed to the abrasive, which is another factor associated with efficacy of toothpastes.

In the present study, the total fluoride was measured by ionizing the toothpaste with acid first, which was followed by the centrifugation, and compared with the declared fluoride concentration. The measured TF concentrations of all six toothpastes were lower than the declared TF concentrations with a range of differences, and the differences could be due to the reactions between the various fluoride compounds and abrasives of the six toothpastes. SMFP is known to be compatible with a wider range of abrasives than NaF because NaF is incompatible with abrasives that contain calcium; particularly calcium carbonate (CaCO₃) and dicalcium phosphate de-
hydrate (DCPD). Its fluoride can easily dissociate due to ionic bonding, strongly react with these abrasives, and form insoluble salts. Meanwhile, the other commonly used fluoride agent SMFP has great compatibility with calcium containing abrasives due to the fluorophosphate (PO₃F₂) component in SMFP. The firm bond between the fluoride ion and phosphate in the PO₃F₂ inhibits the PO₃F₂ from binding to calcium. In this study, the toothpaste 4 and the toothpaste 5 had NaF as their fluoride agents and silica as their abrasives, and the toothpaste 6 contained KF, which is another ionic compound like NaF, and calcium glycerophosphate as abrasive. Although NaF is known to be incompatible with calcium containing abrasive, its formulation with silica allows fluoride to be soluble, which can also be supported by the present study’s finding with the toothpaste 5. Its measured concentration was 92.48% of the declared, showing the second highest similarity to the declared concentration among the six toothpastes. Moreover, a previous study reported that this ionic compound is compatible calcium phosphate abrasive, which explains the highest fluoride release of the toothpaste 6. The toothpaste 6 had the highest similarity percentage between the measured and the declared concentration with the similarity value of 93.31%. In addition to the formulation compatibility, the toothpaste 6 is in gel form, which could also have contributed to its highest fluoride release. Meanwhile, the toothpaste 4 had relatively low similarity percentage between the measured and the declared concentration although its formulation between NaF and silica. This might be due to other components within the toothpaste, hampering the complete dissolution of the toothpaste.

Regarding the measured TF concentrations of the toothpastes containing non-ionic fluoride compounds, it was found that less fluoride was soluble from non-ionic fluoride toothpastes than the ionic fluoride toothpastes. The measured concentrations of the toothpaste 1, which contained SMFP as fluoride agent and DCPD as abrasive, and the toothpaste 2, which was formulated of AmF and silica, were 74.49% and 53.48% of the declared concentrations. The toothpaste 2 had the largest difference between the declared and the measured TF concentrations, showing almost half similarity when compared to the toothpaste 6. This may be due to the poor compatibility between the abrasive and the fluoride agent. However, there was a previous study that compared the uptake of fluoride by tooth enamel from four different fluoride toothpastes: sodium fluoride (NaF), sodium monofluorophosphate (NaMFP), stannous fluoride (SnF₂), and amine fluoride (AmF), and found that the uptake of fluoride was statistically significant in groups of AmF and SnF₂. Thus, although the concentration of fluoride was low, the actual uptake might be high, affecting the effectiveness of fluoride on caries.

In this study, the six toothpastes were also measured of their 1-minute potentially bioavailable fluoride. Although the recommended brushing time is 2 or 3 minutes, a majority of studies indicated the average brushing time to be approximately 1 minute. Moreover, the ADA guidelines for fluoride-containing dentifrice products also describes the measurement method for one minute fluoride release rate in fresh and aged samples, emphasizing the importance of measuring the bioavailable fluoride. In order to simulate this actual situation, the present study mixed toothpaste slurries for 1 minute, centrifuged them for 2 minutes, ionized them with acid, and obtained the bioavailable fluoride concentrations. As observed in the TF measurement, the BF concentrations of all six toothpastes were lower than the declared TF concentrations with various differences. For the BF measurement, the six toothpastes could be classified into two groups, the clear group and the opaque group, according to the status of samples after the centrifuge, and only two toothpastes 5 and 6 were included in the clear group. When the BF concentration of the toothpaste 6 was compared to the declared fluorides and the measured TF, it presented the highest similarity. However, the BF concentration of the toothpaste 5 was 75.80% of the expected fluoride and 82.18% of the measured TF, indicating the advantage of the gel property of the toothpaste 6 in terms of releasing bioavailable fluoride. Meanwhile, the four toothpastes of the opaque group presented different patterns from the clear group. The toothpaste 2 and 4 showed their BF concentrations much higher than the measured TF concentrations; whereas the BF concentrations of the toothpaste 1 and 3 were lower. This may be due to the fact that although all toothpaste samples were discarded unless they were completely suspended into the slurry, 1 minute was still too short to completely disperse the toothpastes, resulting in insoluble salt included in the supernatants. Therefore, there is a probability that the BF concentrations of the four toothpastes measured with the newly suggested measurement method might have been overestimated. Furthermore, as surfactants and binder agents were reported to inhibit salivary and plaque phosphatases by a previous study, there is a chance that formulation components other than abrasives could also have affected bioavailability of fluoride ions. Hence, there is a need to review the newly suggested measurement method protocols, where none of salivary and plaque phosphatases was considered.
According to Cochrane review, it was stated that, for preventing caries in children and adolescents, toothpastes must contain at least 1,000 ppm fluoride\(^5\). In Korea, fortunately, there was a recent amendment to the legislation in which the maximum permitted fluoride content in toothpaste was increased from 1,000 ppm to 1,500 ppm. From this change, there will be more bioavailable fluoride ions delivered by the toothpastes in Korea, increasing the effectiveness of Korean toothpastes.

The concern of quality of fluoride toothpaste is not only restricted to Korea as international guidelines for toothpastes often refer to only the total fluoride content, and the ISO Standard 11609 was not an exception. Nevertheless, recently there was a new suggestion by ISO about measuring the bioavailable fluoride, and the present study analyzed six toothpastes of their total and 1-minute potentially bioavailable fluoride concentrations according to the proposed method. Although the 1-minute bioavailable fluoride measurement method could be considered as the significant method as it was designed to mimic the actual brushing situation, the method seemed to be affected by various factors including compatibility between fluorides and abrasives. Therefore, the newly suggested method requires further revision, which would lead to development of a useful measurement method to assess fluoride bioavailability in toothpastes.

**Conclusions**

Six toothpastes were analyzed of total and 1-minute potentially bioavailable fluoride concentrations according to newly suggested ISO method, and both total and bioavailable concentrations were compared with the fluoride concentration written on the packaging.

When compared to the declared fluoride concentrations, deficiencies were found regarding both total and bioavailable fluoride concentrations for all the six toothpastes. This leads to a need to monitor the quality of fluoride toothpastes on a regular basis.

In particular, the results of bioavailable fluoride concentration measurements were hugely affected by the compositions and properties of toothpastes.

Since the measurement results of bioavailable fluoride concentrations obtained using the newly suggested method seem to be inadequate to be interpreted as representative bioavailable fluoride, it is necessary to revise the method in order to guarantee a greater standardization.
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