Effect of 2% chlorhexidine application on microtensile bond strength of resin composite to dentin using one-step self-etch adhesives
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: This study examined the effect of 2% chlorhexidine on the μTBS of a direct composite restoration using one-step self-etch adhesives on human dentin.

Materials and Methods: Twenty-four extracted permanent molars were used. The teeth were assigned randomly to six groups (n = 10), according to the adhesive system and application of chlorhexidine. With or without the application of chlorhexidine, each adhesive system was applied to the dentin surface. After the bonding procedure, light-cure composite resin buildups were produced. The restored teeth were stored in distilled water at room temperature for 24 hours, and then cut and glued to the jig of the microtensile testing machine. A tensile load was applied until the specimen failed. The failure mode was examined using an operating microscope. The data was analyzed statistically using one-way ANOVA, Student’s t-test (p < 0.05) and Scheffe’s test.

Results: Regardless of the application of chlorhexidine, the Clearfil S3 Bond showed the highest μTBS, followed by G-Bond and Xeno V. Adhesive failure was the main failure mode of the dentin bonding agents tested with some samples showing cohesive failure.

Conclusions: The application of 2% chlorhexidine did not affect the μTBS of the resin composite to the dentin using a one-step self-etch adhesive. (J Kor Acad Cons Dent 2010;35(6):486-491.)
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Introduction

Pre-treatment of the tooth surface with antibacterial agents is useful for eliminating the harmful effects caused by either the residual bacteria or bacterial microleakage.¹ Chlorhexidine is one of the most widely used broad spectrum antibacterial or antiseptic agents in dentistry.² The efficacy of chlorhexidine in caries prevention had been established in clinical trials.³

The latest development in dental adhesion is based on simplification and a reduced application time. The first self-etch adhesives were composed of two solutions, an acidic primer and bonding resin. Recently, many clinicians have shifted to one-step self-etch systems (all-in-one adhesives), in which the manufacturers have attempted to incorporate all the primary components of an adhesive system (etchant, primer, and bonding resin) into a single solution.
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One potential problem with the use of chlorhexidine with dentin bonding agents is the possibility of adverse effects on the bond strength of the resin composites. Previous studies\cite{4-10} were carried out using etch-and-rinse and self-etch adhesives with conflicting results being reported. However, few studies have examined the effect of chlorhexidine application on the microtensile bond strength of resin composites to dentin using one-step self-etch adhesives. As the use of one-step self-etch adhesives has become popular at clinical practice recently, a study about the effect of chlorhexidine on resin composite restored with one-step self-etch adhesive is necessary. Therefore, this study examined the effect of chlorhexidine on the microtensile bond strength ($\mu$TBS) of direct composite restorations in vitro using a one-step self-etch adhesive on human dentin.

**Materials and Methods**

1. **Tooth preparation**

Twenty-four extracted noncarious human permanent molars were washed and stored in distilled water. A plastic mold was filled with an autopolymerizing resin (Tokuso curefast; Tokuyama, Tokyo, Japan) and the root surface was embedded in acrylic resin, leaving the clinical crown exposed. After removing the plastic mold, the teeth were sectioned horizontally at mid-coronal level using a diamond-saw (Accutom-50; Struers, Rødovre, Denmark) under continuous water cooling. The flat dentin surface was exposed using 600 grit silicon carbide paper under running water.

2. **Dentin bonding and resin composite buildups**

The teeth were then assigned randomly to six groups according to the adhesive system and application of chlorhexidine. Tables 1 and 2 listed the materials and groups used in this study, respectively. In the chlorhexidine applied groups, 1 mL of a 2% chlorhexidine solution was applied to the exposed dentin surface for 10 seconds and dried for 10 seconds without rinsing. Each adhesive system was applied according to the manufacturer’s instruction. After the bonding procedure, the light-cure composite resin (Premise; Kerr, Orange, CA, USA) was bonded to the treated dentin surface in 1 mm increments. Each 1 mm increment was polymerized for 20 seconds using a light-emitting diode (LED) visible light-polymerizing unit (Bluephase; Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein), and the height of the total

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Materials used in this study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Materials</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearfil S' Bond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xeno V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-Bond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviations: 10-MDP, 10-methacryloyloxydecyl dihydrogen phosphate; HEMA, 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate; DMA, dimethacrylate; bis-GMA, bisphenol-A-glycidyl ether dimethacrylate; 4-MET, 4-methacryloyloxyethyl trimellitic acid; UDMA, urethane dimethacrylate; TEGDMA, triethylene glycol dimethacrylate; PPF, prepolymerized filler.*
resin build up was approximately 5 mm. The restored teeth were then stored in distilled water at room temperature for 24 hours.

3. μTBS testing

The restored teeth were sectioned longitudinally to make approximately 1 × 1 mm thick and 10 mm long specimens using a diamond-saw under copious amounts of water. Each group contained 10 specimens. Each specimen was glued to the jig of the microtensile testing machine (BISCO Inc: Bisco, Schaumburg, IL, USA) using cyanoacrylate cement (Zapit: Dental Ventures of America, Corona, CA, USA). A tensile load was applied at a 1.0 mm/min cross-head speed until bonding failure occurred and the maximum load at failure was recorded.

4. Failure mode investigation

The fractured test specimens were examined using an operating microscope (OPMI pico: Carl Zeiss, Obercohen, Germany) under ×25 magnification, and the failure mode was classified as follows: adhesive, if the composite resin cone fractured at the adhesive-tooth interface; cohesive, if the composite resin cone fractured inside the composite resin or dentin; or mixed, a combination of adhesive and cohesive failure.

5. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of the μTBS between chlorhexidine application groups and non-application groups were performed using a Student’s t-test. For a comparison of the dentin adhesives, one-way ANOVA was performed and a Scheffe’s test was used for a post-hoc multiple comparison. The level of significance was set to $p < 0.05$.

Results

Table 3 lists the μTBS of the chlorhexidine application and non-application groups of each dentin bonding agent. In each group, the chlorhexidine non-application group showed a higher μTBS than the application group. However there was no significant difference between application and non-application groups ($p > 0.05$). In the chlorhexidine application groups, Clearfil S3 Bond (group 1) showed the highest μTBS, followed by a G-Bond (group 5) and Xeno V (group 3). The μTBS values of the three adhesives were significant different ($p < 0.05$). Similarly, in the chlorhexidine non-application groups, Clearfil S3 Bond (group 2) showed the highest μTBS, followed by G-Bond (group 6) and Xeno V (group 4). The μTBS of the Clearfil S3 Bond was significant higher than that of the G-Bond ($p < 0.05$). However, there was no significant difference between the G-Bond and Xeno V ($p > 0.05$).

Table 4 shows the failure mode. Adhesive failure was the main failure mode observed in all groups. All specimens in group 4 showed adhesive failure.

Table 3. Mean microtensile bond strength (MPa) and standard deviation (n = 10)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chlorhexidine application</th>
<th>Chlorhexidine non-application</th>
<th>Student’s t-test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clearfil S3 Bond</td>
<td>24.04 ± 2.14*</td>
<td>26.89 ± 1.83*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xeno V</td>
<td>14.66 ± 1.83*</td>
<td>15.51 ± 2.16*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-Bond</td>
<td>17.28 ± 1.35*</td>
<td>17.40 ± 1.78*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

μTBS with same superscript in the same vertical row were not significantly different ($p > 0.05$).
Discussion

In many cases, an antiseptic can be useful for eliminating the effects caused by bacteria and provide a better prognosis for the minimal restorative treatment of dental caries. Chlorhexidine is a broad-spectrum antiseptic with pronounced antimicrobial effects, and has been shown to be effective in reducing cariogenic bacteria. In addition to its antibacterial effect, chlorhexidine also functions as a potent matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) inhibitor. Despite the remarkable advances that have increased the dentin-resin bond strength, the premature loss of bond strength is a major problem with adhesive restorations. The loss of bond strength was attributed mainly to the degradation of the hybrid layer at the dentin-adhesive interface. Mild acids can activate MMPs. Both the etch-and-rinse adhesives and self-etching adhesives have mild acidity, and can release and activate endogenous MMPs during dentin bonding. These activated MMPs can slowly hydrolyze any unprotected collagen fibrils of the dentin hybrid layers, which are believed to be responsible for the thinning and disappearance of collagen fibrils from the incompletely infiltrated hybrid layers in aged, bonded dentin. Auto-degradation of the collagen matrix can be suppressed by synthetic protease inhibitors, such as chlorhexidine, indicating that MMP inhibition can be beneficial for preserving hybrid layers.

According to these results, the application of chlorhexidine did not affect the μTBS value significantly. However, the difference in μTBS between the bonding agents was significant as follows: Clearfil S Bond showed the highest μTBS, followed by G-Bond and Xeno V. Xeno V had the lowest pH (pH < 2), followed by G-Bond (pH = 2.3), and Clearfil S Bond (pH = 2.4). Low-pH self-etch adhesives have rather low bond strengths, particularly to dentin. This may be why Clearfil S Bond showed the highest μTBS, followed by G-Bond and Xeno V.

Previous studies reported the association of chlorhexidine application and bond strength to be a contentious issue. There are studies that insist that the application of chlorhexidine affects the bond strength. Gurgan et al reported that the use of 2% chlorhexidine before or after acid etching may decrease the bond strength by altering the ability of the hydrophilic resin to seal dentin. Meiers and Shook indicated that bonding with Tenure (two-step etch-rinse adhesive) was unaffected by the application of chlorhexidine, whereas bonding with Syntac (two-step self-etch adhesive) was affected. However, Perdigão et al stated that chlorhexidine has strong affinity to the tooth surfaces, which is increased by acid-etching. Chlorhexidine also increases the surface free energy of the enamel and can have a similar effect on dentin. These properties might improve the adhesion of primers. However, the loss of calcium from hydroxyapatite and these effects are negated by the presence of chlorhexidine residue. According to their results, chlorhexidine had no significant effect on the shear bond strengths of the composite to dentin using the All-Bond 2 adhesive system. Say et al found that chlorhexidine applied before the acid etching of dentin caused changes in the smear layer, but an acid treatment of the surface nullified any effect of chlorhexidine on the smear layer. In addition, in the present study, there were no differences between the two groups, regardless of chlorhexidine application.

In this study, adhesive failure was the main failure mode in all groups. Adhesive failure may be due to the lower bond strength or a weak stability of the adhesive layer.

In conclusion, within the limits of this study, the application of 2% chlorhexidine did not affect the μTBS of the resin composite to the dentin using a one-step self-etch adhesive. Because chlorhexidine...
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has antimicrobial properties and does not significantly affect the bond strength to dentin, the use of a chlorhexidine solution with a one-step self-etch adhesive might have the added potential of preventing collagen fibril degradation in the dentin hybrid layers. Further studies will be needed to determine the effects of chlorhexidine on the bond durability.
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국문초록

2% 클로르헥시딘 적용이 한 단계 자가부식 접착제를 이용한 복합 레진의 상아질에 대한 미세인장 결합강도에 미치는 효과

장순함1∙허복1∙김현철1∙권용훈2∙박정길**
부산대학교 치의학전문대학원 1치과보존학교실, 2치과재료학교실

연구목적: 이 연구의 목적은 2% 클로르헥시딘 적용이 한 단계 자가부식접착제를 이용한 직접 복합 레진 수복의 미세인장 강도에 미치는 영향을 평가하는 것이었다.

연구 재료 및 방법: 24개의 발거진 대구치를 사용하여 3종류의 한 단계 자가부식접착제 (Clearfil S3 Bond, Xeno V, G-Bond)를 클로르헥시딘을 적용한 그룹과 적용하지 않은 그룹, 총 6개의 그룹으로 나누었다. 클로르헥시딘이 적용하거나 적용하지 않고 그 상부에 각각의 접착제를 적용하고 광중합 복합 레진으로 수복하였다. 24시간 동안 실온의 증류수에 보관한 후 각 그룹당 10개의 시편을 준비하여 모든 시편의 미세인장 결합 강도를 측정하였다.

결과: 2% 클로르헥시딘 적용이 한 단계 자가부식접착제를 이용한 복합레진 수복의 미세인장 결합강도에 영향을 미치지 않았다. 클로르헥시딘 적용과 상관없이 Clearfil S3 Bond가 가장 높은 미세인장 결합강도 값을 나타내었고 그 다음은 G-Bond, Xeno V 순이었다. 파절 양상은 대부분 접착성 파절을 보였고 일부는 응집성 파절을 보였다.

결론: 2% 클로르헥시딘이 한 단계 자가부식접착제를 이용한 복합레진 수복의 미세인장 결합강도에 영향을 미치지 않았다.

주요단어: 미세인장강도, 클로르헥시딘, 한 단계 자가부식 접착