Biocompatibility of experimental mixture of mineral trioxide aggregate and glass ionomer cement
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: The purpose of the present in vitro study was to evaluate the biocompatibility of mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA) mixed with glass ionomer cement (GIC), and to compare it with that of MTA, GIC, IRM and SuperEBA.

Materials and Methods: Experimental groups were divided into 3 groups such as 1 : 1, 2 : 1, and 1 : 2 groups depending on the mixing ratios of MTA powder and GIC powder. Instead of distilled water, GIC liquid was mixed with the powder. This study was carried out using MG-63 cells derived from human osteosarcoma. They were incubated for 1 day on the surfaces of disc samples and examined by scanning electron microscopy. To evaluate the cytotoxicity of test materials quantitatively, XTT assay was used. The cells were exposed to the extracts and incubated. Cell viability was recorded by measuring the optical density of each test well in reference to controls.

Results: The SEM revealed that elongated, dense, and almost confluent cells were observed in the cultures of MTA mixed with GIC, MTA and GIC. On the contrary, cells on the surface of IRM or SuperEBA were round in shape. In XTT assay, cell viability of MTA mixed with GIC group was similar to that of MTA or GIC at all time points. IRM and SuperEBA showed significantly lower cell viability than other groups at all time points (p < 0.05).

Conclusions: In this research MTA mixed with GIC showed similar cellular responses as MTA and GIC. It suggests that MTA mixed with GIC has good biocompatibility like MTA and GIC. [J Kor Acad Cons Dent 2010;35(5):359-367.]
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Introduction

Mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA) has been proposed for use as root-end filling material, root or furcal perforation repair material, apexification and obturation of the root canal system. Additionally, MTA is an effective pulp capping material able to stimulate reparative dentin formation by the defensive mechanism of early pulpal wound healing.1 The initial pH of MTA is 10.2, with an increase to 12.5, 3 hours after mixing.2 MTA offers a biologically active substrate for bone cells and stimulates interleukin production because of its alkaline pH and calcium ion release.3 The biocompatibility of MTA has been assessed in many studies.3-14 Calcium hydroxide which is biocompatible with tissue, is the main compound released by MTA in water.3 The results of an in vitro study showed that MTA was less toxic than amalgam, SuperEBA and IRM.4 Kwon et al. reported that MTA inhibits the stimulatory function of growth factors on granulation tissue formation and promotes the healing process modulated by bone-remodeling cells.5 MTA extracts were reported to be more biocompatible with human osteogenic sarcoma cells than calcium hydroxide based material and eugenol based material.6 In an in vitro study assessing cell viability on the surface of MTA, MTA showed low cytotoxicity similar to control group.7 Koh et al. reported that MTA offers a biologically active substrate for bone cells and stimulates interleukin production.8 In animal studies, MTA proved to be more biocompatible to pulp tissue than calcium hydroxide and calcium sulfate as pulp capping material.9 MTA was also the only material studied that allowed cementum overgrowth.10,11 In vitro studies of human osteoblasts showed that MTA stimulated cytokine release and interleukin production.12,13 These studies suggest that MTA is not just an inert material but may actively promote hard tissue formation.14

Glass ionomer cement (GIC) has been used extensively in dentistry in spite of its technique-sensitivity. One of the advantages of GIC is its good biocompatibility and low cytotoxicity which have been well described in the previous studies.15,16 Recently, some studies that used MTA with another vehicle,17,18 or with various additives19 have been reported. The purpose of those studies was to facilitate the use of MTA, but there was still the risk of impairing the biocompatibility of MTA. Therefore, it would be of interest to investigate the feasibility of using MTA mixed with GIC which is well known biocompatible material.

The main drawbacks of MTA are the setting time, its handling characteristics, and its high cost. The setting time of MTA is about 3 hours2 and the mixture of MTA and distilled water is difficult to deliver and condense.14 As a preliminary study, the setting time of MTA mixed with GIC was assessed. The setting time proved to be significantly shorter than MTA. In addition to it, the handling characteristics were improved as it became more sticky and was easier to compact. Moreover, GIC is much cheaper than MTA.

The purpose of the present study was to evaluate the biocompatibility of MTA mixed with GIC and to compare it with that of MTA, GIC, IRM, and SuperEBA using SEM observation and cell viability assay. MTA mixed with GIC was devised with the intention of improving the handling characteristics of MTA and the ultimate goal of this study was to evaluate the clinical feasibility of MTA mixed with GIC.

Materials and Methods

Preparation of test materials

Four materials were used: white mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA: ProRoot MTA, Dentsply Tulsa Dental, Tulsa, OK, USA), glass ionomer cement (GIC: Fuji II, GC Corporation, Tokyo, Japan), and two types of reinforced zinc oxide-eugenol cements including IRM (Dentsply International Inc., York, PA, USA) and SuperEBA fast set (Bosworth Co., Skokie, IL, USA).

Experimental groups were divided into the following 3 categories depending on the MTA and GIC powder mixture volume ratios: 1) 1 : 1 group (MTA powder : GIC powder = 1 : 1) 2) 2 : 1 group (MTA powder : GIC powder = 2 : 1) 3) 1 : 2 group (MTA powder : IC powder = 1 : 2). The experimental powder was mixed with GIC liquid instead of distilled...
Table 1. Power-to-liquid mixing ratios for MTA-GIC combination groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MTA-GIC combination groups</th>
<th>Mixing ratio (wt) Power:Liquid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 : 1 group</td>
<td>1.53 g : 1 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 : 1 group</td>
<td>1.58 g : 1 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 : 2 group</td>
<td>1.48 g : 1 g</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MTA, mineral trioxide aggregate; GIC, glass ionomer cement.

Powder-to-liquid mixing ratios for MTA-GIC combination groups are described in Table 1. Powder-to-liquid ratio for each MTA-GIC combination group was the same as each other in volume because the same level scoop and liquid dispensing method were used. However, it varied in weight because the same volume of MTA weighs more than that of GIC powder.

All the other groups except the MTA-GIC combination groups were mixed according to the manufacturers’ instructions.

Cell lines and culture conditions

The selected cell line, MG-63 cells derived from human osteosarcoma, were maintained in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM High Glucose 1X, Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, JR Scientific, Inc., Woodland, CA, USA) and 1% antibiotics (Pen Strep, Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA) in a humidified 37°C CO₂ incubator.

The samples were fabricated in a sterile cylindrical polyethylene tube, and yielded the discs of the size of 5 mm in diameter and 3 mm in height. The materials were mixed and placed into the mold. Excess flash was removed. After the samples had set, they were sterilized with ethylene oxide gas.

Scanning electron microscopic examination

Six samples for each test material were fabricated and placed in the bottom of 96 well culture plates with one disc per well. After the samples were immersed in DMEM for 72 hours, the media was discarded. MG-63 cells were seeded into the wells at density of 1 × 10⁶ cells per well in 300 μL medium. The plates were then incubated for 1 day. After incubation, the discs of materials along with the cells grown on their surface were washed three times with tris-buffered saline (TBS) for 10 minutes each, fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M TBS buffer (pH 7.4) for 2 hours and rinsed with TBS solution. The samples were dehydrated in ascending grades of ethanol (40% for 10 minutes, 50% for 10 minutes, 60% for 10 minutes, 70% for 10 minutes, 80% for 10 minutes, 90% for 10 minutes, and three times in 100% for 10 minutes each), air-dried, and sputter-coated with 15 nm gold palladium. Samples were then observed using a scanning electron microscope (Hitachi S4700, Hitachi High-Tech Corp., Tokyo, Japan) at various magnifications.

Extract preparation for XTT assay

Cytotoxicity testing was done through XTT assay of MG-63 cells exposed to the extract media of test materials. The extracts were prepared as follows. Ten sample discs per material were fabricated and placed into each well of a 24 well culture plate. Into each well, 0.5 ml serum-free DMEM with 1% antibiotics was added.

After 1 day, the extracts were collected and diluted with DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% antibiotics to make the extracts contain 2% fetal bovine serum. The extracts were filtered for sterilization.

XTT assay

The cells were placed in 24 well plates at a density of 1.0 × 10⁶ cells per well and allowed to attach for 24 hours. After overnight attachment, 500 μL of the material extracts were added into each well. Negative control was composed of 500 μL of DMEM supplemented with 2% fetal bovine serum and 1% antibiotics. MG-63 cells and 500 μL of DMEM supplemented with 2% fetal bovine serum and 1% antibiotics were used as the positive control. The cells exposed to the extracts were incubated for 1, 4, and 7 days and XTT assay was performed at each time point.
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Cell viability was determined by the ability of the cells to cleave the tetrazolium salt (2,3-bis [2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-([sulfenylamino) carbonyl]-2H-tetrazolium-hydroxide]) (XTT) to a formazan dye. The cleavage of the tetrazolium salt into the water-soluble formazan was performed by succinate-tetrazolium reductase system which belongs to the respiratory chain of the mitochondria and active only in the viable cells. The amount of the formazan dye was directly proportional to the number of living cells. Therefore XTT assay is one of the colormetric assay for analyzing the number of viable cells. The formazan dye produced by viable cells can be quantified by multi-well spectrophotometer by measuring the absorbance of the dye solution at wavelength between 420 and 480 nm.

XTT reagent (Ez CyTox, Itsbio, Inc., Seoul, Korea) was added to the well, 40 μL per well, and the wells were incubated for 30 minutes. Two hundred μL of media were transferred to 96 well plate and read in a multiwell spectrophotometer (ELX 800UV, BioTek Instruments, Inc., Winooski, VT, USA) at primary wave length of 450 nm and reference wave length of 630 nm.

Relative cell viability of test material was calculated as follows.

\[
\text{Relative cell viability of test material} (\%) = \frac{\text{Optical density value of test material}}{\text{Optical density value of (+) control}} \times 100
\]

Statistical analysis

One way analysis of variance followed by Tukey’s Post Hoc test was used to determine any statistical differences in cell viability according to the test materials and cultivation time. A p value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Scanning electron microscopic findings

Figure 1 shows the surfaces of the test materials and the morphology of the cells incubated on them for 1 day.

Elongated, dense, and almost confluent cells were observed in the cultures of the experimental groups (Figures 1a, 1c). MTA (Figure 1d) and GIC (Figure 1e). Anchoring processes extended from cells to the cement surface and to other cells. On the contrary, cells on the surface of IRM or SuperEBA were round in shape and the numbers and the density of the cells were much smaller than those of other groups (Figures 1f and 1g).

XTT assay

Mean values of optical density meaning cell viability are presented in Table 2. In the positive control, cells were cultivated without adding extracts. Relative cell viability of MG-63 cells exposed to the extracts is presented in Figure 2.

Irrespective of the mixture ratios and the cultivation time, cell viability of MTA mixed with GIC was similar to that of MTA or GIC at all time points (Table 2). On the contrary, IRM and SuperEBA showed significantly lower cell viability than other groups at all time points (p < 0.05, Table 2).

In the relative cell viability depending on the cultivation time, there was no definite tendency about the cultivation time in each test material (Figure 2b). However, there were significant difference between cultivation for 1 day and for 4 days in 1 : 1 group, IRM, and SuperEBA (p < 0.01, Figure 2b).

Discussion

There have been various trials to improve the drawbacks of MTA such as setting time and handling characteristics. The idea of mixing MTA with GIC was also devised to facilitate the use of MTA. As a preliminary test, the setting time of MTA mixed with GIC was assessed and it was shorter than that of MTA. To evaluate the clinical feasibility of MTA mixed with GIC, the biocompatibility of MTA mixed with GIC was assessed in this paper.

An important decision when designing an in vitro biocompatibility study involves selecting a cell type to test. Researchers have used osteoblasts, mouse fibroblasts, human fibroblasts, and human osteosarcoma cell line. For this experiment the human
Figure 1. Cells after the incubation for 1 day (×1,000). a, 1 : 1 group; b, 2 : 1 group; c, 1 : 2 group; d, MTA; e, GIC; f, IRM; g, SuperEBA. MTA, mineral trioxide aggregate; GIC, glass ionomer cement.
osteosarcoma cell line (MG-63) was chosen because it is available immediately and can be cultured easily. The cell line’s response with respect to cytokine production has been shown to be similar to that of human osteoblasts. Cytotoxicity testing is one of the most commonly used in vitro measures of biocompatibility. The method is a simple, rapid, and cost-effective biocompatibility screening test. It gives valuable indications as to which materials should be discarded and which should be subjected to further testing.

Cytotoxicity testing is one of the most commonly used in vitro measures of biocompatibility. The method is a simple, rapid, and cost-effective biocompatibility screening test. It gives valuable indications as to which materials should be discarded and which should be subjected to further testing.

Table 2. Optical densities of cells exposed to 1-day extracts (n = 6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Cultivation for 1 day</th>
<th>Cultivation for 4 days</th>
<th>Cultivation for 7 days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.02abc</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.01a</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.01a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.05abc</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.02a</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.04a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.06b</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.03b</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.01b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.01abc</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.03b</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.04b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.00ab</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.08b</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.01b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRM</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.05bc</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.01b</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.15b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SuperEBA</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.05b</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.01b</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.08b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(+) Control</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.03b</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.02b</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.02b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± standard deviation. Mean values followed by the same superscript letter within each column were not significantly different at p = 0.05 level according to Tukey HSD post hoc multiple comparisons. MTA, mineral trioxide aggregate; GIC, glass ionomer cement.

Figure 2. Relative viability of cells exposed to 1-day extracts.

MTA, mineral trioxide aggregate; GIC, glass ionomer cement.

The use of scanning electron microscopy to examine the morphology of established cell lines in the presence of dental materials as ways of assessing cytotoxicity have been described before. Adhesion and spreading of cells on a material surface are the initial phase for cellular function. The persistence of round cells with little or no spreading suggests the surface material may be toxic. This study found human osteosarcoma cells have a favorable response to MTA, GIC, and MTA mixed with GIC, compared with IRM and SuperEBA. The results of this study...
are in accordance with the previous in vitro studies on MTA.\(^8\),\(^{20}\)

Using the extracts of the root end filling materials is useful for toxicity screening in vitro.\(^6\) It offers the advantages of being easily sterilized by filtration and the ability to examine the effect of materials on cells that are both distant to and in contact with them. The in vitro extract testing simulates the immediate postsurgical periradicular environment in which toxic elements of the root end filling materials might leach into the surrounding fluids in the bony crypt because the root end filling material is in contact with the osseous tissue.\(^6\)

A colorimetric method based on the tetrazolium salt was first described by Scudiero in 1988\(^{24}\) and widely used in cell viability assay.\(^6\),\(^{18}\),\(^{19}\) In the present study, the toxicity assay was used to measure mitochondrial dehydrogenase activity, as shown by the cleavage of XTT to a formazan dye. The reaction only occurs in living, metabolically active cells.\(^6\) In the XTT assay of this study, MTA mixed with GIC presented similar cell viability as MTA or GIC. Low toxicity of MTA and GIC has been already well documented.\(^3\),\(^6\),\(^7\),\(^{14}\),\(^{15}\)

Research on the biocompatibility of GICs in conventional and surgical endodontics exhibit good biocompatibility for three main reasons: (i) they set with minimal exotherm; (ii) neutralization is generally sufficiently rapid that any potential irritation because of the presence of free acid is minimal; and (iii) the substances leached from the set cement are generally either benign or beneficial to the tissue in which the cement is placed.\(^{15}\) Good biocompatibility of GIC is also presented in the result of this study. SEM observation showed cells on the surface of IRM and SuperEBA were round in shape and the numbers and the density of the cells were much smaller than those of other groups (Figures 1f and 1g). XTT assay exhibited the cell viability of IRM and SuperEBA groups was significantly lower than that of MTA and control group (Table 2). Although cytotoxicity was presented in this study, it is already known that cytotoxicity diminishes as the cements set, and long-term inflammatory potential appears to be minimal.\(^{25}\)

Previous study also used IRM as a comparative material because it has been widely used in recent years as a root-end filling material.\(^8\) The tissue response was characterized by marked rounding of the cells and depletion of cell numbers, indicating that IRM was toxic. These results are in agreement with those found in the present study.

Various authors have suggested the properties for an ideal retrofilling material. These suggestions can be reduced to a list of 3 critical elements: (1) biocompatibility, (2) apical sealability, and (3) handling properties.\(^{23}\) Although MTA mixed with GIC showed good biocompatibility in this study, further studies on sealing ability, physical and chemical properties are required for its clinical use.

**Conclusions**

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the biocompatibility of MTA mixed with GIC and to compare it with that of MTA, GIC, IRM, and SuperEBA. To assess the biocompatibility, SEM observation and XTT assay were performed. The SEM revealed that elongated, dense, and almost confluent cells were observed in the cultures of MTA mixed with GIC, MTA and GIC. On the contrary, cells on the surface of IRM or SuperEBA were round in shape and the number of the cells were smaller.

In XTT assay, cell viability of MTA mixed with GIC group was similar to that of MTA or GIC at all time points. IRM and SuperEBA showed significantly lower cell viability than other groups at all time.
In this research MTA mixed with GIC showed similar cellular responses as MTA and GIC. It suggests that MTA mixed with GIC has good biocompatibility like MTA and GIC.
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Glass ionomer cement와 혼합한 mineral trioxide aggregate의 생체친화성
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연구목적: 본 연구의 목적은 glass ionomer cement (GIC)와 혼합된 mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA)의 생체친화성을 평가하고 이것을 MTA, GIC, IRM, SuperEBA와 비교해보는 것이다.

연구 재료 및 방법: 재료의 세포독성을 평가하기 위해 MG-63세포를 이용해 주사전자 현미경 관찰과 XTT assay를 실시하였다.

결과: 주사전자 현미경 관찰에서는 GIC와 혼합한 MTA, MTA, GIC의 표면에서 세포질 돌기를 가진 많은 세포들이 밀집되고 융합된 형태로 관찰되었다. 반면 IRM과 SuperEBA에서는 세포들의 수가 적고 둥근 양상을 보여주었다. XTT assay에서는 GIC와 혼합한 MTA에서의 세포 활성도는 모든 시점에서 MTA 또는 GIC와 유사하였다. 반면 IRM과 SuperEBA에서는 모든 시점에서 세포활성도가 다른 그룹에 비해 유의하게 더 높았다.

결론: 본 연구에서 GIC와 혼합된 MTA는 MTA, GIC와 유사한 세포 반응을 나타냈다. 이것은 GIC와 혼합된 MTA가 MTA, GIC와 마찬가지로 좋은 생체친화성을 가진 재료라는 것을 시사한다.

주요단어: 생체친화성, 세포독성, Glass-ionomer cement, IRM, Mineral trioxide aggregate, SuperEBA