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ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effect of Er,Cr:YSGG laser irradiation with hypersensitivity mode on microtensile bond strength of composite resin. Twenty extracted permanent molars were randomly assigned to six groups, according to the irradiation of Er,Cr:YSGG laser, adhesive system (Optibond FL or Clearfil SE bond) and application time of etchant (15 sec or 20 sec). Then composite resin was build up on each conditioned surface. The restored teeth were stored in distilled water at room temperature for 24 h and twelve specimens for each group were prepared. All specimens were subjected to microtensile bond strength and the fracture modes were evaluated. Also, the prepared dentin surface and laser irradiated dentin surface were examined under SEM.

The results were as follows:
1. The microtensile bond strength of laser irradiated group was lower than that of no laser irradiated group.
2. Regardless of laser irradiation, the microtensile bond strength of Optibond FL was higher than that of Clearfil SE bond. And the microtensile bond strength of 20 sec etching group was higher than that of 15 sec etching group when using Optibond FL.
3. The SEM image of laser irradiated dentin surface showed prominent peritubular dentin, opened dentinal tubules and no smear layer. (J Kor Acad Cons Dent 35(2):134-142, 2010)
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I. Introduction

For several decades, dentists have been using conventional mechanical cutting and drilling system to remove diseased dental hard tissues and to prepare cavities for restorations. But the current trend towards minimum-intervention dentistry has introduced alternative techniques for dental cavity preparation in order to replace the invasive approach using high-speed burs. The use of laser irradiation has been widely studied and applied for that purpose, due to its precise and effective ability to eliminate carious tissue while avoiding removal of sound tooth substrate, thus resulting in a more conservative cavity design. And their advantages have also drawn numerous researchers to investigate various applications of lasers in dentistry.

For instance, an additional therapeutic option is available for the treatment of dentinal hypersensitivity with the advent of laser technology and its growing utilization in dentistry. According to the consulted literature, lasers have been effective in the treatment of dentin hypersensitivity. Villa et al. demonstrated the laser photobiomodulating action in the
dental pulp, showing evidence of a large quantity of tertiary dentin production, causing the physiological obliteration of the dentinal tubules. Moritz et al.\(^4\) and Schwarz et al.\(^7\) also demonstrated that the effectiveness of laser treatment is due to an occlusion or narrowing of dentinal tubules. And Walsh\(^5\) reported the laser-induced changes in neural transmission networks within the dental pulp (depressed nerve transmission) by affecting the depolarization of nerve ending. In addition, the immediate analgesic effect in the treatment of dentin hypersensitivity with diode was reported.\(^3\)

Recently, new laser system, the erbium, chromium:yttrium scandium gallium garnet (Er,Cr:YSGG) laser was introduced into the dentistry. It is the laser of 2780 nm wavelength using a pulsed-beam system and fiber delivery. The mechanism of the effect of this laser was generally accepted that water droplets produced violent microexpansion after efficiently absorbing the laser energy which subsequently formed hydrokinetic forces that could quickly ablate the dental hard tissue.\(^9\) It has been recommended for minimally invasive purposes, due to its precise ablation of dental structure without side-effects to the pulp and surrounding tissues.\(^3\) According to the manufacturers, Er,Cr:YSGG laser can be applied in various procedures including laser-etching, soft-tissue mucous membrane and cutaneous surgery and relief of dentin hypersensitivity, as well as cutting enamel, dentin and bone.

Although laser application provides many advantageous aspects and is deemed clinically viable in various dental procedures, there still has been concern that the laser irradiation may deleteriously affect the bond strength of adhesive restoration. Previous studies\(^10-13\) on the effects of erbium laser irradiation on bonding procedures are somewhat controversial.

Some authors\(^10,11\) indicated no significant effect or slight improvement. Carrieri et al.\(^10\) reported that the use of Er:YAG laser irradiation of cavity preparation or pretreatment of dentin surface previously to adhesive procedures using two-step total-etching system yielded similar bonding quality to dental hard tissue compared to conventional high-speed drill. Visuri et al.\(^13\) also reported that the condition of dentin following Er:YAG laser ablation was sufficient to bond with three-step total-etching system and composite restorative materials, and persisted that an Er:YAG laser might eliminate the need for acid-etching dentin as a pretreatment for composite bonding.

On the other hand, some authors\(^12,13\) indicated a significant deterioration of bond strength of composite restorative materials. Botta et al.\(^12\) reported that Er,Cr:YSGG laser conditioning on dentin significantly reduced bond strength of etch-and-rinse and one-step self-etch systems. Also, Armengol et al.\(^13\) reported that Er:YAG and Nd:YAP laser preparation did not improve bonding using three-step total-etching system to enamel and dentin because laser treatment probably modified the surface energy of enamel and dentin, providing surfaces less suitable for adhesive resin.

To overcome this problem, mechanical or chemical removing of laser-modified layer has been suggested\(^14-16\). Gutknrcht et al.\(^14\) and Carvalho et al.\(^15\) suggested additional acid-etching of the laser-prepared cavity. Also, Obeidi et al.\(^16\) found that adjunctive use of phosphoric acid following water-rinsing appeared to have eliminated the laser-modified layer and recommended longer etching time to obtain higher bond strength.

Although the bond strengths of Er,Cr:YSGG laser-irradiated dentin have been investigated, earlier studies were conducted almost exclusively by applying the Er,Cr:YSGG laser of parameters for preparation or laser-etching. To the extent of knowledge of the authors of this study, there are currently no comparable studies using Er,Cr:YSGG with low power setting of the hypersensitivity mode. Therefore, the purpose of this in vitro study is to evaluate the effect of Er,Cr:YSGG irradiation in the hypersensitivity mode on microtensile bond strength of composite resin restoration.

### II. Materials and Methods

#### 1. Specimen preparation

Twenty extracted human permanent molars without caries were stored in distilled water. The crown portions were horizontally-sectioned at mid-coronal
level using a diamond-saw (3200 rpm, Accutom-50; Struers, Rødovre, Denmark) under continuous water cooling. A 600-grit silicon carbide paper was used under running water to produce flat dentin surface and smear layer. The teeth were then randomly divided to six groups, according to laser irradiation and the adhesive system. The materials and groups used in this study are showed in Table 1 and Figure 1.

2. Laser irradiation

The specimens in group 1, 2 and 3 were irradiated with an Er,Cr:YSGG hydrokinetic system (Waterlaser: Biolase, Irvine, CA, USA) one by one to simulate the laser irradiation for relieving dentin hypersensitivity. A complete description of laser parameters is included in Table 2. The laser beam was aligned perpendicular to the surface and moved in a sweeping fashion by hand during the exposure period.

3. Dentin bonding and resin composite restoration procedures

Dentin surface was etched for 15 sec in group 1 and 4, and for 20 sec in group 2 and 5 with 37.5% phosphoric acid etchant. After that, the Optibond FL applied to the dentin surfaces. In group 3 and 6, self-etching primer and adhesive were applied. All procedures were according to the manufacturer’s instruction (Table 2) except the longer etching time in group 2 and 5. After the bonding procedure, the teeth were restored with light-cure composite resin. The restored teeth were stored in distilled water at room temperature for 24 hr.

4. Microtensile bond strength (μTBS) test

The teeth were cut longitudinally to make the specimens approximately 1 × 1 mm thick and 10 mm long. Each group was consisted of 12 specimens. The specimens were glued to the jig of microtensile testing machine (BISCO Inc, Schaumburg, IL, USA) using cyanoacrylate cement (Zapit: Dental Ventures of America, Corona, CA, USA). Tensile load was applied at a cross-head speed of 1 mm/min until the failure of specimen.

5. Failure mode investigation

Failure mode was investigated using operating microscope (OPMI pico: Carl zeiss, Obercohen, Germany) under 25 × magnification and the results were classified as follows: adhesive, cohesive and mixed. If the composite resin restoration had frac-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Compositions</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gel Etchant</td>
<td>Phosphoric acid (37.5wt.% in water)</td>
<td>Kerr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optibond FL</td>
<td>Primer - HEMA, GPDM, PAMA, CQ, ethanol, water Adhesive - Bis-GMA, HEMA, GDMA, Ba-Al-silicate glass, silicate glass, Na2SiF6, CQ</td>
<td>Kerr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearfil SE bond</td>
<td>Self-etching primer - MDP, HEMA, hydrophilic dimethacrylate, CQ. N.N-diethanol-p-toluidine, water Adhesive - MDP, Bis-GMA, HEMA, hydrophilic dimethacrylate, CQ. N,N-diethanol-p-toluidine, silanated colloidal silica</td>
<td>Kuraray Medical Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premisa (A3)</td>
<td>Matrix - Bis-GMA, TEGDMA, light-cure initiators and stabilizers Filler - Prepolymerized filler 30 to 50 μm, 0.4 μm Barium glass, 0.02 μm Silica nanoparticles</td>
<td>Kerr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviations: HEMA = 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate; CQ = camphoro-quinone; GPDM = glycerolphosphatedimethacrylate; PAMA = phthalic acid monomethacrylate; Bis-GMA = bisphenol-A-glycidyl ether dimethacrylate; TEGMA = triethylene glycol dimethacrylate, GDMA = glyceroldimethacrylate; MDP = 10-methacyroloxydecyl dihydrogen phosphate.*
tured at the adhesive-tooth interface, it was recorded as adhesive failure. If the composite resin restoration had fractured inside the composite resin or dentin, it was recorded as cohesive failure. If a combination of adhesive and cohesive in dentin or in resin had occurred, it was recorded as mixed failure.

6. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with using SPSS 12.0 software (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Student t-test was used for comparison between μTBS according to the laser irradiation. And one-way ANOVA was used for comparison between μTBS according to laser irradiation.
the bonding agent and Scheffe’s test was used for post-hoc multiple comparisons. The level of significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

7. Scanning electron microscopy

To compare the SEM analyses of the prepared dentin surface and irradiated dentin surface, the specimens were dehydrated in an ascending series of ethanol (50% for 2 hr, 70% for 2 hr, 95% for 2 hr, 100% for 2 hr) and dried. They were then mounted on aluminum stubs and sputter coated with gold/palladium. Examination of SEM (JSM-6480LV; JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) operating at 20 kV was performed.

III. Results

1. Comparison between μTBS

Comparison between μTBS according to the laser irradiation, dentin bonding agents and etching time was showed in Table 3.

The laser irradiation group showed lower bond strength than the no laser irradiation group ($p < 0.05$). In laser irradiation groups, Optibond FL of 3-step etching for 20 sec group showed the highest μTBS, followed by Optibond FL of 3-step etching for 15 sec, Clearfil SE bond of 2-step self-etch. And there were significant differences among groups. In no laser irradiation groups, Optibond FL of 3-step etching for 20 sec showed the highest μTBS, followed by Optibond FL of 3-step etching for 15 sec, Clearfil SE bond of 2-step self-etch. And there were significant differences among groups.

2. Failure mode

Failure mode was presented in Table 4. Adhesive failure was predominantly observed in all groups. In 2-step self-etch groups (group 3 and group 6), adhesive failure was more commonly observed than the other groups.

3. SEM evaluation

The laser-irradiated dentin samples revealed rough
surfaces with opened dentinal tubules, an absence of a smear layer, and more prominent peritubular dentin than intertubular dentin. The use of the laser at low power level seemed not to create abundant craters or scale-like appearance which were observed in general laser irradiated dentin surface (Figure 2a). On the other hand, the micrographs of no laser-irradiated dentin surfaces showed the presence of a smear layer that occluded dentinal tubules (Figure 2b).

**IV. Discussion**

Since Goldman et al.\(^1\) used a ruby laser to attempt caries removal in 1964, lasers have been used for caries prevention, caries removal, endodontic treatment, treatment of dentin hypersensitivity, and as an alternative to conventional acid-etching in clinical applications.\(^{11,18,20}\) Recently the pulsed erbium laser, Er,Cr:YSGG laser has been advocated for hard and soft tissue ablation.\(^{12,19}\)

However, there has been a growing debate on the use of laser for cavity preparation and for conditioning enamel and dentin because of the conflicting effect on the bond strength of composite resin restoration. According to some studies,\(^{10,11,19-21}\) lasers may be used to facilitate adhesion between the tooth structure and the restorative material. Kataumi et al.\(^{21}\) showed that the irradiated dentin exhibited bond strengths comparable to those of cut-dentin. On the other hand, other studies\(^{12,13,22}\) demonstrated that laser treatment damaged the dentin bonding. Gurgan et al.\(^{22}\) showed that adhesion to dental hard tissues after etching with Er:YAG laser, the same erbium family as Er,Cr:YSGG, was inferior to that obtained after conventional acid etching.

The result of this study showed that the bond strength of laser-irradiated dentin was lower than that of no-laser irradiated dentin, supporting the latter findings. There are several simultaneous effects that can interfere with bonding during laser irradiation. In the dentin surface, the increase of temperature is produced by laser/dentin interaction.\(^{34}\) It results in a modified surface, in which the collagen network completely melted and vaporized. Thus the denatured collagen fibrils are fused together and poorly attached to the underlying unaffected dentin, preventing proper dentin hybridization.\(^{23}\) These altered microstructures would hamper the infiltration of primer and the hybrid layer would become more susceptible to hydrolysis.\(^{24}\)

Also, it has been demonstrated that the denatured organic matrix blocks the diffusion pathways of adhesive in dentin. The diffusion pathway blockage affects the porosity of the structures and consequently impairs penetration of the adhesive components.\(^{26}\)

Moreover, after laser irradiation, the dental surfaces are chemically modified. Irradiation with erbium lasers promotes loss of carbonate, formation of new hydroxyapatite-like crystals, and consequently more acid-resistant surfaces.\(^{21}\) Asli et al.\(^{30}\) found that during laser irradiation, the evaporation of organic components may well lead to an increase in Ca, P, Mg contents in the laser-modified dentin, resulting in acquired acid resistance of dentin surface, in turn, affecting the adhesion of dental materials to dental hard tissue. Hossain et al.\(^{27}\) also asserted that acid resistance may be promoted by Er,Cr:YSGG laser irradiation.

The analysis of failure mode also supports the result of the microtensile bond strength test. Adhesive failures between resin and dentin dominated in the laser-irradiated group, while mixed failures dominated in the no laser-irradiated group. And after acid etching of laser-irradiated dentin, adhesive failures decreased. From this result, it might be thought that acid etching could reinforce the hybrid layer by eliminating the laser-modified layer.

With respect to the micromorphological changes seen in the laser-irradiated dentin surface, the SEM examinations showed characteristic features. It revealed opened dentinal tubules, absence of a smear layer and more prominent peritubular dentin than intertubular dentin.\(^{23}\) Since intertubular dentin contains more water and has a lower mineral content than does peritubular dentin, it is selectively more ablated than the peritubular dentin, leaving protruding dentinal tubules with a cuff-like appearance and resulting in more irregular dentin surface.

As the efficacy of dentin surface treatment is related to the power setting chosen for Er,Cr:YSGG laser ablation, the morphology of dentin surface irradiated with the hypersensitivity mode could be different.
from that irradiated for laser etching or preparation. The abundant crater or scale-like appearance, microcrack and rough surface usually found in the dentin surface irradiated with high laser power output was not shown in this study.

Considering the result of SEM evaluation in relation to the result of μTBS test, the formation of an inter-diffusion zone of laser-irradiated dentin which is not similar to that described for acid-etched would cause the deterioration of bond strength. Cardoso et al. suggested that the irregularities on the laser-irradiated dentin were so prominent that they may reduce the bond strength by preventing uniform stress distribution at the adhesive-dentin interface. Moreover, because of these irregularities, the thickness of the adhesive layer was not uniform on the dentin surface, thus resulting in diminished bonding effectiveness.

Based on the results of current study, an increase of phosphoric acid etching time could be considered as a way to overcome the acid resistance and offset irregularities of the dentin. Some studies evaluating the influence of acid etching time on bond strength in dentin irradiated with Er,Cr:YSGG lasers used the etching time of 15 sec, the conventional etching time of dentin and 30 sec with 37% phosphoric acid. And the laser output of 3 W or 4 W was used in those studies, which was much higher than used in current study (0.25 W). Since the laser output that is used in this study was lower than those of other studies, the etching time of 20 sec was selected as a longer etching time instead of 30 sec to compare the effect of acid etching time. The results of this study showed that the bond strength of laser-irradiated dentin after 20 sec acid-etching time is comparable to that of no laser-irradiated dentin (15 sec etching time) and indicated that the longer acid etching could have eliminated the laser-modified layer.

In addition to the etching time, caution should be taken in terms of selection of the bonding agents. Lately, the remarkable evolution of contemporary dentin adhesive systems was aimed at the simplification of clinical step. Factors such as time and simplification of the clinical steps have led to an increased use of the simplified-step adhesives in association with resin materials. Self-etching systems are required to completely dissolve and incorporate the smear layer in order to interact with the underlying mineralized dentin. However, in the presence of this more acid-resistant surface, the weak acids present in the self-etching system cannot sufficiently modify the surface to promote adhesive penetration. Since Clearfil SE bond used in current study is categorized in mild self-etching bonding system according to its acidity (pH = 1.8), the μTBS of laser-irradiated Clearfil SE bond group was the lowest of all tested groups. Other authors also support this finding that the Er,Cr:YSGG laser-irradiated dentin is poor substrate for bonding with the self-etching system. For example, Cardoso et al. assessed the bonding effectiveness of adhesives to Er,Cr:YSGG laser-irradiated dentin using irradiation settings specific for cavity preparation, and demonstrated that the bond strength of Optibind FL (three-step total-etch) was the highest, followed by Clearfil SE bond (two-step self-etch), Clearfil S3 bond and Adper Prompt L-Pop (one-step self-etch).

In summary, the results showed that the μTBS of Er,Cr:YSGG laser irradiated dentin in the hypersensitivity mode was adversely influenced in all adhesive system used in current study. Also, the bond strengths of three-step total-etch system were higher than those of two-step total-etch system irrespective of laser irradiation and the bond strength of etching for 20 sec group was higher than that of etching for 15 sec group in three-step total-etch groups. Therefore, three-step total-etching system seems preferable to mild self-etching system when applied on laser-irradiated dentin in the hypersensitivity mode and increase of etching time for three-step total-etching system would be beneficial.

V. Conclusions

Within the limitation of this study, it may be concluded that since Er,Cr:YSGG laser-irradiation in the hypersensitivity mode on dentin surface could adversely affect the bonding strength of composite resin restoration due to acquired acid resistance, total-etching system seems preferable and increase of acid etching time for total-etching system would be better.
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국문초록

Er, Cr:YSGG 조사가 복합레진 수복의 미세인장 결합강도에 미치는 영향

손정혜·김현철·허복·박정길*
부산대학교 치의학전문대학원 치과보존학교실

이 연구의 목적은 상아질 지각과민증 모드의 Er, Cr:YSGG 조사가 복합레진 수복물의 미세인장 결합강도에 미치는 영향을 평가하는 것이다. 20개의 발거된 대구치를 사용하여 Er, Cr:YSGG 레이저 적용 유무와 사용된 접착제 시스템(Optibond FL과 Clearfil SE bond), 산의 적용 시간(15초와 20초)에 따라 여섯 그룹으로 나누었다. 노출된 교합면 상아질에 레이저 조사 후 접착제를 도포하고 복합레진으로 수복하였다. 24시간 동안 실온의 증류수에 보관 후 각 그룹 당 12개의 시편을 준비하였다. 모든 시편의 미세인장 결합강도를 측정하고 파절 양상을 관찰하였다. 더불어 레이저를 조사한 시편과 조사하지 않은 시편을 주사현미경을 이용하여 관찰하여 다음과 같은 결과를 얻었다.
1. 레이저를 조사한 그룹은 레이저를 조사하지 않은 그룹보다 낮은 미세인장 결합강도를 나타내었다.
2. 레이저 조사 유무와 관계없이, Optibond FL이 Clearfil SE bond보다 높은 미세인장 결합강도를 나타냈으며, Optibond FL을 사용했을 때 산부식 시간이 20초인 경우가 15초인 경우보다 더 높은 값을 나타내었다.
3. 레이저를 조사한 상아질의 SEM 사진은 관간상아질보다 관주상아질이 더 두드러져 보이며, 상아세관이 열려있으며 도말층은 관찰되지 않았다.

주요단어: Er, Cr:YSGG 레이저, Three-step total-etch, Two-step self-etch, 미세인장 결합강도, 복합레진 수복