A Case of Cycloserine-Induced Lichenoid Drug Eruption Supported by the Lymphocyte Transformation Test
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Lichenoid drug eruption (LDE) is a rare form of delayed-type drug eruption. Among anti-tuberculosis (Tb) agents, cycloserine (CS) has been reported as a rare cause of LDE. Positive results on the lymphocyte transformation test (LTT) have not been reported in patients with LDE. In the present case, we performed LTT and a patch test, and successfully proved CS as the offending drug in this patient, who had been treated with multiple anti-Tb drugs. These observations suggest that CS should be considered a possible cause of LDE and that LTT can be an option for the diagnosis of LDE.
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INTRODUCTION

Lichenoid drug eruption (LDE), also known as drug-induced lichen planus, is an uncommon form of delayed-type drug eruption, but well characterized both clinically and histopathologically.1-3 LDE has the clinical features of lichen planus, such as widely distributed symmetric skin rashes with or without Wickham striae, typical oral lesions, and similar histopathological findings (including epidermal parakeratosis and lymphocyte infiltration into deeper layers of the dermis), but only LDE shows an elevated eosinophil number.2 The pathogenesis of LDE is type IV hypersensitivity, and CD8+ cytotoxic T cells may secrete TNF-α-triggering keratinocyte apoptosis.4 A diagnosis of LDE is made based on the presence of the suspected causative agent, resolution of symptoms upon discontinuing the causative agent, as well as on clinical and histopathological features.5 LDE accounts for 1% of all cases of drug eruption and is caused by many agents, including gold, quinidine, hydrochlorothiazide, captopril, carbamazepine, and propranolol.2,5

LDE induced by anti-tuberculosis (anti-Tb) drugs has been reported since Frentz et al.6 first reported ethambutol (EMB)-induced LDE in 1981. EMB, pyrazinamide, rifampicin, isoniazid, and other agents have been reported as causative agents of LDE.1,7-9 However, cycloserine (CS) is a rare cause of LDE,4 and the lymphocyte transformation test (LTT) has not yielded positive results in patients with LDE. Here, we describe a patient who developed CS-induced LDE, which was supported by a patch test and LTT.

CASE REPORT

A 38-year-old man with pulmonary Tb, who had been treated with anti-Tb medications for 4 months, was referred due to lichenoid eruption and pruritus on the whole body. He was initially treated with isoniazid, rifampin, EMB, and pyrazinamide during the first 2 months and then by second-line anti-Tb medications, including EMB, levofloxacin, and CS, due to reddish itchy papules and hepatitis. One month after commencement of second-line medications, itching became aggravated and lichenoid eruptions developed. On clinical examination, there were widespread hyperkeratotic lesions with scales on the whole body (Fig. 1) and Wickham striae involving the buccal mucosa. There was a non-tender, movable left inguinal lymph node 2 cm in size. The patient had low-grade fever (37°C-38°C)
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and blood hypereosinophilia (20,640/μL). There were no other abnormalities, such as atypical lymphocytes, except for eosinophilia on blood smear and serum total Immunoglobulin E (IgE) was 1.7 U/mL. Parasite enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (Toxocara, Paragonimus westermani, Clonorchis sinensis, Sparganum, and Cysticercus) and stool examination were negative. The results of echocardiography, liver enzyme and renal function tests, and urinalysis were all within the normal range. After cessation of anti-Tb medications, the lichenoid skin lesions improved rapidly and blood eosinophilia improved over 3-4 weeks without additional treatment, such as systemic steroids (4,795/μL at 3 weeks and 2,403/μL at 4 weeks; Supplementary Figure). There were no more anti-Tb medications afterward, and the patient was followed up for 1 year without Tb recurrence and flare up of skin lesion.

A patch test and LTT were performed 3 months after stopping
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**Fig. 1.** Clinical features of LDE. (A) There was diffuse lichenoid eruption and widespread hyperkeratotic lesions with scales involving the trunk and extremities. (B) Three months after cessation of anti-tuberculosis medications. LDE, lichenoid drug eruption.
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**Fig. 2.** Patch test with EMB (10%, 50%), CS (10%, 50%), and levofloxacin. Patch test showed weak positive results for EMB (10%, 50%) and strong positive results for CS (10%, 50%). EMB, ethambutol; CS, cycloserine.
medications when the skin had returned to normal. The patch test showed weak positive results for EMB (10%, 50%) and strong positive results for CS (10%, 50%); the agents were prepared with white petroleum and showed negative results in 1 healthy control (Fig. 2). LTT, using Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) as described in the supplemental methods, revealed proliferation of peripheral mononuclear cells (PBMCs) by CS in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 3).

**DISCUSSION**

In this case, LDE was prominent 1 month after exposure to CS and presented on the whole body with Wickham striae. There were blood eosinophilia and low-grade fever, which were rapidly improved merely by stopping medications. Only 1 case has been reported for CS-induced LDE confirmed by a patch test, in which the latent period was 5 months and the presence of blood eosinophilia was not obvious. Tan et al. reported that LDE accounted for 10% of cutaneous adverse drug reactions during treatment with first-line anti-Tb drugs. EMB has been reported as the most common anti-Tb agent responsible for LDE. Previous reports indicated that the latent period between exposure and development of LDE is 3-6 months for EMB, 1.5 months for pyrazinamide, and a few days for rifampicin. Blood eosinophilia has been reported in some cases. In the Korean literature, of 8 cases of LDE, 5 were induced by anti-Tb

---

**Fig. 3.** LTT using a CCK-8. (A) PBMCs stimulated with CS, EMB, and sham. Data are from triplicate assays and error bars indicate the standard error. (B) Cell proliferation (%) increased in a dose-dependent manner by CS stimulation. The numbers of cells were 1.70×10⁶, 2.00×10⁶, 2.22×10⁶, and 2.02×10⁶ for sham, cyclosporine 100 μg/mL, cyclosporine 500 μg/mL, and PHA as a positive control, respectively. Data are from triplicate assays and error bars indicate the standard deviation. *P=0.005 (Sham vs CS); **P=0.008 (Sham vs CS). LTT, lymphocyte transformation test; CCK-8, Cell Counting Kit-8; PBMCs, proliferation of peripheral mononuclear cells; CS, cyclosporine; EMB, ethambutol; PHA, phytohemagglutinin.
drugs showed blood eosinophilia and 2 showed Wickham striae. LDE is typically resolved simply by cessation of the causative drugs, and thus LDE should be considered when patients receiving anti-Tb treatment present with blood eosinophilia and typical hyperkeratotic skin lesions with scales. In contrast, drug-induced hypersensitivity syndrome shows typically maculopapular skin eruption with blood eosinophilia and a prolonged course requiring long-term systemic steroid treatment.

This is the first report of LTT for LDE that showed results compatible with those of patch tests. LIT has been used to examine the side effects caused by various anti-Tb drugs, but this has not been the case for LDE. Cutaneous adverse drug reactions, including LDE, are common, developing in 6% of patients treated with anti-Tb drugs, and closely related to treatment failure and mortality. However, it is not easy to identify the culprit drug as anti-Tb drugs are used in combination for several months. In vitro LTT could identify the culprit drug with minimizing the cessation of medications. In this case, LTT demonstrated that the role of CS was obvious in LDE, but it is possible that EMB is one of causative agents for LDE or papular skin lesions during first-line anti-Tb medications, since EMB showed mild positive results in a patch test. LIT was performed using CCK-8 in this case. Although there are no definitive criteria for use of the CCK-8 assay for LTT, unlike for thymidine-uptake assay, the CCK-8 assay has been used to evaluate differences in cell proliferation based on the significance of differences among groups.

In conclusion, we reported a rare case of CS-induced LDE supported by LTT. This case suggests that CS may be a possible causative agent of LDE and that LTT can be a possible option for the diagnosis of LDE due to CS.
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