High-Dose Involved Field Radiotherapy and Concurrent Chemotherapy for Limited-Disease Small Cell Lung Cancer

**Purpose:** We evaluated the effect of high dose involved field radiotherapy and concurrent chemotherapy for treating patients with limited disease, small cell lung cancer. **Materials and Methods:** We reviewed the medical records of 37 patients who had a limited stage of small cell lung cancer. All the patients were treated with induction chemotherapy followed by definitive radiotherapy and concurrent chemotherapy. The radiation dose was 60 Gy for 31 patients and 50 ∼ 58 Gy for 6 patients with once-daily 2 Gy fractions. Elective nodal irradiation was not performed. The chemotherapy regimen was either combinations of etoposide and cisplatin or irinotecan and cisplatin. Prophylactic cranial irradiation of 25 Gy at 2.5 Gy per fraction was administered to the patients who had a complete or near complete response. The median follow-up period was 17 months (range, 5 ∼ 57). **Results:** The 2-year overall survival and locoregional control rates were both 55%. A complete response was achieved in 17 patients (46%), a partial response was achieved in 19 patients (51%) and 1 patient (3%) had progressive disease. Seven patients experienced tumor recurrence in the radiation field and four of those recurrences were isolated local recurrences. There was only one isolated regional recurrence outside the radiation field. Grade 3 treatment-related esophageal toxicity occurred in 2 patients. Two patients died of treatment-related pulmonary complications. **Conclusion:** Involved field radiotherapy of 60 Gy can achieve favorable survival and a low rate of isolated nodal failure outside the radiation field. However, a considerable number of patients still experienced in-field failure. Further studies to establish the optimal radiation doses and fractionation are needed in the future. (J Lung Cancer 2010;9(2):85–90)
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**INTRODUCTION**

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer-related death in Korea. Small cell lung cancer (SCLC) makes up approximately 13% of all the cases of lung cancer (1). Approximately 30% of patients have limited-stage disease (LD-SCLC) (2). Concurrent chemoradiation therapy with an etoposide plus cisplatin regimen and early thoracic radiation therapy (TRT) has been the standard therapy for LD-SCLC since the early 1990s (2-6). The substitution of irinotecan for etoposide has been evaluated in an effort to improve the results (7-11). With regard to the specifics of TRT administration, modest doses of TRT (45 ∼ 50 Gy) have traditionally been used. However, the local control rate of a total dose of 45 Gy, as assessed by a prospective randomized trial, was not good enough (12). High-dose once-daily TRT could result in comparable or improved outcomes and toxicities (13-16). High radiation doses are correlated with improved local control (17). However, treatment-related pneumonitis is a common complication that can lead to respiratory insufficiency and sometimes death. Reduction of the radiation fields by omitting routine elective nodal irradiation could allow dose escalation without a significant increase of the treatment related toxicities. We
retrospectively reviewed our data to evaluate the efficacy and safety of high dose once-daily involved field TRT for treating patients with LD-SCLC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1) Patients

Between May 2003 to December 2009, 51 consecutive LD-SCLC patients were treated with high dose TRT and concurrent chemotherapy at Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Republic of Korea. From this group, the following patients were excluded: 6 patients who were treated with a total radiation dose less than 45 Gy, 5 patients who were treated with 45 Gy in twice-daily 1.5 Gy fractions, 2 patients who underwent surgical resection and 1 patient who received sequential chemotherapy and radiotherapy. The remaining 37 patients were analyzed in this retrospective study.

All the patients had their tumor diagnosed with pathologic confirmation. All the patients were examined with physical examination and staging work-ups that included the complete blood cell count, blood chemistry, chest X-ray, chest computed tomography (CT), bone scan and brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Whole body positron emission tomography (PET) was performed in 28 patients.

2) Treatments

All thirty-seven patients were treated with induction chemotherapy followed by definitive three-dimensional, conformal, involved field radiotherapy and concurrent chemotherapy. Elective nodal irradiation was not performed. All the patients received a total of 6 cycles of chemotherapy. Thirty-two patients (86%) started radiotherapy with the third cycle of chemotherapy, 4 patients with the fourth cycle and 1 patient with the second cycle. The chemotherapy regimen was combinations of etoposide plus cisplatin (EP) or irinotecan plus cisplatin (IP). Each cycle of combination chemotherapy was administered at 3-week intervals. Etoposide 100 mg/m² (Days 1 and 8) and cisplatin 75 mg/m² (Day 1) or irinotecan 60 mg/m² (Days 1 and 8) and cisplatin 60 mg/m² (Day 1) were used. Twenty patients (54%) received EP, 10 patients (27%) received EP followed by IP, and 7 patients (19%) received IP followed by EP.

CT was performed in all the patients for planning the 3-dimensional conformal radiotherapy (3D-CRT). The gross tumor volume was defined as the residual volume of the primary and nodal tumor masses visualized on the CT images after chemotherapy. The regional lymph nodal areas that were not initially involved were not electively irradiated. The prescribed dose was specified at the isocenter of the planned target volume with tissue heterogeneity corrections for all the patients. The median radiation dose was 60 Gy (range, 50 ~ 60 Gy) in 2 Gy per fraction per day. The total dose was 60 Gy in 30 patients (81%), 58 Gy in 1 patient (3%), 56 Gy in 1 patient (3%) and 50 Gy in 5 patients (13%). All the patients who showed a complete response (CR) or a good partial response (PR) received prophylactic cranial irradiation with 25 Gy at 2.5 Gy per fraction.

3) Evaluations and statistical analysis

The objective tumor responses were evaluated according to the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors criteria (18,19). The toxicities were scored according to the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events version 3.0 (20). All the durations were calculated from the day of starting the chemotherapy. The Kaplan-Meier method was used to estimate the overall survival rates (OS), the progression-free survival rates (PFS) and the locoregional control rates (LRC). Univariate analysis for evaluating the factors associated with OS and PFS was performed using the log-rank test. The factors identified as influencing survival on the univariate analysis were then analyzed using Cox proportional hazard regression analysis. Statistical significance was indicated by p values < 0.05. The statistical analysis was performed with PASW version 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

1) Demographic data

Twenty nine of the patients (78%) were men and the median age was 60 years (range, 34 ~ 79 years). All the patients had an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status of 0 or 1. Using the 7th edition of the American Joint Commission Cancer staging system for lung cancer (21), 1 patient had Stage I disease, 4 patients had Stage II disease, 18 patients had Stage IIIA disease, 12 patients had Stage IIIB disease and 2 patients
had stage IV disease (pleural nodules or effusion).

2) Tumor response, survival and patterns of failure

The overall response rate was 97% for all the patients. A CR was achieved in 17 patients (46%), a PR was achieved in 19 patients (51%) and 1 patient (3%) had progressive disease. The median follow-up time was 17 months (range, 5 - 57). Fig. 1 showed the OS, PFS, and LRC rates. The 1- and 2-year OS was 83% and 55%, respectively. The 1- and 2-year PFS was 50% and 37%, respectively. The 2-year LRC and distant control rates were 50% and 49%, respectively. The most common sites of distant metastasis were bone and brain. The patterns of failure are shown in Fig. 2. There were 7 in-field locoregional failures. Four of those failures were isolated local failure. Only one patient experienced isolated, out of the field, regional failure at the contralateral supraclavicular fossa. The patient received salvage chemotherapy, and lung metastasis with pleural seeding occurred 7 months later.

3) Toxicity

Grade 2 and 3 treatment-related esophageal toxicity occurred in 20 and 2 patients, respectively. Two patients, who showed
esophageal stricture due to radiation esophagitis, received balloon dilatation. There were 6 grade 2 and 2 grade 5 pulmonary toxicities. One patient received admission care and the patient expired from respiratory failure due to combined treatment-related pneumonitis, atypical pneumonia and disease progression. The other patient had underlying severe emphysema before treatment. At 3 months after radiotherapy, he complained of aggravated dyspnea and his symptom was temporarily improved with prednisolone. However, he died of acute exacerbation of interstitial lung disease 4 weeks later.

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION**

SCLC is a radiosensitive tumor and so modest doses of once-daily TRT have been widely used to treat it. However, disappointing overall local control rates have led to investigating strategies to intensifying radiotherapy. Turrisi et al. (12) compared 45 Gy once-daily TRT (1.8 Gy qd over five weeks) with twice-daily TRT (1.5 Gy bid over 3 weeks). In both groups, TRT began concurrently with the first cycle of EP chemotherapy. Although both the fractionation strategies showed a high initial response rate, after five years of follow-up, the overall local failure rate, including both local failure only and simultaneous local and distant failure, was 75% with the once-daily arm as compared with 42% for the patients who received twice-daily therapy. The median survival was 19 months with once daily TRT and 23 months with twice daily TRT (p=0.04). The rate of Grade 3 or higher esophagitis was 16% for the conventional 45 Gy TRT group and 32% for the accelerated 45 Gy TRT group (p<0.001). Despite the significant overall survival benefits in this Phase III trial, the schedule of twice-daily 45 Gy TRT has not been widely used because of concerns of acute toxicity, patient compliance and the belief that higher doses of once-daily TRT will yield similar outcomes with potentially less toxicity. A phase II trial (CALGB 39808) of once-daily 70 Gy TRT starting with the third cycle of chemotherapy reported a median survival of 22.4 months, which is comparable to the results of the twice-daily arm of the Intergroup study (12). Recent retrospective studies have also suggested the importance of a high dose of radiation when using the once-daily regimen (16,22).

Another issue of TRT for treating SCLC is the volume of the TRT. Irradiating the involved field only versus elective nodal irradiation has been controversial. Two recent Dutch phase II trials have shown contradictory results. The omission of elective nodal irradiation on the basis of CT scans in patients with LD-SCLC resulted in a higher than expected rate of isolated nodal failures (3 of 27, 11%) in the ipsilateral supraclavicular fossa (23). However, a later study using 18FDG-PET scans resulted in a low rate of isolated nodal failures (2 of 60, 3%) with a low percentage of acute esophagitis (24).

We have been treated LD-SCLC with once-daily, 60 Gy, involved field postchemotherapy volume TRT with concurrent chemotherapy. The survival rates were comparable to those of the other recent trials and only one patient (3%) experienced isolated out of field regional failure. However, despite that a relatively high dose of 60 Gy was used, the in-field local recurrence rate was higher than expected. Seven patients (19%) experienced in-field local recurrence and four (11%) of these patients experienced isolated local failure as their first site of failure. This isolated in-field local recurrence rate is higher than those of the recent Dutch phase II trial: that Dutch trial reported a 5% rate of in-field local recurrence and a 3% rate of isolated local recurrence (24). Although our study’s overall locoregional control rates are comparable to those of the Intergroup study (12), it is difficult to compare exact local control rates due to our shorter follow-up period. Further, other retrospective studies (16,22) that used ≥50 Gy or 54 Gy of once-daily TRT showed superior local control rates (3 year local control rates of 61-78%) than our study did.

Relatively high local recurrence rates might be due to a suboptimal radiation dose, a long overall treatment time or the timing of radiation. The Dutch phase II studies used a regimen of 45 Gy in 30 fractions during 3 weeks (1.5 Gy bid) and the TRT started at a mean of 18-28 days after the beginning of chemotherapy (23,24). Roof et al. (22) reported a 3 year local control rate of 78% using ≥50 Gy (range, 50-77 Gy) and 46% of the patients who received concurrent chemotherapy started TRT with the first cycle of chemotherapy. A recent Cochrane review defined early radiotherapy as starting within 30 days of the initiation of chemotherapy (25). De Ruyscher et al. (26) reported that with a time from the start of chemotherapy to completion of radiotherapy (SER) of less than 30 days, the 5 year overall survival rate was more than 20% and it was significantly higher than that with a longer SER.
fractionation and timing are needed in the future. Prospective studies to establish the optimal radiation doses, number of patients still experiencing in-field failure. Further studies using concurrent chemoradiotherapy have reported severe (≥ grade 3) treatment-related pneumonitis ranging from 4% to 9% and fatal pneumonitis ranging from 0 to 3% (9,10,12,27). In our study, 6 patients experienced grade 2 treatment-related pneumonitis and 2 patients died of treatment-related pulmonary complications. One patient expired from respiratory failure due to combined treatment-related pneumonitis, atypical pneumonia and disease progression. The other patient had underlying severe emphysema and that patient died of acute exacerbation of interstitial lung disease 4 weeks later.

In conclusion, once-daily 60 Gy TRT with concurrent chemotherapy and starting the TRT after two cycles of chemotherapy showed favorable survival outcomes and reasonable toxicities in patients with LD-SCLC. Postchemotherapy volume involved field radiotherapy was safe, yet a considerable number of patients still experienced in-field failure. Further prospective studies to establish the optimal radiation doses, fractionation and timing are needed in the future.
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