A Case of Bleeding from the Dieulafoy Lesion of the Jejunum
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Dieulafoy lesion is an uncommon cause of gastrointestinal bleeding, reported to be only 2% of acute or chronic upper gastrointestinal bleeding episodes. Bleeding occurs from a small mucosal erosion involving an unusually large submucosal artery in an otherwise normal mucosa. It is associated with massive, life threatening hemorrhage and is difficult to diagnosis. In most cases the lesion is encountered in the proximal stomach, antrum, duodenum, colon and rectum. In particular, extragastric Dieulafoy lesion is an extremely rare source of intestinal bleeding. In Korea, no case of bleeding from a Dieulafoy lesion of the small intestine has been previously reported. We experienced one case of bleeding from a jejunal Dieulafoy lesion, which was confirmed by the pathologic examination of the resected specimen, and report here.
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Dieulafoy lesion, sometimes referred to as "caliber-persistent artery" or submucosal arterial malformation, is an uncommon cause of gastrointestinal bleeding, reported to be in only 2% of acute or chronic upper gastrointestinal bleeding episodes (Pointer et al. 1988a; Eidus et al. 1990; Stark et al. 1992). This lesion is characterized by the following: a negative past medical history, independence from peptic ulcer disease, sudden onset, increasing bouts of hematemesis, a tiny mucosal lesion, an open submucosal artery of seemingly large caliber, failure of conservative treatment, and 60.5% overall lethality (Miko and Thomazy. 1988). Bleeding occurs from a small mucosal erosion involving an unusually large submucosal artery in an otherwise normal mucosa. In most cases the lesion is encountered in the proximal stomach within 6 cm of the gastroesophageal junction. Similar lesions have been described in the antrum, duodenum, jejunum, colon and rectum (Matuchansky et al. 1985; Vetto et al. 1989; Jaspersen et al. 1994; Dy et al. 1995). In particular, extragastric Dieulafoy lesion is an extremely rare source of intestinal bleeding (Jaspersen et al. 1994; Dy et al. 1995).

It is associated with massive, life-threatening hemorrhage and may be underdiagnosed as a bleeding source due to the difficulty in diagnosis. In Korea, no case of bleeding from the Dieulafoy lesion of the small intestine has been previously reported. We experienced one case of bleeding from a jejunal Dieulafoy lesion, which was confirmed by the pathologic examination of the resected specimen, and report here.

CASE REPORT

A healthy 20-year-old woman with no history of
gastric complaints presented with hematochezia. She was not using nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and had neither a history of smoking nor of excessive alcohol consumption. On admission, her blood pressure was 90/50 mmHg, pulse rate 90/min. On examination, she revealed cool and moist extremities and her conjunctivae were pale. Rectal examination showed hematochezia. The WBC count was 9810/mm³, hemoglobin 8.1 g/dl, hematocrit 25.0%, platelet 211,000/mm³. Blood chemistry was normal. Prothrombin time was 12sec (100% of normal) and partial thromboplastin time was 38sec. Initial esophagogastroduodenoscope and colonoscopy did not reveal the bleeding sources. A technetium-99m-labelled red blood cell scan indicated bleeding appearing in the jejunum (Fig. 1). Two units of packed red blood cells were infused for hemodynamic stabilization. On the evening of admission, the patient presented a large amount of hematochezia, presyncope and profound orthostatic hypotension. At this time her blood pressure was 60/40 mmHg and pulse rate 130/min. The WBC count was 4500/mm³, hemoglobin 6.0 g/dl, hematocrit 17.1%, platelet 200,000/

Fig. 1. A technetium-99m-labelled red blood cell scan indicated blood appearing in the jejunal area.

Fig. 2. Angiogram of the superior mesenteric artery revealed active extravasation of contrast media with pseudoaneurysmal dilatation from the third branch of the jejunal artery.
mm³. Emergent visceral angiography was performed. A superior mesenteric artery injection revealed active extravasation of contrast media with pseudoaneurysmal dilatation from the third branch of the jejunal artery (Fig. 2). The radiological impression was an unusual type of arteriovenous malformation. We tried to embolize with gelform, but failed. So, to localize the source of bleeding, we left the arterial catheter in the third branch of the jejunal artery and surgical exploration was performed. When jejunum was opened, oozing was noted from a small exposed vessel, which was surrounded by tiny mucosal defect. There was no other macroscopic mucosal abnormality in the remaining mucosa. Histology confirmed the diagnosis of Dieulafoy lesion in the jejunum. The lesion consisted of a small mucosal erosion in close contact with a large, tortuous blood vessel in the submucosa (Fig. 3). The patient did very well postoperatively and had no further bleeding. She was discharged on the nineth postoperative day and reported no further bleeding episodes at a 2-month follow-up visit.

**DISCUSSION**

The source or etiology of acute massive gastrointestinal hemorrhage can be identified in between 80 and 95% of patients after the introduction of fiberoptic endoscopy. One of the rarer causes of massive bleeding is Dieulafoy lesion (Dieulafoy, 1897–1898). This lesion was first described by Gallard (1884) and has been described under the labels “aneurysm” (Gallard, 1984), “submucosal arterial malformation” (Goldman, 1964), “Dieulafoy’s exulceratio simplex” (Dieulafoy, 1897–1898) and “gastric arteriosclerosis” (Antonic, 1961).

The etiology and pathogenesis of Dieulafoy lesion has remained obscure and is still the subject of controversy. One hypothesis is that a submucosal artery elongates and becomes tortuous with aging. The tortuous artery may cause pressure on the overlying mucosa leading to mucosal ischemia, erosion and then arterial rupture. Some reports support that Dieulafoy lesion is a disorder related to aging (Miko and Thomazy, 1988; Lin et al. 1989). Another hypothesis is that these large vessels do not erode, but rather develop a weakening in the wall with gradual dilatation, followed by thrombus formation before rupture. This is suggested by the localized subintimal fibrosis of the artery adjacent to the site of perforation as well as the thickening of the wall of the adjacent veins (Juler et al. 1984; Edius et al. 1985).
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1990). The other hypothesis is a congenital vascular lesion, i.e. the large submucosal artery follows a congenital abnormal course, which is abnormally close to the mucosa and an erosion of the overlying mucosa leads to arterial rupture (Hoffmann et al. 1984; Veldhuyzen et al. 1986). But this hypothesis has difficulty explaining why the lesion does not become clinically apparent until old age and why Dieulafoy’s disease sometimes involves the colon or jejunum.

Some reports have described the factors associated with Dieulafoy lesion as the consumption of large amounts of alcohol and tobacco. It is well known that alcohol abusers are prone to chronic gastritis. Chronic gastritis predisposes to vascular dysplasia which leads to thrombosis and necrosis of the arterial wall before rupture. These findings preclude the diagnosis of “ulceratio simplex” (Dieulafoy, 1897–1898; Veldhuyzen et al. 1986).

Histologically, there are no signs of deep ulceration with penetration of the muscularis mucosa and submucosal muscular arteries at the level of the muscularis mucosa that are abnormally large compared with normal vessels found at this level. Under normal conditions, the gastric arteries reveal a striking reduction in their caliber while crossing the submucosa, but with Dieulafoy lesion, the arteries essentially retain their caliber while running an oblique course from the true muscularis mucosa. The arterial segment of persistent caliber is often long, and gradually becomes tortuous. Therefore, Dieulafoy lesion is often called “caliber persistent artery” (Miko and Thomazy, 1988). A superficial mucosal defect, or erosion, is present in the mucosa overlying the artery with focal necrosis and rupture of the artery at the base of the erosion. Bleeding occurs from a small mucosal erosion involving an unusually large submucosal artery (Juler et al. 1984).

The clinical picture of this disease is described by the following: a negative past medical history, independence from peptic ulcer disease, sudden onset, usually with the presenting symptom in combination with the passage of tarry stools and circulatory shock (Miko and Thomazy, 1988; Pointer et al. 1988b). The true incidence of Dieulafoy is not known. Veldhuyzen et al. reviewed massive hematemesis from Dieulafoy lesion in 101 cases (Veldhuyzen et al. 1986). Among these, the youngest patient described was 20-months-old, the eldest was 93 and the median was 54. The lesion were twice as frequent in men as in women. Heavy alcohol intake was implicated as a contributing factor in bleeding.

In the past, the diagnosis of Dieulafoy lesion was very difficult and rarely made preoperatively, but these lesions are being diagnosed more frequently today by emergency endoscopy. Repeated endoscopic examination may be necessary because of the intermittent character of bleeding. The endoscopic appearance of Dieulafoy lesion is variable. Sometimes no abnormality is seen on upon meticulous inspection. In most cases, there is a small erosive defect with a tiny visible vessel and adherent clot. The lesion is usually located in the proximal stomach, but extragastric locations have also been described (Jaspersen et al. 1994; Dy et al. 1995). On rare occasions, diagnosis has been made by angiography, which is effective only during active bleeding episodes (Sherman et al. 1972).

Dieulafoy lesion of the small intestine or colon is very rare (Matuchansky et al. 1985; Vetto et al. 1989). Norman et al. reported the incidence of Dieulafoy lesion of the small intestine or colon was 9 of 3,059 patients (0.3%) by endoscopic diagnosis (Norman et al. 1995). Among these 9 patients, only one patient presented Dieulafoy lesion from jejunum and the others from colon. The most common presenting symptom was hematochezia and other symptoms included melena, presyncope and fatigue. The endoscopic finding of Dieulafoy lesion in the small intestine and colon is identical to gastric lesion: 1) active arterial spurting or micropulsatile streaming from a minute (less than 3 mm) mucosal defect or through the normal surrounding mucosa; 2) visualization of a protruding vessel with or without active bleeding from a minute mucosal defect or through the normal surrounding mucosa; 3) a fresh, densely adherent clot with a narrow point of attachment to a minute mucosal defect or through the normal surrounding mucosa.

Surgery is the treatment of choice in Dieulafoy lesion. During the operation, careful inspection of the mucosa is necessary in order to find the lesion (Veldhuyzen et al. 1986). Selective arterial embolization was introduced as a treatment modality by Sherman et al. (1972). but it is rarely used today. Recently, a variety of endoscopic therapeutic modalities have
been reported with success rates ranging from 75-to-98%: epinephrine, ethanol, polidocanol, glucose injection, heater probe coagulation, Nd: Yag laser photoacoagulation and endoscopic band ligation (Pointer et al. 1988a; Lin et al. 1989; Stark et al. 1992; Jaspersen et al. 1994; Norman et al. 1995). So, endoscopic therapy can spare a surgical intervention.

Identification of Dieulafoy lesion is important because it may be associated with massive, life-threatening bleeding. Before the endoscopic era, the prognosis was very poor. Goldman, in his 1964 review, reported a mortality rate of 79%.

In a subset of patients with gastrointestinal bleeding who have no readily identifiable lesions by routine endoscope and colonoscopy, small-bowel bleeding must be considered. Especially if the patient presents hematochezia and hemodynamic instability, an aggressive diagnostic approach that includes enteroscopy and angiography should be performed and the clinician must consider the bleeding from a vascular origin in the small bowel. Because the character of Dieulafoy lesion is intermittent bleeding, routine endoscope can be normal. Therefore, repeat endoscope must be performed if bleeding from a Dieulafoy lesion in the gastrointestinal tract is suspected.

In our case, we did not find the bleeding site by routine endoscope and colonoscopy. We did not perform the enteroscope, but took emergent angiography during active bleeding, which demonstrated a bleeding lesion on the jejunum. Embolization was tried but failed. Emergent surgical exploration revealed bleeding from a jejunal Dieulafoy lesion, which was confirmed by histological examination.
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