Aortic Stenosis: Evaluation with Multidetector CT Angiography and MR Imaging
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Aortic valvular stenosis (AS) is the most common valve disease which results in the need for a valve replacement. Although a Doppler echocardiography is the current reference imaging method, the multidetector computerized tomography (MDCT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) have recently emerged as a promising method for noninvasive valve imaging. In this study, we briefly describe the usefulness and comparative merits of the MDCT and MRI for the evaluation of AS in terms of valvular morphology (as the causes of AS), quantification of aortic valve area, pressure gradient of flow (for assessment severity of AS), and the evaluation of the ascending aorta and cardiac function (as the secondary effects of AS). The familiarity with the MDCT and MRI features of AS is considered to be helpful for the accurate diagnosis and proper management of patients with a poor acoustic window.
illustrate the 1) valvular morphology (as the causes of AS), 2) quantification of aortic valve area (AVA) and pressure gradient of flow (to assess the severity of AS), and 3) the evaluation of the ascending aorta and cardiac function (as the secondary effects of AS) in patients with AS, by using the ECG-gated MDCT and MRI.

**Imaging Techniques and Protocols of ECG-gated MDCT for Aortic Valve Assessment**

Because the MDCT has a higher spatial resolution than does MR imaging, the anatomic details of the valve leaflets, chordae tendinae, and papillary muscles can be properly visualized with an MDCT. However, unlike the aortic valve assessment on an echocardiography and an MRI, which derive an index of functional aortic valve area by pressure or velocity measurements, the assessment of aortic stenosis on MDCT is purely anatomic and is performed through the direct anatomic planimetry of the valve in midsystolic phase when the valve cusps are open and relatively quiescent. Lawler et al. (7) reported that the most feasible method for cardiac valve evaluation is to upload the entire 4D data set (0-100% reconstruction at 10% intervals) and use a thin-slab maximum intensity projections (MIP) or a volume rendering to create reformatted images in any plane desired.

**Imaging Techniques and Protocols of MRI for Aortic Valve Assessment**

For the aortic valve assessment with MR imaging, three principal techniques were performed including black blood imaging, steady-state free precision (SSFP) cine imaging, and phase-contrast imaging.
Black blood MR imaging remains the first step in assessing the cardiac chamber and valve morphologic features, such as the thickening of the valve leaflets (8). Cardiac chamber function and valve motion is assessed with SSFP cine MR imaging. Cardiac motion is displayed in a cine loop of 20–30 frames covering the entire R-R interval. For the phase-contrast MR imaging method, the technologist must set the flow-sensitizing gradients at a level greater than or equal to the expected peak velocity (threshold value, encoding velocity). If the blood velocities exceed the prescribed encoding velocity, aliasing artifact occurs, which substantially complicates the analysis of the phase-contrast data set (9).

**Evaluation of Aortic Valve Morphology**

The morphology of the aortic valve, including aortic valve leaflets, free edges, and annuli, can be assessed in parallel and perpendicular planes at the mid-systolic phase (i.e., open valve) and at the mid-diastolic phase (i.e., closed valve) using multiplanar reformation and double-oblique reformations (Fig. 1) on an ECG-gated MDCT. The aortic valve was normally found to be tricuspid (composed of symmetric three leaflets) (Fig. 2). However, congenitally malformed valves such as bicuspid valves (Figs. 3, 4), unicuspid valves (Fig. 5), and quadricuspid valves (Fig. 6), are more predisposed to develop calcification, stenosis, and regurgitation. Because the abnormal architecture induces turbulent flow, it traumatizes the leaflets and leads to fibrosis, increased rigidity, and calcification of the leaflets, which ultimately results in the narrowing of the aortic orifice. An ECG-gated MDCT has the ability to accurately depict these morphologic abnormalities of the aortic valve (10–12).

**Evaluation of Aortic Valve Calcification in Aortic Stenosis**

The presence and extent of valvular calcification in patients with AS have been identified as an important predictor of clinical outcome (13). Moreover, high aortic valve calcification scores indicate the possibility of severe aortic stenosis and should prompt a further functional evaluation (14). Consistently, past literature identified a correlation between the degree of valvular calcification and the severity of aortic stenosis (15). It is well known that the MDCT is superior to other modalities for the detection and quantification of valvular calcification. In
addition, it has been validated by studying patients prior to surgery and comparing the results with examinations of the pathological specimen (15). However, a bright-blood MRI is not a reliable method for detecting the calcification of the aortic valve, because the extent of the signal void depends on the pulse sequence used, its specific parameters, and the placement of the cine sections. In addition, signal voids on an MRI caused by valvular calcification may be difficult to distinguish from the flow jets through the stenotic valves (16). Moreover, the extent of valve calcification has also been shown to be a significant predictor of outcome in AS (17, 18).

Quantification of Aortic Valve Area with ECG-gated MDCT and MRI

The aortic valve area and transvalvular pressure gradient

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First Author</th>
<th>MDCT AVA (cm² ± SD)</th>
<th>TTE AVA (r-value) (cm² ± SD)</th>
<th>TEE AVA (r-value) (cm² ± SD)</th>
<th>Interobserver Variability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alkadhi (11)</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.35</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.35 (0.95)</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.33 (0.99)</td>
<td>NA*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feuchtner (19)</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.22 (0.89)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feuchtner (20)</td>
<td>1.11 ± 0.42</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.42 (0.88)</td>
<td>1.41 ± 1.61 (0.99)</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pouleur (6)</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.5 (0.96)</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.7 (0.99)</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.4 cm²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.—NA* = Not applicable, MDCT = multidetector CT, AVA = aortic valve area, TTE = transthoracic echocardiography

Fig. 4. Thickened bicuspid valve with severe aortic stenosis. Thickened bicuspid valve with severe aortic stenosis from ECG-gated multidetector CT in systolic phase (A) and diastolic phase (B) is well correlated with surgical findings (C).
are major variables used in the assessment of AS severity. Effective AVA is frequently measured to quantify the degree of aortic stenosis using an echocardiography. The MDCT allows a three-dimensional acquisition of the entire heart throughout the cardiac cycle and multiple plane reconstructions, which can be sliced in any plane as desired. It is thus possible to obtain a perfectly oriented parasternal short-axis view of the AVA. Several studies have reported a good correlation and reasonable agreement between the AVA calculated by an MDCT and an echocardiography (19, 20) (Table 1). Feuchtner et al. (19) suggested that the optimal reconstruction window for the measurement of AVA is positioned within mid-late systolic phase, which corresponds with the ejection phase in accordance with the T-wave on the ECG signal.

The MRI planes chosen for the planimetry of the AVA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First Author</th>
<th>MRI AVA</th>
<th>TTE (r-value)</th>
<th>TEE AVA (r-value)</th>
<th>Interobserver Variability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schlosser (21)</td>
<td>0.80 cm² ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.74 cm² ± 0.30 (NA*)</td>
<td>0.80 cm² ± 0.28 (NA)</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.05 cm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johs (22)</td>
<td>0.91 cm² ± 0.25</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.89 cm² ± 0.28 (0.96)</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.06 cm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pouleur (6)</td>
<td>2.4 cm² ± 1.8</td>
<td>2.0 cm² ± 1.5 (0.96)</td>
<td>2.5 cm² ± 1.7 (0.99)</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.3 cm²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.— NA* = Not applicable, AVA = aortic valve area, TTE = transthoracic echocardiography

Fig. 5. Thickened unicommissural unicuspid valve with severe aortic stenosis. Identified thickened unicuspid valve from ECG-gated multidetector CT in systolic phase (A) and diastolic phase (B) is well correlated with surgical findings (C). Note raphe (thin arrows) and calcification (thick arrow) of unicuspid aortic valve.
were orthogonal to the stenotic jet, as deduced from the area of signal loss due to the turbulent flow at the valve orifice level. The AVA measured by MR has also demonstrated a reproducible and observer-independent method which correlates well with the echocardiography (21, 22) (Table 2). Pouler et al. (6) demonstrated that the MDCT planimetric measurements of AVA are highly reproducible and correlate strongly with the MR and transechophageal echocardiography (TEE) planimetric measurements of AVA as well as with the transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) measurements of AVA obtained by using the continuity equation. Therefore, the ECG-gated MDCT and MRI provide an accurate, noninvasive imaging technique for quantification of AVA through the valve plane, which can be graded (Fig. 7).

**Quantification of Flow and Pressure Gradient with Velocity-encoded Cine MRI**

The velocity-encoded cine (VENC) MRI used for the measurement of blood flow velocity and volume flow provides an accurate estimate of the transvalvular pressure gradients in many clinical situations. The peak systolic velocity depends on the angle between the flow jet and the imaging plane. Therefore, if the flow is not perpendicular

---

**Fig. 6.** Multidetector CT scan of aortic valve during diastolic phase (A) and systolic phase (B) shows three equal-sized leaflets and one smaller valve leaflet. Note incomplete coaptation of leaflets centrally (*), resulting in aortic insufficiency.

**Fig. 7.** Measurement of aortic valve area in patients with severe aortic stenosis. Cross-sectional view of severely stenotic tricuspid valve is used for measurement of aortic valve area in systolic phase of ECG-gated multidetector CT image (A) and MRI (B). White line denotes aortic valve area.
to the aortic valve plane, an underestimation of the peak systolic velocity could occur. In an echocardiography with a Doppler image, poor echocardiographic windows may compromise the recording quality and unusual anatomic configurations, such as the ectatic aortas. In addition, the horizontal heart positions may preclude the exact parallel orientation of the Doppler beam with the high-velocity aortic jets. In contrast, the VENC MRI is a reliable and reproducible tool to evaluate peak systolic velocity of the stenotic aortic valves (23), because it provides the exact imaging plane parallel to the plane of the aortic valve (Fig. 8). The peak systolic velocity is used to calculate the peak pressure gradient. The pressure gradient determined using the VENC MR, correlated well with the invasive catheterization, and echocardiography (24, 25) (Table 3).

**Measurement of Diameter at Ascending Aorta with ECG-gated MDCT and MRI**

Poststenotic dilatation of the ascending aorta is a common finding in patients with severe AS. The TTE is limited to the diagnosis of aneurysms located at the ascending aorta and the quantification of aneurysm size because it could not consistently visualize the mid or distal ascending aorta. Therefore, the ECG-gated MDCT and MRI generally allows for more accurate and reliable quantification of the ascending aorta, often with more important clinical parameters than the echocardiography (Fig. 9).

**Evaluation of Cardiac Function with ECG-gated MDCT and MRI**

A left ventricular hypertrophy is another frequent finding in patients afflicted with severe AS, which is a key adaptive mechanism to the pressure load imposed by AS. The accurate evaluation of the left ventricular systolic function and mass is important in the management of AS, because it is closely related to cardiac morbidity and mortality. The current standard of reference for left ventricular function is analysis by MRI (Fig. 10). The performance of the MRI is significantly superior to echocardiography in the interstudy reproducibility coefficient of variability used to measure cardiac function (26). In addition, the MRI does not rely on the geometric assumptions for the left ventricular function parameters as well as no ionizing radiation. In recent years, because of the extensive technological improvements in cardiac functional analysis, the MDCT has been technically possible. The data from the pooled analysis show that there is a small but systematic overestimation of the ventricular volumes by MDCT. One could contemplate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First Author</th>
<th>TTE (r-value)</th>
<th>Cath (r-value)</th>
<th>Reproducibility (r-value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cauthers 23</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>NA*</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eichenberger 24</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.— NA* = Not Applicable, TTE = transthoracic echocardiography

**Table 3. Correlations between MRI and Other Tests for Quantification of Flow and Pressure Gradient in Aortic Stenosis**

![Fig. 8. 65-year-old man with severe aortic stenosis and bicuspid aortic valve. Magnitude (A) and phase (B) images for flow measurements of stenotic bicuspid aortic valve using velocity encoded MRI. Line denotes aortic valve area with result of 0.85 cm². Peak systolic velocity was measured at 547.68 cm/sec, and corresponds to peak pressure gradient of 119 mmHg.](image-url)
that this effect is related to the lower level of contrast between blood and myocardium seen in an MDCT, or its lower number of acquired phases (27). However, the diagnostic accuracy increased with the introduction of more detector rows in the MDCT. The systolic functional analysis with the ECG-gated MDCT is also more accurate than the two-dimensional echocardiography or the ECG-gated SPECT (single photon emission computed tomography) (28).

**CONCLUSION**

In AS, the ECG-gated MDCT and MRI may provide the important information pertaining to valve morphology and the severity of stenosis, as well as additional findings on the ascending aorta. Even so, the role of MDCT in AS has

---

**Fig. 9.** 67-year-old man with severe aortic stenosis. Image of ECG-gated multidetector CT (A) demonstrates post-stenotic dilatation of ascending aorta due to severe aortic stenosis. ECG-gated multidetector CT and MRI can provide accurate sizing of ascending aorta (B).

**Fig. 10.** 64-year-old woman with severe aortic stenosis and bicuspid aortic valve. Cine MRI, (A) using steady-state free precession sequence, shows thickened aortic valve (thick small arrows) and left ventricular hypertrophy (small arrows). Flow jet (arrows) is also well visualized (B).
some limitations at the present time, because it does not yield additional hemodynamic information such as transvalvular pressure gradients or the presence of regurgitation. In addition, it frequently produces motion artifact in patients with higher heart rates (29). We should also consider radiation hazard associated with this method. The MRI also has limitations in terms of its high cost, relatively long scan time, limited availability, and the poor detection of aortic valve calcification. However, in patients with inadequate and inconclusive echocardiographies, the MDCT and MRI may serve as an alternative for the assessment of AS (29). Another potential role of the MDCT in the assessment of AS is the pre-operative assessment of coronary arteries as an alternative to invasive coronary angiographies in patients with a low likelihood of coronary artery disease (30). Therefore, familiarity with the MDCT and MRI features of AS will be helpful for the accurate diagnosis and proper management.
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